# Part III – Introduction to Additive Combinatorics (Incomplete)

# Based on lectures by Prof Julia Wolf Notes taken by Yaël Dillies

## Lent 2024

# Contents

1	Fourier-analytic techniques	2
2	Combinatorial methods	<b>12</b>
3	Probabilistic tools	18
4	Further topics	23

# 1 Fourier-analytic techniques

Lecture 1

Let  $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$  where p is a small fixed prime and n is large.

**Notation.** Given a finite set B and any function  $f: B \to \mathbb{C}$ , write

$$\coprod_{x \in B} f(x) = \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{x \in B} f(x)$$

Write  $\omega = e^{\frac{\tau i}{p}}$ . Note  $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \omega^a = 0$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Given  $f: \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$ , define its **Fourier transform**  $\hat{f}: \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\hat{f}(t) = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x) \omega^{x \cdot t}$$

It is easy to verify the inversion formula

$$f(x) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_n^n} \hat{f}(t) \omega^{-x \cdot t}$$

Indeed,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t)\omega^{-x \cdot t} = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \left( \prod_y f(y)\omega^{y \cdot t} \right) \omega^{-x \cdot t}$$

$$= \prod_y f(y) \sum_t \omega^{(y-x) \cdot t}$$

$$= \prod_y f(y) 1_{y=x} p^n$$

$$= f(x)$$

**Notation.** Given a set A of a finite group G, write

•  $1_A$  the characteristic function of A, ie

$$1_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

•  $\mu_A$  the characteristic measure of A, ie

$$\mu_A = \alpha^{-1} 1_A$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{|A|}{|G|}$ .

•  $f_A$  the balanced function of A, ie

$$f_A(x) = 1_A(x) - \alpha$$

Note  $\mathbb{E}_x f_A(x) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_x \mu_A(x) = 1$ ,  $\widehat{1_A}(0) = \mathbb{E}_x 1_A(x) = \alpha$ . Writing  $-A = \{-a | a \in A\}$ , we have

$$\widehat{1_{-A}}(t) = \prod_{x} 1_{-A}(x)\omega^{x \cdot t}$$

$$= \prod_{x} 1_{A}(-x)\omega^{x \cdot t}$$

$$= \prod_{x} 1_{A}(x)\omega^{-x \cdot t}$$

$$= \widehat{1_{A}}(t)$$

**Example 1.2.** Let  $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ . Then

$$\widehat{1}_V(t) = \prod_x 1_V(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} = \frac{|V|}{|G|} 1_{V^{\perp}}(t)$$

So

$$\widehat{\mu_V}(t) = 1_{V^\perp}(t)$$

**Example 1.3.** Let  $R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be such that each x is included with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  independently. Then with high probability

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} \left| \widehat{1_R}(t) \right| = O\left( \sqrt{\frac{\log(p^n)}{p^n}} \right)$$

This is on Example Sheet 1 using a **Chernoff-type bound**: Given  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued independent random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  with mean 0 and  $\theta \geq 0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i}X_{i}\right|\geq\theta\sqrt{\sum_{i}\left\|X_{i}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}}\right)\leq4\exp\left(-\frac{\theta^{2}}{4}\right)$$

**Example 1.4.** Let  $Q=\{x\in\mathbb{F}_p^n\mid x\cdot x=0\}$ . Then  $|Q|=\left(\frac{1}{p}+O(p^{-n/2})\right)p^n$  and  $\sup_{t\neq 0}\left|\widehat{1_Q}(t)\right|=O(p^{-\frac{n}{2}})$ . See Example Sheet 1.

**Notation.** Given  $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$ , write

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \prod_{x} f(x) \overline{g(x)}$$

$$\left\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \right\rangle = \sum_{t} \hat{f}(t) \overline{\hat{g}(t)}$$

Consequently,

$$||f||_2^2 = \prod_x |f(x)|^2$$

$$\left\|\hat{f}\right\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{t} \left|\hat{f}(t)\right|^{2}$$

**Lemma 1.5.** For all  $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \left\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \right\rangle$$
 (Plancherel)  
 $\|f\|_2 = \left\| \hat{f} \right\|_2$  (Parseval)

Proof. Exercise.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $\rho > 0$  and  $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$ . Define the  $\rho$ -large spectrum of f to be

$$\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(f) = \{ t \mid |\hat{f}(t)| \ge \rho \|f\|_1 \}$$

**Example 1.7.** By Example 1.2, if  $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ , then  $\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(1_V) = V^{\perp}$  for all  $\rho > 0$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** For all  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\left| \operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(f) \right| \leq \rho^{-2} \frac{\|f\|_2^2}{\|f\|_2^2}$ .

Proof.

$$\left\|f\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|\hat{f}\right\|_{2}^{2}\geq\sum_{t\in\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(f)}\left|\hat{f}(t)\right|^{2}\geq\left|\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(f)\right|(\rho\left\|f\right\|_{1})^{2}$$

Lecture 2

**Definition 1.9.** Given  $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$ , define their **convolution**  $f * g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$(f * g)(x) = \prod_{y} f(y)g(x - y)$$

**Example 1.10.** Given  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ ,

$$(1_A * 1_B)(x) = \prod_y 1_A(y) 1_B(x - y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p^n} |A \cap (x - B)|$$

$$= \frac{\# \text{ ways to write } x = a + b, a \in A, b \in B}{p^n}$$

In particular, the support of  $1_A * 1_B$  is the **sum set** 

$$A+B=\{a+b\mid a\in A,b\in B\}$$

**Lemma 1.11.** Given  $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\widehat{f * g}(t) = \widehat{f}(t)\widehat{g}(t)$$

Proof.

$$\widehat{f * g}(t) = \underset{x}{\mathbb{H}} \left( \underset{y}{\mathbb{H}} f(y)g(x - y) \right) \omega^{x \cdot t}$$

$$= \underset{y}{\mathbb{H}} f(y) \underset{u}{\mathbb{H}} g(u)\omega^{(u+y) \cdot t}$$

$$= \widehat{f}(t)\widehat{g}(t)$$

**Example 1.12.**  $\|\hat{f}\|_{4}^{4} = \mathbb{E}_{x+y=z+w} f(x) f(y) \overline{f(z)f(w)}$ . See Example Sheet 1.

**Lemma 1.13** (Bogolyubov). If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  is of density  $\alpha > 0$ , then there exists a subspace V of codimension at most  $2\alpha^{-2}$  such that  $V \subseteq (A+A) - (A+A)$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $(A+A)-(A+A)=\mathrm{supp}(\underbrace{1_A*1_A*1_{-A}*1_{-A}}_q)$ , so we wish to find

V such that g(x)>0 for all  $x\in V$ . Let  $K=\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(\mathring{1_A})$  for some  $\rho>0$  and define  $V=\langle K\rangle^{\perp}$ . By Lemma 1.8, codim  $V\leq |K|\leq \rho^{-2}\alpha^{-1}$ . We calculate

$$\begin{split} g(x) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A * 1_{\widehat{A}} * 1_{-A} * 1_{-A}(t) \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \alpha^4 + \underbrace{\sum_{t \in K \setminus \{0\}} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\sum_{t \notin K} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t}}_{(2)} \end{split}$$

We now see that

$$(1) = \sum_{t \in K \setminus \{0\}} \left| \widehat{1}_A(t) \right|^4 \ge 0$$

and

$$|(2)| \leq \sum_{t \notin K} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \leq \sup_{t \notin K} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^2 \sum_{t \notin K} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^2 \leq (\rho \alpha)^2 \left\| 1_A \right\|_2^2 = \rho^2 \alpha^3$$

by Parseval. Picking  $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ , we thus get  $\rho^2 \alpha^3 \leq \frac{\alpha^4}{2}$  and g(x) > 0 whenever  $x \in V$ .  $\square$ 

**Example 1.14.** The set  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid |x| \ge \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\}$  has density at least  $\frac{1}{4}$  but there is no coset C of any subspace of codimension  $\sqrt{n}$  such that  $C \subseteq A + A$ . See Example Sheet 1.

**Lemma 1.15.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  of density  $\alpha$  be such that  $\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(1_A)$  contains some  $t \neq 0$ . Then there exist  $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  of codimension 1 and  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that

$$|A \cap (x+V)| \ge \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}\right) |V|$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \neq 0$  be such that  $\left|\widehat{1_A}(t)\right| \geq \rho \alpha$  and let  $V = \langle t \rangle^{\perp}$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, p$ , write  $v_j + V = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid x \cdot t = j\}$ 

the cosets of V. Then

$$\widehat{1_A}(t) = \widehat{f_A}(t)$$

$$= \prod_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} (1_A(x)) - \alpha) \omega^{x \cdot t}$$

$$= \prod_j \omega^j \prod_{x \in v_j + V} (1_A(x) - \alpha)$$

$$= \prod_j a_j \omega^j$$

where  $a_j = \frac{|A \cap (v_j + V)|}{|V|} - \alpha$ . Since  $\sum_j a_j = 0$ , we get

$$\rho \alpha \le \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right| \le \left| \prod_j |a_j| = \left| \prod_j (|a_j| + a_j) \right|$$

So there is some j such that  $|a_j| + a_j \ge \rho \alpha$ . In particular, this  $a_j$  is positive, so

$$\frac{|A \cap (v_j + V)|}{|V|} \ge \alpha + \frac{\rho\alpha}{2}$$

as wanted.  $\Box$ 

Lecture 3

**Lemma 1.16.** Let  $p \geq 3$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  of density  $\alpha > 0$  be such that  $\sup_{t \neq 0} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right| = o(1)$ . Then A contains  $(\alpha^3 + o(1)) |G|^2$  three terms arithmetic progressions (aka 3AP). **Notation.** Given  $f, g, h : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$ , write

$$T_3(f,g,h) = \prod_x f(x)g(x+d)h(x+2d)$$

Given  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ , write  $2 \cdot A = \{2a \mid a \in A\}$ . This is distinct from  $2A = \{a+b \mid a,b \in A\}$ .

