

Part III – Functional Analysis (Incomplete)

Based on lectures by Dr András Zsák

Notes taken by Yaël Dillies

Michaelmas 2023

Contents

0	Introduction	2
1	Hahn-Banach extension theorems	3
1.1	Bidual	6
1.2	Dual operators	7
1.3	Quotient spaces	8
1.4	Locally convex spaces	10
2	The dual of $L_p(\mu)$ and $C(K)$	14
2.1	Dual space of $L_p(\mu)$	16
2.2	Dual space of $C(K)$	20

0 Introduction

Prerequisites

- some basic functional analysis
- a bit of measure theory
- a bit of complex analysis

Books

Books relevant to the course are:

- Bollobás, *Linear Analysis*
- Murphy, *C^* -algebras*
- Rudin
- Graham-Allan

Notation

We will use \mathbb{K} to mean "either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} ".

For X a normed space, we define

$$B_X = \{x \in X \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

$$S_X = \{x \in X \mid \|x\| = 1\}$$

$$D_X = \{x \in X \mid \|x\| < 1\}$$

For X, Y normed spaces, we write $X \sim Y$ if X, Y are isomorphic, ie there exists a linear bijection $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that T and T^{-1} are continuous. We write $X \cong Y$ if X, Y are isometrically isomorphic, ie there exists a surjective linear map $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$ for all x .

1 Hahn-Banach extension theorems

Lecture 1

Let X be a normed space. The **dual space** of X is the space X^* of bounded linear functionals on X . X^* is always a Banach space in the operator norm: for $f \in X^*$,

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in B_X} |f(x)|$$

Example. For $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $\ell_p^* \cong \ell_q$.

We also have $\ell_1^* \cong \ell_\infty$, $c_0^* \cong \ell_1$.

If H is a Hilbert space, then $H^* \cong H$ (the isomorphism is conjugate-linear in the complex case).

For $x \in X$, $f \in X^*$, we write $\langle x, f \rangle = f(x)$. Note that

$$\langle x, f \rangle = |f(x)| \leq \|f\| \|x\|$$

Definition. Let X be a *real* vector space. A functional $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

- **positive homogeneous** if $p(tx) = tp(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $t \geq 0$
- **subadditive** if $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$

Definition. Let P be a preorder, $A \subseteq P$, $x \in P$. We say

- x is an **upper bound** for A if $\forall a \in A$, $a \leq x$.
- A is a **chain** if $\forall a, b \in A$, $a \leq b \vee b \leq a$.
- x is a **maximal element** if $\forall y \in P$, $x \not\prec y$

Fact (Zorn's lemma). A nonempty preorder in which all nonempty chains have an upper bound has a maximal element.

Theorem 1.1 (Hahn-Banach, positive homogeneous version). Let X be a real vector space and $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be positive homogeneous and subadditive. Let Y be a subspace of X and $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be linear such that $\forall y \in Y$, $g(y) \leq p(y)$. Then there exists $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear such that $f \upharpoonright_Y = g$ and $\forall x \in X$, $f(x) \leq p(x)$.

Proof. Let P be the set of pairs (Z, h) where Z is a subspace of X with $Y \subseteq Z$ and $h : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear, $h \upharpoonright_Y = g$ and $\forall z \in Z$, $h(z) \leq p(z)$. P is nonempty since $(Y, g) \in P$, and is partially ordered by

$$(Z_1, h_1) \leq (Z_2, h_2) \iff Z_1 \subseteq Z_2 \wedge h_2 \upharpoonright_{Z_1} = h_1$$

If $\{(Z_i, h_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a chain with I nonempty, then we can define

$$Z := \bigcup_{i \in I} Z_i, h \upharpoonright_{Z_i} = h_i$$

The definition of h makes sense thanks to the chain assumption. $(Z, h) \in P$ is therefore an upper bound for the chain.

Hence find by Zorn a maximal element (Z, h) of P . If $Z = X$, we won. So assume there is some $x \in X \setminus Z$. Let $W = \text{Span}(Z \cup \{x\})$ and define $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(z + \lambda x) = h(z) + \lambda \alpha$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then f is linear and $f|_Z = h$. We now look for α such that $\forall w \in W, f(w) \leq p(w)$. We would then have $(W, f) \in P$ and $(Z, h) < (W, f)$, contradicting maximality of (Z, h) .

We need

$$h(z) + \lambda\alpha \leq p(z + \lambda x) \forall z \in Z, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

Since p is positive homogeneous, this becomes

$$h(z) + \alpha \leq p(z + x)h(z) - \alpha \leq p(z - x) \quad (1)$$

ie

$$h(z) - p(z - x) \leq \alpha \leq p(z + x) - h(z) \forall z \in Z$$

The existence of α now amounts to

$$h(z_1) - p(z_1 - x) \leq \alpha \leq p(z_2 + x) - h(z_2) \forall z_1, z_2 \in Z$$

But indeed

$$h(z_1) + h(z_2) = h(z_1 + z_2) \leq p(z_1 + z_2) \leq p(z_1 - x) + p(z_2 + x)$$

□

Definition. Let X be a \mathbb{K} -vector space. A **seminorm** on X is a functional $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- $\forall x \in X, p(x) \geq 0$
- $\forall x \in X, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, p(\lambda x) = |\lambda|p(x)$
- $\forall x, y \in X, p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$

Remark.

$$\text{norm} \implies \text{seminorm} \implies \text{positive homogeneous}$$

Lecture 2

Theorem 1.2 (Hahn-Banach, absolute homogeneous version). Let X be a real or complex vector space and p a seminorm on X . Let Y be a subspace of X , g a linear functional on Y such that $\forall y \in Y, |g(y)| \leq p(y)$. Then there exists a linear functional f on X such that $f|_Y = g$ and $\forall x \in X, |f(x)| \leq p(x)$.

Proof.

Real case

$$\forall y \in Y, g(y) \leq |g(y)| \leq p(y)$$

By Theorem 1.1, there exists $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_Y = g$ and $\forall x \in X, f(x) \leq p(x)$. We also have

$$\forall x \in X, -f(x) = f(-x) \leq p(-x) = p(x)$$

Hence $|f(x)| \leq p(x)$

Complex case

$\text{Re } g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is real-linear.

$$\forall y \in Y, |\text{Re } g(y)| \leq |g(y)| \leq p(y)$$

By the real case, find $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ real-linear such that $h|_Y = \text{Re } g$

Claim. There exists a unique complex-linear $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $h = \text{Re } f$.

Proof.

Uniqueness

If we have such f , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \operatorname{Re} f(x) + i \operatorname{Im} f(x) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} f(x) - i \operatorname{Re} f(ix) \\ &= h(x) - ih(ix) \end{aligned}$$

Existence

Define $f(x) = h(x) - ih(ix)$. Then f is real-linear and $f(ix) = if(x)$, so f is complex-linear with $\operatorname{Re} f = h$. \square

We now have $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} f = h$.

$$\operatorname{Re} f \upharpoonright_Y = h \upharpoonright_Y = \operatorname{Re} g$$

So, by uniqueness, $f \upharpoonright_Y = g$.

