

Teach Formal Languages Together With Graph Querying for Great Power

Semyon Grigorev
!!!@corporation.com
Institute for Clarity in Documentation
Dublin, Ohio, USA

Egor Orachev
The Thørvöld Group
Hekla, Iceland
larst@affiliation.org

Vadim Abzalov
The Thørvöld Group
Hekla, Iceland
larst@affiliation.org

Rustam Azimov
The Thørvöld Group
Hekla, Iceland
larst@affiliation.org

Ekaterina Shemetova
The Thørvöld Group
Hekla, Iceland
larst@affiliation.org

ABSTRACT

A clear and well-documented \LaTeX document is presented as an article formatted for publication by ACM in a conference proceedings or journal publication. Based on the “acmart” document class, this article presents and explains many of the common variations, as well as many of the formatting elements an author may use in the preparation of the documentation of their work.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Computer systems organization** → **Embedded systems**; *Redundancy*; Robotics; • **Networks** → Network reliability.

KEYWORDS

Graph querying, formal language theory, formal language constrained path querying, education

ACM Reference Format:

Semyon Grigorev, Egor Orachev, Vadim Abzalov, Rustam Azimov, and Ekaterina Shemetova. 2018. Teach Formal Languages Together With Graph Querying for Great Power. In *Woodstock '18: ACM Symposium on Neural Gaze Detection, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY, USA*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

1 INTRODUCTION

Bridging the gap between fundamental disciplines and applications is one of the important problems of education in software engineering. One of the fundamental disciplines that has broad range of applications is a formal language theory. One of the applied area is a data analysis.

Data analysis involves broad range of !!! . Graph analysis. Application of fundamental ones. Motivation for students which focused on applied areas to study fundamental disciplines

Formal languages is a fundamental part of graph query languages in two senses at least. First of all, formal language theory is necessary to design query engines frontend, namely parser and lexer. The second one is that formal language constrained path querying is a part of modern graph query languages. Regular languages. It is stanartyzed GQL ISO, it is a part of Cypher, SPARQL, W3C Context-free proposal for Cypher. Combined: how to design query language to be able to express formal language constraints.

At the same time, to apply formal languages for graph analysis we should involves graph theory. And to do it efficiently, we should apply high performance data analysis techniques, such as linear algebra that allows one to build highly parallel graph analysis algorithms. Integration of formal language theory + high-performance techniques + linear algebra + graphs to show interconnection between different areas. How concepts and ideas from one area can be applied in another one with significant effect. For example, Formal languages allows one to specify path constraints. How should we restrict query language power to be able to provide evaluation algorithm? How can we represent a query evaluation result? But how to build such a representation efficiently for huge graphs? How can linear algebra helps to solve this challenge? The answers on these questions were easier if utilize formal language theory, graph theory, high performance techniques together.

For engineers, so it is important to equip theory with practical tasks to !!!motivate!!!.

Historically, programming languages and natural language processing form an area of formal languages theory application We propose to move focus on wide ... classical parsing is a partial case of CFPQ.

In this work we propose a course¹ that is focused on software engineers, and focused on formal language related aspects of graph analysis, including query parsing and formal language constrained path query execution algorithms. This work is organized as follows.

- The first part is motivation where we argue why we do exactly what we do and why we do it exactly such a way.
- The second part is a course structure description. It includes technical environment description, high-level structure of the course, including main modules, exercises and tests examples.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Woodstock '18, June 03–05, 2018, Woodstock, NY

© 2018 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-XXXX-X/18/06...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/1122445.1122456>

¹Materials are available !!!.

- The third part is a discussion of existing course that includes current limitations and drawbacks, and comparison with other related courses.
- The last part is a brief conclusion and possible future improvements of the presented course.

2 MOTIVATION

We are aimed to create an applied course with relatively low but strong fundamental prerequisites that allows students to learn interconnection between formal languages, graph analysis and high performance techniques and respective fundamentals.

Formal language theory centric view on graph query engine. The idea of the course is to apply formal languages to design query engines and allows students to touch at least two areas of formal languages application: parsing and graph querying.

Graph is a fundamental concept that arises in broad range of applications Static code analysis. Graph databases. Query languages.

Why formal language constrained path querying. Formal languages is not only parsing. But query engines requires parsing too. It allows us smoothly combine different areas and demonstrate deep interconnection between them. Main: data analysis and formal languages. FLPQ part of the GQL ISO standard.

