MA 573 Reading for 3/17/2022 Proof of proposition 1: $|\operatorname{Bias}(\hat{\alpha})|^2 + \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\alpha}) = |(\operatorname{E}[\hat{\alpha}] - \kappa)|^2 + \operatorname{E}[\hat{\alpha}^2] - (\operatorname{E}[\hat{\alpha}])^2;$ $= (E[\hat{\alpha}])^2 - 2WE[\hat{\omega}] + \chi^2 + E[\hat{\omega}^2] - (E[\hat{\omega}])^2;$ $= \chi^2 - 2\alpha E[\hat{\alpha}] + E[\hat{\alpha}^2];$ $= E[\alpha^2] - E[2\alpha\hat{\alpha}] + E[\hat{\alpha}^2];$ $= E[\alpha^2 - 2\alpha\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\alpha}^2];$ $= E[(\chi - \hat{\chi})^2];$ $= E[(\hat{x} - x)^2].$ · Why unbiased MC? $E[\hat{x}-x] = E[+I(x_{i+1}^{2}+Y_{i}^{2}<1)-\frac{4n}{N}];$ = $4E[I(X_1^2+Y_1^2<1)]-4E[\frac{n}{N}];$ If both $E[I(X_1^2+Y_1^2<1)]$ and $E[\frac{n}{N}]$ represent the same thing, then Bias = 0, and by definition of unbiased, this shows why & is unbiased. Given Bias = 0, MSE = 0 · Why unbiased Bn? E[BN-N] = E[H I i Qi - H 4n]; $= \frac{1}{N} E \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{i} - 4n \right].$ Since the probability of success is obtain by multiplying 4, and E[Zi=1 xi] estimates the same thing, Bias = 0.

方MSE(2)=片[(2-x)2];((41)) $= \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{E}[\widehat{\alpha}^2 - 2\alpha\widehat{\alpha} + \alpha^2]_{i}$ = E[hà2-302+hu2].

Why BN a.s. constant?

By is an estimator and & is obtained in the same form of the estimator, plus PN is unbiased.

· PM biased?

E[Q]-X=E[PM]-QM;

 $= E\left[\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} (\alpha_i - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i)\right] - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i,$

 $= E[\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{M^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\alpha_{i}] - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\alpha_{i};$ $= \frac{1}{M}E[\sum_{i=1}^{M}\alpha_{i}] - \frac{1}{M^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\alpha_{i} \neq 0$