Given $x \in X$, find λ with $|\lambda| = 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &= \lambda f(x) \\ &= f(\lambda x) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} f(\lambda x) \\ &= h(\lambda x) \\ &\leq p(\lambda x) \\ &= p(x) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark. For a complex vector space X , if we write $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ for X considered as a real vector space, the above proof shows that

$$\operatorname{Re} : (X^*)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{R}}^*$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

Corollary 1.3. Let X be a \mathbb{K} -vector space, p a seminorm on X , $x_0 \in X$. Then there exists a linear functional f on X such that $f(x_0) = p(x_0)$ and $\forall x \in X, |f(x)| \leq p(x)$.

Proof. Let $Y = \operatorname{Span}(x_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} g : Y &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ \lambda x_0 &\mapsto \lambda p(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\forall y \in Y, g(y) \leq p(y)$. Hence find by Theorem 1.2 a linear functional f on X such that $f \upharpoonright_Y = g$ and $\forall x \in X, |f(x)| \leq p(x)$. We check that $f(x_0) = g(x_0) = p(x_0)$. \square

Theorem 1.4 (Hahn-Banach, existence of support functionals). Let X be a real or complex normed space. Then

1. If Y is a subspace of X and $g \in Y^*$, then there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $f \upharpoonright_Y = g$ and $\|f\| = \|g\|$.
2. Given $x_0 \neq 0$, there exists $f \in S_{X^*}$ such that $f(x_0) = \|x_0\|$.

Proof.

1. Let $p(x) = \|g\| \|x\|$. Then p is a seminorm on X and

$$\forall y \in Y, |g(y)| \leq \|g\| \|y\| = p(y)$$

Find by Theorem 1.1 a linear functional f on X such that $f \upharpoonright_Y = g$ and $\forall x \in X, |f(x)| \leq p(x) = \|g\| \|x\|$. So $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$. Since $f \upharpoonright_Y = g$, we also have $\|g\| \leq \|f\|$. Hence $\|f\| = \|g\|$.

2. Apply Corollary 1.3 with $p(x) = \|x\|$ to get $f \in X^*$ such that

$$\forall x \in X, |f(x)| \leq \|x\| \text{ and } f(x_0) = \|x_0\|$$

It follows that $\|f\| = 1$.

□

Remarks.

- Part 1 is a sort of linear version of Tietze's extension theorem: Given K compact Hausdorff, $L \subseteq K$ closed, $g : L \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ continuous, there exists $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $f \upharpoonright_L = g$ and $\|f\|_\infty = \|g\|_\infty$.
- Part 2 shows that for all $x \neq y$ in X there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$, namely X^* **separates points** of X . This is a sort of linear version of Urysohn: $C(K)$ separates points of K .
- The f in part 2 is called a **norming functional**, aka **support functional**, for x_0 . The existence of support functionals shows that

$$x_0 = \max_{g \in B_{X^*}} \langle x_0, g \rangle$$

Assuming X is a real normed space and $\|x_0\| = 1$, we have $B_X \subseteq \{x \in X | f(x) \leq 1\}$. Visually, TODO: insert tangency diagram

1.1 Bidual

Let X be a normed space. Then X^{**} is called the **bidual** or **second dual** of X .

For $x \in X$, define $\hat{x} : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, the **evaluation at x** , by $\hat{x}(f) = f(x)$. \hat{x} is linear and $|\hat{x}(f)| = |f(x)| \leq \|f\| \|x\|$, so $\hat{x} \in X^{**}$ and $\|\hat{x}\| \leq \|x\|$.

The map $x \mapsto \hat{x} : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ is called the **canonical embedding** of X into X^{**} .

Theorem 1.5. The canonical embedding is an isometric embedding.

Proof.

Linearity

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{x+y}(f) &= f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) = \hat{x}(f) + \hat{y}(f) \\ \widehat{\lambda x}(f) &= f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x) = \lambda \hat{x}(f) \end{aligned}$$

Isometry

If $x \neq 0$, there exists a support functional f for x . Then

$$\|\hat{x}\| \geq |\hat{x}(f)| = |f(x)| = \|x\|$$

□

Remarks.

- In bracket notation, $\langle f, \hat{x} \rangle = \langle x, f \rangle$
- Let \hat{X} be the image of X in X^{**} . Theorem 1.5 says

$$X \cong \hat{X} \subseteq X^{**}$$

We often identify \hat{X} with X and think of X as living isometrically inside X^{**} . Note that

$$X \text{ complete} \iff \hat{X} \text{ closed in } X^{**}$$

- More generally, $\overline{\hat{X}}$ is a Banach space containing an isometric copy of X as a dense subspace. We proved that normed spaces have completions!

Definition. A normed space X is **reflexive** if the canonical embedding $X \rightarrow X^{**}$ is surjective.

Example.

- Some reflexive spaces are Hilbert spaces, finite-dimensional spaces, ℓ_p and $L_p(\mu)$ for $1 < p < \infty$.
- Some non-reflexive spaces are $c_0, \ell_1, \ell_\infty, L_1[0, 1]$.

Remarks.

- If X is reflexive, then $X \cong X^{**}$, so X is complete.
- There are Banach spaces X such that $X \cong X^{**}$ but X is not reflexive, eg **James' space**. Any isomorphism to the bidual is then necessarily not the canonical embedding.

1.2 Dual operators

Lecture 3

Let X, Y be normed spaces. Recall

$$\mathcal{B}(X, Y) = \{T : X \rightarrow Y \mid T \text{ linear, bounded}\}$$

This is a normed space in the operator norm:

$$\|T\| = \sup_{x \in B_X} \|Tx\|$$

If Y is complete, then so is $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, the **dual operator** of T is the map $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ given by $T^*g = g \circ T$. In bracket notation $\langle x, T^*g \rangle = \langle Tx, g \rangle$ for $x \in X, g \in Y^*$.

T^* is linear

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, T^*(g + h) \rangle &= \langle Tx, g + h \rangle \\ &= \langle Tx, g \rangle + \langle Tx, h \rangle \\ &= \langle x, T^*g \rangle + \langle x, T^*h \rangle \\ &= \langle x, T^*g + T^*h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x, T^*(\lambda g) \rangle &= \langle Tx, \lambda g \rangle \\
&= \lambda \langle Tx, g \rangle \\
&= \lambda \langle x, T^*g \rangle \\
&= \langle x, \lambda T^*g \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

T^* is bounded

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^*\| &= \sup_{g \in B_{Y^*}} \|T^*g\| \\
&= \sup_{g \in B_{Y^*}} \sup_{x \in B_X} |\langle x, T^*g \rangle| \\
&= \sup_{x \in B_X} \sup_{g \in B_{Y^*}} |\langle Tx, g \rangle| \\
&= \sup_{x \in B_X} \|Tx\| \text{ by Theorem 1.4 (ii)} \\
&= \|T\|
\end{aligned}$$

Remarks.