Immediate and direct usage of theory to solve practical tasks: Closure properties. Fundamental questions in graph query expressive power and query result representation. Formal language theory is necessary to answer !!! Languages intersection. Query results representation — languages representation. Any finite representation of regular language is a representation of an answer. A way to represent infinite result in convenient finite form with well-established tools for analysis. Complexity analysis.

At the same time, combination with graph analysis allows one to get a refreshed view on parsing algorithms and old problems that became actual again in new context. For example, incremental parsing, parallel parsing. In a new context (amount of data to process, variability of grammars, not only graphs etc). Another example is a grammar disambiguation that is classical problem for parser developers. On the one hand, generalized parsing is a base for querying algorithms. At the same time time ambiguity is not an evil: sometimes ambiguous queries faster then disambiguated versions. Especially for reachability querying. But not for parsing or path problems.

Linear algebra provides a suitable abstraction level. Application level independent optimizations !!! HPC, matrices, easy to abstract. One can easily replace one library with another without significant algorithm changes (but technical effort for different API-s). As a result, easy to apply for real-world data. Which also helps to solve a motivation problem (small synthetic tasks looks disconnected with real-world).

Significant part of the selected algorithms can be expressed in terms of boolean matrices and vectors: easy to start. No need to create custom semirings. At the same time, native description of these algorithms requires custom semirings introduction. Conversion techniques. Weaker than semirings structure: linear algebra, operation properties.

Language design and implementation. Tooling for language implementation. Well-established parsing algorithm (LR, LL). Actively

developed promising Generalized GLL. Moreover, CFPQ algorithms is based on parsing algorithms [? ? ?]. One of the most popular tool ANTLR is not a magic.

Relations with other areas such as graph theory, dynamic graph problems, algebra, distributed graph processing.

Touch open problems (truly subcubic CFPQ) and motivate to study them (and respective fundamentals eg fine-grained complexity). Performance on real-life problems.

Thus based on strong general fundamentals of formal language theory we cover several applied aspects of graph query engines, such as query language parsing and formal language constrained path queries evaluation algorithms for different classes of languages. Also we touch other important applied and fundamental areas such as graph analysis, linear algebra, high-performance techniques.

3 THE COURSE

The course was initially designed for third year bachelor students studying software engineering. But with tiny modification also used for first year master students who also studying software engineering. Presented version of the course were used in two universities during several years but still under development.

3.1 Prerequisites

This course is designed for programming engineers so it requires basic engineering skills in git version control system and GitHub infrastructure, including GitHub actions for CI, code review mechanisms and so on.

We expect an intermediate level in Python programming, including experience with testing frameworks, linters, formatters, dependency managers.

We expect a basic level of linear algebra. Students should freely operates with matrices, vectors, semirings. They should know definitions and properties of matrix-matrix operations such as Kronecker product, elementwise operations, matrix-matrix multiplications, matrix-vector multiplication.

Basic level of graph theory is required, including definitions and properties of directed edge-labeled graphs and relative concepts like path and cycle.

We expect an experience in basic graph analysis algorithms implementation and its fundamental properties, such as traversal algorithms (BFS and DFS), path problems related, and reachability problem related algorithms (transitive closure computation, Dijkstra's algorithm, Floyd-Warshall algorithm).

Programming languages theory is also required. Particularly, basic knowledge in formal semantics, type systems, experience in interpreters implementation.

3.2 Learning Outcomes

Upon completing the course, students will be able to explain where and how formal language constrained path querying (including RPQ, CFPQ) can be applied, and how graph databases and static code analysis are interconnected.

Also students will be able to formulate FLPQ problem and explain differences between its semantics. Additionally they will be able to explain interconnection between formal languages classes and

fundamental aspects of FLPQ, including decidability and results representation.

Students will be able to operate with regular languages and its representations, particularly to convert regular expression to finite automata and back, to intersect regular languages, and to implement linear algebra based algorithms for RPQ.

The same for context-free languages and its representations. Students will be able to convert context-free grammar (CFG) to recursive state machine (RSM), to build derivation trees, to implement various algorithms for CFPQ, including linear algebra based and GLL based, and to explain how language and grammar properties interconnect with respective algorithms' properties.

Additionally, students will be able to use linear algebra for graph analysis. Particularly, they will be able to reduce RPQ and CFPQ related problems to boolean linear algebra, to analyze performance of respective algorithms, to explain importance of matrices formats and basic optimization techniques.

