Teach Formal Languages Together With Graph Querying for Great Power

Semyon Grigorev
Institute for Clarity in Documentation
Dublin, Ohio, USA
!!!@corporation.com

Egor Orachev The Thørväld Group Hekla, Iceland larst@affiliation.org Vadim Abzalov The Thørväld Group Hekla, Iceland larst@affiliation.org

Rustam Azimov The Thørväld Group Hekla, Iceland larst@affiliation.org Ekaterina Shemetova The Thørväld Group Hekla, Iceland larst@affiliation.org

ABSTRACT

A clear and well-documented LaTeX document is presented as an article formatted for publication by ACM in a conference proceedings or journal publication. Based on the "acmart" document class, this article presents and explains many of the common variations, as well as many of the formatting elements an author may use in the preparation of the documentation of their work.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computer systems organization → Embedded systems; *Redundancy*; Robotics; • Networks → Network reliability.

KEYWORDS

Graph querying, formal language theory, formal language constrained path querying, education

ACM Reference Format:

Semyon Grigorev, Egor Orachev, Vadim Abzalov, Rustam Azimov, and Ekaterina Shemetova. 2018. Teach Formal Languages Together With Graph Querying for Great Power. In *Woodstock '18: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 5 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456

1 INTRODUCTION

Bridging the gap between fundamental disciplines and applications is one of the important problems of education in software engineering. Theory without applications — bad idea. At the same time, real-world problems requires huge amount of preparatory work before the !!!

Data analysis involves broad range of !!! . Graph analysis. Application of fundamental ones. Motivation for students which focused on applied areas to study fundamental disciplines

Formal languages is a basis for graph query languages. GQL ISO, Cypher, SPARQL, W3C

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Woodstock '18, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY © 2018 Association for Computing Machinery. ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456

Integration of formal language theory + HPC + linear algebra + graphs to show interconnection between different areas. How concepts and ideas from one area can be applied in another one with significant effect.

For engineers, so it is important to equip theory with practical tasks to !!!motivate!!!.

Formal languages Closure properties. Regular languages are closed under intersection. Any finite representation of regular language is s representation of an answer.

Historically, programming languages and natural language processing form an area of formal languages theory application

In this work we describe our experience on such a course for third-year bachelor students. Software engineering. Structure of this work:

- Motivation: why we do exactly what we do and why we do it exactly such a way.
- Course structure: technical environment, Exercises and how they are related to !!!
- Discussion of existing course. Comparison with other related courses
- Conclusion and future work.

2 MOTIVATION

We are aimed to create an applied course with relatively low prerequirements and strong fundamental !!! which allows students to touch !!!! !!! !!!

Why formal language constrained path querying. Formal languages is not only parsing. But query engines requires parsing too. It allows us Smoothly combine different areas Main: data analysis and formal languages. FLPQ part of the GQL ISO standard.

Immediate and direct usage of theory to solve practical tasks: Closure properties. Languages intersection. Query results representation — languages representation. A way to represent infinite result in convenient finite form with well-established tools for analysis. Complexity analysis.

Parsing algorithms and new old problems: incremental parsing, parallel parsing. In wew context (amount of data to process, variability of grammars, not only graphs etc). Disambiguation of grammar. Ambiguity not evil: sometimes ambiguous queries faster. Especially for querying. But not parsing.

Linear algebra provides a suitable abstraction level. Application level independent optimizations !!! HPC, matrices, easy to abstract.

One can easily replace one library with another without significant algorithm changes (but technical effort for different API-s). As a result, easy to apply for real-world data. Which also helps to solve a motivation problem (small synthetic tasks looks disconnected with real-world).

Selected algorithms can be expressed in terms of boolean matrices and vectors: easy to start. No need to create custom semirings. At the same time, native description of these algorithms requires custom semirings introduction. Conversion techniques. Weaker than semirings structure.

Language design and implementation. Tooling for language implementation. Parsing algorithm (LR, LL). Generalized GLL. Moreover, CFPQ algorithms is based on parsing algorithms. ANTLR is not a magic.

Relations with other areas such as graph theory, dynamic graph problems, algebra, destributed graph processing.

Touch open problems (truly subcubic CFPQ) and motivate to study them (and respective fundamentals eg fine-grained complexity). Performance on real-life problems.

3 THE COURSE

The course was initially designed for third year bachelor students studying software engineering. But with tiny modification also used for first year master students who also studying software engineering. Presented version of the course were used in two !!! but still under development.

