

Eigen value and Eigen vectors

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ and $\lambda \in F$. We say that λ is an eigen value of the matrix A if $\exists \mathbf{v} (\neq 0) \in F^n$ such that $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$. Such a non-zero $\mathbf{v} \in F^n$ corresponding to that $\lambda \in F$ is called an eigen vector of A corresponding to the eigen value λ .

Examples ① Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n} \in M_{n \times n}(F)$.

Note that 0 is the only eigen value of A and every non-zero vector $\mathbf{v} \in F^n$ is an eigen vector of A corresponding to the eigen value 0.

② Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n \times n}(F)$.

Note that 1 is the only eigen value of A and every non-zero vector $\mathbf{v} \in F^n$ is an eigen vector of A corresponding to 1.

③ Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(R)$.

Let $\lambda \in R$. If $A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $(x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0)$, then we have $\lambda x_1 = x_2$, $\lambda x_2 = -x_1$.

As $(x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0)$, then $x_1 \neq 0$ or $x_2 \neq 0$.

Without loss of generality, suppose $x_1 \neq 0$.

Then $\lambda^2 x_1 = -x_1$, gives $\lambda^2 = -1$, which is not possible.

So the matrix A has no real eigen value.

④ Consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$.

Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we know $\lambda^2 = -1$ has solution.

In particular $\lambda = i, -i$.

So, $i, -i$ are possible complex eigen values of A.

Note that $(1, i)$ is an eigen vector of A corresponding to the eigen value i and $(1, -i)$ is an eigen vector of A corresponding to the eigen value $-i$.

The notion of eigen value and eigen vector can be generalized to the notion of eigen value and eigen vector of linear operator also.

Let V be a vector space over F. Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear operator. A scalar $\lambda \in F$ is called an eigen value of T if $\exists v (\neq 0) \in V$ such that $T(v) = \lambda v$. Such non-zero v is called an eigen vector of T corresponding to the eigen value λ .

Remark Suppose $\dim_F V = n$.

Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear operator and $\lambda \in F$ an eigen value of T. So, $\exists v (\neq 0) \in V$ such that $T(v) = \lambda v$.

Let B be a basis of V .

Then $[T(v)]_B = [\lambda v]_B = \lambda [v]_B$.

Also we know, $[T(v)]_B = [T]_B [v]_B$.

So, $[T]_B [v]_B = \lambda [v]_B$.

So λ is an eigen value of $[T]_B$ as $[v]_B \neq 0$.

Also if $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ and λ is an eigen value of A . Then note that λ is an eigen value for $T: F^n \rightarrow F^n$ defined by $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$.

Examples

① Recall we discussed real and complex eigen values of $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

We now view it through linear operators.

Define, $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$

by $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, -x_1)$ $\forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

This operator has no eigen value.

Whereas if we define $T: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$

by $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, -x_1)$ $\forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$,

then this operator has exactly two eigen values $i, -i$.

② Define $T: \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ by $T(P(x)) = x P(x)$ $\forall P(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$.

If possible, let $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $T(P(x)) = \lambda P(x)$ for some $P(x) \neq 0$. Then $x P(x) = \lambda P(x)$. Clearly $\lambda \neq 0$. Now $\deg(x P(x)) > \deg P(x) = \deg(\lambda P(x))$. So T has no eigen value.

③ Define $T : \mathbb{R}[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$

by $T(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n) = a_1 + 2a_2x + \dots + na_nx^{n-1}$.

Note that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$T(P(x)) = \lambda P(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 + 2a_2x + \dots + na_nx^{n-1} = \lambda a_0 + \lambda a_1x + \dots + \lambda a_nx^n$$

so, $\lambda a_n = 0$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $a_n = 0$.

Then arguing same way

we have, $a_i = 0 \forall 0 \leq i \leq n$.

so, $P(x) = 0$.

Hence $\lambda = 0$.

so, 0 is the only possible eigen value of T .

Note that for any $c (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x]$,

$$T(c) = 0 = 0 \cdot c.$$

so, any non-zero constant polynomial is an eigen vector of T corresponding to the eigen value 0.

Eigen space

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ and $\lambda \in F$.

Denote $E(A, \lambda) := \{v \in F^n : Av = \lambda v\}$.

Note that, $E(A, \lambda) \neq \emptyset$ as $0 \in E(A, \lambda)$.

For $v_1, v_2 \in E(A, \lambda)$ and $c \in F$,

$$\begin{aligned} A(cv_1 + v_2) &= Acv_1 + Av_2 \\ &= cAv_1 + Av_2 \\ &= c\lambda v_1 + \lambda v_2 \\ &= \lambda(cv_1 + v_2) \end{aligned}$$

so, $c v_1 + v_2 \in E(A, \lambda)$.

This proves that $E(A, \lambda)$ is a subspace of F^n .

If λ is an eigen value of A , then we call the subspace $E(A, \lambda)$ the eigen space of λ .

Similarly for a linear operator $T: V \rightarrow V$ and an eigen value λ of T , the subspace

$E(T, \lambda) := \{v \in V : T(v) = \lambda v\}$ of V is called the eigen space of λ .

Note $E(T, \lambda) = \text{Ker}(\lambda I - T)$.

Observe

$\lambda \in F$ is an eigen value of A (or of T)

$\Leftrightarrow E(A, \lambda)$ (or $E(T, \lambda)$) contains a non-zero vector.

How to find the eigen values of a matrix?

For $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ and $c \in F$, we know

λ is an eigen value of A

$\Leftrightarrow E(A, \lambda) \neq \{0\}$

$\Leftrightarrow \{v \in F^n : Av = \lambda v\} \neq \{0\}$.