- Hahn-Banach is crucial here. Without it, the dual could be 0.
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is linear in both arguments. This contrasts with the Hilbert space case where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is conjugate-linear in one of the arguments. This comes from the conjugate-linearity of the identification $H^* \cong H$.
- If X, Y are Hilbert spaces and we identify X, Y with X^*, Y^* , respectively, then T^* is the adjoint of T .

Example. Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ and define $R : \ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p$ to be the **right shift operator** $(x_0, x_1, \dots) \mapsto (0, x_0, \dots)$. Then $R^* : \ell_q \rightarrow \ell_q$ is the **left shift operator** $(x_0, x_1, \dots) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots)$.

Some properties of the dual operator are

1. $\text{id}_X^* = \text{id}_{X^*}$
2. $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$, $(\lambda T)^* = \lambda T^*$
3. $(ST)^* = T^*S^*$
4. $T \mapsto T^* : \mathcal{B}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(Y^*, X^*)$ is an *into* isomorphism.
5. The double dual of an operator commutes with the double dual embedding.
TODO: Insert commutative diagram For all x ,

$$\langle g, T^{**}\hat{x} \rangle = \langle T^*g, \hat{x} \rangle = \langle x, T^*g \rangle = \langle Tx, g \rangle = \langle g, \hat{Tx} \rangle$$

$$\text{So } T^{**}\hat{x} = \widehat{Tx}.$$

Remark. From the above properties, if $X \sim Y$, then $X^* \sim Y^*$. Interestingly, if X and Y are reflexive, then we can deduce $X \sim Y$ from $X^* \sim Y^*$.

1.3 Quotient spaces

Let X be a normed space and Y be a *closed* subspace.. Then the quotient space X/Y becomes a normed space in the quotient norm:

$$\|x + Y\| = d(x, Y) = \inf_{y \in Y} \|x + y\|$$

The quotient map $q : X \rightarrow X/Y$ is linear and bounded: $\|q(x)\| \leq \|x\|$, so $\|q\| \leq 1$.

q maps the open unit ball D_X onto $D_{X/Y}$. Indeed, if $x \in D_X$, then $\|q(x)\| \leq \|x\| < 1$. Reciprocally, if $q(x) \in D_{X/Y}$, then there exists $y \in Y$ such that $\|x + y\| < 1$. So $x + y \in D_X$ and $q(x + y) = q(x)$. It follows that q is an open map and $\|q\| = 1$.

If Z is another normed space, $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Z)$ and $Y \subseteq \ker T$, then there exists a unique map \tilde{T} is linear and $\tilde{T}(D_{X/Y}) = \tilde{T}(q(D_X)) = T(D_X)$. It follows that $\|\tilde{T}\| = \|T\|$.

Theorem 1.6. Let X be a normed space. If X^* is separable, then so is X .

Remark. The converse is false, as $X = \ell_1, X^* = \ell_\infty$ shows.

Proof. Since X^* is separable, so is S_{X^*} . Let f_n be a dense subset of S_{X^*} . For every n , find $x_n \in B_X$ such that $f_n(x_n) > \frac{1}{2}$. Let

$$Y = \overline{\text{Span}\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

Claim. $Y = X$

Then we're done since Y is separable via $Y = \overline{\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$.

Proof. Assume not. Then we can pick $g \in (X/Y)^*$, $\|g\| = 1$ (by Theorem 1.4 (ii)). Let $f = g \circ q$. Then $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$, ie $f \in S_{X^*}$. Thus find n such that $\|f - f_n\| < \frac{1}{4}$, so that

$$\frac{1}{4} > \|f - f_n\| \|x_n\| \geq |(f - f_n)(x_n)| = |f_n(x_n)| > \frac{1}{2}$$

contradiction. □

□

Theorem 1.7. Let X be a separable normed space. Then X embeds isometrically into ℓ_∞ .

Proof. Let $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be dense in X . For every n , find $f_n \in S_{X^*}$, $f_n(x_n) = \|x_n\|$ (assuming $X \neq \{0\}$). Define $T : X \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ by $(Tx)_n = f_n(x)$.

Well definition

$$|(Tx)_n| = |f_n(x)| \leq \|f_n\| \|x\| = \|x\|$$

Hence $\|Tx\|_\infty \leq \|x\| < \infty$.

Linearity

$$(T(x + y))_n = f_n(x + y) = f_n(x) + f_n(y) = (Tx + Ty)_n$$

$$(T(\lambda x))_n = f_n(\lambda x) = \lambda f_n(x) = (\lambda Tx)_n$$

so $T(x + y) = Tx + Ty, T(\lambda x) = \lambda Tx$.

Isometry

We already know $\|Tx\|_\infty \leq \|x\|$. On the other hand, find f a supporting functional for x and f_{n_k} a subsequence converging to f . Then

$$\|Tx\|_\infty \geq \sup_k (Tx)_{n_k} = \sup_k |f_{n_k}(x)| \geq |f(x)| = \|x\|$$

□

Remarks.

- The result says that ℓ_∞ is isometrically universal for the class \mathcal{SB} of separable Banach spaces.
- There is a dual result: Every separable Banach space is a quotient of ℓ_1 .

Theorem 1.8 (Vector-valued Liouville). Let X be a complex Banach space, $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ holomorphic and bounded. Then f is constant.

Proof. Find $M \geq 0$ such that $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, |f(z)| \leq M$. Fix $\phi \in X^*$. $\phi \circ f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is

bounded

$$|\phi(f(z))| \leq \|\phi\| \|f(z)\| \leq M \|\phi\|$$

holomorphic

$$\frac{\phi(f(z)) - \phi(f(w))}{z - w} = \phi \left(\frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} \right) \rightarrow \phi(f'(z))$$

By scalar Liouville, $\phi \circ f$ is constant. For every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\phi \in X^*$, $\phi(f(z)) = \phi(f(0))$. Since X^* separates points of X , $f(z) = f(0)$. \square

Remark. This is a typical example of how to transfer a scalar result to a vector-valued one: Prove the result once composed with any functional, then go back using Hahn-Banach.

1.4 Locally convex spaces

Definition. A **locally convex space** is a \mathbb{K} -vector space such that there exists a family \mathcal{P} of seminorms on X that separate points of X in the sense that for all $x \neq 0$ there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $p(x) \neq 0$.

The family \mathcal{P} defines a topology on X :

$$U \subseteq X \text{ open} \iff \forall x \in U, \exists s \subseteq \mathcal{P} \text{ finite}, \varepsilon > 0, \{y \in X \mid \forall p \in s, p(x) < \varepsilon\} \subseteq U$$

Remarks.

1. Addition and scalar multiplication are continuous.
2. The topology is Hausdorff as \mathcal{P} separates points.
3. $x_n \rightarrow x \iff \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$
4. Let Y be a subspace of X and $\mathcal{P}_Y = \{p|_Y \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Then (Y, \mathcal{P}_Y) is a LCS and its topology is the subspace topology.
5. Let \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} be two families of seminorms on X both separating points of X . We say \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} are **equivalent**, write $\mathcal{P} \sim \mathcal{Q}$, if they induce the same topology on X . One interesting result is that

$$(X, \mathcal{P}) \text{ metrisable} \iff \mathcal{P} \text{ equivalent to some countable family}$$

6. We make \mathcal{P} part of the data here out of simplicity, but in grown up mathematics we instead assume that X already comes with a topology and that this topology coincides with the one induced by \mathcal{P} .

