# Assignment 6 (Hits as time predictor)

In this assignment, I create three class to find the relationship between the instruments and execution time. I created 3 drivers for MergeSort, HeapSort and QuickSort Dual Pivots to get the number of execution time and instruments. All drivers are store in "src/main/java/edu/neu/coe/info6205/util/".

#### Screenshot of the three new class:

```
edu.neu.coe.info6205.sort.BaseHelper;
edu.neu.coe.info6205.sort.InstrumentedHelper
edu.neu.coe.info6205.sort.elementary.HeapSor
         Consumer<Integer[]> consumer =RanArr -> heap.sort(RanArr);
Benchmark_Timer<Integer[]> BT = new Benchmark_Timer<>( descript final N = n;
Supplier<Integer[]> randomArrays = () -> {
   Integer[] randomArray = new Integer[final N];
   for(int i = 0; i< final N; i++)
}
         Consumer<Integer[]> consumer =RanArr -> heap.sort(RanArr);
Benchmark_Timer<Integer[]> BT = new Benchmark_Timer<>( descript final = n;
Supplier<Integer[]> randomArrays = () -> {
```

#### Screenshot of the Data result:

```
QuickBenchmark
   =======QuickSort Time Test 10000 elements=====================
   =======QuickSort instrumentation Test 10000 elements==========
   Compares: 158697
랟
   fixes: 26866898
   Swaps: 65333
   =======QuickSort Time Test 20000 elements====================
   =======QuickSort instrumentation Test 20000 elements=========
   Compares: 329733
   Hits: 892384
   fixes: 106798253
   copies : 0
   Swaps: 139323
   =======QuickSort Time Test 40000 elements====================
   Compares: 743421
   Hits: 1962586
   fixes: 422823543
   =======QuickSort Time Test 80000 elements====================
   ========QuickSort instrumentation Test 80000 elements===========
   Compares: 1557990
   Hits: 4032642
   fixes: 1634286905
   Swans: 613124
```

```
Run: QuickBenchmark *

Compares: 1557990

Hits: 40832642

fixes: 1634286905

copies: 0

Swaps: 613124

copies: 0

Swaps: 613124

compares: 3308625

Hits: 8706814

fixes: -1723035691

copies: 0

Swaps: 1339646

copies: 0

Swaps: 1339642

Int : 8706814

fixes: -1723035691

copies: 0

Swaps: 1339645

copies: 0

Swaps: 1339646

copies: 0

Swaps: 1
```

```
=======HeapSort Time Test 10000 elements====================
========HeapSort instrumentation Test 10000 elements=========
Compares: 235475
Hits: 967834
========HeapSort Time Test 20000 elements====================
=======HeapSort instrumentation Test 20000 elements==========
Compares: 510733
Hits: 2095014
Swaps: 268387
========HeapSort Time Test 40000 elements====================
=======HeapSort instrumentation Test 40000 elements==========
Compares: 1101404
Hits: 4509968
fixes: 1209951669
Swaps: 576790
========HeapSort Time Test 80000 elements====================
Compares: 2362698
Hits: 9659408
fixes : 543731184
```

```
========MergeSort Time Test 10000 elements==================
=======MergeSort instrumentation Test 10000 elements=========
Compares: 121547
========MergeSort Time Test 20000 elements=================
=======MergeSort instrumentation Test 20000 elements=========
=======MergeSort Time Test 40000 elements================
Compares: 565661
========MergeSort Time Test 80000 elements=================
========MergeSort instrumentation Test 80000 elements========
========MergeSort Time Test 160000 elements==================
2023-03-13 04:04:20 INFO Benchmark_Timer - Begin run: MergeSort Time Test 10000 elements with 1 runs
MergeSort Time Test 10000 elements : 2.222
2023-03-13 04:04:20 INFO Benchmark_Timer - Begin run: MergeSort Time Test 20000 elements with 1 runs
MergeSort Time Test 20000 elements : 3.1381
2023-03-13 04:04:20 INFO Benchmark_Timer - Begin run: MergeSort Time Test 40000 elements with 1 runs
MergeSort Time Test 40000 elements : 6.7945
========MergeSort Time Test 80000 elements==================
2023-03-13 04:04:21 INFO Benchmark_Timer - Begin run: MergeSort Time Test 80000 elements with 1 runs
MergeSort Time Test 80000 elements : 17.0486
2023-03-13 04:04:21 INFO Benchmark_Timer - Begin run: MergeSort Time Test 160000 elements with 1 runs
```

