PERIYAR MANIAMMAI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY
(Deemed to be University)
Established Under Sec. 3 of UGC Act, 1956 • NAAC Accredited
think • innovate • transform

Case study Report

Beam Robotics

XCSHA3

Introduction to machine Learning

Mohamed Sameen.U

123012025014

B.tech(ECE)AI&ML Hons

III year – V sem

Abstract:

BEAM robotics—an acronym for Biology, Electronics, Aesthetics, and Mechanics—represents a minimalist and sustainable approach to robot design. Unlike conventional robots that depend on microcontrollers, programming, and high-power electronics, BEAM robots rely on simple analog circuits, solar power, and direct sensor-to-actuator connections to achieve autonomous behavior. This case study explores the history, principles, and practical applications of BEAM robotics, focusing on two models: straight-line robots and obstacle-avoiding robots. The straight-line robot demonstrates basic energy storage and release mechanisms for forward motion, while the obstacle-avoiding robot introduces adaptive behavior through feedback control. Together, they highlight the educational value and efficiency of BEAM robotics as a tool for learning core robotics concepts. Despite limitations such as reduced intelligence and reliance on obsolete components, BEAM robots offer significant advantages in terms of low cost, energy efficiency, and simplicity. This study emphasizes their relevance in education, sustainability, and as a foundation for understanding biomimetic robotics.

Introduction:

BEAM robotics is a branch of robotics that focuses on creating simple, energy-efficient, a **Aesthetics, and Mechanics**. Unlike traditional robots that rely on microcontrollers and programming, BEAM robots operate using **analog circuits, recycled components, and direct connections between sensors and actuators**.

The philosophy behind BEAM robotics is **"form follows function"**—robots are designed to perform specific tasks with the least amount of complexity. By mimicking biological systems and using minimal components, BEAM robots demonstrate how even simple designs can generate lifelike and adaptive behaviors.

Typical BEAM robots include:

- **Photovores** – robots that move toward light sources.
- **Symets** – solar-powered robots that move in simple patterns.
- **Walkers** – robots with mechanical legs powered by basic circuits

History of BEAM Robotics

- 1980s–1990s: Origin by Mark W. Tilden at the University of Waterloo and Los Alamos National Laboratory.
- 1992: Popularization by A.K. Dewdney in *Scientific American*.
- 1990s–2000s: Spread through hobbyist communities and companies like Solarbotics.

- Link to Rodney Brooks' subsumption architecture and behavior-based robotics.

Literature Review:

BEAM robotics was pioneered by Mark W. Tilden in the late 1980s–1990s and popularized through A.K. Dewdney's *Scientific American* articles and Solarbotics kits. The book *Junkbots, Bugbots & Bots on Wheels* (Hrynkiw & Tilden, 2002) remains the most practical reference for hobbyists and educators.

BEAM aligns with Rodney Brooks' subsumption architecture, emphasizing reactive, behavior-based control instead of centralized programming. Its core circuits include Nv neurons (timing and pulsing units), solar engines (energy storage and release circuits), and simple sensor–actuator couplings, all of which create lifelike motion using minimal power.

Common BEAM robot families include photovores (light-seekers), symets (solar crawlers), and walkers (mechanical gaits). Literature highlights BEAM's strengths in simplicity, ultra-low power, and use of recycled parts, making it ideal for education. However, gaps remain: lack of benchmarking against programmable robots, scarcity of obsolete components, and fragmented documentation.

Overall, BEAM robotics demonstrates that simple analog circuits can produce efficient autonomous behavior, offering strong educational and sustainability value while inspiring hybrid approaches that combine BEAM with microcontrollers.

Principles of BEAM Robotics

BEAM robotics works on the idea that robots don't always need complex processors or heavy coding to behave intelligently. Instead, they use a set of simple but powerful principles:

Direct Connection of Sensors and Motors – In BEAM robots, sensors talk directly to motors without any complicated programming. This makes the robot react instantly, almost like a reflex.