Definition. A **Fréchet space** is a complete metrisable LCS.

Example.

1. A normed space is a LCS with $\mathcal{P} = \{\|\cdot\|\}$.
2. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ nonempty open. Let $\mathcal{O}(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ holomorphic}\}$. For compact $K \subseteq U$, define $p_K(f) = \sup_{z \in K} |f(z)|$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{p_K \mid K \subseteq U \text{ compact}\}$. Then $(\mathcal{O}(U), \mathcal{P})$ is a LCS. If we replace $\{K \subseteq U \text{ compact}\}$ by a compact exhaustion of U , then we get a countable separating family equivalent to \mathcal{P} . So $(\mathcal{O}(U), \mathcal{P})$ is metrisable. However it is not normable: no norm on $\mathcal{O}(U)$ induces the topology of $(\mathcal{O}(U), \mathcal{P})$, which is the topology of uniform convergence. This is a consequence of Montel's theorem.
3. Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a nonempty open set. Let

$$C^\infty(\Omega) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ infinitely differentiable}\}$$

Given a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, α defines a differential operator

$$D^\alpha = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right)^{\alpha_d}$$

For a compact $K \subseteq \Omega$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, define

$$p_{K,\alpha}(f) = \sup_{z \in K} |D^\alpha f(z)|$$

Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{p_{K,\alpha} \mid K \subseteq U \text{ compact}, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$$

Then (C^∞, \mathcal{P}) is a LCS. It is in fact a non-normable Fréchet space.

Lemma 1.9. Let $(X, \mathcal{P}), (Y, \mathcal{Q})$ be LCS, $T : X \rightarrow Y$ linear. TFAE

1. T is continuous
2. T is continuous at 0
3. $\forall q \in \mathcal{Q}, \exists s \subseteq \mathcal{P} \text{ finite}, C \geq 0, \forall x \in X, q(Tx) \leq C \max_{p \in s} p(x)$

Proof.

(i) \iff (ii)

Translation is continuous.

(ii) \implies (iii)

Given $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, let $V = \{y \in Y \mid q(y) \leq 1\}$. Then V is a neighborhood of 0 in Y . So there exists U neighborhood of 0 in X such that $T(U) \subseteq V$. WLOG

$$U = \{x \in X \mid \forall p_K \in s, p_K(x) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

Let $p = \max_{p_K \in s} p_K(x)$. If $p(x) = 1$, then $p(\varepsilon x) = \varepsilon$, so $\varepsilon x \in U$ and

$$q(T(\varepsilon x)) \leq 1$$

By homogeneity, $q(Tx) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} p(x)$ for all x such that $p(x) > 0$. If $p(x) = 0$, then $p(\lambda x) = 0$ for all scalar λ . So $q(T(\lambda x)) \leq 1$ for all λ . Hence $q(Tx) = 0 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} p(x)$.

(iii) \implies (ii)

Assume $t \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ is finite, $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $V = \{y \in Y \mid \forall q \in t, q(y) \leq \varepsilon\}$ the corresponding

neighborhood of 0. For each $q \in t$, find $s_q \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ finite and C_q so that $\forall x \in X, q(Tx) \leq C_q \max_{p \in s_q} p(x)$. Let

$$U = \left\{ x \in X \mid \forall q \in \mathcal{Q}, p \in s_q, p(x) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C_q} \right\}$$

Then U is a neighborhood of 0 and $T(U) \subseteq V$. \square

Definition. Let (X, \mathcal{P}) be a LCS. The **dual space** of X is the space of continuous linear functionals $X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$.

Lecture 5

Lemma 1.10. Let f be a linear functional on a LCS (X, \mathcal{P}) . Then

$$f \in X^* \iff \ker f \text{ closed}$$

Proof.

\implies

$\ker f = f^{-1}(0)$ is closed since f is continuous.

\impliedby

If $\ker f = 0$, then $f = 0$ is continuous. Else fix some $x_0 \notin \ker f$. Since $(\ker f)^c$ is open, find $s \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ finite, $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\underbrace{\{x \in X \mid \forall p \in s, p(x - x_0) < \varepsilon\}}_U \subseteq (\ker f)^c$$

Then U is a neighborhood of 0 and $(x_0 + U) \cap \ker f = \emptyset$. Note that U is convex and **balanced** ($x \in U, |\lambda| \leq 1 \implies \lambda x \in U$), hence so is $f(U)$ as f is linear.

If $f(U)$ is unbounded, then it is the whole scalar field, hence so is $f(x_0 + U) = f(x_0) + f(U)$. But $0 \in \ker f$, contradicting disjointness.

So find M such that $|f(x)| < M$ for all $x \in U$. For all $\delta > 0$, $\frac{\delta}{M}U$ is a neighborhood of 0 and $f(\frac{\delta}{M}U) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} \mid |\lambda| < \delta\}$. Thus f is continuous. \square

Theorem 1.11 (Hahn-Banach). Let (X, \mathcal{P}) be a LCS.

1. Given a subspace Y of X and $g \in Y^*$, there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $f|_Y = g$.
2. Given a closed subspace Y of X and $x_0 \notin Y$, there exists $f \in X^*$ such that $f|_Y = 0$, $f(x_0) \neq 0$.

Remark. This means that X^* separates points of X .

Proof.

1. By Lemma 1.9, find $s \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ finite, $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\forall y \in Y, |g(y)| \leq C \max_{p \in s} p(y)$$

Let $p(x) = C \max_{p \in s} p(x)$. Then p is a seminorm on X and $\forall y \in Y, |g(y)| \leq p(y)$. By Theorem 1.2, find a linear functional f on X such that $f|_Y = g, \forall x \in X, |f(x)| \leq p(x)$. By Lemma 1.9, $f \in X^*$.

2. Let $Z = \text{Span}(Y \cup \{x_0\})$ and define a linear functional g on Z by

$$g(y + \lambda x_0) = \lambda, y \in Y, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$$

Then $g|_Y = 0, g(x_0) = 1 \neq 0$ and $\ker g = Y$ is closed, so $g \in Z^*$ by Lemma 1.10. By part (i), find $f \in X^*$ such that $f|_Z = g$. This works.

□

2 The dual of $L_p(\mu)$ and $C(K)$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be measure space.

$1 \leq p < \infty$

$$L_p(\mu) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \mid f \text{ measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\mu < \infty\}$$

This is a normed space in the L_p -norm:

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$p = \infty$

A measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is **essentially bounded** if there exists $N \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mu(N) = 0$ and $f|_{N^c}$ is bounded.

$$L_p(\mu) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \mid f \text{ measurable and essentially bounded}\}$$

This is a normed space in the L_{∞} -norm:

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \text{esssup } |f| = \inf_{|f| \leq k \text{ ae}} k$$

The inf is attained: there exists some $N \in \mathcal{F}, \mu(N) = 0$ such that $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{N^c} |f|$.