Finally, students will be able to develop query languages, including ability to use ANTLR parser generator to create parsers, to craft interpreters that use FLPQ algorithms to evaluate queries, provide type checking, and grammar (query) consistency checking. They will be able to explain basic parsing algorithms, including LL, LR, GLL, to describe differences between them, and limitations of respective tools.

3.3 The Structure

The course is structured with respect to typical formal language theory related course meaning that there is a hierarchy of languages and respective computation machines (Chomsky hierarchy) that often uses to organize materials. Almost the all parts combines the theory, respective algorithms and its analysis, including discussion of performance-critical implementation details, possible optimization techniques. Brief content of parts is provided below.

- (1) **Introduction to formal languages** that includes basic definitions such as *alphabet*, *word*, *language*, basic operations over words and languages, including set-theoretic ones. Here we also introduce the classical Chomsky hierarchy of languages and respective computation machines.
- (2) **Introduction to graphs and linear algebra** should be tuned with respect to initial level of students such that after this part students will be familiar with basic definitions and algorithms, such as definitions of directed and undirected edge-labelled graphs, paths, and cycles, formulation of reachability and paths problems, and respective algorithms. Additionally, graph representations, including adjacency matrix and its boolean decomposition, and basic graph analysis algorithms in terms of linear algebra, such as transitive closure and multiple-source BFS, should be introduced.
- (3) **Introduction to formal language constrained path querying.** This part introduces the formal language constrained path querying (FLPQ) [1] problem statement in the most general form, and describes different semantics including reachability, all-paths, all-pairs, multiple-sources. Fundamental problems, such as infinite number of paths, and, as a result, inability to represent the answer explicitly as a set in some cases, decidability for different languages classes,

also discussed here. To bring the gap between two areas we demonstrate that string parsing or recognition problem is a partial case of FLPQ. At the same time we show that there is a number of differences with classical languages processing, such as the fact that language is not fixed in graph querying: while in classical language processing cases we assume that the language is fixed and the string is varying, in graph querying both graph and language can vary. Also we discuss history of FLPQ from Mihalis Yannakakis and Thomas Reps to now days, including areas of applications, examples, differences and interconnection between static code analysis and graph databases.

- (4) **Regular languages** and ways to specify them, such as regular expression, regular grammars, and finite automata, with transformations between them. We discuss formal properties of languages and show how they relate with RPQ. For example, the fact that regular languages are closed under intersection is the base of RPQ that allows one to consider respective algorithms and query evaluation results representation. Respective algorithms introduced here.
- (5) **Context-Free languages** and ways to specify them, including grammars, recursive state machines (RSM-s) and conversions between them. Similarly to regular languages, we introduce formal properties that are important for CFPQ, such as Bar-Hillel theorem that claims context-free languages are closed under intersection with regular ones, and respective algorithms. Also we discuss differences and interconnections between CFPQ and parsing.
- (6) **Discussion of well-known old challenges that becomes actual again.** Parallel and distributed parsing is not so hot problem, but parallel and distributed query processing is. Another problem is a handling changes in input that still actual for parsing but became more complex challenge in context of querying because both graph and grammar can vary while in parsing only string can.
- (7) **Query language design and implementation** where we introduce classical LL(k) and LR(k) parsing algorithms, among with generalized algorithms like GLL, and provide a comparison of respective language classes. We describe typical language processing workflow including lexing, parsing, abstract syntax tree construction, and interpretation (with respect to previously introduced FLPQ algorithms). ANTLR as one of the modern production-quality parser generation tool is introduced.
- (8) **Beyond Context-Free languages and Chomsky hierarchy.** In this part language classes that are more expressive than context-free languages introduced. Namely, Multiple Context-Free languages (MCFL), Conjunctive and Boolean languages because these classes of languages are used for static code analysis [2, 10]. For now we discuss only basic definitions and properties without algorithms.

3.4 Exercises

Exercises are focused on FLPQ algorithms implementation and evaluation rather than basic concepts implementation. So we allow students to use libraries such as PyFormLang and sciPy to operate

with languages, automata, or matrices. The main part of exercises is focused on reachability problem for different classes of languages because the reachability problem is often simpler to implement than path problem. For example it does not require special semirings in linear algebra based algorithms, the boolean one is enough. Different variations, such as all-pairs and multiple source, included.

