

# Stochastic Modelling and Random Processes

Yiming MA

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	2
1.1.1	A Deterministic Model . . . . .	2
1.1.2	A Stochastic Model . . . . .	2
1.1.3	A Network Model . . . . .	2
1.1.4	A Random Network Model . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Probability and Random Variables</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Probability Theory . . . . .	4
2.2	Random Variables . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Discrete-Time Markov Chain</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Discrete-Time Markov Chains . . . . .	9
3.1.1	Homogeneity . . . . .	9
3.1.2	Transition Matrices and Transition Functions . . . . .	10
3.1.3	Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations . . . . .	10
3.1.4	Stationary Distributions . . . . .	11
<b>4</b>	<b>Continuous-Time Markov Chain</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Continuous State Space Markov Processes</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Stochastic Particle Systems</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Networks</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Random Networks</b>	<b>16</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

Suppose we are modelling COVID. Let

- $S$  be the number of the susceptible;
- $I$  be the number of the infected;
- $R$  be the number of the removed (those who have either recovered or died).

#### 1.1.1 A Deterministic Model

A deterministic model might be

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{S} &= -\beta IS, \\ \dot{I} &= \beta IS - \gamma I, \\ \dot{R} &= \gamma I.\end{aligned}$$

But there are some problems in this model:

- $S$ ,  $I$  and  $R$  are integers, so it does not make sense to talk about  $\dot{S}$ ,  $\dot{I}$  and  $\dot{R}$ .
- There is variability in when contacts are made and lead to infection.

#### 1.1.2 A Stochastic Model

A better model might be stochastic

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}S \rightarrow S - 1 \ \& \ I \rightarrow I - 1 \text{ in } \Delta t = \beta IS \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \\ \mathbb{P}I \rightarrow I - 1 \ \& \ R \rightarrow R + 1 \text{ in } \Delta t = \gamma I \Delta t + o(\Delta t).\end{aligned}$$

The problem of this model is that contacts are usually not made uniformly in the whole population.

#### 1.1.3 A Network Model

We can use a network model, in which nodes represent individuals and edge weights represent contact rates, to avoid uniform contacts. But this is unrealistic: the network is too big to represent 60 million people in the UK.

#### **1.1.4 A Random Network Model**

Based on the network model, we can make probability distributions on networks and derive probabilistic conclusions over the combination of stochastic dynamics and randomness of networks.

# Chapter 2

## Probability and Random Variables

### 2.1 Probability Theory

Suppose we are doing an experiment which have different random outcomes.

**Definition 2.1.1** (Sample Spaces). The **sample space** of the experiment is the set of all possible outcomes, denoted as  $\Omega$ .

**Definition 2.1.2** (Sigma Algebra). The  **$\sigma$ -algebra** of subsets of  $\Omega$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{F}$ , is a set of subsets of  $\Omega$  which satisfies:

- $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- $\{A_i | i \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathcal{I}$  being countable  $\implies \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark.** We say  $\mathcal{I}$  is countable if there exists a one-to-one map from  $\mathcal{I}$  into  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so “countable” includes “finite”.

**Example 2.1.1.** If  $\Omega$  is countable, we usually take  $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$ , which is the **power set** of  $\Omega$ .

**Example 2.1.2.** When  $\Omega$  is not countable, e.g.  $[0, 1]$ , if you allow **Axiom of Choice**<sup>1</sup>, then there exist unmeasurable subsets, and we exclude them from  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of all Lebesgue-measurable subsets on  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.1.3** (Events). The members of  $\mathcal{F}$  are called **events**.

**Definition 2.1.4** (Probability).  $\mathbb{P}[\cdot] : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is called a probability if

- $\mathbb{P}[A] \in [0, 1], \forall A \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- $\mathbb{P}[\Omega] = 1$ ;
- $\mathbb{P}[\cdot]$  satisfies the **countable additivity**:  $\forall \{A_i | i \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is a countable set, if  $A_i$ 's are disjoint, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} A_i\right] = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{P}[A_i].$$

---

<sup>1</sup>A Cartesian product of a collection of nonempty sets is nonempty.