In all cases, we identify functions up to almost everywhere equality.

Theorem 2.1. $L_p(\mu)$ is complete for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Definition (Complex measures). A **complex measure** on \mathcal{F} is a countably additive set function $\nu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The **total variation measure** $|\nu|$ is defined by

$$|\nu|(A) = \sup_{\substack{A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ measurable} \\ \text{partition of } A}} \sum_k |\nu(A_k)|$$

$|\nu| : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a positive measure. Later we'll see that $|\nu|$ is a finite measure.

The **total variation** of ν is $\|\nu\|_1 = |\nu|(\Omega)$.

Proposition. If ν is a complex measure on \mathcal{F} and $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ for all n , then

- If A is monotone, then $\nu(\bigcup_n A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(A_n)$.
- If A is antitone, then $\nu(\bigcap_n A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(A_n)$.

Definition (Signed measures). A **signed measure** on \mathcal{F} is a countably additive set function $\nu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.2. If ν is a signed measure, then there exists a measurable partition $\Omega = P \cup N$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\begin{aligned} A \subseteq P &\implies \nu(A) \geq 0 \\ A \subseteq N &\implies \nu(A) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Remarks.

1. This decomposition is called the **Hahn decomposition** of ν .

2. Define $\nu^+(A) = \nu(A \cap P)$, $\nu^-(A) = -\nu(A \cap N)$. Then ν^+, ν^- are finite positive measures such that $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$. This determines ν^+, ν^- uniquely and the decomposition $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$ is called the **Jordan decomposition** of ν .
3. If ν is a complex measure on \mathcal{F} , then $\operatorname{Re} \nu, \operatorname{Im} \nu$ are signed measures with Jordan decomposition $\nu_1 - \nu_2, \nu_3 - \nu_4$ respectively. Hence $\nu = \nu_1 - \nu_2 + i\nu_3 - i\nu_4$ is the Jordan decomposition of ν .

$$\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4 \leq |\nu| \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4$$

So $|\nu|$ is a finite measure.

Sketch. Define $\nu^+(A) = \sup_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{F} \\ B \subseteq A}} \nu(B)$. ν^+ is nonnegative and finitely additive.

Key step: $\nu^+(\Omega) < \infty$

By contradiction, construct inductively sequences A_n, B_n such that

$$\nu^+(A_n) = \infty, \nu(B_n) > n$$

by taking $A_0 = \Omega, B_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$ such that $\nu(B_n) > n$ (exists by continuity) and $A_{n+1} = B_{n+1}$ or $A_n \setminus B_{n+1}$. This contradicts countable additivity.

Now find a sequence A_n such that $\nu(A_n) > \nu^+(\Omega) - 2^{-n}$ and set $P = \liminf_n A_n, N = P^c$. Check that this works. \square

Lecture 6

Definition (Absolute continuity). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space and $\nu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a complex measure. ν is **absolutely continuous** with respect to μ , written $\nu \ll \mu$, if $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}, \mu(A) = 0 \implies \nu(A) = 0$.

Remarks.

- $\nu \ll \mu \implies |\nu| \ll \mu$, so if ν has Jordan decomposition $\nu = \nu_1 - \nu_2 + i\nu_3 - i\nu_4$ then $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4 \ll \mu$.
- If $\nu \ll \mu$, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall A \in \mathcal{F}, \mu(A) < \delta \implies |\nu(A)| < \varepsilon$.

Example. Let $f \in L_1(\mu)$. Define $\nu(A) = \int_A f d\mu$ for $A \in \mathcal{F}$. By Dominated Convergence, ν is a complex measure and $\mu(A) = 0 \implies \nu(A) = 0$. So $\nu \ll \mu$.

Definition. $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is **σ -finite** if there exists A_n with $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ such that $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Say μ is **σ -finite** if Ω is σ -finite.

Theorem 2.3 (Radon-Nikodym). Let μ be a σ -finite measure and ν a complex measure such that $\nu \ll \mu$. Then there exists a unique $f \in L_1(\mu)$ such that, for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $\nu(A) = \int_A f d\mu$. Moreover, f takes values in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}^+$ depending on where ν is valued.

Proof.

Uniqueness

standard

Existence

ν is a finite measure (by the Jordan decomposition). WLOG μ is a finite measure (by σ -finiteness). Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \mid h \text{ integrable}, \forall A \in \mathcal{F}, \int_A h d\mu \ll \nu(A) \right\}$$

$\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$ (eg $0 \in \mathcal{H}$). Let $\alpha = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{\Omega} h d\mu$. We see $0 \leq \alpha \leq \nu(\Omega)$.

Claim

There exists $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\alpha = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu$.

Idea

If $\int_A f d\mu < \nu(A)$, then $f + \frac{1}{n}1_A \in \mathcal{H}$ (morally, not literally), contradicting the definition of α .

Pick that f . Define $\nu_n(A) = \nu(A) - \int_A f d\mu - \frac{1}{n}\mu(A)$. ν_n has Hahn decomposition $\Omega = P_n \cup N_n$. Then $f + \frac{1}{n}1_{P_n} \in \mathcal{H}$. By definition of α , $\mu(P_n) = 0$. Since $\nu \ll \mu$, $\nu(P_n) = 0$. Let $P = \bigcup_n P_n, N = \bigcap_n N_n$. Then $\Omega = P \cup N, \mu(P) = \nu(P) = 0$. For $A \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned} A \subseteq P &\implies \int_A f d\mu = \nu(A) = 0 \\ A \subseteq N &\implies \forall n, \nu_n \leq 0 \implies \int_A f d\mu \geq \nu(A) \end{aligned}$$

□

Remarks.

- Without assuming $\nu \ll \mu$, the proof shows there is a decomposition $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ where $\nu_1(A) = \int_A f d\mu$ and $\nu_2 \perp \mu$ (**orthogonal**, ie there exists a measurable decomposition $\Omega = P \cup N$ such that $\mu(P) = 0, |\nu_2|(N) = 0$). $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ is the **Lebesgue decomposition** of ν .
- The unique f in Theorem 2.3 is the **Radon-Nikodym derivative** of ν with respect to μ , denoted $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$. The result says

$$\nu(A) = \int_{\Omega} 1_A d\nu = \int_A \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu = \int_{\Omega} 1_A \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu$$

Hence a measurable function g is ν -integrable iff $g \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ is μ -integrable and then

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\nu = \int_{\Omega} g \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu$$

2.1 Dual space of $L_p(\mu)$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, $1 \leq p < \infty, 1 < q \leq \infty$ such that $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. For $g \in L_q$, define $\phi_g : L_p \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $\phi_g(f) = \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu$. By Hölder, $f g \in L_1$, and $|\phi_g(f)| \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$. So ϕ_g is well-defined, linear and bounded with $\|\phi_g\| \leq \|g\|_q$. Hence $\phi_g \in L_p^*$ and $\phi : L_q \rightarrow L_p^*$ is linear and bounded with $\|\phi\| \leq 1$.