Almost the all tasks are conceptually interconnected: starting from basic FLPQ algorithms, through its evaluation, simple graph analysis system will be created as a result of the course. As an additional bonus, such scheme limits tasks skipping because some of them are necessary to complete another one.

Brief description of tasks are presented below in order that corresponds to the structure represented in the previous section.

- (1) Implementation of all-pairs RPQ with reachability semantics, using Kronecker product, that is the basic finite automaton intersection algorithm.
- (2) Implementation of multiple-source BFS-based RPQ algorithm, including different versions such as one-to-many and many-to-many.
- (3) RPQ algorithms evaluation and performance analysis including analysis of sparse matrix representation formats.
- (4) Hellings's CFPQ algorithm implementation that is a pretty simple algorithm without linear algebra and will be used as a baseline for evaluation.
- (5) Matrix multiplication based CFPQ algorithm implementation that requires grammar in Chomsky normal form.
- (6) Kronecker product CFPQ algorithm implementation that utilizes RSM for grammar representation.
- (7) Implementation of GLL-based CFPQ algorithm that do not use linear algebra and utilize RSM for query representation.
- (8) Evaluation performance analysis of implemented CFPQ algorithms with focus on different algorithms comparison.
- (9) Implementation of parser for simple predefined graph query language using ANTLR. The language is focused on formal language constrained path querying, not a subset of GQL.
- (10) Interpreter of simple graph query language implementation that uses previously implemented algorithms for queries evaluation and provides some additional static query checks.

Exercises can be splitted in subtasks or equipped with additional introductory tasks, for example, with simple challenges aimed to investigate a new library.

All exercises also grouped in blocks that are three in total: Regular Languages, Context-Free Languages, and Parsing techniques. This division is formal to introduce tests. While blocks are almost synchronised with lectures, we do not separate introduction block because first two modules (1 and 2) size significantly varying with respect to initial level of students.

3.5 Tests

Each block equipped with short tests to check related basic knowledge. There is a bunch of questions for each block and each student randomly gets one of them and should provide an answer in 5 minutes. This allows us to check that student has mastered basics almost the all of which were used to complete exercises from the respective block. Tests used to weight tasks. The main idea is that

is the student can not pass test, then highly possible that exercises, even be passed, done with cheats. Examples of questions are presented below.

- (1) Show whether Kronecker product is commutative operation.
- (2) Convert the given regular expression to finite automaton.
- (3) Provide a derivation tree for the given string and grammar.
- (4) Convert the given context-free grammar to RSM.

3.6 Environment

The course is applied and include a number of programming-related exercises that requires respective environment to unify settings for all students and to simplify work of tutor and mentors.

We choose Python programming language as one of the most popular language, particularly among students. Additionally, there are all required libraries implemented in Python and provide easy to use and well-documented interface. Namely, we need libraries for formal languages, sparse linear algebra, parsers creation, and we choose the following ones.

PyFormLang² [7] is used to provide basic formal languages concepts such as regular expressions, finite automata, context-free grammars, recursive automata, and operations over them such as automata minimization, regular expression to finite automata conversion, grammar to normal forms conversion and so on.

SciPy³ is used for sparse boolean linear algebra. It provides different formats for sparse matrices representations, thus allows us to demonstrate correlation between matrix representation format and performance of matrix-based algorithms.

We use ANTLR⁴ [6] as a parser generation tool. ANTLR is one of the modern tools for parsers development that supports Python as a target language: it can generates parser in Python and appropriate runtime libraries are provided.

Also we use CFPQ-Data⁵ for algorithms evaluation. This dataset allows us to provide real-world graphs and queries from such areas as RDF analysis and static code analysis.

Initial project structure with dependencies and checkers configured is provided as a GitHub repository⁶ to be forked by students. The repository contains configured actions for CI, supplementary code, placeholders for exercises, functions signatures to implement, and other stuff to minimize preparation to assignments completing. Rye⁷ is used for dependencies management.

Automation is done using GitHub actions that trigger on pushes and pull requests, and includes tests execution, code style guide checking. Note that actions should be extended by students to handle parser generation. It allows us to automate control of assignments completing and use code review mechanisms to discuss assignments with students.