$\Leftrightarrow \{v \in F^n : (\lambda I - A)v = 0\} \neq \{0\}$

$\Leftrightarrow (\lambda I - A)x = 0$ has a non-trivial solution

\Leftrightarrow The columns of $(\lambda I - A)$ are linearly dependent
i.e. $(\lambda I - A)$ is not invertible.

$\Leftrightarrow \det(\lambda I - A) = 0$.

Consider the polynomial $\det(xI - A) \in F[x]$. This polynomial is called the characteristic polynomial of A . So, $c \in F$ is an eigen value of A if and only if it is a root of the characteristic polynomial of A .

Here
I denotes
the $n \times n$ identity
matrix

Remarks ① Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ be such that all its eigen values are in F . Then $\det A = \text{product of all char. poly} = \det(xI - A)$. the eigen values of A .

Now, the constant term of $\det(xI - A) = (-1)^n \det A$.

$$\det(xI - A) = (x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_n) \quad \text{so, the constant term} \\ = (-1)^n \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$$

② Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{n \times n}(F)$. Then $A^t = (a_{ji})$ have same eigen values as $\det(xI - A) = \det(xI - A)^t$
 $= \det(xI - A^t)$

Recall we considered matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$xI - A = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} x & -1 \\ 1 & x \end{pmatrix}$$

so, $\det(xI - A) = x^2 + 1$.

The polynomial $x^2 + 1$ has no root in \mathbb{R} and has two roots $\pm i$ in \mathbb{C} .

Some more examples

① $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad xI - A = \begin{pmatrix} x-1 & -2 \\ -3 & x-4 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(xI - A) &= (x-1)(x-4) - 6 \\ &= x^2 - x - 4x + 4 - 6 \\ &= x^2 - 5x - 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$x = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{25+8}}{2} = \frac{5 \pm \sqrt{33}}{2}$$

so, $\frac{5 \pm \sqrt{33}}{2}$ are two real eigen values of A .

If we consider this matrix A over \mathbb{Q} , then there is no eigen value of A.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{So, } xI - A = \begin{pmatrix} x-3 & -1 & 1 \\ -2 & x-2 & 1 \\ -2 & -2 & x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(xI - A) &= (x-3)(x^2 - 2x + 2) + (-2x + 2) \\ &\quad + (4 + 2x - 4) \\ &= x^3 - 3x^2 - 2x^2 + 6x + 2x - 6 \\ &\quad - 2x + 2 + 2x \\ &= x^3 - 5x^2 + 8x - 4 \\ &= x^3 - x^2 - 4x^2 + 4x + 4x - 4 \\ &= x^2(x-1) - 4x(x-1) + 4(x-1) \\ &= (x-1)(x-2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

so, 1, 2, 2 are the eigen values of A.

Thm Let $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ be two similar matrices. Then the characteristic polynomials of A and B respectively are the same and hence A, B have same eigen values.

Pf Since A, B are similar, $\exists P$ invertible in $M_{n \times n}(F)$ such that $B = P^{-1}AP$.

$$\begin{aligned} xI - B &= xI - P^{-1}AP \\ &= P^{-1}P xI - P^{-1}AP \\ &= P^{-1}xIP - P^{-1}AP \\ &= P^{-1}(xI - A)P \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{so, } \det(xI - B) &= \det(P^{-1}(xI - A)P) \\
 &= (\det P^{-1})(\det(xI - A))(\det P) \\
 &= \det(xI - A)
 \end{aligned}$$

How to find eigen values of $T: V \rightarrow V$ where $\dim_F V < \infty$?

Let B be a basis of V and $\dim_F V = n$

consider $A = [T]_B$.

If B' is another basis of V , then

$A' := [T]_{B'} = P^{-1}AP$ for some invertible matrix $P \in M_{n \times n}(F)$.

So, A, A' are similar matrices.

So, $\det(xI - A) = \det(xI - A')$.

The characteristic polynomial of the matrix of T with respect to any basis is called the characteristic polynomial of T .

Now, $T(v) = \lambda v$

$$\Leftrightarrow [T(v)]_B = \lambda [v]_B$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [T]_B [v]_B = \lambda [v]_B$$

So the eigen values of T and the eigen values of $[T]_B$ are the same.

Now λ is an eigen value of $[T]_B$
 $\Leftrightarrow \lambda$ is a root of $\det(xI - [T]_B)$.

So, λ is an eigen value of T

if and only if λ is a root of the characteristic polynomial of T .

Algebraic and geometric multiplicity

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ and λ be an eigen value of A .

We know, $E(A, \lambda)$ is a non-zero subspace of F^n

The dimension of $E(A, \lambda)$ over F is called the geometric multiplicity of λ .

Clearly, $1 \leq$ geometric multiplicity of $\lambda \leq n$.

because $E(A, \lambda) \neq \{0\}$

because $E(A, \lambda)$ is a subspace of F^n

By the algebraic multiplicity of λ we mean the multiplicity of λ in $\det(xI - A)$ i.e. the power of the factor $(x - \lambda)$ in $\det(xI - A)$.

Clearly, $1 \leq$ algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda \leq n$

because λ is a root of the polynomial $\det(xI - A)$

because $\det(xI - A)$ is an n -degree polynomial

Example For $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have seen that 2, 2, 1 are the eigen values.