Theorem 2.4.

1. If $1 < p < \infty$, then ϕ is an isometric isomorphism. So $L_p^* \cong L_q$.
2. If $p = 1$ and μ is σ -finite, then ϕ is an isometric isomorphism. So $L_1^* \cong L_{\infty}$.

Proof.

1. ϕ is isometric

Let $g \in L_1$. We know $\|\phi_g\| \leq \|g\|_q$. Let λ be a measurable function with $|\lambda| = 1$, $\lambda g = |g|$. let $f = \lambda |g|^{q-1}$. Then

$$\|f\|_p^p = \int_{\Omega} |g|^{pq-p} d\mu = \int_{\Omega} |g|^q d\mu = \|g\|_q^q$$

So $f \in L_p$ and $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_q^{\frac{q}{p}}$. Then

$$\|g\|_q^{\frac{q}{p}} \|\phi_g\| \geq |\phi_g(f)| = \int_{\Omega} |g|^q d\mu = \|g\|_q^q$$

So $\|\phi_g\| \geq \|g\|_q^{q-\frac{q}{p}} = \|g\|_q$.

ϕ is onto

Fix $\psi \in L_p^*$. We seek $g \in L_q$ such that $\psi = \phi_g$. Idea: We want $\psi(1_A) = \int_A g d\mu$.

Case 1: μ is finite

For $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $1_A \in L_p$, so define $\nu(A) = \psi(1_A)$. $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$ and, if $A = \bigcup_p A_n \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\sum_k 1_{A_k} = 1_A$ in L_p , so

$$\sum_k \nu(A_k) = \sum_k \psi(1_{A_k}) = \psi(1_A)$$

Hence ν is a complex measure.

If $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mu(A) = 0$, then $1_A = 0$ ae in L_p , so $\nu(A) = \psi(1_A) = 0$. Hence $\nu \ll \mu$.

By Theorem 2.3, find $g \in L_1$ such that $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}, \nu(A) = \int_A g d\mu$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(1_A) &= \int_{\Omega} 1_A g d\mu \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{F} \\ \psi(f) &= \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu \text{ for all simple function } f \end{aligned}$$

Given $f \in L_{\infty}$, find simple functions f_n tending to f in L_{∞} . So $\psi(f_n) \rightarrow \psi(f)$ and $f_n g \rightarrow f g$ (by Hölder for $\infty, 1$), meaning that

$$\psi(f) = \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu \text{ for all } f \in L_{\infty}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A = \{|g| \leq n\}$ and $f_n = \lambda 1_{A_n} |g|^{q-1}$ where $|\lambda| = 1, \lambda g = |g|$. As $f_n \in L_{\infty}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} f_n g d\mu = \int_{A_n} |g|^q d\mu = \psi(f_n)$$

So $(\int_A |g|^q d\mu)^{q^{-1}} \leq \|\psi\|$. By Monotone Convergence, $g \in L_q$.

Given $f \in L_p$, find simple functions f_n tending to f in L_p . So $\psi(f_n) \rightarrow \psi(f)$ and $f_n g \rightarrow f g$ in L_1 (by Hölder for p, q). Hence $\psi(f) = \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu$, as wanted.

Before going onto Case 2, for $A \in \mathcal{F}$, let $\mathcal{F}_A = \{B \in \mathcal{F} \mid B \subseteq A\}$ and $\mu_A = \mu \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{F}_A}$ so that $(A, \mathcal{F}_A, \mu_A)$ is a measure space. Then $L_p(\mu_A) \subseteq L_p(\mu)$ (by extending $f \in L_p(\mu_A)$ by 0 outside A). Let $\psi_A = \psi \upharpoonright_{L_p(\mu_A)}$.

Lecture 7

Claim. If $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ are disjoint, then

$$\|\psi_{A \cup B}\| = (\|\psi_A\|^q + \|\psi_B\|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\|\psi_A\|^q + \|\psi_B\|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} &= \sup_{\substack{a, b \geq 0 \\ a^p + b^p \leq 1}} a \|\psi_A\| + b \|\psi_B\| \\
&= \sup_{\substack{a, b \geq 0 \\ a^p + b^p \leq 1 \\ f \in B_{L_p(\mu_A)} \\ g \in B_{L_p(\mu_B)}}} a |\psi_A(f)| + b |\psi_B(g)| \\
&= \sup_{\substack{|a|^p + |b|^p \leq 1 \\ f \in B_{L_p(\mu_A)} \\ g \in B_{L_p(\mu_B)}}} \underbrace{|a\psi_A(f) + b\psi_B(g)|}_{\psi_{A \cup B}(af + bg)} \\
&= \sup_{h \in L_p(\mu_{A \cup B})} |\psi_{A \cup B}(h)| \\
&= \|\psi_{A \cup B}\|
\end{aligned}$$

□

Case 2: μ is σ -finite

Find a measurable partition $\Omega = \bigcup_n A_n$ such that $\mu(A_n) < \infty$. By Case 1, find, for each n , $g_n \in L_q(A_n)$ such that $\psi_{A_n} = \phi_{g_n}$, ie

$$\psi(f) = \int_{A_n} f g_n d\mu \text{ for all } f \in L_q(\mu_{A_n})$$

If we define g on Ω by $g = g_n$ on A_n , then $g \in L_q$ and

$$\psi(f) = \phi_g(f) \text{ for all } f \in L_p(\mu_{A_n})$$

Hence $\psi = \phi_g$ on $\overline{\text{Span}} \bigcup_n L_p(\mu_{A_n}) = L_p(\mu)$.

Case 3: General n

First observe that, for $f \in L_p(\mu)$, $\{f \neq 0\}$ is σ -finite. Indeed,

$$\{f \neq 0\} = \bigcup_n \left\{ \frac{1}{n} < |f| \right\}$$

and

$$\mu \left\{ \frac{1}{n} < |f| \right\} \leq |n^p| \|f\|_p^p < \infty \text{ by Markov}$$

Choose $f_n \in B_{L_p}$ such that $\psi(f_n) \rightarrow \|\psi\|$. Then $A = \bigcup_n \{f_n \neq 0\}$ is σ -finite and $\|\psi_A\| = \|\psi\|$. By the claim,

$$\|\psi\| = (\|\psi_A\|^q + \|\psi_{A^c}\|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

So $\Psi_{A^c} = 0$. By Case 2, find $g \in L_q(\mu_A) \subseteq L_q(\mu)$ such that $\psi_A = \phi_g$, so that

$$\psi(f) = \psi_A f \upharpoonright_A + \psi_{A^c}(f \upharpoonright_{A^c}) = \int_A f g d\mu + 0 = \int_\Omega f g d\mu$$

2. $p = 1, \mu$ is σ -finite

ϕ is isometric

Let $g \in L_\infty$. We know $\|\phi_g\| \leq \|g\|_\infty$ (by Hölder) Fix $s < \|g\|_\infty$. Then $\mu\{s < |g|\} > 0$. Since μ is σ -finite, find $A \subseteq \{s < |g|\}$ such that $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$. Choose a

measurable function λ such that $|\lambda| = 1$, $\lambda g = |g|$. Then $\lambda 1_A \in L_1$, $\|\lambda 1_A\|_1 = \mu(A)$. Now,

$$\mu(A) \|\phi_g\| \geq |\phi_g(\lambda 1_A)| = \int_A |g| d\mu \geq s\mu(A)$$

So $\|\phi_g\| \geq s$. Taking the sup, $\|\phi_g\| \geq \|g\|_\infty$.