We use only an open tests implemented using Pytest framework and they consist of of two types. The first one is a set of ordinary unit tests that check corner cases of algorithms. The second one is a set of property-like tests that use the fact that students should

²<https://github.com/Aunsiels/pyformlang>

³<https://scipy.org/>

⁴ANTLR (ANother Tool for Language Recognition) home page: <https://www.antlr.org/>

⁵https://github.com/FormalLanguageConstrainedPathQuerying/CFPQ_Data

⁶In Russian <https://github.com/FormalLanguageConstrainedPathQuerying/formal-lang-course>

⁷<https://rye.astral.sh>

implements algorithms for closely related problems. Thus different algorithms form different assignments should return the same results for randomly generated input. This way we can simplify testing system (no private tests) and avoid algorithms fitting.

4 DISCUSSION

The proposed course is aimed to motivate students to investigate formal languages theory in , refresh linear algebra, HPC.... Why matrices: pretty simple ideas and algorithms, rather than LR, hides implementation complexity, clear abstraction (it is easy to realize that one library of linear algebra operations can be replaced with another one).

Simple formulation of engineering tasks, problems, challenges regarding performance allows students to be involved in related research during course or right after it. Evaluation of matrix-based CFPQ algorithm, represented by Nikita Mishin, Iaroslav Sokolov et al. in “Evaluation of the Context-Free Path Querying Algorithm Based on Matrix Multiplication” [5] is an improved results of experiments done as the course exercises. Similarly, Egor Orachev [?] Ilya Muraviev and optimizations of linear algebra based CFPQ algorithm.

Also we want to highlight some drawbacks and weakness of our course. The first one is that practice with non-linear-algebra-based algorithm for FLPQ and parsing is very limited. In some cases it is not a problem because students have compiler construction course that covers parsing-related topics. But in the context of CFPQ these algorithms are important because they can natively solve all-paths multiple-sources queries, but require special techniques that cannot be natively inferred from linear-algebra-based algorithms. Currently, only GLL-based algorithm is introduced, but in restricted reachability version.

Some subtasks of the last part – interpreter implementation – requires special knowledge on programming language theory (e.g. type theory). One of possible solution is to make this part more configurable and to introduce less specific tasks.

The proposed course, especially structure of exercises, hides basics of some concepts, such as sparse linear algebra or automata implementation. But this way we learn to use existing libraries that is a useful skill for engineers, and allow students to touch real-world problems and tasks without huge amount of preliminary work.

Regarding environment, one of the drawbacks is that manual control needed to check whether the requested algorithm implemented by students. It is necessary because exercises include several algorithms for the same (or similar) problems, for example four different algorithms for CFPQ, and possible students’ cheat is to resubmit single one implementation with slightly changed top level API.

Other courses [3] on data querying related areas.^{8 9 10 11} Advanced SQL, Graph databases, GQL,

5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We describe the course that bringing together formal language theory and graph analysis and involves linear algebra and high

performance computing techniques such a way smoothly combines different areas with focus on applied graph analysis problems. Note that while this course has been taught for several years now, there is a room for improvements.

One of the important technical improvements is to extend testing system to provide performance testing. For now, performance analysis of the implemented algorithms can be done only in respective tasks on algorithms evaluation and comparison. There is no automatic control on performance of implemented algorithms. So, students not forced to provide not nibe solutions. Moreover, they often provide solutions with trivial performance issues: no early exit in transitive-closure-like procedures, no analysis of sparse matrix format (so, randomly selected format is used) and so on. Additionally, we generate a bunch of property-based tests and these missed optimizations can slow down CI check (and even local ones) to tens of minutes, and also lead to failure on CI for some students.

The next technical challenge is to replace `sciPy` with `python-graphblas`¹² in order to enforce studying of specific tools for high-performance graph analysis. It is not clear, whether `sciPy` should be replaced, or `python-graphblas` should be provided as an optional alternative for `sciPy` because `sciPy` is easier for beginners, but `python-graphblas` allows one to pay more attention on performance. Also, `sciPy` provide straightforward control of matrix format, that is important for performance analysis, while in `python-graphblas` such a control is quiet tricky.

Also we want to show some ways to extend the course. First of all, more algorithms and related tasks should be added. For example, multiple sources version of linear algebra based algorithm for CFPQ, proposed by Arseniy Terekhov et al [9]. Another candidates to be added are path problem related linear algebra based algorithms for both RPQ and CFPQ. All these algorithms allows students to touch new types of problems and investigate linear algebra based approach to graph analysis deeper. But introduction of some of these algorithms is related to migration to `python-graphblas` because `sciPy` is not enough to implement them: some specific operations and ways to custom semirings specification and utilization is not provided in this library. Additionally, the current last tasks on query language development should be done more fine-grained. Interpreter development can be splitted into several tasks: basic that do not require advanced programming language theory, and advanced one.