## **Screenshot of the Charts:**

		Н	eapSort			
Size	Compares	Hits	Fixes	Copies	Swaps	Time
10000	235607	968562	75966412	0	124337	2
20000	510834	2095116	302057390	0	268362	4
40000	1101344	4510056	1210298222	0	576842	7
80000	2362666	9658376	542466792	0	1233261	22
160000	5045902	20599304	2102559515	0	2626875	37
		Qı	ıickSort			
Size	Compares	Hits	Fixes	Copies	Swaps	Time
10000	158697	422734	26866898	0	65333	1
20000	329733	892384	106798253	0	139323	3
40000	743421	1962586	422823543	0	302100	10
80000	1557990	4032642	1634286905	0	613124	10
160000	3303625	8706814	1723035691	0	1339646	25
		Me	ergeSort			
Size	Compares	Hits	Fixes	Copies	Time	
10000	121547	489950	25095435	220000	2. 222	
20000	263191	1060490	100062644	480000	3. 1381	
40000	565661	2278506	402024867	1040000	6. 7945	
80000	1212487	4879154	1600467513	2240000	17.0486	
160000	2584609	10397534	2107667697	4800000	32. 5945	

		HeapS	ort(L0G10)			
Size	Compares	Hits	Fixes	Copies	Swaps	Time
10000	5. 3722	5. 9861	7.880621615	0	5.0946	2
20000	5. 7083	6. 3212	8. 480089465	0	5. 4287	4
40000	6.0419	6.6542	9.0829	0	5. 7611	7
80000	6.3734	6. 984904108	8.7344	0	6.0911	22
160000	6. 7029	7. 3139	9. 3227	0	6. 4194	37
		Quick	Sort (LOG10)			
Size	Compares	Hits	Fixes	Copies	Swaps	Time
10000	5. 2006	5. 6261	7. 4292	0	4.8151	1
20000	5. 5182	5. 9506	8.0286	0	5. 144	3
40000	5.8712	6. 2928	8.6262	0	5. 4802	10
80000	6. 1926	6.6056	9. 2133	0	5. 7875	10
160000	6. 519	6. 9399	9. 2363	0	6. 127	25
		Merge	Sort (LOG10)			
Size	Compares	Hits	Fixes	Copies	Time	
10000	5. 0847	5. 6902	7. 3996	5. 3424	2. 222	
20000	5. 4203	6. 0255	8.0003	5. 6812	3. 1381	
40000	5. 7526	6. 3577	8.6043	6.017	6. 7945	
80000	6.0837	6. 6883	9. 2042	6. 3502	17.0486	
160000	6.4124	7.0169	9. 3238	6.6812	32. 5945	

### Conclusion:

From the analysis of the data, The best predictor for execution time varies for different sorting algorithms. For MergeSort, the data shows that the number of Swaps/Copies fits Execution Time perfectly, which means that the number of Swaps/Copies determines the Time. For QuickSort, the data shows that the number of Compares and Swaps determine the Execution Time. For HeapSort, the data shows the number of Compares and Swaps determine the Execution Time. This indicates that each sorting algorithm may have different aspects that affect their performance. . It can be observed that as the size of the input array increases, the execution time for all three sorting algorithms increases exponentially.5. Quick sort and HeapSort have similar performance in terms of execution time, but HeapSort requires a slightly higher number of swaps and copies compared to QuickSort. This suggests that QuickSort may be a better choice in scenarios where memory usage is a concern. From the given data, it can be concluded that Merge sort is the most efficient algorithm among the three sorting algorithms.