Here, the algebraic multiplicity of 1 = 1, and the algebraic multiplicity of 2 = 2.

$$E(A, 1) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 : Av = v\}$$

$$A \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} 3v_1 + v_2 - v_3 = v_1, \\ 2v_1 + 2v_2 - v_3 = v_2, \\ 2v_1 + 2v_2 = v_3. \end{array}$$

so, $v_2 = 0$ and $v_3 = 2v_1$.

so, $E(A, 1) = \langle (1, 0, 2) \rangle$.

so, the geometric multiplicity of 1 = 1.

$$E(A, 2) = \{v \in F^3 : Av = 2v\}.$$

Solving $\begin{array}{l} 3v_1 + v_2 - v_3 = 2v_1, \\ 2v_1 + 2v_2 - v_3 = 2v_2, \\ 2v_1 + 2v_2 = 2v_3, \end{array}$

we have,

$$v_3 = 2v_2$$

$$\text{and } v_1 = v_3 - v_2 = v_2.$$

so, $E(A, 2) = \langle (1, 1, 2) \rangle$.

so, geometric multiplicity of 2 = 1.

Relation between the geometric and the algebraic multiplicities of an eigen value

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ and λ an eigen value of A .

claim geometric multiplicity of λ

\leq algebraic multiplicity of λ

We know, $T: F^n \rightarrow F^n$ defined by $T(v) = Av$

is linear. Note that, $[T]_{\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}} = A$.

So the characteristic polynomial of T and of A are same.

Consider $E(T, \lambda) \subseteq F^n$.

Suppose, $\dim_F E(T, \lambda) = m$.

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ be a basis of $E(T, \lambda)$ over F .

We extend it to a basis B of F^n over F .

We write down $[T]_B$.

As $T(v_i) = \lambda v_i$ & $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have

$$[T]_B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_{m \times m} & C_{m \times (n-m)} \\ O_{(n-m) \times m} & D_{(n-m) \times (n-m)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & (n-m) \times m \end{pmatrix}$

$$\text{So, } \det(xI - [T]_B) = (x - \lambda)^m \det(xI - D)$$

So, the algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda \geq m$

the geometric multiplicity of λ .

Examples

① $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ A has only eigen value 0 with algebraic multiplicity 3.

$$\begin{aligned} E(A, 0) &= \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 : Av = 0\} \\ &= \mathbb{R}^3 \end{aligned}$$

So geometric multiplicity of 0 is 3.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A \text{ has only eigen value } 0 \text{ with alg. mult. 3.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(A, 0) &= \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 : Av = 0\} \\ &= \{(v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : v_3 = 0\} \\ &= \{(v_1, v_2, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3\} \\ &= \langle (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so, geometric multiplicity of 0 is 2.

$$\textcircled{3} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A \text{ has only eigen value } 0 \text{ with alg. mult. 3.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(A, 0) &= \{ (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : A \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \} \\ &= \{ (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : v_2 = v_3 = 0 \} \\ &= \langle (1, 0, 0) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

so the geometric multiplicity of 0 is 1.

Diagonalizable matrix

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$. We say that A is diagonalizable over F if \exists an invertible matrix $P \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ such that $P^{-1}AP$ is a diagonal matrix.

In other words, we say, A is diagonalizable over F if A is similar to a diagonal matrix.

Examples

$$\textcircled{1} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}).$$

If A is diagonalizable then $\exists P$ invertible in $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ so that $P^{-1}AP$ is a diagonal matrix.

Now the eigen values of $P^{-1}AP$ are the diagonal entries of $P^{-1}AP$. So $P^{-1}AP$ has eigen values in \mathbb{R} .

We know, the eigen values of A are same as the eigen values of $P^{-1}AP$ as they are similar. But we know A has no eigen value in \mathbb{R} . Hence A is not diagonalizable over \mathbb{R} .

Question Is A diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} ?

Hint Find $P \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ such that P is invertible and $P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\textcircled{2} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The eigen values of A are $1, 3$.

Claim A is similar to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$.

To find invertible $P \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $P \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} P$.

Write, $P = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

so,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 3b \\ c & 3d \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+2c & b+2d \\ 3c & 3d \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\therefore 3c = c \text{ and so } c = 0.$$

$$3b = b+2d \text{ and so } b=d.$$

Take $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Note In this example, for both the eigen values, the algebraic and the geometric multiplicities are the same.

$$\textcircled{3} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$$

A has eigen values 1, 1 i.e. 1 is the eigen value with algebraic multiplicity 2.

Claim A is not diagonalizable over \mathbb{R} .

If A is diagonalizable then A is similar to the diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $P = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+c & b+d \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$

So, $c = 0, d = 0$.

So, $P = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is not invertible.

Note Here the geometric multiplicity

of $1 = 1$ as

$$\begin{aligned} E(A, 1) &= \left\{ (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \begin{pmatrix} v_1 + v_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ &= \{(v_1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2\} \text{ has dimension 1.} \end{aligned}$$

So here the geometric multiplicity of 1
 < the algebraic multiplicity of 1.

Next we shall try to understand diagonalizability via linear operators.

Definition Let V be a vector space over F and $T: V \rightarrow V$ a linear operator. We say that T is diagonalizable if \exists a basis of V consisting of eigen vectors of T .

We will focus on linear operators on finite dimensional vector spaces.

Let $\dim_F V < \infty$. Say $\dim_F V = n$ and $T: V \rightarrow V$ is diagonalizable. So \exists a basis $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V such that v_1, \dots, v_n are eigen vectors of T i.e.

$$T(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ for some scalars } \underbrace{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}_{\text{need not be distinct}}.$$

$$\text{Now, } [T]_B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

so $[T]_B$ is a diagonal matrix.

On the other hand, if for any ordered basis B' of V , $[T]_{B'}$ is diagonal then B' consists of eigen vectors of T .

Let $B' = \{v'_1, \dots, v'_n\}$ and

$$[T]_{B'} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \lambda'_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Then } [T(v_i')]_{B'} = [T]_{B'} [v_i']_{B'} \\ = \lambda_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$\text{So, } T(v_i') = \lambda_i v_i' \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

so for linear operators on finite dimensional vector spaces we can use the following definition of diagonalizability.

