

Part III – Introduction to Additive Combinatorics (Incomplete)

Based on lectures by Prof Julia Wolf
Notes taken by Yaël Dillies

Lent 2024

Contents

1	Fourier-analytic techniques	2
2	Combinatorial methods	10
3	Probabilistic tools	16

1 Fourier-analytic techniques

Lecture 1

Let $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ where p is a small fixed prime and n is large.

Notation. Given a finite set B and any function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, write

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \in B} f(x) = \frac{1}{|B|} \sum_{x \in B} f(x)$$

Write $\omega = e^{\frac{\pi i}{p}}$. Note $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \omega^a = 0$.

Definition 1.1. Given $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define its **Fourier transform** $\hat{f} : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\hat{f}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} f(x) \omega^{x \cdot t}$$

It is easy to verify the **inversion formula**

$$f(x) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t) \omega^{-x \cdot t}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} \hat{f}(t) \omega^{-x \cdot t} &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} (\mathbb{E}_y f(y) \omega^{y \cdot t}) \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_y f(y) \sum_t \omega^{(y-x) \cdot t} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_y f(y) 1_{y=x} p^n \\ &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Notation. Given a set A of a finite group G , write

- 1_A the *characteristic function* of A , ie

$$1_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

- μ_A the *characteristic measure* of A , ie

$$\mu_A = \alpha^{-1} 1_A$$

where $\alpha = \frac{|A|}{|G|}$.

- f_A the *balanced function* of A , ie

$$f_A(x) = 1_A(x) - \alpha$$

Note $\mathbb{E}_x f_A(x) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_x \mu_A(x) = 1$, $\widehat{1_A}(0) = \mathbb{E}_x 1_A(x) = \alpha$. Writing $-A = \{-a | a \in A\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{1_{-A}}(t) &= \mathbb{E}_x 1_{-A}(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x 1_A(-x) \omega^{x \cdot t} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x 1_A(x) \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \overline{\widehat{1_A}(t)} \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.2. Let $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Then

$$\widehat{1_V}(t) = \mathbb{E}_x 1_V(x) \omega^{x \cdot t} = \frac{|V|}{|G|} 1_{V^\perp}(t)$$

So

$$\widehat{\mu_V}(t) = 1_{V^\perp}(t)$$

Example 1.3. Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that each x is included with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ independently. Then with high probability

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_R}(t)| = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log(p^n)}{p^n}}\right)$$

This is on Example Sheet 1 using a **Chernoff-type bound**: Given \mathbb{C} -valued independent random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with mean 0 and $\theta \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_i X_i\right| \geq \theta \sqrt{\sum_i \|X_i\|_{L^\infty}^2}\right) \leq 4 \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2}{4}\right)$$

Example 1.4. Let $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid x \cdot x = 0\}$. Then $|Q| = \left(\frac{1}{p} + O(p^{-n})\right) p^n$ and $\sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_Q}(t)| = O(p^{-\frac{n}{2}})$. See Example Sheet 1.

Notation. Given $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, write

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, g \rangle &= \mathbb{E}_x f(x) \overline{g(x)} \\ \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle &= \sum_t \hat{f}(t) \overline{\hat{g}(t)}\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_2^2 &= \mathbb{E}_x |f(x)|^2 \\ \|\hat{f}\|_2^2 &= \sum_t |\hat{f}(t)|^2\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.5. For all $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, g \rangle &= \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle && \text{(Plancherel)} \\ \|f\|_2 &= \|\hat{f}\|_2 && \text{(Parseval)}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Exercise. □

Definition 1.6. Let $\rho > 0$ and $f : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Define the ρ -large spectrum of f to be

$$\text{Spec}_\rho(f) = \{t \mid |\hat{f}(t)| \geq \rho \|f\|_1\}$$

Example 1.7. By Example 1.2, if $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$, then $\text{Spec}_\rho(1_V) = V^\perp$ for all $\rho > 0$.

Lemma 1.8. For all $\rho > 0$, $|\text{Spec}_\rho(f)| \leq \rho^{-2} \frac{\|f\|_2^2}{\|f\|_1^2}$.

Proof.

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2^2 \geq \sum_{t \in \text{Spec}_\rho(f)} |\hat{f}(t)|^2 \geq |\text{Spec}_\rho(f)| (\rho \|f\|_1)^2$$

□

Lecture 2

Definition 1.9. Given $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define their **convolution** $f * g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(f * g)(x) = \mathbb{E}_y f(y) g(x - y)$$

Example 1.10. Given $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1_A * 1_B)(x) &= \mathbb{E}_y 1_A(y) 1_B(x - y) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^n} |A \cap (x - B)| \\ &= \frac{\# \text{ ways to write } x = a + b, a \in A, b \in B}{p^n} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the support of $1_A * 1_B$ is the **sum set**

$$A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

Lemma 1.11. Given $f, g : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\widehat{f * g}(t) = \hat{f}(t) \hat{g}(t)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f * g}(t) &= \mathbb{E}_x (\mathbb{E}_y f(y) g(x - y)) \omega^{x \cdot t} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_y f(y) \mathbb{E}_u g(u) \omega^{(u+y) \cdot t} \\ &= \hat{f}(t) \hat{g}(t) \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 1.12. $\|\hat{f}\|_4^4 = \mathbb{E}_{x+y=z+w} f(x) f(y) \overline{f(z)} \overline{f(w)}$. See Example Sheet 1.