Formal language theory centric view on graph query engine. The idea of the course is to apply formal languages to design query engines and allows students to touch at least two areas of formal languages application: parsing and graph querying.

Not only linear algebra, but classical algorithms, parsing techniques. But without deep technical details.

3.1 Prerequirements

This course is designed for programming engineers so it requires basic engineering skills in git version control system and GitHub infrastructure, including GitHub actions for CI, code review mechanisms and so on.

We expect an intermediate level in Python programming, including experience with testing frameworks, linters, formatters, dependency managers.

We expect a basic level of linear algebra. Students should freely operates with matrices, vectors, semirings. They should know definitions and properties of matrix-matrix operations such as Kronecker product, elementwise operations, matrix-matrix multiplications, matrix-vector multiplication.

Basic level of graph theory is required, including definitions and properties of directed edge-labeled graphs and relative concepts like path and cycle.

We expect an experience in basic graph analysis algorithms implementation and its fundamental properties, such as traversal algorithms (BFS and DFS), path problems related, and reachability problem related algorithms (transitive closure computation, Dijkstra's algorithm, Floyd–Warshall algorithm).

Programming languages theory is also required. Particularly, basic knowledge in formal semantics, type systems, experience in interpreters implementation.

3.2 Learning Outcomes

Upon completing this course, students will be able to ...

- (1) To explain where and how formal language constrained path querying (including RPQ, CFPQ) can de applied. Graph databases, static code analysis. Interconnection between graph databases and static code analysis.
- (2) To formulate FLPQ problem and explain differences between semantics. To explain interconnection between languages classes and fundamental !!!
- (3) To operate with regular languages and its representations: to convert regexp to FA and back, Grammar to FA. To intersect regular languages.
- (4) To operate with context-free languages and its representations: to convert grammar to RSM, to build derivation trees. To explain when ambiguity os bad and good.
- (5) To know that libs and to use it
- (6) To implement linear algebra based algorithms Algorithms for RPO.
- (7) To implement Algorithms for CFPQ, including linear algebra based, GLL based.
- (8) To use linear algebra for Graph analysis with linear algebra (GraphBLAS). To reduce problems to boolean linear algebra.
- (9) To analyze performance, to explain importance of formats and basic optimization techniques.
- (10) To Parsing algorithms: LL, LR, GLL Differences and limitations.
- (11) To use ANTLR parser generator to create parsers. Basic abilities and limitations of the tool,
- (12) To interpreters, etc. To use plt for graph query languages. To use implemented algorithms as parts of interpreter

3.3 The Structure

The course is structured with respect to typical formal language theory related course meaning that there is a hierarchy of languages and respective computation machines (Chomsky hierarchy) that often uses to organize materials. Almost the all parts combines the theory, respective algorithms and its analysis, including discussion of performance-critical implementation details, possible optimization techniques. Brief content of each part is provided below.

- (1) Introduction to formal languages that includes basic definitions such as alphabet, word, language, basic operations over words and languages, including set-theoretic ones. Here we also introduce the classical Chomsky hierarchy of languages and respective computation machines.
- (2) Introduction to graphs and linear algebra should be tuned with respect to initial level of students such that after this part students will bw familiar with basic definitions and algorithms. Namely, definitions of directed and undirected edge-labelled graphs, paths, and cycles. Graph representations, including adjacency matrix and its boolean decomposition. Formulation of reachability and paths problems,

