## 13-Randomized Block Designs

ENSY SILVER<sup>1</sup>

Saturday 12<sup>th</sup> September, 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Thanks to my family, my friend and freedom.

## 1 The F test for a randomized block design

For randomized block data  $Y_{ij}$ , where i represents the i<sup>th</sup> block and j respresents the j<sup>th</sup> treatment, the model equation is

$$Y_{ij} = \mu_j + \beta_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

On the basis of SSTR and SSE, we define the block sum of squares (SSB) by

$$SSB = \sum_{i=1}^{b} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}_{..})^{2}$$

Since the variables belong to the same block are dependent, the formula of SSE is defined by

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{b} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{.j} - \bar{Y}_{i.} + \bar{Y}_{..})^{2}$$

The next theorem describes the relation among SSTOT, SSTR, SSE, and SSB.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that k treatment levels are measured over a set of b blocks. Then

- 1. SSTOT = SSTR + SSB + SSE.
- 2. SSTR, SSB, and SSE are independent random variables.

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that k treatment levels, with means  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ , are measured over a set of b blocks, where the block effects are  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_b$ . Then

- 1. When  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k$  is trus,  $SSTR/\sigma^2$  has a  $\chi^2$  distribution with k-1 degrees of freedom.
- 2. When  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_k$  is trus,  $SSB/\sigma^2$  has a  $\chi^2$  distribution with b-1 degrees of freedom.
- 3. Regardless of whether the  $\mu_j$ 's or the  $\beta_i$ 's are equal,  $SSE/\sigma^2$  has a  $\chi^2$  distribution with (b-1)(k-1) degrees of freedom.

Of course, we can also test the hypothesis  $\mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_k$  and  $\beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_b$  by F test.

## 2 Tukey comparisons for randomized block data

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\bar{Y}_{.j}$ ,  $j=1,2,\cdots,k$ , be the sample means in a  $b \times k$  randomized block design. Let  $\mu_j$  be the true treatment means,  $j=1,2,\cdots,k$ . The probability is  $1-\alpha$  that all  $\binom{k}{2}$  pairwise subhypothesis  $H_0: \mu_s = \mu_t$  will simultaneously satisfy the inequalities

$$\bar{Y}_{.s} - \bar{Y}_{.t} - D\sqrt{MSE} < \mu_s - \mu_t < \bar{Y}_{.s} - \bar{Y}_{.t} + D\sqrt{MSE}$$

## 13-RandomizedKBNocKODHSignIsONS FOR RANDOMIZED BLOCK DATA

where  $D = Q_{\alpha,k,(b-1)(k-1)}/\sqrt{b}$ . If, for a given s and t, zero is not contained in the preceding inequality,  $H_0: \mu_s = \mu_t$  can be rejected in favor of  $H_1: \mu_s \neq \mu_t$  at the  $\alpha$  level of significance.

In the case that k=2, we can use the method similar to two-sample inferences

Finally, the paired t test and the randomized block test are equal when k=2.