Lemma 1.13 (Bogolyubov). If $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is of density $\alpha > 0$, then there exists a subspace V of codimension at most $2\alpha^{-2}$ such that $V \subseteq (A + A) - (A + A)$.

Proof. Observe that $(A + A) - (A + A) = \text{supp}(\underbrace{1_A * 1_A * 1_{-A} * 1_{-A}}_g)$, so we wish to find

V such that $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in V$. Let $K = \text{Spec}_\rho(1_A)$ for some $\rho > 0$ and define $V = \langle K \rangle^\perp$. By Lemma 1.8, $\text{codim } V \leq |K| \leq \rho^{-2} \alpha^{-1}$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} 1_A * 1_A * \widehat{1_{-A}} * 1_{-A}(t) \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t} \\ &= \underbrace{\alpha^4 + \sum_{t \in K \setminus \{0\}} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\sum_{t \notin K} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|^4 \omega^{-x \cdot t}}_{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

We now see that

$$(1) = \sum_{t \in K \setminus \{0\}} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \geq 0$$

and

$$|(2)| \leq \sum_{t \notin K} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^4 \leq \sup_{t \notin K} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^2 \sum_{t \notin K} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right|^2 \leq (\rho\alpha)^2 \|1_A\|_2^2 = \rho^2 \alpha^3$$

by Parseval. Picking $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, we thus get $\rho^2 \alpha^3 \leq \frac{\alpha^4}{2}$ and $g(x) > 0$ whenever $x \in V$. \square

Example 1.14. The set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid |x| \geq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\}$ has density at least $\frac{1}{4}$ but there is no coset C of any subspace of codimension \sqrt{n} such that $C \subseteq A + A$. See Example Sheet 1.

Lemma 1.15. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of density α be such that $\text{Spec}_\rho(1_A)$ contains some $t \neq 0$. Then there exist $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of codimension 1 and $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that

$$|A \cap (x + V)| \geq \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{2}\right) |V|$$

Proof. Let $t \neq 0$ be such that $\left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right| \geq \rho\alpha$ and let $V = \langle t \rangle^\perp$. For $j = 1, \dots, p$, write

$$v_j + V = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid x \cdot t = j\}$$

the cosets of V . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{1_A}(t) &= \widehat{f_A}(t) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} (1_A(x)) - \alpha \omega^{x \cdot t} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_j \omega^j \mathbb{E}_{x \in v_j + V} (1_A(x) - \alpha) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_j a_j \omega^j \end{aligned}$$

where $a_j = \frac{|A \cap (v_j + V)|}{|V|} - \alpha$. Since $\sum_j a_j = 0$, we get

$$\rho\alpha \leq \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right| \leq \mathbb{E}_j |a_j| = \mathbb{E}_j (|a_j| + a_j)$$

So there is some j such that $|a_j| + a_j \geq \rho\alpha$. In particular, this a_j is positive, so

$$\frac{|A \cap (v_j + V)|}{|V|} \geq \alpha + \frac{\rho\alpha}{2}$$

as wanted. \square

Lecture 3

Lemma 1.16. Let $p \geq 3$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of density $\alpha > 0$ be such that $\sup_{t \neq 0} \left| \widehat{1_A}(t) \right| = o(1)$. Then A contains $(\alpha^3 + o(1)) |G|^2$ three terms arithmetic progressions (aka 3AP).

Notation. Given $f, g, h : \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, write

$$T_3(f, g, h) = \mathbb{E}_x f(x) g(x + d) h(x + 2d)$$

Given $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$, write $2 \cdot A = \{2a \mid a \in A\}$. This is distinct from $2A = \{a + b \mid a, b \in A\}$.

Proof. The number of 3AP (including the trivial ones of the form a, a, a) in A is $|G|^2$ times

$$\begin{aligned}
T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) &= \mathbb{E}_{x,d} 1_A(x) 1_A(x+d) 1_A(x+2d) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{x,y} 1_A(x) 1_A(y) 1_A(2y-x) \\
&= \mathbb{E}_y (1_A * 1_A)(2y) 1_A(y) \\
&= \langle 1_A * 1_A, 1_{2 \cdot A} \rangle \\
&= \langle \widehat{1_A}^2, \widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}} \rangle \\
&= \alpha^3 + \sum_{t \neq 0} \widehat{1_A}(t)^2 \overline{\widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}(t)} \text{ by Plancherel}
\end{aligned}$$

In absolute value, the error term is at most

$$\sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_{2 \cdot A}}(t)| \sum_t |\widehat{1_A}(t)|^2 = \alpha \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|$$

□

Theorem 1.17 (Meshulam). Let $p \geq 3$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a set containing only trivial 3APs. Then

$$|A| = O\left(\frac{p^n}{\log(p^n)}\right)$$

Proof. By assumption, $T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) = \frac{\alpha}{p^n}$. But, as in Lemma 1.16,

$$|T_3(1_A, 1_A, 1_A) - \alpha^3| \leq \alpha \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|$$

Hence, provided that $2\alpha^{-2} \leq p^n$, Lemma 1.15 gives us a subspace $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of codimension 1 and $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that

$$|A \cap (x + V)| \geq \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\right) |V|$$

We iterate this observation. Let $A_0 = A, V_0 = \mathbb{F}_p^n$. At step i , we are given a set $A_i \subseteq V_i$ of density α_i with only trivial 3APs. Provided that $2\alpha_i^{-2} \leq p^{\dim V_i}$, find $V_{i+1} \leq V_i$ of codimension 1 and $x \in V_i$ such that $|A_i \cap (x + V_i)| \geq \left(\alpha_i + \frac{\alpha_i^2}{4}\right) |V_{i+1}|$ and

set $A_{i+1} = (A_i - x) \cap V_i$. Note that $\alpha_{i+1} \geq \alpha_i + \frac{\alpha_i^2}{4}$ and A_{i+1} only contains trivial 3APs (because, very importantly, 3AP are **translation-invariant**).