Definition Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and T a linear operator on V .

We say that, T is diagonalizable if \exists an ordered basis B such that $[T]_B$ is a diagonal matrix. (In other words the matrix of T with respect to any basis of V is similar to a diagonal matrix).

Observe A matrix $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ is diagonalizable $\Leftrightarrow T : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ defined by $T(v) = Av$ is diagonalizable.

Thm Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over F . Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a diagonalizable linear operator. Then for every eigen value λ of T , the algebraic multiplicity of λ is same as the geometric multiplicity of λ .

Pf Let $\dim_F V = n$. Since T is diagonalizable, there exists a basis $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V consisting of eigen vectors.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be all the distinct eigen values of T . Suppose, among v_1, \dots, v_n ,

- d_1 many are eigen vectors corresponding to λ_1 ,
- d_2 many are eigen vectors corresponding to λ_2 ,
- ⋮
- d_k many are eigen vectors corresponding to λ_k .

so after suitable ordering of B , we have an ordered basis B' of V such that

$$[T]_{B'} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \lambda_1 & \\ & & & \lambda_2 & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \lambda_k & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix}$$

d_1 times d_2 times \dots d_k times

The characteristic polynomial of $[T]_{B'}$

$$= (x - \lambda_1)^{d_1} \cdots (x - \lambda_k)^{d_k}$$

so, d_i = algebraic multiplicity of λ_i $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k$.

Note that, for each $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\dim_F E(T, \lambda_i) \geq d_i.$$

\therefore alg. mult. of $\lambda_i \leq$ geo. mult. of λ_i .

In general, geo. mult. of $\lambda_i \leq$ alg. mult. of λ_i

so, alg. mult. of $\lambda_i =$ geo. mult. of $\lambda_i \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$.

Question How about the converse?

In fact, the converse is also true. For that first we observe the following:

Let λ_1, λ_2 be two distinct eigen values of $T: V \rightarrow V$. Let $T(v_1) = \lambda_1 v_1$ and $T(v_2) = \lambda_2 v_2$ where $v_1 \neq 0, v_2 \neq 0$.

Then v_1, v_2 are linearly independent.

Pf If $c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 = 0$, then $c_1 T(v_1) + c_2 T(v_2) = 0$.
So, $c_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 v_2 = 0$.

Now $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$. So at least one of λ_1, λ_2 is non-zero.

Without loss of generality, let $\lambda_1 \neq 0$.

$$\text{Then, } c_1 v_1 = -c_2 \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} v_2.$$

$$\text{So, } -c_2 v_2 = -c_2 \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} v_2 \text{ as } c_1 v_1 = -c_2 v_2.$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 v_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} - 1 \right) = 0$$

$$\text{Now, } \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \neq 1.$$

$$\text{So, } c_2 v_2 = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow c_2 = 0 \text{ as } v_2 \neq 0 \quad (\text{because if } c_2 \neq 0, \text{ then } 0 = c_2^{-1}(c_2 v_2) = v_2)$$

$$\therefore c_1 = 0$$

Hence v_1, v_2 are l.I.

In general, we have the following lemma:

Lemma Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be linear and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are some distinct eigen values of T . For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, let B_i be a l.I subset of $E(T, \lambda_i)$.

Then the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^k B_i$ is a l.I subset of V .

Pf Let $c_1v_1 + \dots + c_mv_m = 0$ where $c_j \in F$ and $v_j \in \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k B_i \forall 1 \leq j \leq m$.
To show $c_j = 0 \forall 1 \leq j \leq m$.

We write,

This is by $\{c_1v_1 + \dots + c_mv_m\}$ as $\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_k$
 clubbing, where $\omega_i \in E(T, \lambda_i) \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$.
 the $c_j v_j$'s together

which belong to the same eigen space.

$$\text{So, } \omega_1 + \dots + \omega_k = 0.$$

We show, $\omega_i = 0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$.

Consider, $f_i(x) = \prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}} \frac{(x - \lambda_j)}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}$ $\in F[x]$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$

Note that, $f_i(\lambda_l) = \delta_{il}$, where $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq l \leq k$.

Now, $f_i(T): V \rightarrow V$ is linear $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k$.

$$\text{So, } f_i(T)(\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_k) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f_i(T)(\omega_1) + \dots + f_i(T)(\omega_k) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f_i(\lambda_1)\omega_1 + \dots + f_i(\lambda_k)\omega_k = 0$$

$$\text{So, } \sum_{l=1}^k f_i(\lambda_l) w_l = 0.$$

$$\text{So, } \sum_{l=1}^k \delta_{il} w_l = 0. \quad \text{So, } w_i = 0.$$

Now, $w_i = 0 \Rightarrow$ corresponding c_j 's are 0
as w_i is a linear combination
of elements of B_i and B_i is l.I.

Another proof of the lemma (using PMI)

Statement to be proved using PMI

If sum of the vectors each coming from
distinct eigen spaces is 0, then each vector is 0,
i.e. if $w_1 + \dots + w_t = 0$, where w_1, \dots, w_t each
of them belongs to distinct eigen space. Then $w_i = 0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq t$.

Base If $t = 1$, then the statement is clearly
true.

Induction hypothesis Assume the statement to
be true for $t-1$.

Inductive step Let $w_1 + \dots + w_t = 0$ where
each w_i belongs to distinct
eigen space.

Without loss of generality, let $T(w_i) = \lambda_i w_i$
 $\forall 1 \leq i \leq t$.

so, $T(w_1) + \dots + T(w_t) = 0$ gives $\sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i w_i = 0$.

