

Maintainability Rating

A

Duplicated Files

0

Line no

Bug with a high probability to impact the behavior of the application in production: memory leak, unclosed JDBC connection,

Either a bug with a low probability to impact the behavior of the application in production or an issue which represents a security

Quality flaw which can highly impact the developer productivity: uncovered piece of code, duplicated blocks, unused parameters,

Quality flaw which can slightly impact the developer productivity: lines should not be too long. "switch" statements should have

Duplicated Blocks

0

Type

A coding mistake that can lead to an error or unexpected behavior at runtime

A maintainability issue that makes your code confusing and difficult to maintain

flaw: empty catch block, SQL injection, ... The code MUST be immediately reviewed.

Rating given to your project related to the value of your Technical Debt Ratio (Technical Debt is the measure of effort required to fix all Code Smells and the ratio between cost to develop the software and

The Default Maintainability Rating grid is: A=0-0.5, B=0.06-0.1, C=0.11-0.20, D=0.21-0.5, E=0.51-1 The Maintainability Rating scale can be alternately stated by saying that if the outstanding remediation

go To Operation The atre Module Verify Table Header Name

verify Entered Datals Present In Select Patient Textbox

verify Placeholder Name Of Texbox If Textbox Is Enabled

verify Button Is Present After Send Value To Surgen Name Text field

A point in your code that's open to attack

... The code MUST be fixed immediately.

Neither a bug nor a quality flaw, Just a finding.

5% of the time that has already gone into the application

verify Title And URLOf The Home Page

Issue

Description

Description

at least 3 cases, ...

Percentage

between 6 to 10%

between 11 to 20%

between 21 to 50%

anything over 50%

Description

Description

at least 1 Minor Bug

at least 1 Major Bug

at least 1 Critical Bug

at least 1 Blocker Bug

There should be at least 10 successive and duplicated statements whatever the number of tokens and lines. Differences in indentation and in string literals are ignored while detecting duplications.

Non-significant comment lines (empty comment lines, comment lines containing only special characters, etc) do not increase the number of comment Llines.

0 Bugs

0 Vulnerabilities

at least 1 Minor Vulnerability

at least 1 Major Vulnerability

at least 1 Critical Vulnerability

at least 1 Blocker Vulnerability

verify Booking OTS chedule Form By Clicking On New OTB ooking Button

Security Rating A

Code Smells

0

Status

Passed

Passed

Passed

Passed

Passed

Passed

Severity

Bugs

0

Reliability Rating

Code Analysis 0

Vulnerabilities **Test Case Summary Test Case Type**

Functional

Functional

Functional

Functional

Functional

Functional

File Name

Issue Types

Issue Type

Vulnerability

Code Smell

Issue Severity

Severity Type

BLOCKER

CRITICAL

MAJOR

MINOR

INFO

cost is:

В

C

D

E

C

D

E

Α

В

D

Ε

Duplicated Blocks

Non-Java Projects:

Java Projects:

Duplicated Files

Comment Lines

30 lines of code for COBOL 20 lines of code for ABAP

Number of duplicated blocks of lines

For a block of code to be considered as duplicated:

Those tokens should be spread at least on:

10 lines of code for other languages

Number of files involved in duplications.

There should be at least 100 successive and duplicated tokens

Number of lines containing either comment or commented-out code.

Example: The following piece of code contains 9 comment lines:

Reliability Rating

Security Rating

Security Rating

Security Rating

Security Rating is based on the number of vulnerabilities detected

Reliability Rating is based on the number of bugs detected

Maintainability Rating

Maintainability Rating

the cost to fix it is Technical Debt Ratio).

Bug

Issues with the code

Α

Duplicated Lines

0

Name