Through this iteration, the density of A increases from α to 2α in at most $\lceil 4\alpha^{-1} \rceil$ steps, from 2α to 4α in at most $\lceil 2\alpha^{-1} \rceil$ steps, etc... Since density can't increase past 1, it takes at most

$$\underbrace{\lceil 4\alpha^{-1} \rceil + \lceil 2\alpha^{-1} \rceil + \dots}_{\lceil \log \alpha^{-1} \rceil \text{ terms}} \leq (4\alpha^{-1} + 1) + (2\alpha^{-1} + 1) + \dots \leq 8\alpha^{-1} + \log \alpha^{-1} + 1 \leq 9\alpha^{-1}$$

steps to reach a point where the condition $2\alpha_i^{-2} \leq p^{\dim V_i}$ is not respected anymore. Now either $\alpha \leq \sqrt{2}p^{-\frac{n}{4}}$ (in which case the inequality is obvious) or $\alpha \geq \sqrt{2}p^{-\frac{n}{4}}$ and

$$p^{n-9\alpha^{-1}} \leq p^{\dim V_i} \leq 2\alpha_i^{-2} \leq 2\alpha^{-2} \leq p^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

namely $\alpha \leq \frac{18}{n}$, as wanted. □

We have proved that if $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^n$ only contains trivial 3APs then $|A| = O(\frac{3^n}{n})$. The largest known set in \mathbb{F}_3^n with only trivial 3APs has size $\geq 2.218^n$ (Tyrrell, 2022). We will return to this later.

From now on, let G be a finite abelian group. G comes equipped with a set of **characters**, ie group homomorphisms $\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Characters themselves form a group denoted \hat{G} and called the **Pontryagin dual** (aka **dual group**) of G . It turns out that if G is finite abelian then $\hat{\hat{G}} \cong G$ (but *non-canonically*). For instance,

- If $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$, then $\hat{G} = \{\gamma_t : x \mapsto \omega^{x \cdot t} \mid t \in G\}$
- If $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, then $\hat{G} = \{\gamma_t : x \mapsto \omega^{xt} \mid t \in G\}$

The latter is a special case of the former, but again n should be thought of as an asymptotic variable.

Definition 1.18. Given $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, define its **Fourier transform** $\hat{f} : \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \mathbb{E}_{x \in G} f(x) \gamma(x)$$

It is easy to verify that $f(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\gamma) \overline{\gamma(x)}$. Similarly, Definitions 1.6, 1.9, Examples 1.3, 1.10 and Lemmas 1.5, 1.8, 1.11 go through in this more general context.

Example 1.19. Let p be a prime, $L < p$ be even and $J = [-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}] \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$. Then for all $t \neq 0$ we have

$$\widehat{1_J}(t) \leq \min\left(\frac{L+1}{p}, \frac{1}{2|t|}\right)$$

See Example Sheet 1.

Theorem 1.20 (Roth). Let $A \subseteq [N]$ be a set containing only trivial 3APs. Then $|A| = O(\frac{N}{\log \log N})$.

Lemma 1.21. Let $A \subseteq [N]$ of density $\alpha > 0$ containing only trivial 3APs and satisfying $N > 50\alpha^{-2}$. Let p be a prime in $[\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}]$ and write $A' = A \cap [p] \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$. Then either

1. $\sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_A}(t)| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$ (where the Fourier coefficients are computed in \mathbb{F}_p)
2. or there exists an interval J of length $\geq \frac{N}{3}$ such that

$$|A \cap J| \geq \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{400}\right) |J|$$

Proof. If $|A'| \leq \alpha \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{200}\right) p$, then

$$|A \cap [p+1, N]| \geq \alpha(N-p) + \frac{\alpha^2 p}{200} \geq \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{400}\right) (N-p)$$

and we are in Case 2 with $J = [p+1, N]$. Let $A'' = A' \cap [\frac{p}{3}, \frac{2p}{3}]$. Note that all 3APs of the form $(x, x+d, x+2d) \in A' \times A'' \times A''$ are in fact 3APs in $[N]$ (and in particular they are trivial).

If $|A' \cap [\frac{p}{3}, p]|$ or $|A' \cap [\frac{2p}{3}, p]|$ were at least $\frac{2}{5}|A'|$, then we would again be in Case 2. We may therefore assume that $|A''| \geq \frac{|A'|}{5}$.

Now, as in Lemma 1.16 and Theorem 1.17 with $\alpha' = \frac{|A'|}{p}$, $\alpha'' = \frac{|A''|}{p}$,

$$\frac{\alpha''}{p} = T_3(1_{A'}, 1_{A''}, 1_{A''}) = \alpha' \alpha''^2 + \sum_{t \neq 0} \widehat{1_{A'}}(t) \widehat{1_{A''}}(t) \overline{\widehat{1_{2 \cdot A'}}(t)}$$

So, as before, $\frac{\alpha'\alpha''}{2} \leq \alpha'' \sup_{t \neq 0} |\widehat{1_{A'}}(t)|$, provided $\frac{\alpha''}{p} \leq \frac{\alpha'\alpha''/2}{2}$. This holds by assumption since $p \geq \frac{N}{3}$, $N \geq 50\alpha^{-2}$, $\alpha' \geq \frac{199}{200}\alpha$, $\alpha'' \geq \frac{\alpha'}{5}$. \square

Lecture 5

We now want to convert the large Fourier coefficient into a density increment. This is harder now that the number of values of xt grows as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Compare this to the finite field case where $x \cdot t$ only take p different values regardless of n . If we can't find a single big coefficient, then we might instead be able to find an interval of coefficients whose total contribution is big.