Write $\lambda_1 w_1 = -(\lambda_2 w_2 + \dots + \lambda_t w_t)$.

$$\Rightarrow -\lambda_1 (w_2 + \dots + w_t) = -(\lambda_2 w_2 + \dots + \lambda_t w_t)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) w_2 + \dots + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_t) w_t = 0.$$

Note that each $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)w_i$ $\forall 2 \leq i \leq t$
 belongs to distinct eigen space. This is a
 sum of $(t-1)$ many eigen vectors from
 $(t-1)$ many distinct eigen spaces. Hence by
 induction hypothesis $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)w_i = 0 \forall 2 \leq i \leq t$.
 Now, $\lambda_1 - \lambda_i \neq 0$, so $w_i = 0 \forall 2 \leq i \leq t$. So $w_1 = 0$.

Thm Let V be a finite dimensional vector space
 over F and $T: V \rightarrow V$ is linear such that
 all the eigen values of T are in F .
 Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be all the distinct eigen
 values of T such that for each $1 \leq i \leq k$,

the alg. mult. of λ_i^o = the geo. mult. of λ_i^o

(Equivalently, $\dim V = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim E(T, \lambda_i^o)$)

Then T is diagonalizable.

$$\left\{ \dim V = \sum_{i=1}^k \dim E(T, \lambda_i^o) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (\text{alg. mult. of } \lambda_i^o) \leq \dim V \right.$$

$$\left. \text{So, } \sum_{i=1}^k \dim E(T, \lambda_i^o) = \sum_{i=1}^k (\text{alg. mult. of } \lambda_i^o) \right.$$

Also, $\dim E(T, \lambda_i^o) \leq \text{alg. mult. of } \lambda_i^o \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$.

so, $\dim E(T, \lambda_i^o) = \text{alg. mult. of } \lambda_i^o \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$.

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } \dim E(T, \lambda_i) = \text{alg. mult. of } \lambda_i^o \forall 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ \text{then clearly } \sum_{i=1}^k \dim E(T, \lambda_i^o) = \sum_{i=1}^k (\text{alg. mult. of } \lambda_i^o) \\ = \dim V. \end{array} \right.$

Proof of the thm

To show T is diagonalizable i.e. \exists a basis of V containing eigen vectors.

Let B_i^o be a basis of $E(T, \lambda_i^o)$ $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k$.

We know, $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^k B_i^o$ is a l.I subset of V . (by the lemma)

Given that $\sum_{i=1}^k |B_i^o| = \sum_{i=1}^k (\text{alg mult. of } \lambda_i^o)$
 $= \dim V$

Then consider, $B = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k B_i^o \subseteq V$.

so B is a basis of V containing eigen vectors of T .

corollary Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ be such that it has n distinct eigen values. Then A is diagonalizable.

A has n distinct eigen values
 \Rightarrow alg. mult. of each eigen value is 1
 \Rightarrow geo. mult. of each eigen value is 1
 $\Rightarrow T$ is diagonalizable as for each eigen value $\text{alg mult.} = \text{geo. mult.}$

Applications ① $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ is diagonalizable.

Using the { ② If $A \in M_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{R})$ has at least one fundamental non-real eigen value, then A is thm of algebra } diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} .

Fundamental thm of algebra (FTA)

Any non-constant polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ has all its roots in \mathbb{C} .

A corollary to FTA

Every $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{C} has all its eigen values in \mathbb{C} .

Example consider,

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

characteristic polynomials of A_1, A_2, A_3 are same. In fact,

$$\text{char. poly } A_1 = \text{char. poly } A_2 = \text{char. poly } A_3 = x(x-1)^2.$$

alg. mult. of 0 = 1.

so, geo. mult. of 0 = 1.

alg. mult. of 1 = 2.

so we shall check geo. mult. of 1 for each A_i .

$$E(A_1, 1) = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_3 = x_1, \\ x_2 = x_2, \\ 0 = x_3. \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ (x_1, x_2, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^3 \right\}$$

$\dim E(A_1, 1) = 2$. So A_1 is diagonalizable.

$$E(A_2, 1) = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in F^3 : \begin{array}{l} x_1 = x_1, \\ x_2 + x_3 = x_2, \\ 0 = x_3 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \{(x_1, x_2, 0) \in F^3\}$$

$\dim E(A_2, 1) = 2$. So A_2 is diagonalizable.

$$E(A_3, 1) = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in F^3 : \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_2 = x_1, \\ x_2 = x_2, \\ 0 = x_3 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \{(x_1, 0, 0) \in F^3\}$$

$\dim E(A_3, 1) = 1 <$ alg. mult. of 1 for A_3

so, A_3 is not diagonalizable.

Recall

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ be a diagonalizable matrix. Then we know A is similar to a diagonal matrix.

i.e. \exists invertible $P \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ such that

$P^{-1}AP$ is diagonal.

How to find such a P ?

As A is diagonalizable, \exists a basis of F^n over F consisting of eigen vectors. To get such a basis, from each distinct eigen space we take a basis and then take their union.

Now there are n l.I vectors of \mathbb{F}^n in that basis. Writing each of those vectors as column vectors we name them c_1, \dots, c_n . Let c_i correspond to eigen value λ_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

$\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ need not be distinct

Consider,

$$P = (c_1 \ c_2 \ \dots \ c_n) \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}).$$

$$\text{Now, } AP = (Ac_1 \ Ac_2 \ \dots \ Ac_n)$$

$$= (\lambda_1 c_1 \ \lambda_2 c_2 \ \dots \ \lambda_n c_n)$$

$$= (c_1 \ c_2 \ \dots \ c_n)$$

$$= P D$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = D$$

Since columns of P are l.I,

P is invertible.

