

# Modern Algebra

## Assignment 0

Yousef A. Abood

ID: 900248250

September 2025

---

## 0 Parliments

### Problem 2

d) The divisors of 21 are: 21, 7, 3, 1. The divisors of 50 are: 50, 25, 10, 5, 2, 1. So the  $\gcd(21, 50) = 1$ .

The  $\text{lcm}(21, 50) = 1050$ .

### Problem 4

*Proof.* We pick  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For the sake of contradiction, assume  $s, t$  are unique. That means we can only find one value for  $s$  and one value for  $t$  such that they satisfy the equation  $1 = 7s + 11t$ . We choose  $s = -3, t = 2$ , so  $7 \times -3 + 11 \times 2 = -21 + 22 = 1$ , which satisfies the equation. Choose  $s = 8, t = -5$ , we see that  $7 \times 8 + 11 \times -5 = 56 + (-55) = 1$ , which satisfies the equation. We see we found two values for  $s, t$  each that satisfies the equation. Therefore,  $s$  and  $t$  are not unique. ■

### Problem 7

*Proof.* We pick  $a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For the forward direction, we assume that  $a \bmod n = b \bmod n$ . Since we can write  $a = q_1n + r_1$  and  $b = q_2n + r_2$  by the division algorithm, and  $r_1 = r_2$  by our assumption. Then  $a - b = q_1n - q_2n = n(q_1 - q_2)$  and  $n \mid a - b$ . For the backward

direction, we assume that  $n \mid a - b$ , so there is a  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $nk = a - b$ . Then we use the division algorithm to divide  $a, b$  by  $n$ . So we get  $a = q_1n + r_1, b = q_2n + r_2$ , where  $0 \leq r_1 < n, 0 \leq r_2 < n$ . To show that  $a \bmod n = b \bmod n$  we need to show that  $r_1 = r_2$ . WLOG, we assume  $r_1 \geq r_2$ . By our assumption, we know that  $n \mid a - b$  and

$$a - b = (q_1n + r_1) - (q_2n + r_2) = (q_1n - q_2n) + (r_1 - r_2) = nk.$$

Since  $0 \leq r_1 < n, 0 \leq r_2 < n$ . and  $r_1 \geq r_2$ , then  $0 \leq r_1 - r_2 < n$ . Now, we have that

$$nk = (q_1n - q_2n) + (r_1 - r_2) \iff (r_1 - r_2) = n(q_1 - q_2 - k)$$

, so  $n \mid r_1 - r_2$ . But we know that  $0 \leq r_1 - r_2 < n$ . Hence,  $r_1 - r_2$  must be zero and  $r_1 = r_2$ . Therefore, that satisfies the proof. ■

## Problem 10

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and  $d = \gcd(a, b), m = \text{lcm}(a, b)$ . For the first part, We can apply prime factorization to booth  $a, b, t$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} a &= p_1^{f_1} \cdot p_2^{f_2} \cdot p_3^{f_3} \cdots p_n^{f_n} \\ b &= p_1^{g_1} \cdot p_2^{g_2} \cdot p_3^{g_3} \cdots p_n^{g_n} \\ t &= p_1^{r_1} \cdot p_2^{r_2} \cdot p_3^{r_3} \cdots p_n^{r_n} \end{aligned}$$

Where  $f, g, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By theorem 5.4.5. in the discrete math lecture notes by Dr. Daoud Siniora, we can write

$$d = p_1^{\min(f_1, g_1)} \cdot p_2^{\min(f_2, g_2)} \cdot p_3^{\min(f_3, g_3)} \cdots p_n^{\min(f_n, g_n)}$$

. Since we know that  $t$  divides  $a$ , then for every  $p$  in the prime factorization of  $t$ , the exponents must be less than or equal to the exponents of  $p$  in the prime factorization of  $a$ . So for all  $i = 1, \dots, k, r_i \leq f_i$ . Since we know that  $t$  divides  $b$ , then for every  $p$  in the prime factorization of  $t$ , the exponents must be less than or equal to the exponents of  $p$  in the prime factorization of  $b$ . So for all  $i = 1, \dots, k, r_i \leq g_i$ . From the previous steps, for all  $r_i \leq \min(g_i, f_i)$ . Hence,  $t$  divides  $d$ .