TODO: Insert picture

Lemma 1.22. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi : [m] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ be multiplication by some fixed $t \neq 0$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition of $[m]$ into progressions P_i of length $\in [\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{m}}{2}, \varepsilon\sqrt{m}]$ such that $\text{diam}(\phi(P_i)) \leq \varepsilon p$.

Proof. Let $u = \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor$ and consider $0, t, \dots, ut$. By pigeonhole, find $0 \leq v < w \leq u$ such that $|wt - vt| \leq \frac{p}{u}$. Set $s = w - v \leq u$ so that $|st| \leq \frac{p}{u}$. Divide $[m]$ into residue classes mod s . Each has size at least $\lfloor \frac{m}{s} \rfloor \geq \lfloor \frac{m}{u} \rfloor$ and can be divided into progressions of the form $a, a+s, \dots, a+ds$ with $\frac{\varepsilon u}{2} < d \leq \varepsilon u$. The diameter of each progression under ϕ is $|dst| \leq \varepsilon p$. \square

Lemma 1.23. Let $A \subseteq [N]$ be of density $\alpha > 0$. Let p be a prime in $[\frac{N}{3}, \frac{2N}{3}]$ and write $A' = A \cap [p]$. Suppose there exists $t \neq 0$ such that $|\widehat{1_{A'}}(t)| \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{10}$. Then there exists a progression P of length at least $\alpha^2 \frac{\sqrt{N}}{500}$ such that

$$|A \cap P| \geq \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{50}\right) |P|$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = \frac{\alpha^2}{40\pi}$ and use Lemma 1.22 to partition $[p]$ into progressions P_i of length at least $\frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{p}}{2} \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{80\pi} \sqrt{\frac{N}{3}} \geq \frac{\alpha^2\sqrt{N}}{500}$ and $\text{diam} \phi(P_i) \leq \varepsilon p$. Fix one x_i inside each P_i .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha^2}{10} &\leq |\widehat{f_{A'}}(t)| \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \left| \sum_i \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{xt} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \left| \sum_i \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{x_i t} + \sum_i \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) (\omega^{xt} - \omega^{x_i t}) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{x_i t} \right| + \frac{1}{p} \sum_i \sum_{x \in P_i} |f_{A'}(x)| 2\pi\varepsilon \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \omega^{x_i t} \right| + \frac{\alpha^2}{20} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_i \left| \sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \right| \geq \frac{\alpha^2 p}{20}$$

Since $f_{A'}$ has mean zero, there exists i such that $\sum_{x \in P_i} f_{A'}(x) \geq \frac{\alpha^2 |P_i|}{40}$. \square

Proof of Roth's theorem. Put the ingredients together, Similarly to Meshulam. See Example Sheet 1 for details. \square

Example 1.24 (Behrend's construction). There exists a set $A \subseteq [N]$ containing nontrivial 3APs of size at least $e^{-O(\sqrt{\log n})}$. See Example Sheet 1.

Definition 1.25. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \hat{G}$. The **Bohr set of frequencies** Γ and width ρ is

$$B(\Gamma, \rho) = \{x \in G \mid \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, |\gamma(x) - 1| \leq \rho\}$$

$|\Gamma|$ is the **rank** of the Bohr set.

Example 1.26. When $G = \mathbb{F}_p^n$, $B(\Gamma, \rho) = \langle \Gamma \rangle^\perp$ for all small enough ρ (depending only on p , not n).

Lemma 1.27. Let B be a Bohr set of rank d and width ρ . Then $|B| \geq \left(\frac{\rho}{2\pi}\right)^d |G|$.

Proof. See Example Sheet 2. \square

Lecture 6

Lemma 1.28 (Bogolyubov). Given $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$ of density $\alpha > 0$, there exists $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p}$ of size at most $2\alpha^{-2}$ such that $B(\Gamma, \frac{1}{2}) \subseteq (A + A) - (A + A)$.

Proof. Recall $(1_A * 1_A * 1_{-A} * 1_{-A})(x) = \sum_{t \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p}} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|^4 \omega^{-xt}$. Let $\Gamma = \text{Spec}_{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}(1_A)$ and note that we have $\cos(\frac{2\pi xt}{p}) > 0$ for all $x \in B(\Gamma, \frac{1}{2})$ and $t \in \Gamma$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} \sum_{t \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p}} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|^4 \omega^{-xt} &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|^4 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi xt}{p}\right) + \sum_{t \notin \Gamma} |\widehat{1_A}(t)|^4 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi xt}{p}\right) \\ &\geq \alpha^4 - \frac{\alpha^4}{2} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

\square

2 Combinatorial methods

For now, let G be an abelian group. Given $A, B \subseteq G$, we defined

$$A \pm B = \{a \pm b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

If A and B are finite and nonempty, then

$$\max(|A|, |B|) \leq |A \pm B| \leq |A| |B|$$

Better bounds are available in certain settings.

