DSCP Group Project

Data

Find a large data set on the internet that interests you.

- Your data set size should be large enough to warrant the use of the compute clusters (either the Statistics HPC or the CHTC)—about 10 to 100 GB.
- It should consist of many smaller files (less than 4GB each) or one file you can break up easily.

Here are links to many data sources: www.stat.wisc.edu/~jgillett/DSCP/project/dataLinks.pdf

Pick a question about one or several variables in your data set about which you are curious. Choose a data set and question different from your peer groups' choices. **Do original work.** Include citations (URLs, etc.) for data, graphs, and methods you get from others.

Statistical computing

Design a statistical computation that answers your question. Parallelize your computation, if possible, to make it run reasonably quickly (less than 30 minutes, possibly on many CPUs). Automate your collection of data from the internet and your analysis.

- If you work with Slurm/HPC, put your code (but not your data) in a projectHPC directory. Make a projectHPC.tar file of that directory. We should be able to run your analysis by running tar xvf projectHPC.tar; projectHPC/submit.sh.
- If you work with HTCondor/CHTC, put your code (but not your data) in a projectCHTC directory. Make a projectCHTC.tar file of that directory. We should be able to run your analysis by running tar xvf projectCHTC.tar; projectCHTC/submit.sh.

Please do not put large files on learn.chtc.wisc.edu. Instead, for each parallel job, download the file(s) needed for that job on the remote computer assigned to the job. After processing it, remove it, as otherwise HTCondor will copy it back to learn when your job completes.

Christina made the directory /home/groups/STAT_DSCP, which is accessible from learn.chtc.wisc.edu, with a higher disk quota than our home directories. We can use it on projects, if necessary, to download a large file and break it up for use in parallel jobs.

Report

Write a report of no more than 750 words (about three pages of text, possibly extended by graphs) in three sections describing your data, variables, question, and statistical computation.

• Its introduction should summarize the data you analyzed, the question you pursued, your statistical computation, and your conclusion. It should outline the body of your report. A reader who quits after your introduction should understand your work broadly.

- Its body should describe your data (and its source, size, and cleaning), statistical computation, and results.
 - Include graphical and numeric summaries to efficiently communicate your conclusion.
 - Describe your statistical computation including the number of jobs you ran and the typical job time, memory, and disk space required.
 - Mention weaknesses of your work.
- Its *conclusion* should revisit your question and conclusion in the light of your report's body. It could suggest future work.
- Include a post-conclusion "Contributions" paragraph briefly describing the contributions of each group member. Here is an example (other "Contributions" designs are ok too):

Member	Proposal	Coding	Presentation	Report
Lucy Van Pelt	1	1	1	1
Charlie Brown	1	1	1	1
Linus Van Pelt	0	0.5	0.4	0
Spike	0	0.7	0	0.3

Notes:

- In the chart above, 1 = full contribution, 0.1-0.9 = partial contribution, 0 = no contribution.
- Linus attended the presentation without preparation.
- Spike sent a video, but it was unrelated to our presentation slides.

Write your report in an R Markdown file, report.Rmd. Knit it to report.html.

Presentations

Make a presentation of about 4 minutes that summarizes your report.

Use git/github

Use the git version control system to track changes, store your code at github, and manage collaboration across members of your group.

- See, for example, http://pages.stat.wisc.edu/~jgillett/DSCP/git/git.pdf and http://pages.stat.wisc.edu/~jgillett/DSCP/git/gitExercise.pdf.
- Include your teacher and TA as collaborators on your github repository.
- Include a README in your .tar with how to clone: git clone https://github.com/<ID>/DSCPproject.git
- Test git clone https://github.com/<ID>/DSCPproject.git before turning in your project.

Timeline

The project is worth 40 points, with intermediate deadlines as follows:

- Fr 10/27/23: Form a group (0 points) of 4-5 students:
 - To choose your own group, put your group members into "Project n" (where n is the lowest number from 1 to 30 that is not already in use by another group) at https://canvas.wisc.edu/courses/373816/groups
 - Right after this deadline, we will randomly assign students to groups for those who do not choose their own groups.
- Fr 11/10/23: Write a one-page proposal (4 points) including code to read data; descriptions of the variables, statistical methods, and computational steps you will use; and a link to your github repository. Turn in a proposal.html (knitted from proposal.Rmd or from some other source) or a proposal.pdf, one per group.
- Tu 11/21/23 or Tu 11/28/23: Meet as a whole group with teacher and/or TA for 5-10 minutes in class to discuss your proposal.
- We 12/6/23: Turn in Presentation slides as presentation.html (or .pdf), once per group.
- Presentation (20 points) to class lasting four minutes. Presentations are in class on Th 12/7/23 and Tu 12/12/23.
- Th 12/7/23 and Tu 12/12/23: Attend peers' presentations (4 points allocated as 2 points per day).
- We 12/13/23: Final report (8 points) of no more than 750 words with supporting graphics. Turn in report.html (knitted from report.Rmd), one per group.
- Fr 12/15/23 Peer feedback (4 points) on two reports per student.

Grading

Peer feedback on presentations will include voting/ranking that leads to three awards for

- best presentation
- most creative or interesting project
- best visualizations

These are some things will consider when grading your project:

• Does the project demonstrate knowledge of the course? Does the statistical computation make effective use of the HPC or CHTC?

- Is the report no more than 750 words long? (Paste your text into https://wordcounter.net to check, as we will stop reading at 750.)
- Is the question engaging?
- Is the analysis correct and persuasive? Where statistical methods are used, are their assumptions discussed?
- Are the graphical and numeric summaries informative? Are their fonts easily legible?
- Is the writing vigorous? (Strunk and White say, "Vigorous writing is concise. A sentence should contain no unnecessary words, a paragraph no unnecessary sentences,")
- Are report authors listed at the top?
- Are numbers rounded? "0.3 vs. 4.1" conveys more information faster than "0.337885 vs. 4.078801".
- Does the github repository include commits indicating balanced contributions from all group members?
- Did each group member speak in each presentation, with reasonably balanced speaking times?