For the next part, We can apply prime factorization to booth  $a, b, s$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} a &= p_1^{f_1} \cdot p_2^{f_2} \cdot p_3^{f_3} \cdots p_n^{f_n} \\ b &= p_1^{g_1} \cdot p_2^{g_2} \cdot p_3^{g_3} \cdots p_n^{g_n} \\ s &= p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdot p_3^{e_3} \cdots p_n^{e_n} \end{aligned}$$

Where  $f, g, e \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By theorem 5.4.5. in the discrete math lecture notes by Dr. Daoud Siniora, we can write

$$m = p_1^{\max(f_1, g_1)} \cdot p_2^{\max(f_2, g_2)} \cdot p_3^{\max(f_3, g_3)} \cdot \dots \cdot p_n^{\max(f_n, g_n)}$$

. Since we know that  $s$  is a multiple of  $a$ , then for every  $p$  in the prime factorization of  $s$ , the exponents must be greater than or equal to the exponents of  $p$  in the prime factorization of  $a$ . So for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $e_i \geq f_i$ . Since we know that  $s$  is a multiple of  $b$ , then for every  $p$  in the prime factorization of  $s$ , the exponents must be greater than or equal to the exponents of  $p$  in the prime factorization of  $b$ . So for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $e_i \geq g_i$ . From the previous steps, for all  $e_i \geq \max(g_i, f_i)$ . Hence,  $s$  is a multiple of  $m$ . ■

## Problem 11

*Proof.* Let  $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $d = \gcd(a, n)$ . For the forward direction, Assume the equation  $ax \bmod n = 1$ . has a solution. Using the division algorithm, we can write the equation as  $ax = qn + 1 \implies ax - qn = 1$ . Since  $d$  divides  $a, n$ , it divides any linear combination of  $a, n$ . Then, we see that  $d \mid ax - qn, d \mid 1$ . Since  $d = \gcd(a, n)$ , then it is a positive integer. We know that the only positive integer that divides 1 is 1. Hence,  $d$  must equal 1. For the backward direction, we assume  $d = 1$ . Since  $d = \gcd(a, n)$ , we can write it as a linear combination of  $a, n$ . So  $1 = ca + tn$ , where  $c, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We observe that  $ca + tn = ax - qn$ , we can take  $x = c, q = -t$ . Hence, the equation has a solution. ■

## Problem 13

*Proof.* Let  $m, n, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $m, n$  are coprimes, so  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Since we know that the  $\gcd(m, n)$  is a linear combination of  $m, n$ , we can write that  $1 = cm + tn$ , where  $c, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We observe that by multiplying both sides by  $r$ , we get that  $r = rcm + rtn = (rc)m + (rt)n$ . Since,  $r, t, c$  are integers, then  $rt, rc$  are integers. Let  $rt = y, rc = x$ , so  $r = mx + ny$ . Therefore, that satisfies the proof. ■

## Problem 20

*Proof.* Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  be primes. For the sake of contradiction, Assume that  $p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1$  is divisible by one of these primes. (1) Pick a prime  $p_i$ , we know that  $p_i \mid p_1 p_2 \dots p_i \dots p_n$ . (2) By (1) and (2),  $p_i \mid p_1 p_2 \dots p_n - (p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1)$ . And  $(p_1 p_2 \dots p_n) - (p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1) = -1$ . But  $-1$  does not have a prime divisor, so  $p_i \nmid p_1 p_2 \dots p_n - (p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1)$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, we proved that  $p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1$  is not divisible by any prime. ■

## Problem 28

*Proof.* We proceed by mathematical induction. Let  $P(n) =: 2^n 3^{2n} - 1$  is divisible by 17.