*Proof.* The number of 3AP (including the trivial ones of the form a, a, a) in A is  $|G|^2$ 

Updated online

times

$$T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) = \prod_{x,d} 1_A(x) 1_A(x+d) 1_A(x+2d)$$

$$= \prod_{x,y} 1_A(x) 1_A(y) 1_A(2y-x)$$

$$= \prod_y (1_A * 1_A)(2y) 1_A(y)$$

$$= \langle 1_A * 1_A, 1_{2 \cdot A} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \widehat{1_A}^2, \widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}} \rangle$$

$$= \alpha^3 + \sum_{t \neq 0} \widehat{1_A}(t)^2 \widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}(t)} \text{ by Plancherel}$$

In absolute value, the error term is at most

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} \left| \widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}(t) \right| \sum_{t} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^2 = \alpha \sup_{t \neq 0} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|$$

**Theorem 1.17** (Meshulam). Let  $p \geq 3$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be a set containing only trivial 3APs. Then

 $|A| = O\left(\frac{p^n}{\log(p^n)}\right)$ 

*Proof.* By assumption,  $T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) = \frac{\alpha}{p^n}$ . But, as in Lemma 1.16,

$$\left|T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) - \alpha^3\right| \le \alpha \sup_{t \ne 0} \left|\widehat{1_A}(t)\right|$$

Hence, provided that  $2\alpha^{-2} \leq p^n$ , Lemma 1.15 gives us a subspace  $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  of codimension 1 and  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that

$$|A \cap (x+V)| \ge \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\right)|V|$$

We iterate this observation. Let  $A_0 = A, V_0 = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ . At step i, we are given a set  $A_i \subseteq V_i$  of density  $\alpha_i$  with only trivial 3APs. Provided that  $2\alpha_i^{-2} \leq p^{\dim V_i}$ , find  $V_{i+1} \leq V_i$  of codimension 1 and  $x \in V_i$  such that  $|A_i \cap (x+V_i)| \geq \left(\alpha_i + \frac{\alpha_i^2}{4}\right) |V_{i+1}|$  and set  $A_{i+1} = (A_i - x) \cap V_i$ . Note that  $\alpha_{i+1} \geq \alpha_i + \frac{\alpha_i^2}{4}$  and  $A_{i+1}$  only contains trivial 3APs (because, very importantly, 3AP are translation-invariant).

Through this iteration, the density of A increases from  $\alpha$  to  $2\alpha$  in at most  $\lceil 4\alpha^{-1} \rceil$  steps, from  $2\alpha$  to  $4\alpha$  in at most  $\lceil 2\alpha^{-1} \rceil$  steps, etc... Since density can't increase past 1, it takes at most

$$\underbrace{\lceil 4\alpha^{-1} \rceil + \lceil 2\alpha^{-1} \rceil + \dots}_{\lceil \log \alpha^{-1} \rceil \text{ terms}} \le (4\alpha^{-1} + 1) + (2\alpha^{-1} + 1) + \dots \le 8\alpha^{-1} + \log \alpha^{-1} + 1 \le 9\alpha^{-1}$$

steps to reach a point where the condition  $2\alpha_i^{-2} \leq p^{\dim V_i}$  is not respected anymore. Now either  $\alpha \leq \sqrt{2}p^{-\frac{n}{4}}$  (in which case the inequality is obvious) or  $\alpha \geq \sqrt{2}p^{-\frac{n}{4}}$  and

$$p^{n-9\alpha^{-1}} \le p^{\dim V_i} \le 2\alpha_i^{-2} \le 2\alpha^{-2} \le p^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

namely  $\alpha \leq \frac{18}{n}$ , as wanted.

Lecture 4

We have proved that if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^n$  only contains trivial 3APs then  $|A| = O(\frac{3^n}{n})$ . The largest known set in  $\mathbb{F}_3^n$  with only trivial 3APs has size  $\geq 2.218^n$  (Tyrrell, 2022). We will return to this later.

From now on, let G be a finite abelian group. G comes equipped with a set of **characters**, ie group homomorphisms  $\gamma: G \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ . Characters themselves form a group denoted  $\hat{G}$  and called the **Pontryagin dual** (aka **dual group**) of G. It turns out that if G is finite abelian then  $\hat{G} \cong G$  (but *non-canonically*). For instance,

- If  $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ , then  $\hat{G} = \{ \gamma_t : x \mapsto \omega^{x \cdot t} \mid t \in G \}$
- If  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\hat{G} = \{ \gamma_t : x \mapsto \omega^{xt} \mid t \in G \}$

The latter is a special case of the former, but again n should thought of as an asymptotic variable.

**Definition 1.18.** Given  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$ , define its **Fourier transform**  $\hat{f}: \hat{G} \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \prod_{x \in G} f(x)\gamma(x)$$

It is easy to verify that  $f(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\gamma) \overline{\gamma(x)}$ . Similarly, Definitions 1.6, 1.9, Examples 1.3, 1.10 and Lemmas 1.5, 1.8, 1.11 go through in this more general context.

**Example 1.19.** Let p be a prime, L < p be even and  $J = \left[ -\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2} \right] \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ . Then for all  $t \neq 0$  we have

$$\widehat{1_J}(t) \le \min\left(\frac{L+1}{p}, \frac{1}{2|t|}\right)$$

See Example Sheet 1.

**Theorem 1.20** (Roth). Let  $A \subseteq [N]$  be a set containing only trivial 3APs. Then  $|A| = O(\frac{N}{\log \log N})$ .

**Lemma 1.21.** Let  $A \subseteq [N]$  of density  $\alpha > 0$  containing only trivial 3APs and satisfying  $N > 50\alpha^{-2}$ . Let p be a prime in  $\left[\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}\right]$  and write  $A' = A \cap [p] \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ . Then either

- 1.  $\sup_{t\neq 0} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$  (where the Fourier coefficients are computed in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ )
- 2. or there exists an interval J of length  $\geq \frac{N}{3}$  such that

$$|A \cap J| \ge \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{400}\right)|J|$$

*Proof.* If  $|A'| \leq \alpha \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{200}\right) p$ , then

$$|A \cap [p+1, N]| \ge \alpha(N-p) + \frac{\alpha^2 p}{200} \ge \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{400}\right)(N-p)$$

and we are in Case 2 with J = [p+1, N]. Let  $A'' = A' \cap \left[\frac{p}{3}, \frac{2p}{3}\right]$ . Note that all 3APs of the form  $(x, x+d, x+2d) \in A' \times A'' \times A''$  are in fact 3APs in [N] (and in particular they are trivial).

If  $|A' \cap [\frac{p}{3}]|$  or  $|A' \cap [\frac{2p}{3}, p]|$  were at least  $\frac{2}{5}|A'|$ , then we would again be in Case 2. We may therefore assume that  $|A''| \ge \frac{|A'|}{5}$ .

Now, as in Lemma 1.16 and Theorem 1.17 with  $\alpha' = \frac{|A'|}{p}, \alpha'' = \frac{|A''|}{p}$ ,

$$\frac{\alpha''}{p} = T_3(1_{A'}, 1_{A''}, 1_{A''}) = \alpha' \alpha''^2 + \sum_{t \neq 0} \widehat{1_{A'}}(t) \widehat{1_{A''}}(t) \widehat{1_{2 \cdot A'}}(t)$$

So, as before,  $\frac{\alpha'\alpha''}{2} \leq \alpha'' \sup_{t \neq 0} \left| \widehat{1_{A'}}(t) \right|$ , provided  $\frac{\alpha''}{p} \leq \frac{\alpha'\alpha''^2}{2}$ . This holds by assumption since  $p \geq \frac{N}{3}, N \geq 50\alpha^{-2}, \alpha' \geq \frac{199}{200}\alpha, \alpha'' \geq \frac{\alpha'}{5}$ .

#### Lecture 5

We now want to convert the large Fourier coefficient into a density increment. This is harder now that the number of values of xt grows as  $n \to \infty$ . Compare this to the finite field case where  $x \cdot t$  only take p different values regardless of n. If we can't find a single big coefficient, then we might instead be able to find an interval of coefficients whose total contribution is big.

TODO: Insert picture

**Lemma 1.22.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\phi : [m] \to \mathbb{F}_p$  be multiplication by some fixed  $t \neq 0$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition of [m] into progressions  $P_i$  of length  $\in [\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{m}}{2}, \varepsilon\sqrt{m}]$  such that  $\operatorname{diam}(\phi(P_i)) \leq \varepsilon p$ .

Proof. Let  $u = \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor$  and consider  $0, t, \ldots, ut$ . By pigeonhole, find  $0 \le v < w \le u$  such that  $|wt - vt| \le \frac{p}{u}$ . Set  $s = w - v \le u$  so that  $|st| \le \frac{p}{u}$ . Divide [m] into residue classes mod s. Each has size at least  $\lfloor \frac{m}{s} \rfloor \ge \lfloor \frac{m}{u} \rfloor$  and can be divided into progressions of the form  $a, a + s, \ldots, a + ds$  with  $\frac{\varepsilon u}{2} < d \le \varepsilon u$ . The diameter of each progression under  $\phi$  is  $|dst| \le \varepsilon p$ .