Recall we have discussed the following matrix is diagonalizable.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{char. poly } A = x(x-1)^2$$

$$E(A, 0) = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_3 = 0, \\ x_2 = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ (x, 0, -x) \in \mathbb{F}^3 \right\} = \langle (1, 0, -1) \rangle$$

$$E(A, 1) = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in F^3 : \begin{array}{l} x_1 + x_3 = x_1, \\ x_2 = x_2, \\ 0 = x_3. \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \{(x_1, x_2, 0) \in F^3\}$$

$$= \langle (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0) \rangle$$

Consider, $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly P is invertible as the columns are l.I.

$$AP = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}$$

$$= PD$$

Cayley-Hamilton theorem

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ and $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + x^n \in F[x]$ be its characteristic polynomial. Then $f(A) = a_0I + a_1A + \dots + A^n = 0_{n \times n}$.

Caution $f(x) = \det(xI - A) \in F[x]$.

Do not put A in place of x to get a proof of Cayley-Hamilton theorem. Because we are taking determinant of the matrix $xI - A$ where $x \in F$. Then by taking determinant we get a polynomial in x which annihilates A due to Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

Application of Cayley-Hamilton theorem

For $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ invertible, we can find out the inverse of A from powers of A .

We know, A is invertible

$\Leftrightarrow Ax = 0$ has only trivial solution.

$\Leftrightarrow 0$ is not an eigen value of A .

so, $f(x) = \det(xI - A)$ has non-zero constant term.

By Cayley-Hamilton theorem we get,

$$a_0 I = -a_1 A - a_2 A^2 - \dots - A^n$$

Multiplying both sides by $a_0^{-1} A^{-1}$ we get,

$$A^{-1} = -\frac{a_1}{a_0} I - \frac{a_2}{a_0} A - \dots - \frac{1}{a_0} A^{n-1}$$

Examples

$$\textcircled{1} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Char. poly. of } A &= (x-1)(x-3) \\ &= x^2 - 4x + 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } A^2 - 4A + 3I = 0.$$

$$\text{So, } A^{-1} = \frac{1}{3}(4I - A).$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -5 \\ 3 & -1 & 5 \\ -5 & 5 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Char. poly. of } A = x^3 + 5x^2 - 60x + 100.$$

$$\text{So, } A^3 + 5A^2 - 60A + 100I = 0.$$

$$\text{so, } A^{-1} = \frac{1}{100} (60I - 5A - A^2).$$

Minimal polynomial of $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$

The least degree monic polynomial annihilating A is called the minimal polynomial of A .

(Existence and uniqueness of such a poly. requires a proof that we shall skip for this course)

Remark Note that the characteristic polynomial of A annihilates A . Also the characteristic polynomial of A is monic. So the degree of the minimal poly. \leq the degree of the characteristic polynomial.

Important fact
Statement only for this course { The characteristic and the minimal polynomial of A have same roots and min. poly. of A divides char. poly. of A .

Example

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{char. poly. of } A_1 = x(x-1)^2.$$

$$\text{Min. poly. of } A_1 = x(x-1) \text{ as } A_1(A_1 - I) = 0$$

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

char. poly. of $A_2 = x(x-1)^2$.

Min poly of $A_2 = x(x-1)$ as
 $A_2(A_2 - I) = 0$.

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

char. poly. of $A_3 = x(x-1)^2$.
So the possibilities of
min. poly. of A_3 are
 $x(x-1)$, $x(x-1)^2$.

Now, $A_3(A_3 - I) \neq 0$. So. min. poly. of A_3
= $x(x-1)^2$
= char. poly. of A_3 .

Definition Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. We say that,

A is symmetric if $A = A^t$. A is
skew-symmetric if $A = -A^t$. A is
orthogonal if $AA^t = A^tA = I_{n \times n}$.

i.e. A is invertible
and $A^t = A^{-1}$.

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$. We say that,

A is Hermitian if $A = A^*$, where A^* denotes
 \bar{A}^t

A is skew-Hermitian if $A = -A^*$

A is unitary if $AA^* = I = A^*A$.

A is normal if $AA^* = A^*A$.

Observe ① If $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ is unitary, then
 $\det(AA^*) = 1$ i.e. $(\det A)(\overline{\det A}) = 1$.

$$\text{so, } |\det A|^2 = 1. \text{ So, } |\det A| = 1.$$

If $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\det A = 1$ or -1 here.

② If $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ is skew-Hermitian, then

$a_{ii} = -\bar{a}_{ii}$ & $1 \leq i \leq n$. So a_{ii} is purely imaginary & $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, then in this case $a_{ii} = 0$ & $1 \leq i \leq n$.

③ If $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ is Hermitian, then
 $a_{ii} = \bar{a}_{ii}$ & $1 \leq i \leq n$. So $a_{ii} \in \mathbb{R}$ & $1 \leq i \leq n$.

④ For $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, we can write
 $A = \frac{A + A^*}{2} + \frac{A - A^*}{2}$. Note that, $\frac{A + A^*}{2}$ is Hermitian and $\frac{A - A^*}{2}$ is skew-Hermitian.

If $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, then in this case $\frac{A + A^*}{2}$ is symmetric and $\frac{A - A^*}{2}$ is skew-symmetric.

Examples

$$\begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 5 & -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{symmetric}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 9 & -12 \\ -9 & 0 & 20 \\ 12 & -20 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{skew-symmetric}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Orthogonal}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & i \\ 1 & -i & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Hermitian}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 5i & -i \\ 1 & i & 3i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Skew-Hermitian}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{unitary}$$

Theorem Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$.