Example 2.1. Let $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a subspace. Then $V + V = V$, so $|V + V| = |V|$. In fact, if $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is such that $|A + A| = |A|$, then A is a coset of some subspace.

Example 2.2. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that $|A + A| < \frac{3}{2} |A|$. Then there exists $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that A is contained in a coset of V and $|V| < \frac{3}{2} |A|$. See Example Sheet 2.

Example 2.3. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a set of linearly independent vectors. Then $|A + A| = \binom{|A|+1}{2}$. This is big doubling, but $|A| \leq n$ is small!

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be a set where each point is taken randomly with probability $p^{-\theta n}$ where $\theta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Then with high probability $|A + A| = (1 + o(1)) \frac{|A|^2}{2}$.

Definition 2.4. Given finite sets $A, B \subseteq G$, we define the Ruzsa distance between A and B to be

$$d(A, B) = \log \frac{|A - B|}{\sqrt{|A| |B|}}$$

$d(A, B)$ is clearly nonnegative and symmetric. However, $d(A, A) \neq 0$ in general.

Lemma 2.5 (Ruzsa's triangle inequality). For $A, B, C \subseteq G$ finite,

$$d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C)$$

Proof. The inequality reduces to

$$|B| |A - C| \leq |A - B| |B - C|$$

This is true because

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : B \times (A - C) &\rightarrow (A - B) \times (B - C) \\ (b, d) &\mapsto (a_d - b, b - c_d) \end{aligned}$$

is injective, where for each $d \in A - C$ we have chosen $a_d \in A, c_d \in C$ such that $d = a - c$. \square

Definition 2.6. Given a finite set $A \subseteq G$, we write $\sigma(A) = \frac{|A+A|}{|A|}$ the **doubling constant** and $\delta(A) = \frac{|A-A|}{|A|}$ the **difference constant** of A .

$d(A, A) = \log \sigma(A)$ and $d(A, -A) = \log \delta(A)$, so Lemma 2.5 for $A, -A, -A$ tells us that $\delta(A) \leq \sigma(A)^2$.

Lecture 7

Notation. Given $A \subseteq G$ and $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\ell A - mA$ for the set

$$\underbrace{A + \cdots + A}_{\ell \text{ times}} - \underbrace{A + \cdots + A}_{m \text{ times}}$$

Theorem 2.7 (Plünnecke's inequality). Let $A, B \subseteq G$ be finite such that $|A + B| \leq K|A|$. Then for all ℓ, m ,

$$|\ell B - mB| \leq K^{\ell+m} |A|$$

Idea. A should be thought of as being approximately a subspace. The assumption then says that B is efficiently contained in (a translate of) A and the conclusion now reads that B must itself have small multiples. This makes sense, since we can use multiples of A (which are not much bigger than A) to efficiently contain the multiples of B .

Proof. WLOG $|A + B| = K|A|$. Choose $A' \subseteq A$ nonempty such that the ratio $\frac{|A' + B|}{|A'|} = K'$ is minimised. Note $K' \leq K$ and $|A'' + B| \geq K'|A''|$ for all $A'' \subseteq A$.

Claim. For all finite $C \subseteq G$, $|A' + B + C| \leq K'|A' + C|$.

From the claim, we show that $|A' + mB| \leq K'^m |A'|$ for all m by induction: That's true for $m = 0$. For $m + 1$, the claim with $C = mB$ gives

$$|A' + (m + 1)B| = |A' + B + C| \leq K'|A' + C| \leq K'^{m+1} |A'|$$

Now, by the triangle inequality,

$$|A'| |\ell B - mB| \leq |A' + \ell B| |A' + mB| \leq K'^{\ell} |A'| K'^m |A'|$$

Namely, $|\ell B - mB| \leq K'^{\ell+m} |A'| \leq K^{\ell+m} |A|$.

Proof of the claim. Do induction on C . For $C = \emptyset$, it's true. For $C' = C \cup \{x\}$ with $x \notin C$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A' + B + C' &= A' + B + C \cup A' + B + x \\ &= A' + B + C \cup A' + B + x \setminus D + B + x \end{aligned}$$

where $D = \{a \in A' \mid a + B + x \subseteq A' + B + C\}$. By definition of K' , $|D + B| \geq K'|D|$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |A' + B + C'| &\leq |A' + B + C| + |A' + B + x \setminus D + B + x| \\ &\leq |A' + B + C| + |A' + B| - |D + B| \\ &\leq K'|A' + C| + K'|A'| - K'|D| \\ &= K'(|A' + C| + |A'| - |D|) \end{aligned}$$

We now apply the same argument again, writing

$$A' + C' = A' + C \cup A' + x \setminus E + x$$

where $E = \{a \in A' \mid a + x \in A' + C\} \subseteq D$. This time, the union is disjoint, so

$$|A' + C'| = |A' + C| + |A'| - |E| \geq |A' + C| + |A'| - |D|$$

Hence $|A' + B + C'| \leq K'|A' + C'|$ which proves the claim. □

□

We are now in a position to generalise Example 2.2.

Theorem 2.8 (Freiman-Ruzsa). Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that $|A + A| \leq K |A|$ for some $K > 0$. Then A is contained in a subspace $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of size $|H| \leq K^2 p^{K^4} |A|$.

Proof. Write $S = A - A$ and choose $X \subseteq A + S$ maximal such that the translates $x + A$ for $x \in X$ are disjoint.