**Lemma 1.23.** Let  $A \subseteq [N]$  be of density  $\alpha > 0$ . Let p be a prime in  $\left[\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}\right]$  and write  $A' = A \cap [p]$ . Suppose there exists  $t \neq 0$  such that  $\left|\widehat{1}_A(t)\right| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$ . Then there exists a progression p of length at least  $\alpha^2 \frac{\sqrt{N}}{500}$  such that

$$|A \cap P| \ge \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{50}\right)|P|$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{\alpha^2}{40\pi}$  and use Lemma 1.22 to partition [p] into progressions  $P_i$  of length

at least  $\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{p}}{2} \ge \frac{\alpha^2}{80\pi} \sqrt{\frac{N}{3}} \ge \frac{\alpha^2\sqrt{N}}{500}$  and diam  $\phi(P_i) \le \varepsilon p$ . Fix one  $x_i$  inside each  $P_i$ .

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{10} \leq \left| \widehat{f_{A'}}(t) \right| \\
= \frac{1}{p} \left| \sum_{i} \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{xt} \right| \\
= \frac{1}{p} \left| \sum_{i} \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{xit} + \sum_{i} \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) (\omega^{xt} - \omega^{xit}) \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{xit} \right| + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i} \sum_{x \in P_i} |f_{A'}(x)| 2\pi\varepsilon \\
\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{xit} \right| + \frac{\alpha^2}{20}$$

So

$$\left| \sum_{i} \left| \sum_{x \in P_{i}} f_{A'}(x) \right| \ge \frac{\alpha^{2} p}{20}$$

Since  $f_{A'}$  has mean zero, there exists i such that  $\sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \ge \frac{\alpha^2 |P_i|}{40}$ .

*Proof of Roth's theorem.* Put the ingredients together, Similarly to Meshulam. See Example Sheet 1 for details.  $\Box$ 

**Example 1.24** (Behrend's construction). There exists a set  $A \subseteq [N]$  containing non nontrivial 3APs of size at least  $e^{-O(\sqrt{\log n})}$ . See Example Sheet 1.

**Definition 1.25.** Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \hat{G}$ . The **Bohr set** of frequencies  $\Gamma$  and width  $\rho$  is

$$B(\Gamma, \rho) = \{ x \in G \mid \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, |\gamma(x) - 1| \le \rho \}$$

 $|\Gamma|$  is the **rank** of the Bohr set.

**Example 1.26.** When  $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ ,  $B(\Gamma, \rho) = \langle \Gamma \rangle^{\perp}$  for all small enough  $\rho$  (depending only on p, not n).

**Lemma 1.27.** Let B be a Bohr set of rank d and width  $\rho$ . Then  $|B| \geq \left(\frac{\rho}{2\pi}\right)^d |G|$ .

*Proof.* See Example Sheet 2.  $\Box$ 

Lecture 6

**Lemma 1.28** (Bogolyubov). Given  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$  of density  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists  $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p}$  of size at most  $2\alpha^{-2}$  such that  $B(\Gamma, \frac{1}{2}) \subseteq (A+A) - (A+A)$ .

*Proof.* Recall  $(1_A*1_A*1_{-A}*1_{-A})(x) = \sum_{t \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p}} \left|\widehat{1_A}(t)\right|^4 \omega^{-xt}$ . Let  $\Gamma = \operatorname{Spec}_{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}(1_A)$  and note that we have  $\cos(\frac{2\pi xt}{p}) > 0$  for all  $x \in B(\Gamma, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $t \in \Gamma$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{t \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p}} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \omega^{-xt} &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \cos \left( \frac{2\pi xt}{p} \right) + \sum_{t \notin \Gamma} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \cos \left( \frac{2\pi xt}{p} \right) \\ &\geq \alpha^4 - \frac{\alpha^4}{2} > 0 \end{split}$$

#### 2 Combinatorial methods

For now, let G be an abelian group. Given  $A, B \subseteq G$ , we defined

$$A \pm B = \{a \pm b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

If A and B are finite and nonempty, then

$$\max(|A|, |B|) \le |A \pm B| \le |A| |B|$$

Better bounds are available in certain settings.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be a subspace. Then V + V, so |V + V| = |V|. In fact, if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  is such that |A + A| = |A|, then A is a coset of some subspace.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be such that  $|A+A| < \frac{3}{2}|A|$ . Then there exists  $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that A is contained in a coset of V and  $|V| < \frac{3}{2}|A|$ . See Example Sheet 2.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be a set of linearly independent vectors. Then  $|A+A| = \binom{|A|+1}{2}$ . This is big doubling, but  $|A| \le n$  is small! Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be a set where each point is taken randomly with probability  $p^{-\theta n}$  where

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be a set where each point is taken randomly with probability  $p^{-\theta n}$  where  $\theta \in ]\frac{1}{2},1]$ . Then with high probability  $|A+A|=(1+o(1))\frac{|A|^2}{2}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Given finite sets  $A, B \subseteq G$ , we define the Ruzsa distance between A and B to be

$$d(A, B) = \log \frac{|A - B|}{\sqrt{|A||B|}}$$

d(A,B) is clearly nonnegative and symmetric. However,  $d(A,A) \neq 0$  in general.

**Lemma 2.5** (Ruzsa's triangle inequality). For  $A, B, C \subseteq G$  finite,

$$d(A,C) \leq d(A,B) + d(B,C)$$

*Proof.* The inequality reduces to

$$|B||A - C| \le |A - B||B - C|$$

This is true because

$$\phi: B \times (A-C) \to (A-B) \times (B-C)$$
$$(b,d) \mapsto (a_d-b,b-c_d)$$

is injective, where for each  $d \in A - C$  we have chosen  $a_d \in A, c_d \in C$  such that d = a - c.

**Definition 2.6.** Given a finite set  $A \subseteq G$ , we write  $\sigma(A) = \frac{|A+A|}{|A|}$  the **doubling constant** and  $\delta(A) = \frac{|A-A|}{|A|}$  the **difference constant** of A.

 $d(A,A) = \log \sigma(A)$  and  $d(A,-A) = \log \delta(A)$ , so Lemma 2.5 for A,-A,-A tells us that  $\delta(A) \leq \sigma(A)^2$ .

Lecture 7

**Notation.** Given  $A \subseteq G$  and  $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , write  $\ell A - mA$  for the set

$$\underbrace{A + \dots + A}_{\ell \text{ times}} - \underbrace{A + \dots + A}_{m \text{ times}}$$

**Theorem 2.7** (Plünnecke's inequality). Let  $A, B \subseteq G$  be finite such that  $|A + B| \le K |A|$ . Then for all  $\ell, m$ ,

$$|\ell B - mB| < K^{\ell + m} |A|$$

**Idea.** A should be thought of as being approximately a subspace. The assumption then says that B is efficiently contained in (a translate of) A and the conclusion now reads that B must itself have small multiples. This makes sense, since we can use multiples of A (which are not much bigger than A) to efficiently contain the multiples of B.

*Proof.* WLOG  $|A+B|=K\,|A|$ . Choose  $A'\subseteq A$  nonempty such that the ratio  $\frac{|A'+B|}{|A'|}=K'$  is minimised. Note  $K'\le K$  and  $|A''+B|\ge K'\,|A''|$  for all  $A''\subseteq A$ .

**Claim.** For all finite  $C \subseteq G$ ,  $|A' + B + C| \le K' |A' + C|$ .

From the claim, we show that  $|A' + mB| \le K'^m |A'|$  for all m by induction: That's true for m = 0. For m + 1, the claim with C = mB gives

$$|A' + (m+1)B| = |A' + B + C| < K' |A' + C| < K'^{m+1} |A'|$$

Now, by the triangle inequality,

$$|A'| |\ell B - mB| \le |A' + \ell B| |A' + mB| \le K'^{\ell} |A'| K'^{m} |A'|$$

Namely,  $|\ell B - mB| \le K'^{\ell+m} |A'| \le K^{\ell+m} |A|$ .

*Proof of the claim.* Do induction on C. For  $C = \emptyset$ , it's true. For  $C' = C \cup \{x\}$  with  $x \notin C$ , observe that

$$A' + B + C' = A' + B + C \cup A' + B + x$$
  
=  $A' + B + C \cup A' + B + x \setminus D + B + x$ 

where  $D = \{a \in A' \mid a+B+x \subseteq A'+B+C\}$ . By definition of K',  $|D+B| \ge K' |D|$ , so

$$|A' + B + C'| \le |A' + B + C| + |A' + B + x \setminus D + B + x|$$

$$\le |A' + B + C| + |A' + B| - |D + B|$$

$$\le K' |A' + C| + K' |A'| - K' |D|$$

$$= K'(|A' + C| + |A'| - |D|)$$

We now apply the same argument again, writing

$$A' + C' = A' + C \cup A' + x \setminus E + x$$

where  $E = \{a \in A' \mid a + x \in A' + C\} \subseteq D$ . This time, the union is disjoint, so

$$|A' + C'| = |A' + C| + |A'| - |E| > |A' + C| + |A| - |D|$$

Hence  $|A' + B + C'| \le K' |A' + C'|$  which proves the claim.

We are now in a position to generalise Example 2.2.

Incomplete 13 Updated online

**Theorem 2.8** (Freiman-Ruzsa). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be such that  $|A+A| \leq K|A|$  for some K > 0. Then A is contained in a subspace  $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  of size  $|H| \leq K^2 p^{K^4} |A|$ .

*Proof.* Write S = A - A and choose  $X \subseteq A + S$  maximal such that the translates x + A for  $x \in X$  are disjoint.

