# 14-Nonparametric Statistics

ENSY SILVER<sup>1</sup>

Monday 14<sup>th</sup> September, 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Thanks to my family, my friend and freedom.

## 1 Introductione

Sometimes, when we do not know the exact distribution, we use nonparametric method to test hypotheses.

## 2 The sign test

The simplest test is called the sign test.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  be a random sample of size n from any continuous distribution having median  $\tilde{\mu}$ , where  $n \geq 10$ . Let k denote the number of  $y_i$ 's greater than  $\tilde{\mu}_0$ , and let  $z = \frac{k-n/2}{\sqrt{n/4}}$ . Z has approximately a standard normal distribution.

## 3 The signed rank test

The signed rank test is based on the magnitudes, and directions, of the deviations of the  $y_i$ 's from  $\mu_0$ . Let  $|y_1 - \mu_0|, |y_2 - \mu_0|, \dots, |y_n - \mu_0|$  be the set of absolute deviations of the  $y_i$ 's from  $\mu_0$ . These can be ordered from smallest to largest, and we can define  $r_i$  to be the rank of  $|y_i - \mu_0|$ . Associated with each ri will be a sign indicator,  $z_i$ , where

$$z_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_i - \mu_0 > 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } y_i - \mu_0 < 0 \end{cases}$$

The signed rank statistic, w, is defined to be the linear combination

$$e = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i z_i$$

#### 4 Wilcoxon test

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  be a set of independent observations drawn, respectively, from the continuous and symmetric (but not necessarily identical) pdfs  $f_{Y_i}(y)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Suppose that each of the  $f_{Y_i}(y)$ 's has the same mean  $\mu$ . If  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  is true, the pdf of the data's signed rank statistic,  $p_W(w)$ , is given by

$$p_W(w) = P(W = w) = \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot c(w)$$

where c(w) is the coefficient of  $e^{W}t$  in the expansion of

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + e^{it})^2$$

The proof is in the book, we omit it. Then, we deduce the Wilcoxon signed rank test.

**Theorem 4.2.** When  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  is true, the mean and variance of the Wilcoxon signed rank statistic, W, are given by

$$E(W) = \frac{n(n+1)}{4}$$

and

$$Var(W) = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{24}$$

Also, for n > 12, the distribution of

$$\frac{W - [n(n+1)]/4}{\sqrt{n(n+1)(2n+1)/24}}$$

can be adequately approximated by the standard normal pdf,  $f_Z(z)$ .

An extended version of Wilcoxon signed rank test has a more complicated proof, but we give the theorem directly.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and  $x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{n+m}$  be two independent random samples from  $f_X(x)$  and  $f_Y(y)$ , respectively, where the two pdfs are the same except for a possible shift in location. Let  $r_i$  denote the rank of the  $i^{th}$  observation in the combined sample (where the smallest observation is assigned a rank of 1 and the largest observation, a rank of n+m). Let

$$w' = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} r_i z_i$$

where  $z_i$  is 1 if the ith observation comes from  $f_X(x)$  and 0, otherwise. Then

$$E(W') = \frac{n(n+m+1)}{2}$$

$$Var(W') = \frac{nm(n+m+1)}{12}$$

and

$$\frac{W'-n(n+m+1)/2}{\sqrt{nm(n+m+1)/12}}$$

has approximately a standard normal pdf if n > 10 and m > 10.

#### 5 The Kruskal-Wallis test

For k-sample problem, one common nonparametric test is the Kruskal-Wallis test. First, we define the  $R_{ij}$  to be the rank corresponding to  $Y_{ij}$ . Then, we have the theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** Suppose  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  independent observations are taken from the pdfs  $f_{Y_1}(y), f_{Y_2}(y), \dots, f_{Y_k}(y)$ , respectively, where the  $f_{Y_i}(y)$ 's are all continuous and have the same shape. Let  $\mu_i$  be the mean of  $f_{Y_i}(y)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and let  $R_{.1}, R_{.2}, \dots, R_{.k}$  denote the random sums associated with each of the k samples. If  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$  is true,

$$B = \frac{12}{n(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{R_{.j}^2}{n_j} - 3(n+1)$$

has approximately a  $\chi^2_{k-1}$  distribution and  $H_0$  should be rejected at the  $\alpha$  level of significance if  $b > \chi^2_{1-\alpha,k-1}$ .

#### 6 The Friedman test

For block data, we hvae Friedman test. Suppose  $k(\geq 2)$  treatments are ranked independently within b blocks. Let  $r_{\cdot j}$ ,  $j=1,2,\cdots,k$ , be the rank sum of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  treatment. The null hypothesis that the population medians of the k treatments are all equal is rejected at the  $\alpha$  level of significance (approximately) if

$$g = \frac{12}{bk(k+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i,j}^2 - 3b(k+1) \ge \chi_{1-\alpha,k-1}^2$$

### 7 Randomness test

**Theorem 7.1.** Let W denote the number of runs up and down in a sequence of n observations, where n > 2. If the sequence is random, then

- 1.  $E(W) = \frac{2n-1}{3}$ .
- 2.  $Var(W) = \frac{16n-29}{90}$ .
- 3.  $\frac{W-E(W)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(W)}} = Z$ , when  $n \geq 20$ .