- and respective algorithms. Basic graph analysis algorithms in terms of linear algebra, such as transitive closure and multiple-source BFS. Graph product and Kronecker product.
- (3) Introduction to formal language constrained path querying. This part introduces the formal language constrained path querying (FLPQ) [1] problem statement in the most general form, and describes different semantics including reachability, all-paths, all-pairs, multiple-sources. History of FLPO form Mihalis Yannakakis and Thomas Reps to now days, including areas of applications discussion (examples, differences in static code analysis). Cypher, GQL, RPQ, Graph databases. Fundamental problems, such as infinite number of paths, and, as a result, inability to represent the answer explicitly as a set in some cases, decidability for different languages classes, also discussed here. To bring the gap between two areas we demonstrate that string parsing or recognition problem is a partial case of FLPQ. At the same time we show that there is a number of differences with classical languages processing, such as the fact that language is not fixed in graph querying: while in classical language processing cases we assume that the language is fixed and the string is varying, in graph querying both graph and language can varying.
- (4) Regular languages and the ways to specify them, such as regular expression, regular grammars, and finite automata, with transformations between them. Closure properties. Regular languages are closed under intersection that is RPQ base. Algorithms for regular path queries. All pairs that exactly the languages intersection. Multiple source. Algorithms analysis.
- (5) Context-Free languages. Grammars, RSM-s, closure properties. Derivation tree and SPPF as a way to represent paths. Context-Free path queries. Closure properties. Language representations (grammars, RSMs). Bar-Hillel theorem that clime that context-free languages are closed under intersection wit regular ones. Thus it is one of the fundamental theorem for CFPQ. Complexity. Partial cases (Bradford, Pavlogianis, etc). Algorithms: Hellings, matrices, tensor. Allpairs reachability.
- (6) Discussion of well-known old challenges that becomes actual again. Parallel and distributed parsing is not so hot problem, but parallel and distributed query processing is. Handling changes in input. Still actual for parsing. Became more complex challenge in context of querying because both graph and grammar can vary while in parsing only string can.
- (7) Query language design and implementation. Parsing algorithms. Classical LL(k) and LR(k) algorithms. Comparison of respective language classes. Generalized paring. GLL. Parsing techniques. Typical frontend structure: lexing, parsing, scanerless, abstract syntax, concrete syntax. ANTLR as one of the modern production-quality parser generation tool. Query language design on the top of previously implemented algorithms.
- (8) Beyond Context-Free languages and Chomsky hierarchy. In this part language classes that are more expressive than context-free languages introduced. Namely, Multiple Context-Free languages (MCFL), Conjunctive and Boolean

languages. Basic definitions and properties. Without algorithms. These classes of languages are used for static code analysis [2, 7].

Modules grouped in blocks that are three in total, all listed below.

- (1) Regular Languages
- (2) Context-free Languages
- (3) Parsing techniques

This division is formal to introduce tests. We do not introduce introduction block because first two modules (1 and 2) size significantly varying with respect to initial level of students. So it includes in the first block for now. 1, 3

3.4 Exercises

Exercises are oriented to algorithms implementation and evaluation, not theory. The main part of exercises is focused on reachability problem for different classes of languages because the reachability problem is often simpler to implement than path problem. For example it does not require special semirings in linear algebra based algorithms, the boolean one is enough. Different variations, such as all-pairs and multiple source, included.

Exercises are focused on FLPQ algorithms implementation rather that basic concepts implementation. So we allow students to use libraries such as PyFormLang and sciPy to operate with languages, automata, or matrices.

We argue that algorithms and approaches (but not libraries) applicable for real-world problems. Almost the all tasks are conceptually connected: starting from basics, through algorithms, to simple graph analysis system. Interconnection between parts. Inability to skip tasks.

Brief description of tasks are presented below in order that corresponds to the structure represented in the previous section.

- (1) To implement all-pairs RPQ with reachability semantics, using Kronecker product. Basic FA intersection algorithm. (commutativity of Kronecker product)
- (2) To implement RPQ multiple-source BFS-based. Another algorithm for automata intersection. Different versions of multiple-source BFS problem (set-to-set, etc).
- (3) RPQ evaluation and performance analysis. Different matrices formats etc. All-pairs vs multiple sources. Advanced: GPU or GraphBLAS. Easy switch.
- (4) To implement Hellings's algorithm for CFPQ. Pretty simple algorithm without linear algebra. Baseline for comparison with other algorithms.
- (5) To implement CFPQ matrices (associativity and commutativity of operations), normal form for grammar.
- (6) To implement CFPQ tensors (unification of RPQ and CFPQ), RSM introduction.
- (7) To implement GLL-based CFPQ algorithm with reachability semantics. RSM for query representation.
- (8) To evaluate implemented CFPQ algorithm and to provide performance analysis. Different algorithms comparison. Advanced: GPU or GraphBLAS
- (9) To implement parser of simple graph query language. Query language design. Introduction of GQL and other real-world languages. Query language implementation. Graph query language introduction. GQL. Language design principles

- and problems. Proposed language is focused on language constrained path querying, not a subset of GQL.
- (10) To implement interpreter of simple query language. Use previously implemented algorithms for operations. Other language implementation related tasks. Type inference. Grammar checks.