X cannot be too large. Indeed,  $\forall x \in X, x+A \subseteq 2A+S$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{x \in X} (x+A) \subseteq 2A+S$  and  $|X|\,|A| = \left|\bigcup_{x \in X} (x+A)\right| \leq |2A+S| \leq K^4\,|A|$  by Plünnecke, namely  $|X| \leq K^4$ . Now observe that  $A+S \subseteq X+S$ . Indeed, if  $y \in A+S$ , then either  $y \in X \subseteq X+S$  (because  $0 \in S$ ) or  $y \notin X$ , meaning that x+A and y+A are not disjoint (X is maximal), namely  $y \in x+A-A \subseteq X+S$ .

By induction,  $\ell A + S \subseteq \ell X + S$  for all  $\ell$ . Hence, writing

$$H = \langle A \rangle = \bigcup_{\ell} (\ell A + S) \subseteq \bigcup_{\ell} (\ell X + S) = \langle X \rangle + S$$

the subgroup generated by A, we see that A is contained in a subgroup of size

$$|H| \le |\langle X \rangle| \, |S| \le p^{|X|} K^2 \, |A| \le K^2 p^{K^4} \, |A|$$

Lecture 8

**Example 2.9.** Let  $A=H\cup R\subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  where H is a subspace of dimension  $K\ll d\ll n-k$  and R consists of K-1 linearly independent vectors in  $H^\perp$ . Then  $|A|=|H\cup R|\sim |H|$  and  $|A+A|=|H\cup H+R\cup R+R|\sim K\,|H|\sim K\,|A|$  but any subspace  $V\leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  containing A must have size  $\geq p^{d+(K-1)}=p^{K-1}\,|H|\sim p^{K-1}\,|A|$  where the constant is exponential in K.

**Conjecture 1** (Polynomial Freiman-Ruzsa). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be such that  $|A+A| \leq K |A|$ . Then there is a subspace  $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  of size at most  $C_1(K) |A|$  and  $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that  $|A \cap (x+H)| \geq \frac{|A|}{C_2(K)}$  where  $C_1(K)$  and  $C_2(K)$  are polynomials.

For p = 2, this is now a theorem.

**Definition 2.10.** Given an abelian group G and finite sets  $A, B \subseteq G$ , define additive quadruples to be the tuples  $(a, a', b, b') \in A^2 \times B^2$  such that a + b = a' + b' and the additive energy between A and B to be

$$E(A,B) = \frac{\#\{\text{additive quadruples}\}}{|A|^{\frac{3}{2}}|B|^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

Write E(A) = E(A, A) the additive energy of A.

Observe that, if G is finite, then

$$|A|^{3} E(A) = |G|^{3} \prod_{x+y=z+w} 1_{A}(x) 1_{A}(y) 1_{A}(z) 1_{A}(w)$$
$$= |G|^{3} \|\widehat{1}_{A}\|_{4}^{4}$$

**Example 2.11.** When  $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ , we have E(H) = 1.

**Lemma 2.12.** Let G be abelian and  $A, B \subseteq G$  be finite. Then  $E(A, B) \ge \frac{\sqrt{|A||B|}}{|A \pm B|}$ .

Incomplete 14 Updated online

*Proof.* Write  $r(x) = \#\{(a,b) \in A \times B \mid a+b=x\}$  so that

$$|A|^{\frac{3}{2}} |B|^{\frac{3}{2}} E(A, B) = \#\{\text{additive quadruples}\} = \sum_{x} r(x)^2$$

Observe that  $\sum_{x} r(x) = |A| |B|$ , therefore

$$\begin{split} |A|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, |B|^{\frac{3}{2}} \, E(A,B) &= \sum_{x} r(x)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\sum_{x} r(x) \mathbf{1}_{A+B}(x)}{\sum_{x} \mathbf{1}_{A+B}(x)^2} \text{ by Cauchy-Schwarz} \\ &= \frac{(|A| \, |B|)^2}{|A+B|} \end{split}$$

and similarly for A - B.

In particular, if  $|A + A| \le K|A|$  then  $E(A) \ge \frac{1}{K}$ . The mantra is "Small doubling implies big energy". The converse is **not** true.

**Example 2.13.** Let G be your favorite family of abelian groups. Then there are constants  $\eta, \theta > 0$  such that for all sufficiently large n there exists  $A \subseteq G$  with |A| = n satisfying  $E(A) \gg \eta$  and  $|A + A| \ge \theta |A|^2$ . See Example Sheet 2.

If we can't hope for a set to have small doubling when its energy is big, we might at least be able to find a big subset with big energy.

**Theorem 2.14** (Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers). Let G be an abelian group and let  $A \subseteq G$  be finite such that  $E(A) \ge \eta$  for some  $\eta > 0$ . Then there exists  $A' \subseteq A$  of size at least  $c(\eta) |A|$  such that  $|A' + A'| \le C(\eta) |A|$  where  $c(\eta)$  and  $C(\eta)$  are polynomials in  $\eta$ .

We first prove a technical lemma using a method known as "dependent random choice".

**Lemma 2.15.** Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_m \subseteq [n]$  and suppose that  $\sum_{i,j} |A_i \cap A_j| \ge \delta^2 n m^2$ . Then there exists  $X \subseteq [m]$  of size at least  $\frac{\delta^5 m}{\sqrt{2}}$  such that  $|A_i \cap A_j| \ge \frac{\delta^2 n}{2}$  for at least 90% of the pairs  $(i,j) \in X^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_5$  be taken uniformly at random from [n] and let

$$X = \{i \in [m] \mid \forall k, x_k \in A_i\}$$

Observe that  $\mathbb{P}(i, j \in X) = \left(\frac{|A_i \cap A_j|}{n}\right)^5$ . Hence

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\left|X\right|^{2}}{m^{2}} = \prod_{i,j} \mathbb{P}(i,j \in X) \ge \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}_{i,j}\left|A_{i} \cap A_{j}\right|}{n}\right)^{5} \ge \delta^{10}$$

Call a pair **bad** if  $|A_i \cap A_j| < \frac{\delta^2 n}{2}$ . Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(i, j \in X \mid (i, j) \text{ bad}) = \mathbb{P}(x_1 \in A_i \cap A_j \mid (i, j) \text{ bad})^5 \le \frac{\delta^{10}}{2^5}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[\#\{\text{bad pairs in }X^2\}] \leq \frac{\delta^{10}m^2}{2^5}$$

meaning that

$$\frac{\delta^{10}m^2}{2} + 16 \operatorname{\mathbb{E}}[\#\{\text{bad pairs in } X^2\}] \leq \operatorname{\mathbb{E}}[|X|^2]$$

We can therefore find  $x_1, \ldots, x_5$  such that  $\frac{\delta^{10}m^2}{2} + 16\#\{\text{bad pairs in } X^2\} \leq |X|^2$ . This both means that  $|X| \geq \frac{\delta^5 m}{\sqrt{2}}$  and that

$$\#\{\text{bad pairs in }X^2\} \leq \frac{\left|X\right|^2}{16} \leq 10\% \left|X\right|^2$$

Lecture 9

Proof of Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers. Call d a **popular difference** if we can write d = x - y with  $x, y \in A$  in at least  $\frac{\eta|A|}{2}$  ways, ie if  $r_{A-A}(d) \ge \frac{\eta|A|}{2}$ .

There must be at least  $\frac{\eta|A|}{2}$  popular differences for, if not,

$$\eta |A|^{3} \leq \sum_{d} r_{A-A}(d)^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{d \text{ popular}} r_{A-A}(d)^{2} + \sum_{d \text{ unpopular}} r_{A-A}(d)^{2}$$

$$< \frac{\eta |A|}{2} |A|^{2} + \frac{\eta |A|}{2} \sum_{d} r_{A-A}(d)$$

$$= \eta |A|^{3}$$

Define a graph with vertex set A and with  $x \sim y$  if y - x is a popular difference. Since we have at least  $\frac{\eta|A|}{2}$  popular differences, our graph has at least  $\frac{\eta^2|A|^2}{4}$  (directed) edges. We have  $\mathbb{E}_{x,y\in A}|N(x)\cap N(y)|\geq \frac{\eta^2|A|}{4}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{split} & \prod_{x,y \in A} |N(x) \cap N(y)| = \prod_{x,y \in A} \sum_{z \in A} 1_{x \sim z} 1_{y \sim z} \\ & = \sum_{z \in A} \left( \prod_{x \in A} 1_{x \sim z} \right)^2 \\ & \geq \frac{1}{|A|} \left( \sum_{z \in A} \prod_{x \in A} 1_{x \sim z} \right)^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{|A|} \left( \prod_{x \in A} |N(x)| \right)^2 \\ & \geq \frac{1}{|A|} \left( \frac{\eta^2 |A|}{4} \right)^2 \\ & = \frac{\eta^4 |A|}{2^4} \end{split}$$

We apply Lemma 2.15 with m=n=|A| and  $\delta=\frac{\eta^2}{4}$  to find a subset  $B\subseteq A$  of size  $\geq \frac{\eta^{10}|A|}{2^{11}}$  with the property that  $|N(x)\cap N(y)|\geq \frac{\eta^4|A|}{2^5}$  for at least 90% of the  $x,y\in B$ . But then for at least 50% of the  $x\in B$  we have  $|N(x)\cap N(y)|\geq \frac{\eta^4|A|}{2^5}$  for at least 80% of the  $y\in B$  (else 90%  $\leq \mathbb{E}_{x,y\in B}\,1_{(x,y)\ \mathrm{good}}<50\%*100\%*+50\%**80\%=90\%$ ). Call