**Base case:** We show the statement  $P(n)$  is true for  $P(0)$ . When  $n = 0$ ,  $2^0 3^0 - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0$ , clearly divisible by 17.

**Induction step:** We need to show that  $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Suppose  $P(n)$  is true, we need to show that  $P(n+1)$  is true as well. We observe that

$$2^{n+1} 3^{2n+2} - 1 = 2 \cdot 9 \cdot (2^n \cdot 3^{2n}) - 1$$

. By our assumption,  $2^n 3^{2n} - 1 = 17k \implies 2^n 3^{2n} = 17k + 1$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We substitute back in

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot 9 \cdot (2^n \cdot 3^{2n}) - 1 &\stackrel{IH}{=} 2 \cdot 9 \cdot (17k + 1) - 1 \\ &= 18(17k + 1) - 1 = 18 \cdot 17k + 18 - 1 \\ &= 17 \cdot 18k - 17 = 17(18k - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we proved that  $2^{n+1} 3^{2n+2} - 1$  is divisible by 17 and  $P(n+1)$  is true. Therefore, We proved that  $2^n 3^{2n} - 1$  is divisible by 17 for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  ■

## Problem 33

*Proof.* By definition, we can write the mathematical induction with predicate logic as:

$$(P(0) \wedge \forall n(P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))) \leftrightarrow \forall n P(n)$$

To prove the forward direction, we assume that  $(P(0) \wedge \forall n(P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)))$  is true. We need to show that  $\forall n P(n)$  is true. For the sake of the contradiction, suppose that  $\forall n P(n)$  is not true. That means we have some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P(k)$  does not hold. We construct the set  $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \neg P(n)\}$ , which contains all the elements that do not satisfy  $P(n)$ . By our assumption, the set  $S$  is not empty. By the **The well-ordering principle**, we deduce that  $S$  has a least element, which we call  $t$ . Since  $t$  is the first element that does not satisfy  $P(n)$ , then  $P(t-1)$  holds. Moreover,  $t \neq 0$ , as  $t$  does not have the property  $P$ . So,  $t \geq 1$  and  $t-1 \geq 0$ , such that  $t-1$  is a natural number such that  $P(t-1)$  holds. But, by our assumption, we have  $P(t-1) \rightarrow P(t)$ . Since we have that  $P(t-1) \rightarrow P(t)$  and  $P(t-1)$  are true, then  $P(t)$  is true, which contradicts that  $P(t)$  is false. Therefore,  $\forall n P(n)$  is true, where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For the backward direction, we assume that  $\forall n P(n)$  is true, which implies  $P(0)$  is true, and  $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$  is true. ■

### Problem 35

*Proof.* We proceed by mathematical induction. Let  $P(n) =: n^3 + (n+1)^3 + (n+2)^3$  is a multiple of 9. for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Base case:** We need to show that  $P(1)$  is true.  $P(1) = 1 + 8 + 27 = 36$ , which is clearly a multiple of 9.

**Induction step:** We need to show that  $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose  $P(n)$  is correct, we need to show that  $P(n+1)$  is true as well. We observe by  $P(n)$ , then  $n^3 + (n+1)^3 + (n+2)^3 = 9k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)^3 + (n+2)^3 + (n+3)^3 &= (n+1)^3 + (n+2)^3 + n^3 + 9n^2 + 27n + 27 \\ &= n^3 + (n+1)^3 + (n+2)^3 + 9n^2 + 27n + 27 \\ &\stackrel{IH}{=} 9k + 9n^2 + 27n + 27 \\ &= 9(k + n^2 + 3n + 3). \end{aligned}$$

Which is a multiple of 9. Therefore, we proved that for all positive integers  $n^3 + (n+1)^3 + (n+2)^3$  is a multiple of 9. ■

### Problem 57

### Problem 58

### Problem 59

### Problem 63