Exercises can be splitted in subtasks or equipped with additional introductory tasks, for example, with simple challenges aimed to investigate a new library.

All exercises also grouped regarding blocks Regular, Context-Free, Parsing techniques.

3.5 Tests

The course equipped with short tests on the main topics to check basic knowledge. Three tests in total: one test before each block.

Each block contains a set of questions on basic concepts, theory, algorithms. Examples of questions are presented below.

- To show whether Kronecker product is commutative operation.
- (2) To convert te given regular expression to finite automaton.
- (3) To provide a derivation tree for the given string and grammar.
- (4) To convert the given context-free grammar to recursive state machine.
- (5) To provide an example of *k-MCFL*(*r*) language for the given *k* and *r*.

There is a bunch of questions for each block and each student randomly gets one of them and should provide an answer in 5 minutes. This allows us to check that student has mastered basics almost the all of which were used to complete exercises from the respective block.

Tests used to tune tasks. Main idea is that is the student can not pass test, then highly possible that exercises, even be passed, done with cheats.

3.6 Environment

The course is applied and include a number of programming-related exercises that requires respective environment to unify settings for all students and to simplify work of tutor and mentors.

We choose Python programming language as one of the most popular language, particularly among students. Additionally, there are all required libraries implemented in Python and provide easy to use and well-documented interface. Namely, we need libraries for formal languages, sparse linear algebra, parsers creation, and we choose the following ones.

PyFormLang¹ [6] is ued to provide basic formal languages concepts such as regular expressions, finite automata, context-free grammars, recursive automata, and operations over them such as automata minimization, regular expression to finite automata conversion, grammar to normal forms conversion and so on.

SciPy² is used for sparse boolean linear algebra. It provides different formats for sparse matrices representations, thus allows us to demonstrate correlation between matrix representation format and performance of matrix-based algorithms.

We use ANTLR³ [5] as a parser generation tool. ANTLR is one of the modern tools for parsers development that supports Python as a target language: it can generates parser in Python and appropriate runtime libraries are provided.

Also we use CFPQ-Data⁴ as a collection of graphs and queries for algorithms evaluation. This dataset allows us to provide real-world graph and queries from such areas as RDF analysis and static code analysis.

Initial project structure with dependencies and checkers configured is provided as a GitHub repository 5 to be forked by students. The repository contains configured actions for CI, supplementary code, placeholders for exercises, functions signatures to implement, and other stuff to minimize preparation to assignments completing. Rye^6 is used for dependencies management.

Automation is done using GitHub actions that trigger on pushes and pull requests, and includes tests execution, code style guide checking, parser generation related actions. It allows us to automate control of assignments completing and use code review mechanisms to discuss assignments with students.

We use only an open tests implemented using Pytest testing framework and they consist of of two types. The first one is a set of ordinary unit tests that check corner cases of algorithms. The second one is a set of property-like tests that use the fact that students should implements algorithms for closely related problems. Thus different algorithms form different assignments should return the same results for randomly generated input. This way we can simplify testing system (no private tests) and avoid algorithms fitting.

4 DISCUSSION

Motivation to study formal languages, refresh linear algebra, HPC.... Why matrices: pretty simple ideas and algorithms, rather than LR, hides implementation complexity, clear abstraction (it is easy to realize that one library of linear algebra operations can be replaced with another one). More over, students can do it yourself.

Simple formulation of engineering tasks, problems, challenges regarding performance allows students to be involved in related research during course or right after it. Evaluation of matrix-based CFPQ algorithm, represented by Nikita Mishin, Iaroslav Sokolov et al. in "Evaluation of the Context-Free Path Querying Algorithm Based on Matrix Multiplication" [4] is an improved results of experiments done as the course exercise.

Orachev. Muraviev.

Also we want to highlight some drawbacks and weakness of our course. The first one is that practice with non-linear-algebrabased algorithm for FLPQ (and parsing, respectively) is very limited. Different algorithms, based on GLR, GLL and other parsing algorithms. Only GLL, but reachability, not paths. These algorithms are powerful (can natively solve all-paths queries), but require special techniques that cannot be natively inferred from linear-algebrabased algorithms. Especially high-performance solution. Looks like

¹https://github.com/Aunsiels/pyformlang

²https://scipy.org/

³ANTLR (ANother Tool for Language Recognition) home page: https://www.antlr.org/

 $^{^4} https://github.com/Formal Language Constrained Path Querying/CFPQ_Data$

⁵In Russian https://github.com/FormalLanguageConstrainedPathQuerying/formallang-course

⁶https://rye.astral.sh

advanced block. Last block requires special knowledge. Can de optional. Ore replaced with.