Incomplete 16 Updated online

 $A'\subseteq B$  that set of such x. On one hand,  $|A'|\geq \frac{|B|}{2}\geq \frac{\eta^{10}|A|}{2^{12}}$ . On the other hand, if  $x,y\in A'$  then at least 60% of the  $z\in B$ , namely at least  $\frac{\eta^{10}|A|}{2^{12}}$  such z, are such that

$$|N(x) \cap N(z)|, |N(y) \cap N(z)| \ge \frac{\eta^4 |A|}{2^5}$$

We now prove an upper bound on |A'-A'| by showing that each element can be written as a linear combination of distinct octuples in A. For each such z, there are at least  $\left(\frac{\eta^4|A|}{2^5}\right)^2$  pairs (u,v) with  $u\in N(x)\cap N(z), v\in N(y)\cap N(z)$ . For each such pair (u,v), we have  $x\sim u\sim z\sim v\sim y$ , hence the elements u-x,z-u,v-z,y-v are all popular differences and there are at least  $\left(\frac{\eta|A|}{2}\right)^4$  octuples  $(a_1,\ldots,a_8)\in A^8$  such that

$$u - x = a_2 - a_1, z - u = a_4 - a_3, v - z = a_6 - a_5, y - v = a_8 - a_7$$

In other words, there are at least

$$\underbrace{\frac{\eta^{10} |A|}{2^{12}}}_{z} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\eta^{4} |A|}{2^{5}}\right)^{2}}_{(u,v)} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\eta |A|}{2}\right)^{4}}_{(a_{1},\dots,a_{8})} = \frac{\eta^{22} |A|^{7}}{2^{26}}$$

octuples  $(a_1, \ldots, a_8) \in A^8$  such that

$$y - x = (a_8 - a_7) + (a_6 - a_5) + (a_4 - a_3) + (a_2 - a_1)$$

Since distinct y - x give rise to distinct octuples,

$$\frac{\eta^{22} |A|^7}{2^{26}} |A' - A'| \le |A|^8$$

namely

$$|A' - A'| \le \frac{2^{26}}{\eta^{22}} |A| \le \frac{2^{38}}{\eta^{32}} |A'|$$

## 3 Probabilistic tools

**Proposition 3.1** (Khintchine's inequality). Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent random variables taking values  $\pm x_i$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Then, for all  $p \in [2, \infty[$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i} X_{i} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{P})} = O\left(\sqrt{p} \left(\sum_{i} \left\| X_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{P})}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

Lecture 10

*Proof.* By nesting of norms, it's enough to prove it when p=2k for some integer k. Write  $X=\sum_i X_i$  and WLOG assume that  $\sum_i \|X_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}^2=1$ . By Chernoff,

$$||X||_{L^{2k}(\mathbb{P})}^{2k} = \int_0^\infty 2kt^{2k-1}\mathbb{P}(|X| \ge t) \ dt \le 8k\underbrace{\int_0^\infty t^{2k-1}\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) \ dt}_{I(k)}$$

Let's prove by induction on k that  $I(k) \leq C^{2k} \frac{(2k)^k}{4k}$  for some constant C > 0. Indeed if k = 1 then

$$\int_0^\infty t \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) \ dt = -2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) \Big|_0^\infty = 2 \le C^2 \frac{2}{4}$$

if  $C \geq 2$ . For k > 1.

$$\begin{split} I(k) &= \int_0^\infty t^{2k-2} t \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) \, dt \\ &= t^{2k-2} (-2) \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty (2k-2) t^{2k-3} (-2) \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) \, dt \\ &= 4(k-1) I(k-1) \\ &\leq 4(k-1) C^{2(k-1)} \frac{(2(k-1))^{k-1}}{4(k-1)} \\ &\leq C^{2k} \frac{(2k)^k}{4k} \end{split}$$

if 
$$C > \sqrt{2}$$
.

Corollary 3.2 (Rudin's inequality). Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{F}_2^n}$  be linearly independent and  $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $\hat{f}$  is supported on  $\Lambda$ . Then, for all  $p \in [2, \infty[$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} = O\left(\sqrt{p} \|f\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}\right)$$

Proof. See Example Sheet 2.

Corollary 3.3 (Dual form of Rudin's inequality). Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{F}_2^n}$  be linearly independent and let  $q \in ]1,2]$  Then for all  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$ ,

$$\left\| \widehat{f} \right\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)} = O\left( \sqrt{\frac{q}{q-1}} \, \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} \right)$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$  and write  $g = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \hat{f}(\gamma)\gamma$ . Then

$$\hat{g}(\delta) = \coprod_x \delta(x) \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \hat{f}(\gamma) \coprod_x \gamma(x) \delta(x) = 1_{\Lambda}(\delta) \hat{f}(\delta)$$

So  $\hat{g}$  is supported on  $\Lambda$  and

$$\left\|\hat{f}\right\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)}^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \left|\hat{f}(\gamma)\right|^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \hat{f}(\gamma)\overline{\hat{g}(\gamma)} = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle_{\ell_2(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_2^n)}$$

By Hölder,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} \le \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_2^n)}$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . By Rudin,

$$\left\|g\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} = O(\sqrt{p} \left\|\hat{g}\right\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)}) = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{q}{q-1}} \left\|\hat{f}\right\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)}\right)$$

Putting all of this together, we get the result.

Recall that, given  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$  of density  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\left| \operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(1_A) \right| \leq \rho^{-2}\alpha^{-1}$ . This is best possible, as the example of a subspace  $H \leq \mathbb{F}_2^n$  shows:

$$|\operatorname{Spec}_1(1_H)| = |H^{\perp}| = \left(\frac{|H|}{2^n}\right)^{-1}$$

But here H is very structured! And indeed in Bogolyubov we used the bound on the size of the spectrum only to bound the size of the subspace it generated. So maybe the dimension of the spectrum is what we should be looking at instead of its size.

**Theorem 3.4** (Special case of Chang's lemma). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be of density  $\alpha > 0$ . Then for all  $\rho > 0$  there exists a subspace  $H \leq \mathbb{F}_2^n$  of dimension  $O(\rho^{-2} \log \alpha^{-1})$  such that  $\operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(1_A) \subseteq H$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(1_A)$  be a maximal linearly independent subset and let  $H = \langle \operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(1_A) \rangle$ . Then dim  $H = |\Lambda|$ . By Corollary 3.3, if  $q \in ]1,2]$ ,

$$(\rho\alpha)^2 |\Lambda| \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \left| \widehat{1_A}(\gamma) \right|^2 = \left\| \widehat{1_A} \right\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)}^2 = O\left( \frac{q}{q-1} \left\| 1_A \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} \right) = O\left( \frac{q}{q-1} \alpha^{\frac{2}{q}} \right)$$

So 
$$|\Lambda| = O\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\rho^{-2}\alpha^{\frac{2}{q}-2}\right)$$
. Choose  $q = 1 + \log^{-1}\alpha^{-1}$  to get  $|\Lambda| = O(\rho^{-2}\log\alpha^{-1})$ .  $\square$ 

We will prove Chang's lemma in greater generality on Example Sheet 3. The key definition for the generalisation is the following.

**Definition 3.5.** Let G be a finite abelian group. We say  $S \subseteq G$  is **dissociated** if

$$\sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s s = 0 \implies \varepsilon = 0$$

for all  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^S$ .

Note that if  $G = \mathbb{F}_2^n$  then a set  $S \subseteq G$  is dissociated iff it's linearly independent.

Lecture 11

**Theorem 3.6** (Chang's lemma). Let G be a finite abelian group and let  $A \subseteq G$  be of density  $\alpha > 0$ . If  $\Lambda \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}_{\rho}(1_A)$  is dissociated, then  $|\Lambda| = O(\rho^{-2} \log \alpha^{-1})$ .

*Proof.* See Example Sheet 3.

We may bootstrap Khintchine's inequality to get the following.

**Theorem 3.7** (Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequality). Let  $p \in [2, \infty[$  and  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in L^p(\mathbb{P})$  be independent random variables with  $\mathbb{E}\sum_i X_i = 0$ . Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i} X_{i} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{P})} = O\left(\sqrt{p} \left\| \sum_{i} |X_{i}|^{2} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{P})}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

*Proof.* We can derive the complex-valued case from the real-valued case by taking real and imaginary parts and apply the triangle inequality.

Next assume that the distribution of the  $X_i$  is symmetric, ie  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = a) = \mathbb{P}(X_i = -a)$  for all a. Partition the probability space  $\Omega$  into sets  $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_M$ , writing  $\mathbb{P}_j$  for the induced probability measure on  $\Omega_j$ . Do it so that all  $X_i$  are symmetric and take at most two values on each  $\Omega_j$ . Applying Khintchine for each  $j \in [M]$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i} X_{i} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{P}_{j})}^{p} = O\left( p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left( \sum_{i} \left\| X_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{P}_{j})}^{2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) = O\left( p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{i} \left| X_{i} \right|^{2} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{P}_{j})}^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)$$

with the last inequality being Jensen on  $x \mapsto x^{\frac{p}{2}}$ . Summing over all  $j \in [M]$  and taking p-th roots gives the symmetric case.