**Definition 2.1.5** (Independence). Say  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  are **independent** if

$$\mathbb{P}[A \cap B] = \mathbb{P}[A]\mathbb{P}[B].$$

**Definition 2.1.6** (Conditional Probabilities). If  $\mathbb{P}[B] > 0$ , then the **conditional probability**  $\mathbb{P}[A|B]$  is defined by

$$\mathbb{P}[A|B] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A \cap B]}{\mathbb{P}[B]}, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

**Definition 2.1.7** (Partitions).  $\{B_i | i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is called a **partition** of the sample space  $\Omega$  if:

- $B_i$ 's are **pairwise disjoint**:  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset, \forall i, j \in \mathcal{I}, i \neq j$ ;
- $B_i \neq \emptyset, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- $\{B_i | i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  **covers**  $\Omega$ :  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} B_i = \Omega$ .

**Theorem 2.1.1** (The Law of Total Probability). Let  $\{B_i | i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  be a countable partition of  $\Omega$ , with  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathbb{P}[B_i] > 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}[A] = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{P}[A|B_i] \mathbb{P}[B_i].$$

**Theorem 2.1.2** (Bayes' Rule). For any events  $A$  and  $B$ , if  $\mathbb{P}[A] > 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}[B] > 0$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}[B|A] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A|B] \mathbb{P}[B]}{\mathbb{P}[A]}.$$

Furthermore, if  $\{B_i | i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  is a countable partition of  $\Omega$ , with  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathbb{P}[B_i] > 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}[B_i|A] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[A|B_i] \mathbb{P}[B_i]}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{P}[A|B_i] \mathbb{P}[B_i]}.$$

**Example 2.1.3.** Suppose the **true positive rate**  $\mathbb{P}(\text{tests positive} | \text{has COVID})$  is 0.99 and the **false positive rate**  $\mathbb{P}(\text{tests positive} | \text{does not have COVID})$  is 0.01. Suppose in the population, the probability of getting contracted with COVID is 0.001, i.e.  $\mathbb{P}(\text{has COVID}) = 0.001$ , what is the probability that a person has COVID given his/her test is positive?

*Sol.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\text{has COVID} | \text{tests positive}) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(\text{tests positive} | \text{has COVID}) \mathbb{P}(\text{has COVID})}{\mathbb{P}(\text{tests positive})} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(\text{tests positive} | \text{has COVID}) \mathbb{P}(\text{has COVID})}{\mathbb{P}(\text{tests positive} | \text{has COVID}) \mathbb{P}(\text{has COVID}) + \mathbb{P}(\text{tests positive} | \text{does not have COVID}) \mathbb{P}(\text{does not have COVID})} \\ &= \frac{0.99 \times 0.001}{0.99 \times 0.001 + 0.01 \times (1 - 0.001)} \\ &\approx 0.090. \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Random Variables

**Definition 2.2.1** (Measurable Functions). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \Sigma, \mathcal{L})$  be two measurable spaces, where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Lebesgue measure. For any function  $f : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , if it satisfies  $\forall A \in \Sigma, f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $f$  is said to be **measurable**.

**Remark.**  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \Sigma, \mathcal{L})$  can be generalized:

Let  $(X, \Sigma)$  and  $(Y, T)$  be measurable spaces, meaning that  $X$  and  $Y$  are sets equipped with respective  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\Sigma$  and  $T$ . A function  $f : X \mapsto Y$  is said to be **measurable** if for every  $E \in T$  the pre-image of  $E$  under  $f$  is in  $\Sigma$ ; i.e.  $\forall E \in T$ ,

$$f^{-1}(E) := \{x \in X | f(x) \in E\} \in \Sigma.$$

**Definition 2.2.2** (Random Variables). A **random variable** is a measurable function  $X : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.2.3** (Cumulative Distribution Functions). The **cumulative distribution function** of a random variable  $X$  is defined as