- ① If A is Hermitian, then all the eigen values of A are in \mathbb{R} .
- ② If A is skew-Hermitian, then any eigen value of A is either 0 or purely imaginary.
- ③ If A is unitary, then the absolute value of each eigen value is 1 .

Proof Let λ be an eigen value of A .
 So $\exists \mathbf{v} (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$.
 So, $\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^* \lambda \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}$.
 So, $\lambda = \frac{\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{v}}$. Note that, $\mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}$.

① If $A^* = A$ i.e. $\bar{A}^t = A$ i.e. $A^t = \bar{A}$.

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v}^t \bar{A} \bar{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v}^t A^t \bar{\mathbf{v}} = (\bar{\mathbf{v}}^t A \mathbf{v})^t = (\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v})^t = \mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v}$$

So, $\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}$.

So, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

② If $A^* = -A$ i.e. $A^t = -\bar{A}$.

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v}} = -\mathbf{v}^t A^t \bar{\mathbf{v}} = -(\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v})^t = -\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v}.$$

So, $\mathbf{v}^* A \mathbf{v}$ is either 0 or purely imaginary.

So, λ is either 0 or purely imaginary.

③ If A is unitary i.e. $A^* A = I = A A^*$.

$$A\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{v} \neq 0 \quad \text{so, } \underbrace{\mathbf{v}^* A^* A \mathbf{v}}_{\substack{= \\ I}} = \mathbf{v}^* \lambda^* \lambda \mathbf{v} \\ \Rightarrow (A\mathbf{v})^* = (\lambda \mathbf{v})^*$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{v}^* A^* = \mathbf{v}^* \lambda^* \quad \text{so, } \mathbf{v}^* I \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^* \lambda^* \lambda \mathbf{v}.$$

$$\text{so, } \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{v} = \lambda^* \lambda \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{v}.$$

$$\text{so, } |\lambda|^2 = 1. \quad \text{so, } |\lambda| = 1.$$

Corollary Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. Due to FTA,

we have all the eigen values of A are in \mathbb{C} . If A is symmetric then all the eigen values of A are real. If A is skew-symmetric and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigen value of A then $\lambda = 0$. If A is orthogonal and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigen value of A , then $\lambda = 1$ or -1 .

Orthogonal transformation

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ be an orthogonal matrix.

The linear map $T: \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ defined by

$\forall v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ $T(v) = Av$ is called an orthogonal transformation.
written as a column

Example $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by

$$T \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{So, } T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_3, -x_2, -x_1)$$

Note that, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is an orthogonal matrix i.e. $A A^t = I = A^t A$.

We have, $T(1, 0, 0) = (0, 0, -1)$,
 $T(0, 1, 0) = (0, -1, 0)$,
 $T(0, 0, 1) = (1, 0, 0)$.

Note that, if we consider the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^3 , then in this case,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0) \rangle &= \langle T(1, 0, 0), T(0, 1, 0) \rangle, \\ \langle (1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1) \rangle &= \langle T(1, 0, 0), T(0, 0, 1) \rangle, \\ \langle (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1) \rangle &= \langle T(0, 1, 0), T(0, 0, 1) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Thm Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ be an orthogonal matrix. Then for $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have,

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \langle Av, Aw \rangle \text{ where } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \text{ denote the standard inner product on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Pf Note that,

$$\langle v, w \rangle = w^t v.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{So, } \langle Av, Aw \rangle &= (Aw)^t (Av) \\ &= w^t A^t A v \\ &= w^t I v \\ &= w^t v \\ &= \langle v, w \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Corollary Orthogonal transformation preserves the norm with respect to the standard inner product. To see this,
 $\|T(v)\|^2 = \langle T(v), T(v) \rangle = \langle Av, Av \rangle = \|v\|^2$.

Thm Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. Then A is orthogonal if and only if rows and columns of A consist of orthonormal vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

Pf We write $A = (c_1 \dots c_n)$.

$$\text{so, } A^t = \begin{pmatrix} c_1^t \\ \vdots \\ c_n^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$A^t A = \begin{pmatrix} c_1^t \\ \vdots \\ c_n^t \end{pmatrix} (c_1 \dots c_n)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_1^t c_1 & \dots & c_1^t c_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_n^t c_1 & \dots & c_n^t c_n \end{pmatrix}$$

If $A^t A = I$, then

$$\underbrace{c_i^t c_j}_{\parallel} = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i=j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \langle c_j, c_i \rangle$$

So the columns of A are orthonormal vectors.

Writing $A = (r_1 \dots r_n)$ and using $AA^t = I$, we get the rows of A are orthonormal vectors.

Now if for any $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, if columns of A are orthonormal then we get $A^t A = I$ and if rows of A are orthonormal then $A^t A = I$ and hence A is orthogonal.

Example

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is orthogonal matrix.}$$

Unitary transformation

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a unitary matrix. Then the linear map $T: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ defined by $T(v) = Av$ is called a unitary transformation.
 v is written as a column vector.

Thm Unitary transformation preserve the standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof is analogous to the orthogonal transformation.

Corollary Unitary transformation preserves the norm with respect to the standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^n .

Thm Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$. Then A is unitary if and only if the rows and columns of A are orthonormal vectors in \mathbb{C}^n .

Proof is analogous to the orthogonal transformation.

Important facts (statements only)

- ① Every symmetric matrix in $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is diagonalizable over \mathbb{R} .
 \exists a basis of \mathbb{R}^n consisting of orthonormal eigen vectors of A .
 $\brace{}$ with respect to standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n

so one can find an orthogonal matrix $P \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$P^t A P = \boxed{P^{-1} A P}$$

is diagonal.