Now suppose the  $X_i$  are arbitrary. Let  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  be such that  $X_i \sim Y_i$  and  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ ,  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  are independent. Applying the symmetric result to  $X_i - Y_i$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i} (X_i - Y_i) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P})} = O\left(\sqrt{p} \left\| \sum_{i} |X_i - Y_i|^2 \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$
$$= O\left(\sqrt{p} \left\| \sum_{i} |X_i|^2 \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{P})}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

But also

$$\left\| \sum_{i} X_{i} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{P})} = \left\| \sum_{i} X_{i} - \left\| \sum_{i} Y_{i} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{P})} \le \left\| \sum_{i} (X_{i} - Y_{i}) \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P})}$$

by convexity.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.8** (Croot-Sisask Almost Periodicity). Let G be a finite abelian group, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $p \in [2, \infty[$ . Let  $A, B \subseteq G$  be such that  $|A + B| \le K |A|$  and let  $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ . Then there exist  $b \in B$  and a set  $X \subseteq B - b$  such that  $|X| \ge (2K)^{-O(\varepsilon^{-2}p)} |B|$  and

$$\|\tau_x(f*\mu_A) - f*\mu_A\|_{L^p(G)} \le \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^p(G)}$$

*Proof.* The main idea is to approximate

$$(f * \mu_A)(y) = \prod_x \mu_A(x) f(y - x) = \prod_{x \in A} f(y - x)$$

by  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} f(y-z_i)$  with the  $z_i$  sampled uniformly at random from A for some k to be chosen. For each  $y \in G$ , define  $Z_i(y) = \tau_{-z_i}(f)(y) - (f * \mu_A)(y)$  which are independent with mean zero. So, by Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund,

$$\left\| \sum_{i} Z_{i}(y) \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{P})}^{p} = O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right\|_{L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{P})}^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) = O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{z_{1}, \dots, z_{k}} \left| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) = O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{z_{1}, \dots, z_{k}} \left| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{z_{1}, \dots, z_{k}} \left| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{z_{1}, \dots, z_{k}} \left| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{z_{1}, \dots, z_{k}} \left| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{z_{1}, \dots, z_{k}} \left| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right) + O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right) + O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right) + O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} \left\| \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2} \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) + O\left($$

Lecture 12

By Hölder, picking q such that  $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,

RHS 
$$\leq \left(\sum_{i} 1^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q} \frac{p}{2}} \left(\sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{2\frac{p}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{p} \frac{p}{2}} = k^{\frac{p}{2} - 1} \sum_{i} |Z_{i}(y)|^{p}$$

So, for each  $y \in G$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i} Z_{i}(y) \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{P})}^{p} = O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} k^{\frac{p}{2} - 1} \prod_{z_{1}, \dots, z_{k}} \sum_{i} \left| Z_{i}(y) \right|^{p}\right)$$

Taking expectation over  $y \in G$ ,

$$\prod_{y} \left\| \sum_{i} Z_{i}(y) \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{P})}^{p} = O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} k^{\frac{p}{2} - 1} \prod_{z_{1}, \dots, z_{k}} \sum_{i} \|Z_{i}\|_{L^{p}(G)}^{p}\right)$$

Note that

$$||Z_i||_{L^p(G)} \le ||\tau_{-z_i}(f)||_{L^p(G)} + ||f * \mu_A||_{L^p(G)} \le 2 ||f||_{L^p(G)}$$

by Young's convolution inequality  $(\|f * g\|_{L^p} \le \|f\|_{L^q} \|g\|_{L^r})$  if  $1 + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$ . It follows that

$$\prod_{z_1,\dots,z_k} \left| \prod_y \left| \sum_i Z_i(y) \right|^p = O\left(p^{\frac{p}{2}} k^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \sum_i 2 \left\| f \right\|_{L^p(G)}^p \right) = O\left((pk \left\| f \right\|_{L^p(G)}^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)$$

Dividing by k on both sides.

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{z_1, \dots, z_k} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{i} \left| \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{i} (\tau_{-z_i}(f)(y) - (f * \mu_A)(y)) \right|^p = O\left( (pk^{-1} \|f\|_{L^p(G)}^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)$$

Choose  $k = O(\varepsilon^{-2}p)$  such that the RHS is at most  $(\frac{\varepsilon}{4} ||f||_{L^p(G)})^p$ . Write

$$L = \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_k) \mid (*) \ge \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|f\|_{L^p(G)} \right)^p \right\}$$

Observe that  $\mathbb{E}(*) \leq (\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|f\|_{L^p(G)})^p = 2^{-p} (\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|f\|_{L^p(G)})^p$ . Hence Markov tells us that

$$\frac{\left|L^{c}\right|}{\left|A\right|^{k}} = \mathbb{P}\left(\left(*\right) \ge \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left\|f\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}\right)^{p}\right) \le 2^{-p} \le 1 - 2^{-k}$$

Hence  $|L| \ge \frac{1}{2^k} |A|^k$ . Let  $D = \{(b, \ldots, b) \mid b \in B\} \subseteq B^k$  the diagonal. Note that  $L + D \subseteq (A + B)^k$ , whence  $|L + D| \le |(A + B)^k| \le K^k |A|^k \le (2K)^k |L|$ . By Lemma 2.12,

$$\#\{\text{additive quadruples between } L \text{ and } D\} \ge \frac{|D|^2 |L|}{(2K)^k}$$

So there are at least  $\frac{|D|^2}{(2K)^k}$  pairs  $(d_1,d_2) \in D \times D$  such that  $r_{L-L}(d_1-d_2) > 0$  (rewrite additive quadruples  $\ell_1 + d_1 = \ell_2 + d_2$  as  $d_1 - d_2 = \ell_2 - \ell_1$  and double-count). In particular, there exists  $b \in B$  and  $X \subseteq B - b$  of size  $|X| \ge \frac{|D|}{(2K)^k}$  such that  $\forall i, \ell_1(x) - \ell_2(x) = x$ . We are now done: By the triangle inequality, for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\|\tau_{-x}(f * \mu_{A}) - f * \mu_{A}\|_{L^{p}(G)} \leq \left\|\tau_{-x}(f * \mu_{A} - \prod_{i} \tau_{-\ell_{2}(x)}(f))\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}$$

$$+ \left\|\tau_{-x} \prod_{i} \tau_{-\ell_{2}(x)}(f) - f * \mu_{A}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}$$

$$\leq \left\|\tau_{-x}(f * \mu_{A} - \prod_{i} \tau_{-\ell_{2}(x)}(f))\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}$$

$$+ \left\|\prod_{i} \tau_{-\ell_{1}(x)}(f) - f * \mu_{A}\right\|_{L^{p}(G)}$$

 $\leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^p(G)}$  by definition of L

**Theorem 3.9** (Polynomial Bogolyubov). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be a set of density  $\alpha > 0$ . Then there exists a subspace  $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  of codimension  $O(\log^4 \alpha^{-1})$  such that  $V \subseteq A + A - (A + A)$ .

Proof. See Example Sheet 3.

**Theorem 3.10** (Schoen, Shkredov). Let  $p \neq 5$  and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be a set containing no nontrivial solution to  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = 5y$ . Then  $|A| = \exp(-\Omega(n^{\frac{1}{5}})) |\mathbb{F}_p^n|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be the density of A. Partition A into  $A_1 \cup A_2$  where  $|A_1| = \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha}{2} p^n \right\rfloor$ ,  $|A_2| = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{2} p^n \right\rceil$ . By averaging, find z such that  $|A_1 \cap (z - A_2)| \ge \frac{\alpha^2}{4} p^n$ . Let  $A' = A_1 \cap (z - A_2)$ . By Theorem 3.9, there exists  $V \le \mathbb{F}_p^n$  of codimension  $O(\log^4 \alpha^{-1})$  such that  $V \subseteq A' + A' - (A' + A')$ . Hence

$$2z + V \subseteq 2z + A' + A' - (A' + A') \subseteq A_1 + A_1 + A_2 + A_2$$

Consequently,  $(5 \cdot A - A) \cap (2z + V) = \emptyset$ . Else there would be  $x, y \in A, a_1, a_1' \in A_1, a_2, a_2' \in A_2$  such that  $5y - x = a_1 + a_1' + a_2 + a_2'$  which would yield a nontrivial solution since  $A_1, A_2$  are disjoint. If follows that for all  $w \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  at most one of  $A \cap (w+V)$  and  $(5 \cdot A) \cap (w+2z+V)$  can be nonempty. Therefore

$$2\left|A\right| = \sum_{w \in V^{\perp}} \left|A \cap (w+V)\right| + \left|5 \cdot A \cap (w+2z+V)\right| \leq \left|V^{\perp}\right| \sup_{w \in V^{\perp}} \left|A \cap (w+V)\right|$$

So there exists  $w \in V^{\perp}$  such that  $|A \cap (w+V)| \ge \frac{2|A|}{|V^{\perp}|} = 2\alpha V$ . The set  $A \cap (w+V) \subseteq w+V$  has density at least  $2\alpha$  and contains no nontrivial solution.

After t steps, we obtain a subspace W of codimension  $O(t \log^4 \alpha^{-1})$  and w such that  $|A \cap (w + W)| \ge 2^t \alpha |W|$ . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.17 yields the result.  $\square$ 

We get a similar bound in  $\mathbb{F}_n$  where Behrend's construction offers a comparable lower bound.

# 4 Further topics

In  $\mathbb{F}_n^n$ , we can do much better, even for 3APs.

**Theorem 4.1** (Ellenberg-Gijswijt, based on Croot-Lev-Pach). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^n$  be a set containing no nontrivial 3AP. Then  $|A| = O(2.765^n)$ .