One of important way to extend the course is to add more materials on languages beyond context-free, such as multiple context-free languages, boolean and conjunctive languages. Currently only basic introduction only presented. But these languages play important role in static analysis, and deeper studying is important to realize boundaries of expressivity power of graph query languages. Discussion of some classes beyond context-free leads to nontrivial decidability analysis for FLPQ-related problems. As a result, it leads to introduction of approximation algorithms that an important class of algorithms that do not discuss in the current version of the course. Not only theory, but also respective algorithmic exercises should be added.

⁸<http://www.drps.ed.ac.uk/16-17/dpt/cxinf11121.htm>

⁹<https://www.ksi.mff.cuni.cz/~svoboda/courses/241-NDBI049/>

¹⁰<https://www.ksi.mff.cuni.cz/~svoboda/courses/241-NIE-PDB/>

¹¹https://catalogs.buffalo.edu/preview_course_nopop.php?catoid=1&coid=1061

¹²Python wrapper for SuiteSparse:GraphBLAS: <https://github.com/python-graphblas/python-graphblas>.

Data for algorithms evaluation also should be extended to represent more different areas of FLPQ applications. For example, for CFPQ it is necessary to add biological data [8], data provenance related graphs and queries [4], more code analysis related data.

All above leads to big number of exercises and one of possible solution is to make significant number of them optional. But finally it should be possible to configure consistent subset of exercises in terms that even subset of tasks allows student to create self-contained application for graph analysis. Globally we want to achieve high flexibility of materials such that it would be able to use specific submodules in other related course. For example, in courses on formal languages, or static code analysis.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chris Barrett, Riko Jacob, and Madhav Marathe. 2000. Formal-Language-Constrained Path Problems. *SIAM J. Comput.* 30, 3 (2000), 809–837. <https://doi.org/10.1137/S0097539798337716> arXiv:<https://doi.org/10.1137/S0097539798337716>
- [2] Giovanna Kobus Conrado, Adam Husted Kjelstrøm, Jaco van de Pol, and Andreas Pavlogiannis. 2025. Program Analysis via Multiple Context Free Language Reachability. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 9, POPL, Article 18 (Jan. 2025), 30 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3704854>
- [3] Diego Figueira. 2022. *Foundations of Graph Path Query Languages*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-95481-9_1
- [4] Hui Miao and Amol Deshpande. 2019. Understanding Data Science Lifecycle Provenance via Graph Segmentation and Summarization. In *2019 IEEE 35th International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE)*. 1710–1713. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDE.2019.00179>
- [5] Nikita Mishin, Iaroslav Sokolov, Egor Spirin, Vladimir Kutuev, Egor Nemchinov, Sergey Gorbatyuk, and Semyon Grigorev. 2019. Evaluation of the Context-Free Path Querying Algorithm Based on Matrix Multiplication. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Joint International Workshop on Graph Data Management Experiences & Systems (GRADES) and Network Data Analytics (NDA) (Amsterdam, Netherlands) (GRADES-NDA'19)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 12, 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3327964.3328503>
- [6] Terence Parr. 2013. *The Definitive ANTLR 4 Reference* (2nd ed.). Pragmatic Bookshelf.
- [7] Julien Romero. 2021. Pyformlang: An Educational Library for Formal Language Manipulation. In *Proceedings of the 52nd ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (Virtual Event, USA) (SIGCSE '21)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 576–582. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3408877.3432464>
- [8] Petteri Sevon and Lauri Eronen. 2008. Subgraph Queries by Context-free Grammars. *Journal of Integrative Bioinformatics* 5, 2 (2008), 157–172. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1515/jib-2008-100>
- [9] Arseniy Terekhov, Vlada Pogozhelskaya, Vadim Abzalov, Timur Zinnatulin, and Semyon V Grigorev. 2021. Multiple-Source Context-Free Path Querying in Terms of Linear Algebra.. In *EDBT*. 487–492.
- [10] Qirun Zhang and Zhendong Su. 2017. Context-sensitive data-dependence analysis via linear conjunctive language reachability. In *Proceedings of the 44th ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (Paris, France) (POPL '17)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 344–358. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3009837.3009848>