Remark This is special as Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization does not guarantee that from eigen vectors we get eigen vectors.

- ② Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$. If A is Hermitian or skew-Hermitian or unitary, then A is diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} .

Also \exists a basis of \mathbb{C}^n consisting of orthonormal eigen vectors of A and

hence a unitary matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP$ is diagonal.

Remark Skew-symmetric matrices are diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} but may not be over \mathbb{R} .

Orthogonal matrices are diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} but may not be over \mathbb{R} .

For example,

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is skew-symmetric, orthogonal

but not diagonalizable over \mathbb{R} .

Quadratic form over \mathbb{R}

A real quadratic form in the variables x_1, \dots, x_n is a \mathbb{R} -linear combination of degree 2 monomials in x_1, \dots, x_n .

Examples

$$\textcircled{1} \quad Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$$

Observe,

$$Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad Q(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

Observe,

$$Q(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 \ x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(x_1 \ x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad Q(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$$

Observe,

$$Q(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 \ x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Every quadratic form $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ can be written as $(x_1 \dots x_n) A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ where $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is symmetric.

How to find this matrix $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$

Symmetric?

Suppose $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a real quadratic form. Then consider,

$$A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \text{ where}$$

a_{ii} = co-efficient of x_i^2 $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ and

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} = \frac{1}{2} (\text{co-efficient of } x_i x_j) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n.$$

Then $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ where
 A is symmetric.

Now as $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is symmetric, A is diagonalizable over \mathbb{R} . In fact \exists an orthogonal matrix P such that

$$D = P^{-1} A P \quad \text{is a Diagonal matrix.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } A &= P D P^{-1} \\ &= P D P^t \\ &= (P^t)^t D (P^t) \quad \text{Denote } P^t = R \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) R^t D R \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}^t R^t D R \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (Rx)^t D (Rx), \text{ denoting } \\ &\quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= y^t D y, \text{ denoting } y = Rx. \end{aligned}$$

If $D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ and $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lambda_1 y_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n^2.$$

This is called the canonical form/principal axes form of Q .

Note that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are eigen values of A .

Examples ① Let $Q(x_1, x_2) = 7x_1^2 + 6x_1x_2 + 7x_2^2$.
we want to find out the canonical form of this quadratic form Q and the required change of variables.

Firstly we write,

$$Q(x_1, x_2) = 7x_1^2 + 6x_1x_2 + 7x_2^2$$

$$= (x_1 \ x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 3 \\ 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Denote $A = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 3 \\ 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{char. poly. of } A &= \det(\lambda I - A) \\ &= (\lambda - 7)^2 - 9 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 14\lambda + 40 \\ &= (\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 10) \end{aligned}$$

So, A is similar to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$E(A, 4) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \begin{array}{l} 7a + 3b = 4a, \\ 3a + 7b = 4b. \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ -a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}$$

$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ is an orthonormal basis of $E(A, 4)$.

$$E(A, 10) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \begin{array}{l} 7a + 3b = 10a, \\ 3a + 7b = 10b \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}$$

$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ is an orthonormal basis of $E(A, 10)$.

Now we note that,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is orthogonal.}$$

Also, $P^t A P = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$

So,
change
of
variable

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = P^t \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{x_1 + x_2}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$Q(x_1, x_2) = 4y_1^2 + 10y_2^2$ is the canonical form.

② $Q(x_1, x_2) = 3x_1^2 + 8x_1x_2 - 3x_2^2$.

$$= (x_1 \ x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{\text{A}}$

$$\det(xI - A) = (x^2 - 9) - 16 \\ = (x - 5)(x + 5).$$

So, A is similar to $D = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$.

$$E(A, 5) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 3a + 4b = 5a, \right. \\ \left. 4a - 3b = 5b \right\} \\ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2b \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}.$$

$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $E(A, 5)$

$$E(A, -5) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 3a + 4b = -5a, 4a - 3b = -5b \right\}.$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ -2a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}$$

$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $E(A, -5)$

Take $P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}$

Note that P is orthogonal.

Also, $P^t A P = D$.

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = P^t \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2x_1 + x_2}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{x_1 - 2x_2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Change
of
variable

$Q(x_1, x_2) = 5y_1^2 - 5y_2^2$ is the canonical form.

Definition A real quadratic form $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is called

① positive definite if

$$Q(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}^T A \underline{x} > 0 \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0.$$

② positive semidefinite if

$$Q(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}^T A \underline{x} \geq 0 \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0.$$

③ negative definite if

$$Q(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}^T A \underline{x} < 0 \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0.$$

④ negative semidefinite if

$$Q(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}^T A \underline{x} \leq 0 \quad \forall \underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0.$$

Important fact Let $Q(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}^T A \underline{x}$ be a

real quadratic form, where A is symmetric.

Then,

$Q(\underline{x})$ is positive definite \Leftrightarrow all the eigen values of A are positive.

$Q(\underline{x})$ is positive semidefinite \Leftrightarrow all the eigen values of A are non-negative.

$Q(\underline{x})$ is negative definite \Leftrightarrow all the eigen values of A are negative.

$Q(\underline{x})$ is negative semidefinite \Leftrightarrow all the eigen values of A are non-positive.

Example $Q(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 2x_1 x_2 + x_2^2$

If we write $Q(x_1, x_2)$

$$= (x_1 \ x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$ not symmetric

then note that although all the eigen values of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ are positive but

$Q(x_1, x_2)$ is not positive definite as $Q(1, -1) = 0$.

But if we write,

$$Q(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 \ x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$ symmetric

then we can note that as eigen values of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ are 0, 2 we have

$Q(x_1, x_2)$ is positive semidefinite.