Let  $M_n$  be the set of monomials in  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  whose degree in each variable is at most 2. Let  $V_n$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -vector space generated by  $M_n$ . For any  $d \in [0, 2n]$ , write  $M_n^d$  for the set of monomials in  $M_n$  of total degree at most d, and write  $V_n^d$  for the corresponding vector space. Set  $m_d = \dim V_n^d = |M_n^d|$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $A\subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^n$  and suppose  $P\in V_n^d$  is such that P(a+a')=0 for all  $a,a'\in A$  distinct. Then

$$|\{a \in A \mid P(2a) \neq 0\}| \leq 2m_{\frac{d}{2}}$$

*Proof.* Every  $P \in V_n^d$  can be written as a linear combination of monomials from  $M_n^d$ . So

$$P(x+y) = \sum_{\substack{m,m' \in M_n^d \\ \deg m + \deg m' \le d}} c_{m,m'} m(x) m'(y)$$

for some coefficients  $c_{m,m'}$ . Since at least one of m,m' has degree  $\leq \frac{d}{2}$ , we can write

$$P(x+y) = \sum_{m \in M_n^{\frac{d}{2}}} m(x) F_m(y) + \sum_{m' \in M_n^{\frac{d}{2}}} m'(y) G_{m'}(x)$$

where  $F_m$ ,  $G_{m'}$  are polynomials. Viewing P as an  $|A| \times |A|$ -matrix, we see that it can be written as a sum of at most  $2m_{\frac{d}{2}}$  rank 1 matrices. Hence rank  $P \leq 2m_{\frac{d}{2}}$ . But P is a diagonal matrix by assumption. Hence

$$|\{a\in A\mid P(2a)\neq 0\}|=\operatorname{rank} P\leq 2m_{\frac{d}{2}}$$

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^n$  be a set containing no nontrivial 3AP. Then  $|A| \leq 3m_{\frac{2n}{2}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d \in [1, 2n]$  be an integer to be chosen later. Let W be the subspace of  $V_n^d$  that vanish on  $2 \cdot A^c$ . Clearly,

$$\dim W \ge \dim V_n^d - |2 \cdot A^c| = m_d - (3^n - |A|)$$

We claim that there is  $P \in W$  such that  $|\operatorname{supp} P| \geq \dim W$ . Indeed, pick  $P \in W$  with maximal support. If  $|\operatorname{supp} P| < \dim W$ , then there is a nonzero  $Q \in W$  vanishing on  $\operatorname{supp} P$ , in which case P and Q have disjoint support and

$$\operatorname{supp}(P+Q))\operatorname{supp} P \cup \operatorname{supp} Q \subsetneq \operatorname{supp} P$$

contradicting the maximality of P.

By assumption,  $\{a+a' \mid a, a' \in A, a \neq a'\}$  and  $2 \cdot A$  are disjoint. So any polynomial vanishing on  $2 \cdot A^c$  also vanishes on  $\{a+a' \mid a, a' \in A, a \neq a'\}$ . By Lemma 4.2,

$$|\mathrm{supp}\, P| = |\{x \mid P(x) \neq 0\}| = |\{a \in A \mid P(2a) \neq 0\}| \leq 2m_{\frac{d}{2}}$$

Putting everything together,

$$m_d - (3^n - |A|) \le \dim W \le |\operatorname{supp} P| \le 2m_{\frac{d}{2}}$$

But monomials in  $M_n \setminus M_n^d$  are in bijection with monomials of degree at most 2n-d (via  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \mapsto x_1^{2-\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{2-\alpha_n}$ ), whence  $3^n - m_d = m_{2n-d}$ . Thus setting  $d = \frac{4n}{3}$  yields

$$|A| \le (3^n - m_d) + 2m_{\frac{d}{2}} = m_{2n-d} + 2m_{\frac{d}{2}} = 3m_{\frac{2n}{3}}$$

We do **not** know of a comparable bound for 4APs. Fourier-analytic techniques also fail.

**Example 4.4.** Recall from Lemma 1.16 that

$$\left|T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) - \alpha^3\right| \le \sup_{t \ne 0} \left|\widehat{1_A}(t)\right|$$

But it is impossible to bound

$$|T_4(1_A, 1_A, 1_A, 1_A) - \alpha^4| = \left| \prod_{x,d} 1_A(x) 1_A(x+d) 1_A(x+2d) 1_A(x+3d) - \alpha^4 \right|$$

by  $\sup_{t\neq 0} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|$ . Indeed, consider  $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid x \cdot x = 0\}$ . By Question 2.ii on Example Sheet 1,  $\frac{|Q|}{p^n} = \frac{1}{p} + O(p^{-\frac{n}{2}})$  and  $\sup_{t\neq 0} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right| = O(p^{-\frac{n}{2}})$ . But, given a 3AP  $x, x+d, x+2d \in Q$ , we automatically have  $x+3d \in Q$  because of the following identity:

$$x \cdot x - 3(x+d) \cdot (x+d) + 3(x+2d) \cdot (x+2d) - (x+3d) \cdot (x+3d)$$

So  $T_4(1_A, 1_A, 1_A, 1_A) = T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) = \alpha^3 + o(1)$  by Lemma 1.16.

**Definition 4.5.** Given  $g:G\to\mathbb{C}$  with G finite abelian, define its  $U^2$ -norm by the formula

$$||f||_{U^2}^4 = \prod_{x \mid a \mid b} f(x) \overline{f(x+a)} \overline{f(x+b)} f(x+a+b)$$

Question 3.i on Example Sheet 1 showed that  $||f||_{U^2} = ||\hat{f}||_{\ell^4}$ , so this is indeed a norm. Question 3.ii asserted the following.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $f_1, f_2, f_3 : G \to \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} |T_3(f_1, f_2, f_3)| &\leq \|f_1\|_{L^2} \, \|f_2\|_{U^2} \, \|f_3\|_{U^2} \,, \\ & \|f_1\|_{U^2} \, \|f_2\|_{L^2} \, \|f_3\|_{U^2} \,, \\ & \|f_1\|_{U^2} \, \|f_2\|_{U^2} \, \|f_3\|_{L^2} \end{split}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_3(f_1, f_2, f_3)| &\leq ||f_1||_{U^2} ||f_2||_{\infty} ||f_3||_{\infty}, \\ ||f_1||_{\infty} ||f_2||_{U^2} ||f_3||_{\infty}, \\ ||f_1||_{\infty} ||f_2||_{\infty} ||f_3||_{U^2} \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sup_{\gamma} \left| \hat{f}(\gamma) \right|^4 \leq \sum_{\gamma} \left| \hat{f}(\gamma) \right|^4 \leq \sup_{\gamma} \left| \hat{f}(\gamma) \right|^2 \sum_{\gamma} \left| \hat{f}(\gamma) \right|^2$$

Thus, by Parseval,

$$\left\| \hat{f} \right\|_{\infty} \le \|f\|_{U^2} \le \left\| \hat{f} \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Incomplete 24 Updated online

Moreover, if  $f = f_A = 1_A - \alpha$ , then

$$T_3(f, f, f) = T_3(1_A - \alpha, 1_A - \alpha, 1_A - \alpha) = T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) - \alpha^3$$

We could therefore reformulate the first step in the proof of Meshulam's theorem (Theorem 1.17) as follows:

If  $p^n \geq 2\alpha^{-2}$ , then

$$\frac{\alpha^3}{2} \le |T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) - \alpha| \le ||f_A||_{U^2}$$

by Lemma 4.6.

Lecture 13

It remains to show that if  $||f_A||_{U^2}$  is not too small then there exists a subspace  $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of bounded codimension on which A has increased density.

**Theorem 4.7** ( $U^2$  inverse theorem). Let  $f: \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfy  $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$  and  $||f||_{U^2} \geq \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists b such that  $|\mathbb{E}_x f(x)\omega^{x\cdot b}| \geq \delta^2$ . In other words,  $|\langle f, \phi \rangle| \geq \delta^2$  for  $\phi(x) = \omega^{x\cdot b}$  and we say that "f correlates with a linear

function".

*Proof.* We have seen that  $||f||_{U^2}^2 \leq ||\hat{f}||_{\infty} ||f||_2 \leq ||\hat{f}||_{\infty}$ . So  $\delta^2 \leq ||\hat{f}||_{\infty} = |\mathbb{E}_x f(x)\omega^{x \cdot n}|$ for some b.

**Definition 4.8.** Given  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  with G finite abelian, define its  $U^3$ -norm by

$$||f||_{U^3}^8 = \prod_{x,a,b,c} f(x)\overline{f(x+a)f(x+b)f(x+c)}$$
$$f(x+a+b)f(x+a+c)f(x+b+c)\overline{f(x+a+b+c)}$$
$$= \prod_{x,h_1,h_2,h_3} \prod_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^3} \operatorname{conj}^{|\varepsilon|} f(x+\varepsilon \cdot h)$$

It is easy to verify that  $||f||_{U^3}^8 = \mathbb{E}_h ||\Delta_h f||_{U^2}^4$  where  $\Delta_h f(x) = f(x) \overline{f(x+h)}$ .

**Definition 4.9.** Given functions  $f_{\varepsilon}: G \to \mathbb{C}$  for  $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^3$ , define the **Gowers**  $U^3$ inner product by

$$\langle f \rangle_{U^3} = \prod_h \|\Delta_h f\|_{U^2}^4$$

Observe that  $\langle f, \dots, f \rangle_{U^3} = ||f||_{U^3}^8$ .

**Lemma 4.10** (Gowers-Cauchy-Schwarz). Given  $f_{\varepsilon}: G \to \mathbb{C}$  for  $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^3$ ,

$$|\langle f \rangle_{U^3}| \le \prod_{\varepsilon} \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{U^3}$$

*Proof.* See Example Sheet 3.