1. **Nv Neurons** – These are small circuits that act like artificial nerves. They create pulses and rhythms that control movement, giving the robot lifelike behavior.
2. **Energy Comes First** – BEAM robots usually run on solar power. They collect energy in capacitors and release it in short bursts, which makes them extremely power-efficient.

3. **Form Follows Function** – Every BEAM robot is designed with its task in mind. The way it looks and the way it's built directly support the job it needs to do.
4. **Keep It Simple and Sustainable** – BEAM robots are built with minimal parts, often recycled from old gadgets, making them cheap, eco-friendly, and easy for beginners to create.

Case Study of BEAM Robotics

BEAM robotics is best understood through simple examples that show how its principles work in practice. Two of the most common BEAM robots are the **straight-line robot** and the **obstacle-avoiding robot**.

1. Straight-Line BEAM Robot

This robot is designed to move forward in a straight path. Its circuit uses a solar cell, a capacitor, and a transistor. The solar cell charges the capacitor, and when the stored energy reaches a certain level, the transistor releases the energy to the motor. This makes the robot move in short bursts. Over time, these bursts add up to steady forward motion.

- **Advantages:** Simple, low-cost, energy-efficient, great for beginners.
- **Limitations:** Cannot sense or avoid obstacles.
- **Applications:** Educational projects, demonstrations of solar-powered motion.

2. Obstacle-Avoiding BEAM Robot

This design builds on the straight-line robot but adds feedback sensors such as whiskers or bump switches. When the robot encounters an obstacle, the sensor changes the motor's response, either stopping briefly or turning the robot away.

- **Advantages:** More interactive, can adapt to its surroundings, shows feedback control.
- **Limitations:** Limited intelligence, works only in simple environments.
- **Applications:** Demonstrations of autonomous navigation, hands-on learning in robotics.

Problem Statement

Modern robotics is often dependent on **complex programming, microcontrollers, and high-power electronics**. While this approach enables advanced functions, it also makes robots **expensive, power-hungry, and difficult for beginners** to build or maintain.

BEAM robotics provides an attractive alternative. It focuses on **simplicity, low-power operation, and accessibility**, using analog circuits and recycled parts to create autonomous behavior without programming.

However, there are still some challenges that limit its growth:

- **Lack of Benchmarking:** There are no standardized comparisons between BEAM robots and digital, microcontroller-based robots in terms of energy efficiency, durability, or performance.
- **Obsolete Components:** Some classic BEAM circuits rely on outdated components like the **1381 voltage supervisor**, which are now difficult to find.
- **Scattered Documentation:** Knowledge about BEAM robotics is spread across forums, hobbyist notes, and old publications, making it hard for newcomers to learn systematically.

Solutions

To overcome the challenges in BEAM robotics, the following solutions can be applied:

- **Benchmarking Framework:** Develop a simple, standardized method to compare BEAM robots with microcontroller-based robots. This would measure factors like energy efficiency, runtime, and robustness, helping highlight the strengths and limitations of BEAM designs.
- **Modern Component Substitutes:** Replace outdated parts (such as the 1381 voltage supervisor) with modern equivalents or adapter circuits. This ensures that BEAM projects remain buildable with easily available components.
- **Open-Source Resources:** Create well-structured online handbooks containing annotated circuit diagrams, step-by-step building guides, and simulation models. This would make BEAM robotics easier to learn, reproduce, and innovate on.

---

8. Conclusion

BEAM robotics proves that even with **minimal components and no programming**, robots can perform lifelike and adaptive behaviors. The **straight-line robot** shows the basics of solar energy storage and release, while the **obstacle-avoiding robot** demonstrates feedback-based adaptation.

Although BEAM robots cannot match the complexity of modern programmable systems, they excel in **simplicity, low cost, energy efficiency, and sustainability**. They are valuable tools for **education, hobbyist learning, and promoting eco-friendly design**.

In essence, BEAM robotics highlights an important lesson: **innovation does not always require complexity—sometimes, simplicity is the most powerful approach.**