$$F(x) = \mathbb{P}[X \leq x]$$

**Definition 2.2.4** (Discrete Random Variables). If  $X(\Omega)$  is countable, then  $X$  is called **discrete**.

**Definition 2.2.5** (Probability Mass Functions). The **probability mass function** of a discrete random variable  $X$  is defined as

$$\pi(x) = \mathbb{P}[X = x], \quad \forall x \in X(\Omega).$$

**Definition 2.2.6** (Continuous Random Variables & Probability Density Functions). For a random variable  $X$ , if its cumulative distribution function satisfies

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(y) dy$$

for some  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $X$  is said to be **continuous**, and  $f$  is its **probability density function**.

**Remark.** It is possible to have mixtures. For example,  $X$  can have a positive probability on a particular point and continuous parts on other points.

**Definition 2.2.7** (Expectation). The **expectation** of a random variable  $X$  is

$$\mathbb{E}[X] := \int_{\Omega} X d\mathbb{P} = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in X(\Omega)} x \pi(x) & X \text{ is discrete} \\ \int_{X(\Omega)} x f(x) dx & X \text{ is continuous} \end{cases}$$

**Remark.** The expectation may be infinite or even undefined.

**Definition 2.2.8** (Variance). The **variance** of a random variable  $X$  is

$$\text{Var}[X] := \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2.$$

**Definition 2.2.9** (Covariance). The **covariance** of two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  is

$$\text{Cov}[X, Y] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])] = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y].$$

**Definition 2.2.10** (Uncorrelated Random Variables). If  $\text{Cov}[X, Y] = 0$ , then  $X$  and  $Y$  are called uncorrelated.

**Proposition 2.2.1.** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are two independent random variables, then they are also uncorrelated. But the opposite is generally not true, except for Gaussians.

We can extend to random variables taking values in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- For **cumulative distribution functions**, use the component-wise  $\leq$  instead.
- For  $\text{Var}[X]$ , use  $\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^T]$  which is a  $n \times n$  matrix.
- For  $\text{Cov}[X, Y]$ , use  $\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])^T]$ .
- $X$  and  $Y$  are independent if events  $\{X \leq x\}$ ,  $\{Y \leq y\}$  are independent,  $\forall x, y$ .
  - For  $X, Y$  being discrete, this is equivalent to  $\pi(x, y) = \pi^X(x)\pi^Y(y)$ .
  - For  $X, Y$  being continuous, this is equivalent to  $f(x, y) = f^X(x)f^Y(y)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.1** (The Weak Law of Large Numbers). Let  $X_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_k] < \infty$ , then

$$\bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \xrightarrow{d} \mu, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\xrightarrow{d}$  means **convergence in distribution**<sup>2</sup>. This means the CDF of  $\bar{X}_n$  converges to the CDF of  $\mu$ .

Equivalently,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ g(\bar{X}_n) \right] \rightarrow g(\mu), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any bounded and continuous function  $g$ . This type of convergence is called the **weak convergence**.

Or  $\bar{X}_n$  converges to  $\mu$  **in probability** ( $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu$ ):

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ |\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon \right] \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

**Theorem 2.2.2** (The Strong Law of Large Numbers). Let  $X_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_k] < \infty$ , then

$$\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu,$$

where the **almost surely convergence** means

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{X}_n = \mu \right] = 1.$$

**Theorem 2.2.3** (Central Limit Theorem). Let  $X_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_k] < \infty$  and  $0 < \sigma^2 := \text{Var}[X_k] < \infty$ , then

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} (\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Also called **convergence in law**.