X cannot be too large. Indeed, $\forall x \in X, x + A \subseteq 2A + S$. Hence $\bigcup_{x \in X} (x + A) \subseteq 2A + S$ and $|X| |A| = |\bigcup_{x \in X} (x + A)| \leq |2A + S| \leq K^4 |A|$ by Plünnecke, namely $|X| \leq K^4$.

Now observe that $A + S \subseteq X + S$. Indeed, if $y \in A + S$, then either $y \in X \subseteq X + S$ (because $0 \in S$) or $y \notin X$, meaning that $x + A$ and $y + A$ are not disjoint (X is maximal), namely $y \in x + A - A \subseteq X + S$.

By induction, $\ell A + S \subseteq \ell X + S$ for all ℓ . Hence, writing

$$H = \langle A \rangle = \bigcup_{\ell} (\ell A + S) \subseteq \bigcup_{\ell} (\ell X + S) = \langle X \rangle + S$$

the subgroup generated by A , we see that A is contained in a subgroup of size

$$|H| \leq |\langle X \rangle| |S| \leq p^{|X|} K^2 |A| \leq K^2 p^{K^4} |A|$$

□

Lecture 8

Example 2.9. Let $A = H \cup R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ where H is a subspace of dimension $K \ll d \ll n - k$ and R consists of $K - 1$ linearly independent vectors in H^\perp . Then $|A| = |H \cup R| \sim |H|$ and $|A + A| = |H \cup H + R \cup R + R| \sim K |H| \sim K |A|$ but any subspace $V \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ containing A must have size $\geq p^{d+(K-1)} = p^{K-1} |H| \sim p^{K-1} |A|$ where the constant is exponential in K .

Conjecture 1 (Polynomial Freiman-Ruzsa). Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be such that $|A + A| \leq K |A|$. Then there is a subspace $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ of size at most $C_1(K) |A|$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that $|A \cap (x + H)| \geq \frac{|A|}{C_2(K)}$ where $C_1(K)$ and $C_2(K)$ are polynomials.

For $p = 2$, this is now a theorem.

Definition 2.10. Given an abelian group G and finite sets $A, B \subseteq G$, define **additive quadruples** to be the tuples $(a, a', b, b') \in A^2 \times B^2$ such that $a + b = a' + b'$ and the **additive energy between A and B** to be

$$E(A, B) = \frac{\#\{\text{additive quadruples}\}}{|A|^{\frac{3}{2}} |B|^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

Write $E(A) = E(A, A)$ the **additive energy of A** .

Observe that, if G is finite, then

$$\begin{aligned} |A|^3 E(A) &= |G|^3 \mathbb{E}_{x+y=z+w} 1_A(x) 1_A(y) 1_A(z) 1_A(w) \\ &= |G|^3 \left\| \widehat{1_A} \right\|_4^4 \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.11. When $H \leq \mathbb{F}_p^n$, we have $E(H) = 1$.

Lemma 2.12. Let G be abelian and $A, B \subseteq G$ be finite. Then $E(A, B) \geq \frac{\sqrt{|A||B|}}{|A \pm B|}$.

Proof. Write $r(x) = \#\{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid a + b = x\}$ so that

$$|A|^{\frac{3}{2}} |B|^{\frac{3}{2}} E(A, B) = \#\{\text{additive quadruples}\} = \sum_x r(x)^2$$

Observe that $\sum_x r(x) = |A| |B|$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |A|^{\frac{3}{2}} |B|^{\frac{3}{2}} E(A, B) &= \sum_x r(x)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\sum_x r(x) 1_{A+B}(x)}{\sum_x 1_{A+B}(x)^2} \text{ by Cauchy-Schwarz} \\ &= \frac{(|A| |B|)^2}{|A+B|} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $A - B$. □

In particular, if $|A + A| \leq K |A|$ then $E(A) \geq \frac{1}{K}$. The mantra is "Small doubling implies big energy". The converse is **not** true.

Example 2.13. Let G be your favorite family of abelian groups. Then there are constants $\eta, \theta > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large n there exists $A \subseteq G$ with $|A| = n$ satisfying $E(A) \gg \eta$ and $|A + A| \geq \theta |A|^2$. See Example Sheet 2.

If we can't hope for a set to have small doubling when its energy is big, we might at least be able to find a big subset with big energy.

Theorem 2.14 (Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers). Let G be an abelian group and let $A \subseteq G$ be finite such that $E(A) \geq \eta$ for some $\eta > 0$. Then there exists $A' \subseteq A$ of size at least $c(\eta) |A|$ such that $|A' + A'| \leq C(\eta) |A|$ where $c(\eta)$ and $C(\eta)$ are polynomials in η .

We first prove a technical lemma using a method known as "dependent random choice".

Lemma 2.15. Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \subseteq [n]$ and suppose that $\sum_{i,j} |A_i \cap A_j| \geq \delta^2 n m^2$. Then there exists $X \subseteq [m]$ of size at least $\frac{\delta^5 m}{\sqrt{2}}$ such that $|A_i \cap A_j| \geq \frac{\delta^2 n}{2}$ for at least 90% of the pairs $(i, j) \in X^2$.