Proposed structure hides basics of some concepts. For example, sparse linear algebra. But this way we learn to use existing libraries that is a useful skill for engineers, and allow students to touch real-world problems and tasks without huge amount of preliminary work.

Manual control needed to check wether the requested algorithm implemented. Few algorithms for the same (or similar) problems: it is possible to resubmit single one implementation.

Last part requires programming languages theory. May be omitted. Other blocks can be used independently in other courses.

Other courses [3]

Advanced SQL, Graph databases, GQL,

5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We describe the course that aimed to graph query engine development !!! Formal languages and graph theory. While this course has been taught for several years now, there is a room for improvements.

One of the important technical improvements is to extend testing system to provide performance testing. For now, performance analysis of the implemented algorithms can be done only in respective tasks on algorithms evaluation and comparison. There is no automatic control on performance of implemented algorithms. So, students not forced to provide not nive solutions. Moreover, they often provide solutions with trivial performance issues: no early exit in transitive-closure-like procedures, no analysis of sparse matrix format (so, randomly selected format is used) and so on.

The next technical challenge is to replace sciPy with python-graphblas⁷ in order to enforce studying of specific tools for high-performance graph analysis. It is not clear, whether sciPy should be replaced, or python-graphblas should be provided as an optional alternative for sciPy because sciPy is easier for beginners, but python-graphblas allows one to pay more attention on performance.

More algorithms. Multiple sources versions of algorithms for CFL-r (linear-algebra based). But it should be simplified first. A bit more concepts required.

Custom semiring and path problems. Related to migration to python-graphnas.

More non-matrix-based algorithms. Comparison.

More Beyond context-free (MCFG), boolean, conjunctive. Static analysis. Matrices. Decidability analysis. Approximations. Practical tasks.

More data for evaluation form wider range of applications. Biological data, data provenance, more code analysis.

All above leads to more optional tasks. But all of them consistent in terms tah allows student to create self-contained application for graph analysis.

Decidability problems, so on.

Flexibility. To create a set of reuseable modules for !!! cources for fromal languegaes, graph querying etc.

To build an advanced course on data analysis. Materials (in Russian) 8

REFERENCES

- [1] Chris Barrett, Riko Jacob, and Madhav Marathe. 2000. Formal-Language-Constrained Path Problems. SIAM J. Comput. 30, 3 (2000), 809–837. https://doi.org/10.1137/S0097539798337716
- [2] Giovanna Kobus Conrado, Adam Husted Kjelstrøm, Jaco van de Pol, and Andreas Pavlogiannis. 2025. Program Analysis via Multiple Context Free Language Reachability. Proc. ACM Program. Lang. 9, POPL, Article 18 (Jan. 2025), 30 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3704854
- [3] Diego Figueira. 2022. Foundations of Graph Path Query Languages. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-95481-9-1
- [4] Nikita Mishin, Iaroslav Sokolov, Egor Spirin, Vladimir Kutuev, Egor Nemchinov, Sergey Gorbatyuk, and Semyon Grigorev. 2019. Evaluation of the Context-Free Path Querying Algorithm Based on Matrix Multiplication. In Proceedings of the 2nd Joint International Workshop on Graph Data Management Experiences & Systems (GRADES) and Network Data Analytics (NDA) (Amsterdam, Netherlands) (GRADES-NDA'19). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 12, 5 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3327964.3328503
- [5] Terence Parr. 2013. The Definitive ANTLR 4 Reference (2nd ed.). Pragmatic Bookshelf.
- [6] Julien Romero. 2021. Pyformlang: An Educational Library for Formal Language Manipulation. In Proceedings of the 52nd ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (Virtual Event, USA) (SIGCSE '21). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 576–582. https://doi.org/10.1145/3408877.3432464
- [7] Qirun Zhang and Zhendong Su. 2017. Context-sensitive data-dependence analysis via linear conjunctive language reachability. In Proceedings of the 44th ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (Paris, France) (POPL '17). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 344–358. https://doi.org/10.1145/3009837.3009848

 $^{^7\}mathrm{Python}$ wrapper for SuiteSparse:GraphBLAS: https://github.com/python-graphblas/python-graphblas.