Setting  $f_{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} f & \text{if } \varepsilon_0 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \varepsilon_0 = 1 \end{cases}$ , the LHS equals  $||f||_{U^2}^4$ . Hence  $||f||_{U^2} \le ||f||_{U^3}$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** Let  $f:G\to\mathbb{C}$  with  $\|f\|_{\infty}\leq 1$ . Then

$$|T_4(f, f, f, f)| \le ||f||_{U^3}$$

*Proof.* Reparametrising, we have

$$T_4(f, f, f, f) = \underbrace{\prod_{a,b,c,d} \underbrace{f(3a + 2b + c)}_{=:f_1(a,b,c)} \underbrace{f(2a + b - d)}_{=:f_2(a,b,d)} \underbrace{f(a - c - 2d)}_{=:f_3(a,c,d)} \underbrace{f(-b - 2c - 3d)}_{=:f_4(b,c,d)}}_{=:f_4(b,c,d)}$$

$$= \underbrace{\prod_{a,b,c} f_1(a,b,c) \underbrace{\prod_{d} f_2(a,b,d) f_3(a,c,d) f_4(b,c,d)}_{d}}_{f_2(a,b,d)}$$

So

$$|T_4(f, f, f, f)|^2 \le \prod_{a,b,c} \left| \prod_d f_2(a, b, d) f_3(a, c, d) f_4(b, c, d) \right|^2$$

$$= \prod_{d,d',a,b} f_2(a, b, d) \overline{f_2(a, b, d')} \prod_c f_3(a, c, d) f_4(b, c, d) \overline{f_3(a, c, d') f_4(b, c, d')}$$

Hence

$$|T_4(f, f, f, f)|^4 \le \left| \prod_{d, d', a, b} \left| \prod_c f_3(a, c, d) f_4(b, c, d) \overline{f_3(a, c, d')} f_4(b, c, d') \right|^2$$

$$= \left| \prod_{c, c', d, d', a} f_3(a, c, d) \overline{f_3(a, c, d')} f_3(a, c', d) f_3(a, c', d') \right|$$

$$= \left| \prod_b f_4(b, c, d) \overline{f_4(b, c, d')}, f_4(b, c', d) f_4(b, c', d') \right|$$

Finally,

$$|T_{4}(f, f, f, f)|^{8} \leq \underset{c, c', d, d', a}{\mathbb{E}} \left| \underset{b}{\mathbb{E}} f_{4}(b, c, d) \overline{f_{4}(b, c, d'), f_{4}(b, c', d)} f_{4}(b, c', d') \right|^{2}$$

$$= \underset{b, b', c, c', d, d'}{\mathbb{E}} f_{4}(b, c, d) \overline{f_{4}(b, c, d'), f_{4}(b, c', d)} f_{4}(b, c', d')$$

$$\overline{f_{4}(b', c, d)} f_{4}(b', c, d'), f_{4}(b', c', d) \overline{f_{4}(b', c', d')}$$

$$= ||f||_{U^{3}}^{8}$$

One might hope to generalise Meshulam's theorem (Theorem 1.17) as follows.

**Theorem 4.12** (Szemerédi for 4APs). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  be a set containing no nontrivial 4APs. Then  $|A| = o(p^n)$ .

**Idea.** By Proposition 4.11 with  $f = f_A = 1_A - \alpha$ ,

$$T_4(1_A, 1_A, 1_A, 1_A) - \alpha^4 = T_4(f_A, f_A, f_A, f_A) + \underbrace{\cdots + \cdots + \cdots}_{\text{controlled by } ||f_A||_{U^2}} + \underbrace{\cdots + \cdots + \cdots}_{\text{explicit}}$$

Hence, and since  $||f_A||_{U^2} \le ||f_A||_{U^3}$ ,

$$|T_4(1_A, 1_A, 1_A, 1_A) - \alpha^4| \le 14 ||f_A||_{U^3}$$

so if A contains no nontrivial 4AP and  $p^n \geq 2\alpha^{-3}$  then  $\frac{\alpha^4}{2} \leq 14 \|f_A\|_{U^3}$ .

What can we say about functions whose  $U^3$ -norm is large?

**Example 4.13.** Let M be a  $n \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then  $f(x) = \omega^{x^{\perp}Mx}$  satisfies  $||f||_{U^3} = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.14** ( $U^3$  inverse theorem). Let  $f: \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$  and  $||f||_{U^3} \geq \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists a symmetric matrix M with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that

$$\left| \left| \prod_{x} f(x) \omega^{x^{\perp} M x + b^{\perp} x} \right| \ge c_p(\delta)$$

where  $c_p$  is a polynomial.

In other words,  $|\langle f, \phi \rangle| \geq c_p(\delta)$  for  $\phi(x) = \omega^{x^{\perp} M x + b^{\perp} x}$  and we say that "f correlates with a quadratic phase function".

Proof sketch. Suppose  $||f||_{U^3} \ge \delta$ .

#### Step 1: "Weak linearity"

If  $||f||_{U^3}^8 = \mathbb{E}_h ||\Delta_h f||_{U^2}^4 \ge \delta^8$ , then for at least a  $\frac{\delta^8}{2}$ -proportion of  $h \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  we have  $||\Delta_h f||_{U^2}^4 \ge \frac{\delta^8}{2}$ . For each such f, there exists  $t_h$  such that  $|\widehat{\Delta}_h f(t_h)| \ge \frac{\delta^8}{2}$ . Working a tiny bit harder, one can obtain the following.

**Proposition 4.15.** Let  $f: \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfy  $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$  and  $||f||_{U^3} \geq \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Suppose that  $|\mathbb{F}_p^n| = \Omega_{\delta}(1)$ . Then there exists  $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  of density  $\Omega_{\delta}(1)$  and a function  $\phi: S \to \mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that

- 1.  $\left|\widehat{\Delta}_h f(\phi(h))\right| = \Omega_{\delta}(1)$
- 2. There are at least  $\Omega_{\delta}(\left|\mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}\right|^{2})$  additive quadruples  $(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}) \in S^{4}$  (namely  $s_{1} + s_{2} = s_{3} + s_{4}$ ) such that  $\phi(s_{1}) + \phi(s_{2}) = \phi(s_{3}) + \phi(s_{4})$ .

#### Step 2: "Strong linearity"

If S and  $\phi$  are as above, then there is an affine map  $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^n}$  which coincides with  $\phi$  for many elements of S. More precisely,

**Proposition 4.16.** Let S and  $\phi$  be given by Proposition 4.15. Then there exists a  $n \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that the map  $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^n}$  satisfies  $\psi(x) = \phi(x)$  for  $\Omega_{\delta}(|\mathbb{F}_p^n|)$  elements x of S

*Proof.* Consider the graph  $\Gamma = \{(h, \phi(h)) \mid h \in S\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n \times \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^n}$ . By Proposition 4.15,  $\Gamma$  has  $\Omega_{\delta}(|\mathbb{F}_p^n|)$  additive quadruples. By Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers (Theorem 2.14), there exists  $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$  with  $|\Gamma'| = \Omega_{\delta}(|\Gamma|) = \Omega_{\delta}(|\mathbb{F}_p^n|)$  and  $|\Gamma' + \Gamma'| = O_{\delta}(|\Gamma'|)$ . Denote by

 $\pi: \mathbb{F}_p^n \times \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_p^n$  the projection onto the first factor. Define  $S' = \pi(\Gamma')$  and note that  $|S'| = |\Gamma'| = \Omega_{\delta}(|\mathbb{F}_p^n|)$ . By Freiman-Ruzsa (Theorem 2.8) applied to  $\Gamma' \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n \times \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^n}$ , there exists a subspace  $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n \times \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^n}$  with  $|H| = \Omega_{\delta}(|\Gamma'|) = \Omega_{\delta}(|\mathbb{F}_p^n|)$  such that  $\Gamma' \subseteq H$ . By construction,  $S' \subseteq \pi(H)$ . Moreover,

$$|\ker \pi \upharpoonright_H| = \frac{|H|}{|\pi(H)|} = \frac{O_\delta(|\mathbb{F}_p^n|)}{|S'|} = O_\delta(1)$$

We may pick  $H^*$  a transversal of  $\ker \pi \upharpoonright_H$  and partition H into cosets of  $H^*$ .  $\pi$  is injective on each coset. By averaging, there exists  $x + H^*$  such that

$$|\Gamma' \cap (x + H^*)| = \Omega_{\delta}(|\Gamma'|) = \Omega_{\delta}(|\mathbb{F}_n^n|)$$

Set  $\Gamma'' = \Gamma' \cap (x+H^*)$  and define  $S'' = \pi(\Gamma'')$ . Now,  $\pi \upharpoonright_{x+H^*}$  is a bijection onto its image  $V = \operatorname{im} \pi \upharpoonright_{x+H^*}$ . Thus we have an affine map  $\psi : V \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^n}$  such that  $(h, \psi(h)) \in \Gamma''$  for all  $h \in S''$ .

#### Step 3: Symmetry argument

Having obtained  $\psi(x) = Mx + b$  for some matrix M and vector b such that  $(h, Mh + b) \in \Gamma''$  for all  $h \in S''$ , we need to turn M into a symmetric matrix in preparation of Step 4.

#### Step 4: "Integrating"

**Proposition 4.17.** Suppose f, M, b are as in Step 3 and  $\mathbb{E}_h \left| \widehat{\Delta}_h f(Mh + b) \right|^2 = \Omega_{\delta}(1)$ . If p > 2, then there exists  $b' \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  such that  $\mathbb{E}_x f(x) \omega^{x^T \frac{M+M^T}{2} x + b'^T x} = \Omega_{\delta}(1)$ .

Proof. See Example Sheet 3. 
$$\Box$$