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_5 be taken uniformly at random from $[n]$ and let

$$X = \{i \in [m] \mid \forall k, x_k \in A_i\}$$

Observe that $\mathbb{P}(i, j \in X) = \left(\frac{|A_i \cap A_j|}{n}\right)^5$. Hence

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}|X|^2}{m^2} = \mathbb{E}_{i,j} \mathbb{P}(i, j \in X) \geq \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}_{i,j} |A_i \cap A_j|}{n}\right)^5 \geq \delta^{10}$$

Call a pair **bad** if $|A_i \cap A_j| < \frac{\delta^2 n}{2}$. Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(i, j \in X \mid (i, j) \text{ bad}) = \mathbb{P}(x_1 \in A_i \cap A_j \mid (i, j) \text{ bad})^5 \leq \frac{\delta^{10}}{2^5}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[\#\{\text{bad pairs in } X^2\}] \leq \frac{\delta^{10} m^2}{2^5}$$

meaning that

$$\frac{\delta^{10} m^2}{2} + 16 \mathbb{E}[\#\{\text{bad pairs in } X^2\}] \leq \mathbb{E}[|X|^2]$$

We can therefore find x_1, \dots, x_5 such that $\frac{\delta^{10} m^2}{2} + 16 \#\{\text{bad pairs in } X^2\} \leq |X|^2$. This both means that $|X| \geq \frac{\delta^5 m}{\sqrt{2}}$ and that

$$\#\{\text{bad pairs in } X^2\} \leq \frac{|X|^2}{16} \leq 10\% |X|^2$$

□

Lecture 9

Proof of Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers. Call d a **popular difference** if we can write $d = x - y$ with $x, y \in A$ in at least $\frac{\eta|A|}{2}$ ways, ie if $r_{A-A}(d) \geq \frac{\eta|A|}{2}$.

There must be at least $\frac{\eta|A|}{2}$ popular differences for, if not,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta|A|^3 &\leq \sum_d r_{A-A}(d)^2 \\ &= \sum_{d \text{ popular}} r_{A-A}(d)^2 + \sum_{d \text{ unpopular}} r_{A-A}(d)^2 \\ &< \frac{\eta|A|}{2} |A|^2 + \frac{\eta|A|}{2} \sum_d r_{A-A}(d) \\ &= \eta|A|^3 \end{aligned}$$

Define a graph with vertex set A and with $x \sim y$ if $y - x$ is a popular difference. Since we have at least $\frac{\eta|A|}{2}$ popular differences, our graph has at least $\frac{\eta^2|A|^2}{4}$ (directed) edges. We have $\mathbb{E}_{x,y \in A} |N(x) \cap N(y)| \geq \frac{\eta^2|A|}{4}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x,y \in A} |N(x) \cap N(y)| &= \mathbb{E}_{x,y \in A} \sum_{z \in A} 1_{x \sim z} 1_{y \sim z} \\ &= \sum_{z \in A} (\mathbb{E}_{x \in A} 1_{x \sim z})^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|A|} \left(\sum_{z \in A} \mathbb{E}_{x \in A} 1_{x \sim z} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{|A|} (\mathbb{E}_{x \in A} |N(x)|)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|A|} \left(\frac{\eta^2|A|}{4} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\eta^4|A|}{2^4} \end{aligned}$$

We apply Lemma 2.15 with $m = n = |A|$ and $\delta = \frac{\eta^2}{4}$ to find a subset $B \subseteq A$ of size $\geq \frac{\eta^{10}|A|}{2^{11}}$ with the property that $|N(x) \cap N(y)| \geq \frac{\eta^4|A|}{2^5}$ for at least 90% of the $x, y \in B$. But then for at least 50% of the $x \in B$ we have $|N(x) \cap N(y)| \geq \frac{\eta^4|A|}{2^5}$ for at least 80% of the $y \in B$ (else $90\% \leq \mathbb{E}_{x,y \in B} 1_{(x,y) \text{ good}} < 50\% * 100\% + 50\% * 80\% = 90\%$). Call $A' \subseteq B$ that set of such x . On one hand, $|A'| \geq \frac{|B|}{2} \geq \frac{\eta^{10}|A|}{2^{12}}$. On the other hand, if $x, y \in A'$ then at least 60% of the $z \in B$, namely at least $\frac{\eta^{10}|A|}{2^{12}}$ such z , are such that

$$|N(x) \cap N(z)|, |N(y) \cap N(z)| \geq \frac{\eta^4|A|}{2^5}$$

We now prove an upper bound on $|A' - A'|$ by showing that each element can be written as a linear combination of distinct octuples in A . For each such z , there are at least $\left(\frac{\eta^4 |A|}{2^5}\right)^2$ pairs (u, v) with $u \in N(x) \cap N(z), v \in N(y) \cap N(z)$. For each such pair (u, v) , we have $x \sim u \sim z \sim v \sim y$, hence the elements $u - x, z - u, v - z, y - v$ are all popular differences and there are at least $\left(\frac{\eta |A|}{2}\right)^4$ octuples $(a_1, \dots, a_8) \in A^8$ such that

$$u - x = a_2 - a_1, z - u = a_4 - a_3, v - z = a_6 - a_5, y - v = a_8 - a_7$$

In other words, there are at least

$$\underbrace{\frac{\eta^{10} |A|}{2^{12}}}_z \underbrace{\left(\frac{\eta^4 |A|}{2^5}\right)^2}_{(u,v)} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\eta |A|}{2}\right)^4}_{(a_1, \dots, a_8)} = \frac{\eta^{22} |A|^7}{2^{26}}$$

octuples $(a_1, \dots, a_8) \in A^8$ such that

$$y - x = (a_8 - a_7) + (a_6 - a_5) + (a_4 - a_3) + (a_2 - a_1)$$

Since distinct $y - x$ give rise to distinct octuples,

$$\frac{\eta^{22} |A|^7}{2^{26}} |A' - A'| \leq |A|^8$$

namely

$$|A' - A'| \leq \frac{2^{26}}{\eta^{22}} |A| \leq \frac{2^{38}}{\eta^{32}} |A'|$$