ϕ is onto

Fix $\psi \in L_q^*$. We seek $g \in L_\infty$ such that $\psi = \phi_g$.

Case 1: μ is finite

Define $\nu(A) = \psi(1_A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Follow the same steps as for $1 < p < \infty$.

Case 2: μ is σ -finite

This time, prove that

$$\|\psi_{A \cup B}\| = \max(\|\psi_A\|, \|\psi_B\|)$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ disjoint and proceed as before.

□

Corollary 2.5. For $1 < p < \infty$, $L_p(\mu)$ is reflexive.

Proof. Let $\psi \in L_p^{**}$. Then $g \mapsto \langle \phi_g, \psi \rangle : L_q \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is in L_q^* . By Theorem 2.4.i, find $f \in L_p$ such that

$$\langle \phi_g, \psi \rangle = \int_\Omega f g d\mu \quad \langle f, \psi_g \rangle = \langle \phi_g, \hat{f} \rangle$$

Since $L_p^* = \{\phi_g \mid g \in L_q\}$, this proves $\psi = \hat{f}$.

□

2.2 Dual space of $C(K)$

Throughout, K will be a compact Hausdorff topological space. Define

$$\begin{aligned} C(K) &= \{f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ continuous}\} \\ C^{\mathbb{R}}(K) &= \{f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous}\} \\ C^+(K) &= \{f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \mid f \text{ continuous}\} \\ M(K) &= C(K)^* \\ M^{\mathbb{R}}(K) &= \{\phi \in M(K) \mid \forall f \in C^{\mathbb{R}}(K), \phi(f) \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ M^+(K) &= \{\phi : C(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi \text{ is } \mathbb{R}\text{-linear}, \forall f \in C^+(K), 0 \leq \phi(f) \in \mathbb{R}\} \end{aligned}$$

$C(K), C^{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ are complex/real Banach spaces in the sup norm: $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_K |f|$. $M(K)$ is a complex Banach space in the operator norm. $M^{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ is a closed real-linear subspace of $M(K)$. Elements of $M^+(K)$ are called **positive linear functionals**.

Aim. Identify $M(K), M^{\mathbb{R}}(K)$.

Lecture 8

The next lemma tells us that it's enough to understand $M^+(K)$.

Lemma 2.6.

1. For all $\phi \in M(K)$, there are unique $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in M^{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ such that $\phi = \phi_1 + i\phi_2$.
2. $\phi \mapsto \phi \upharpoonright_{C^{\mathbb{R}}(K)} : M^{\mathbb{R}}(K) \rightarrow C^{\mathbb{R}}(K)^*$ is an isometric isomorphism.
3. $M^+(K) \subseteq M(K)$ and $M^+(K) = \{\phi \in M(K) \mid \|\phi\| = \phi(1)\}$
4. For all $\phi \in M^{\mathbb{R}}(K)$, there are unique $\phi^+, \phi^- \in M^+(K)$ such that $\phi = \phi^+ - \phi^-$ and $\|\phi\| = \|\phi^+\| + \|\phi^-\|$.

Proof.

1. Let $\phi \in M(K)$. Then $\bar{\phi}$ sending $f \mapsto \phi(\bar{f})$ is in $M(K)$ as well and $\phi \in M^{\mathbb{R}}(K) \iff \bar{\phi} = \phi$.

Uniqueness

Assume $\phi = \phi_1 + i\phi_2$ where $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in M^{\mathbb{R}}(K)$. Then $\bar{\phi} = \phi_1 - i\phi_2$, so

$$\phi_1 = \frac{\phi + \bar{\phi}}{2}, \phi_2 = \frac{\phi - \bar{\phi}}{2i}$$

Existence

Check that the above works

2. Let $\phi \in M^{\mathbb{R}}(K)$. We show $\|\phi \upharpoonright_{C^{\mathbb{R}}(K)}\| = \|\phi\|$. Clearly, $\|\phi \upharpoonright_{C^{\mathbb{R}}(K)}\| \leq \|\phi\|$. Let $f \in B_{C(K)}$. Choose $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| = 1, \lambda\phi(f) = |\phi(f)|$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(f)| &= \lambda\phi(f) \\ &= \phi(\lambda f) \\ &= \phi(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda f)) + \phi(\operatorname{Im}(\lambda f)) \xrightarrow{0} \\ &\leq \|\phi \upharpoonright_{C^{\mathbb{R}}(K)}\| \|\operatorname{Re}(\lambda f)\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \|\phi \upharpoonright_{C^{\mathbb{R}}(K)}\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|\phi\| \leq \|\phi \upharpoonright_{C^\mathbb{R}(K)}\|$.

Finally, given $\psi \in C^\mathbb{R}(K)$, define $\phi(f) = \psi(\operatorname{Re} f) + i\psi(\operatorname{Im} f)$. Then $\phi \in M(K)$ and $\phi \upharpoonright_{C^\mathbb{R}(K)} = \psi$.

3. $M^+(K) \subseteq M(K)$

Let $\phi \in M^+(K)$. For $f \in B_{C^\mathbb{R}(K)}$, we have $1 \pm f \geq 0$, so $\phi(1 \pm f) \geq 0$. Hence $\phi(f) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\phi(f)| \leq \phi(1)$. So $\phi \upharpoonright_{C^\mathbb{R}(K)} \in C^\mathbb{R}(K)^*$ and $\|\phi \upharpoonright_{C^\mathbb{R}(K)}\| = \phi(1)$. By (ii), $\phi \in M(K)$, $\|\phi\| = \phi(1)$.

$M^+(K) = \{\phi \in M(K) \mid \|\phi\| = \phi(1)\}$

We have already checked one inclusion. Let $\phi \in M(K)$ with $\|\phi\| = \phi(1)$. WLOG $\|\phi\| = \phi(1) = 1$. Let $f \in B_{C^\mathbb{R}(K)}$ and write $\phi(f) = a + ib$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. We want $b = 0$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(f + it)|^2 &= a^2 + (b + t)^2 = a^2 + b^2 + t^2 + 2bt \\ &\leq \|f + it\|_\infty \leq 1 + t^2 \end{aligned}$$

So $b = 0$.

Given $f \in C^+(K)$ with $0 \leq f \leq 1$, we have $-1 \leq 2f - 1 \leq 1$, so $|\phi(2f - 1)| \leq \|2f - 1\|_\infty \leq 1$, ie $-1 \leq 2\phi(f) - 1 \leq 1$. So $\phi(f) \geq 0$.