**Theorem 2.2.4** (Large Deviation Principle). *Let  $X_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  be independent and identically distributed random variables. For any interval  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P} [\bar{X}_n \in J] \approx \exp \left( -n \min_{x \in J} I(x) \right),$$

*meaning*

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P} [\bar{X}_n \in J] \rightarrow - \min_{x \in J} I(x).$$

*If we know the probability distribution of  $X_k$ , an explicit expression for the rate function can be obtained. This is given by a Legendre–Fenchel transformation,*

$$I(x) = \sup_{\theta > 0} \theta x - \lambda(\theta),$$

*where  $\lambda(\theta) = \log \mathbb{E} [e^{\theta X_k}]$  is called the **cumulant generating function (CGF)**.*

**Definition 2.2.11** (Stochastic Processes). A **stochastic process**  $\{X(t) | t \in T\}$  is a collection of random variables. That is, for each  $t \in T$ ,  $X(t)$  is a random variable.

- The index  $t$  is often interpreted as time and, as a result, we refer to  $X(t)$  as the **state** of the process at time  $t$ .
- The set  $T$  is called the **index set** of the process.
  - When  $T$  is a countable set, the process is said to be a **discrete-time** process.
  - If  $T$  is an interval of the real line, the stochastic process is said to be a **continuous-time** process.
- The **state space** of a stochastic process is defined as the set of all possible values that the random variables  $X(t)$  can assume.

# Chapter 3

## Discrete-Time Markov Chain

### 3.1 Discrete-Time Markov Chains

**Definition 3.1.1** (Discrete-Time Stochastic Processes). A **discrete-time stochastic process** with state space  $S$  is a sequence  $\{Y_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of random variables taking values in  $S$ .

**Definition 3.1.2** (Discrete-Time Markov Chains). Let  $\{X_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a discrete-time stochastic process with a discrete state space  $S$ . The process is called a **Markov chain**, if for all  $A \subset S$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_0, \dots, s_n \in S$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} \in A | X_n = s_n, \dots, X_0 = s_0] = \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} \in A | X_n = s_n].$$

**Proposition 3.1.1.** *For any Markov chain  $\{X_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , conditional on the present, the past and the future are independent, i.e.  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_+, \forall s_n \in S, X_{n+1} | X_n = s$  and  $X_{n-1} | X_n = s$  are independent.*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = s_{n+1}, X_{n-1} = s_{n-1} | X_n = s_n] \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[X_{n-1} = s_{n-1}, X_n = s_n, X_{n+1} = s_{n+1}]}{\mathbb{P}[X_n = s_n]} \\ &= \mathbb{P}[X_{n-1} = s_{n-1}] \cdot \mathbb{P}[X_n = s_n | X_{n-1} = s_{n-1}] \cdot \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} | X_n = s_n, X_{n-1} = s_{n-1}] \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[X_n = s_n]} \\ &= \mathbb{P}[X_{n-1} = s_{n-1}] \cdot \mathbb{P}[X_n = s_n | X_{n-1} = s_{n-1}] \cdot \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} | X_n = s_n] \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[X_n = s_n]} \\ &= \mathbb{P}[X_{n-1} = s_{n-1}] \cdot \frac{\mathbb{P}[X_{n-1} = s_{n-1} | X_n = s_n] \cdot \mathbb{P}[X_n = s_n]}{\mathbb{P}[X_{n-1} = s_{n-1}]} \cdot \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} | X_n = s_n] \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[X_n = s_n]} \\ &= \mathbb{P}[X_{n-1} = s_{n-1} | X_n = s_n] \cdot \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = s_{n+1} | X_n = s_n] \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 3.1.1 Homogeneity

**Definition 3.1.3** (Homogeneity). A Markov chain  $\{X_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is **homogeneous** if for all  $A \subset S$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in S$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} \in A | X_n = s] = \mathbb{P}[X_1 \in A | X_0 = s].$$

**Example 3.1.1** (Random Walk with Boundaries). Let  $\{X_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a **simple random walk** on  $S = \{1, \dots, L\}$  with  $p(x, y) = p\delta_{y, x+1} + q\delta_{y, x-1}$ . The boundary conditions are

- **periodic** if  $p(L, 1) = p, p(1, L) = q$ ,
- **absorbing** if  $p(L, L) = 1, p(1, 1) = 1$ ,
- **closed** if  $p(L, L) = p, p(1, 1) = q$ ,
- **reflecting** if  $p(L, L - 1) = 1, p(1, 2) = 1$ .