□

3 Probabilistic tools

Proposition 3.1. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables taking values $\pm x_i$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Then, for all $p \in [2, \infty[$,

$$\left\| \sum_i X_i \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P})} = O \left(p^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_i \|X_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

Lecture 10

Proof. By nesting of norms, it's enough to prove it when $p = 2k$ for some integer k . Write $X = \sum_i X_i$ and WLOG assume that $\sum_i \|X_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}^2 = 1$. By Chernoff,

$$\|X\|_{L^{2k}(\mathbb{P})}^{2k} = \int_0^\infty 2kt^{2k-1} \mathbb{P}(|X| \geq t) dt \leq 8k \underbrace{\int_0^\infty t^{2k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) dt}_{I(k)}$$

Let's prove by induction on k that $I(k) \leq C^{2k} \frac{(2k)^k}{4k}$ for some constant $C > 0$. Indeed if $k = 1$ then

$$\int_0^\infty t \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) dt = -2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) \Big|_0^\infty = 2 \leq C^2 \frac{2}{4}$$

if $C \geq 2$. For $k > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} I(k) &= \int_0^\infty t^{2k-2} t \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) dt \\ &= t^{2k-2} (-2) \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) \Big|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty (2k-2) t^{2k-3} (-2) \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4}\right) dt \\ &= 4(k-1) I(k-1) \\ &\leq 4(k-1) C^{2(k-1)} \frac{(2(k-1))^{k-1}}{4(k-1)} \\ &\leq C^{2k} \frac{(2k)^k}{4k} \end{aligned}$$

if $C \geq \sqrt{2}$. □

Corollary 3.2 (Rudin's inequality). Let $\Lambda \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{F}_2^n}$ be linearly independent and $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be such that \hat{f} is supported on Λ . Then, for all $p \in [2, \infty[$,

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} = O \left(\sqrt{p} \|f\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)} \right)$$

Proof. See Example Sheet 2. □

Corollary 3.3 (Dual form of Rudin's inequality). Let $\Lambda \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{F}_2^n}$ be linearly independent and let $q \in]1, 2]$ Then for all $f \in L^q(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$,

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)} = O \left(\sqrt{\frac{q}{q-1}} \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} \right)$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^q(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$ and write $g = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \hat{f}(\gamma)\gamma$. Then

$$\hat{g}(\delta) = \mathbb{E}_x \delta(x) \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \hat{f}(\gamma)\gamma(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \hat{f}(\gamma) \mathbb{E}_x \gamma(x) \delta(x) = 1_\Lambda(\delta) \hat{f}(\delta)$$

So \hat{g} is supported on Λ and

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)}^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \hat{f}(\gamma) \overline{\hat{f}(\gamma)} = \langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_2^n)}$$

By Hölder,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_2^n)}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. By Rudin,

$$\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_2^n)} = O(\sqrt{p} \|\hat{g}\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)}) = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{q}{q-1}} \|\hat{f}\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)}\right)$$

Putting all of this together, we get the result. \square

Recall that, given $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ of density $\alpha > 0$, $|\text{Spec}_\rho(1_A)| \leq \rho^{-2} \alpha^{-1}$. This is best possible, as the example of a subspace $H \leq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ shows:

$$|\text{Spec}_1(1_H)| = |H^\perp| = \left(\frac{|H|}{2^n}\right)^{-1}$$

But here H is very structured! And indeed in Bogolyubov we used the bound on the size of the spectrum only to bound the size of the subspace it generated. So maybe the *dimension* of the spectrum is what we should be looking at instead of its size.

Theorem 3.4 (Special case of Chang's lemma). Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be of density $\alpha > 0$. Then for all $\rho > 0$ there exists a subspace $H \leq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ of dimension $O(\rho^{-2} \log \alpha^{-1})$ such that $\text{Spec}_\rho(1_A) \subseteq H$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda \subseteq \text{Spec}_\rho(1_A)$ be a maximal linearly independent subset and let $H = \langle \text{Spec}_\rho(1_A) \rangle$. Then $\dim H = |\Lambda|$. By Corollary 3.3, if $q \in [1, 2]$,

$$(\rho\alpha)^2 |\Lambda| \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda} |\widehat{1_A}(\gamma)|^2 = \|\widehat{1_A}\|_{\ell^2(\Lambda)}^2 = O\left(\left[\frac{q}{q-1}\right] \|1_A\|_{L^q(\mathbb{F}_2^n)}\right) = O\left(\frac{q}{q-1} \alpha^{\frac{2}{q}}\right)$$

So $|\Lambda| = O\left(\frac{q}{q-1} \rho^{-2} \alpha^{\frac{2}{q}-2}\right)$. Choose $q = 1 + \log^{-1} \alpha^{-1}$ to get $|\Lambda| = O(\rho^{-2} \log \alpha^{-1})$. \square

We will prove Chang's lemma in greater generality on Example Sheet 3. The key definition for the generalisation is the following.

Definition 3.5. Let G be a finite abelian group. We say $S \subseteq G$ is **dissociated** if

$$\sum_{s \in S} \varepsilon_s s = 0 \implies \varepsilon = 0$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^S$.

Note that if $G = \mathbb{F}_2^n$ then a set $S \subseteq G$ is dissociated iff it's linearly independent.