4. Let $\phi \in M^\mathbb{R}(K)$. Assume for a moment that $\phi = \psi_1 - \psi_2$ where $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in M^+(K)$. For $f, g \in C^+(K)$ with $0 \leq g \leq f$, we have $\psi_1(f) \geq \psi_1(g) = \phi(g) + \psi_2(g) \geq \phi(g)$. So

$$\psi_1(f) \geq \sup_{0 \leq g \leq f} \phi(g)$$

For $f \in C^+(K)$, define

$$\phi^+(f) = \sup_{0 \leq g \leq f} \phi(g)$$

Observe that $\phi^+ \geq 0$, $\phi^+(f) \leq \|\phi\| \|f\|_\infty$, $\phi^+(f) \geq \phi(f)$, ϕ^+ is linear.

Next, for $f \in C^\mathbb{R}(K)$, write $f = f_1 - f_2$ where $f_1, f_2 \in C^+(K)$ and define $\phi^+(f) = \phi^+(f_1) - \phi^+(f_2)$. This is well-defined and \mathbb{R} -linear. Then ϕ is \mathbb{C} -linear since $\phi^+(f) \geq 0$. For all $f \in C^+(K)$ and $\phi^+ \in M^+(K)$.

Define $\phi^- = \phi^+ - \phi$. For $f \in C^+(K)$, $\phi^+(f) \geq \phi(f)$, so $\phi^-(f) \geq 0$, namely $\phi^- \in M^+(K)$.

We now see that $\|\phi\| \leq \|\phi^+\| + \|\phi^-\|$. Given $f \in C^+(K)$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, we have $-1 \leq 2f - 1 \leq 1$, so

$$2\phi(f) - \phi(1) = \phi(2f - 1) \leq \|\phi\|$$

Taking the sup over f , we thus check that

$$\|\phi^+\| + \|\phi^-\| = \phi^+(1) + \phi^-(1) = 2\phi^+(1) - \phi(1) \leq \|\phi\|$$

Uniqueness

Assume $\phi = \psi_1 - \psi_2$, $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in M^+(K)$, $\|\phi\| = \|\psi_1\| + \|\psi_2\|$. From the initial observation, $\psi_1 \geq \phi^+$, hence $\psi_2 = \psi_1 - \phi \geq \phi^+ - \phi = \phi^-$. Therefore $\psi_1 - \phi^+, \psi_2 - \phi^- \in M^+(K)$. By (iii),

$$\|\psi_1 - \phi^+\| + \|\psi_2 - \phi^-\| = \psi_1(1) - \phi^+(1) + \psi_2(1) - \phi^-(1) = \|\phi\| - \|\phi\| = 0$$

Hence $\psi_1 = \phi^+, \psi_2 = \phi^-$.

□

Topological preliminaries

1. K being compact Hausdorff, it is **normal**: given disjoint closed sets E, F in K , there are disjoint open sets U, V such that $E \subseteq U, F \subseteq V$. Equivalently, given $E \subseteq U \subseteq K$, E , closed, U open, there exists V open such that $E \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq U$.
2. Urysohn says: given disjoint closed sets E, F , there is a continuous function $f : K \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f = 0$ on E , $f = 1$ on F .
3. Write $f \prec U$ to mean that U is an open set, f is continuous and $\text{supp } f \subseteq U$. Write $E \prec f$ to mean that E is closed, f is continuous and $f = 1$ on E .
4. Urysohn then becomes: Given $E \subseteq U$, there exists f such that $E \prec f \prec U$.

Lemma 2.7. Let E closed, U_1, \dots, U_n open such that $E \subseteq \bigcup_n U_n$. Then

1. There exist open sets V_j such that $\bar{V}_j \subseteq U_j$ and $E \subseteq \bigcup_j V_j$.
2. There exist $f_j \prec U_j$ such that $0 \leq \sum_j f_j \leq 1$ and $\sum_j f_j = 1$ on E .

Proof. 1. Induction on n : $n = 0$

Obvious.

$n > 0$

$E \setminus U_n \subseteq \bigcup_{j < n} U_j$ so, by induction, find open sets V_j such that $\bar{V}_j \subseteq U_j$ for all $j < n$ and $E \setminus U_n \subseteq \bigcup_{j < n} U_j$. So $E \setminus \underbrace{\bigcup_{j < n} V_j}_{\text{closed}} \subseteq \underbrace{U_n}_{\text{open}}$. By Urysohn, find an open V_n

such that

$$E \setminus \bigcup_{j < n} V_j \subseteq V_n \subseteq \bar{V}_n \subseteq U_n$$

2. Find the V_j as in (i) for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and by Urysohn find h_j such that $\bar{V}_j \prec h_j U_j$. By Urysohn again, find h_0 such that $\left(\bigcup_j U_j\right)^c \prec h_0 \prec E^c$. Let $h = \sum_{j=0}^n h_j \geq 1$ and $f_j = \frac{h_j}{h}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \leq 1$, $f_j \prec U_j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n f_j = 1$ on E .

□

Definition (Borel measures). Let X be a Hausdorff space and \mathcal{G} its family of open sets. The **Borel σ -algebra** is $\mathcal{B} := \sigma(\mathcal{G})$, the σ -algebra generated by open sets. Elements of \mathcal{B} are called **Borel sets**. A **Borel measure** on X is a measure μ on \mathcal{B} . We say μ is **regular** if

1. $\mu(E) < \infty$ for all compact $E \subseteq X$
2. $\mu(A) = \inf_{\substack{U \text{ open} \\ A \subseteq U}} \mu(U)$ for all Borel set A
3. $\mu(U) = \sup_{\substack{E \text{ compact} \\ E \subseteq U}} \mu(E)$ for all open U

A complex Borel measure ν is **regular** if $|\nu|$ is regular.

If X is compact and μ is a Borel measure on X , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \text{ regular} &\iff \mu(X) < \infty \text{ and } \forall A \in \mathcal{B}, \mu(A) = \inf_{\substack{U \text{ open} \\ A \subseteq U}} \mu(U) \\ &\iff \mu(X) < \infty \text{ and } \forall A \in \mathcal{B}, \mu(A) = \sup_{\substack{E \text{ closed} \\ E \subseteq A}} \mu(E) \end{aligned}$$

Definition (Integration with respect to a complex measure). Let Ω be a set, \mathcal{F} a σ -algebra on Ω , ν a complex measure on \mathcal{F} . Write $\nu = \nu_1 - \nu_2 + i\nu_3 - i\nu_4$ the Jordan decomposition. Say a measurable function is **ν -integrable** if f is $|\nu|$ -integrable, or equivalently if f is $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4$ -integrable. Define

$$\int_{\Omega} f d\nu = \int_{\Omega} f d\nu_1 - \int_{\Omega} f d\nu_2 + i \int_{\Omega} f d\nu_3 - i \int_{\Omega} f d\nu_4$$

Lecture 9