### 3.1.2 Transition Matrices and Transition Functions

**Definition 3.1.4** (One-Step Transition Matrices). For a homogeneous discrete-time Markov chain  $\{X_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  taking values in  $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_n, \dots\}$ , its **one-step transition matrix**  $P$  is defined as

$$P_{i,j} = \mathbb{P} [X_{n+1} = s_j | X_n = s_i] .$$

**Remark.** The sum of each row of a one-step transition matrix is 1, i.e.

$$P |1\rangle = |1\rangle .$$

**Proposition 3.1.2.** Let  $\pi_0(\cdot)$  be the probability mass function of  $X_0$ , then

$$\mathbb{P} [X_0 = s_0, X_1 = s_1, \dots, X_n = s_n] = \pi_0(s_0) P_{s_0, s_1} \cdots P_{s_{n-1}, s_n} .$$

If we use a row vector  $\langle \pi_0 |$  to represent the probability distribution of  $X_0$ , such that  $\langle \pi_0 |_i = \mathbb{P} [X_0 = s_i]$ , then the probability distribution of  $X_n$  can be represented as

$$\langle \pi_n | = \langle \pi_0 | P^n .$$

**Definition 3.1.5** (Transition Functions). The transition matrix of  $\{X_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  can be written into the **transition function**  $p_n(x, y)$  instead:

$$p_n(x, y) := \mathbb{P} [X_n = y | X_0 = x] .$$

### 3.1.3 Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations

**Theorem 3.1.1** (Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations). For a homogeneous discrete-time Markov chain  $\{X_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , its transition function fulfills the **Chapman-Kolmogorov equations**

$$p_{k+n}(x, y) = \sum_{z \in S} p_k(x, z) p_n(z, y) \quad \text{for all } k, n \geq 0, x, y \in S .$$

**Remark.** In matrix form, the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations read

$$P_{n+k} = P_n P_k \quad \text{and in particular} \quad P_{n+1} = P_n P_1 .$$

**Corollary 3.1.1.** Let  $P_n$  be the  $n$ -step transition matrix of a homogeneous discrete-time Markov chain  $\{X_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then

$$P_n = P^n \quad \& \quad P_0 = I .$$

### 3.1.4 Stationary Distributions

**Definition 3.1.6** (Stationarity). Let  $\{X_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a homogeneous discrete-time Markov chain with state space  $S$ . The distribution  $\pi(x)$ ,  $x \in S$ , is called **stationary** if for all  $y \in S$

$$\sum_{x \in S} \pi(x) p(x, y) = \pi(y),$$

or

$$\langle \pi | P = \langle \pi |.$$

**Remark.** If  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution, then it is a left eigenvector with eigenvalue 1.

**Remark.** To solve the stationary distributions, we can solve

$$\begin{cases} \langle \pi | P &= \langle \pi | \\ \langle \pi | \mathbf{1} \rangle &= 1 \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.1.2.** *Every homogeneous finite discrete-time Markov chain has a stationary distribution.*

*Proof.*  $P | \mathbf{1} \rangle = | \mathbf{1} \rangle \implies P$  has an eigenvalue 1, so  $P$  also has a left eigenvector with eigenvalue 1, i.e.  $\langle \pi | \neq \langle \mathbf{0} |$ . Then normalize it to make  $\langle \pi | \mathbf{1} \rangle = 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** There can be more than one stationary distributions, and a convex combination of two stationary distributions is also a stationary distribution.

## Chapter 4

# Continuous-Time Markov Chain

## Chapter 5

# Continuous State Space Markov Processes

# Chapter 6

## Stochastic Particle Systems

# Chapter 7

## Networks



# Chapter 8

## Random Networks