mas.s62 lecture 23 New Directions in Crypto

2018-05-07 Tadge Dryja

schedule stuff my last lecture

Neha talking Wednesday about zkLedger

Next week - present projects

office hrs tomorrow - around here (we probably have to move)

today future developments: block / committed bloom filters sharding accumulators UTXO commitments

block filters

first: what is a bloom filter
 makeFilter([]obj) -> filter
 matchFilter(filter, obj) -> bool

can have false positives but not false negatives

block filters current SPV model client makes filter of all their utxos and addresses sends filter to server server matches filter w/ each block server sends only matching txs

block filters current SPV model bad for privacy sending filter, not utxo / adr list but nearly the same effect slow for servers

block filters

new(ish) idea: reverse this model
server makes filter from txs in block
client requests filter
client matches fitler to own utxos
client requests whole block on match

block filters

better privacy: server only learns which blocks interesting to client

low CPU use for server

harder to lie / omit (?)

higher network traffic for client current development: "neutrino"

sharding

mainly worked on for Ethereum common from database world:

d data, n servers

don't store d*n, store ~d, and

shard data over all servers, so each

holds (lim) d/n data

sharding

difficult in blockchain / consensus /
adversarial environment

need to prevent spending invalid coins split single utxo set into multiple smaller shards need swaps between shards

multicoin vs shards multiple utxo sets is what we've got!

Cryptocurrencies: 1614 • Markets: 10776 • Market Cap: \$434,694,870,823 • 24h Vol: \$23,844,104,203

Is this "sharding"?

multicoin vs shards multiple utxo sets is what we've got!

Cryptocurrencies: 1614 • Markets: 10776 • Market Cap: \$434,694,870,823 • 24h Vol: \$23,844,104,203

Is this "sharding"?
want more than just swaps; need
fungibility between shards
real scalability improvement
(if it works!)

Accumulators

cryptographic sets inclusion / exclusion proofs add(accum, obj) -> accum del(accum, obj) -> accum prove(accum, obj) -> bool

Accumulators

cryptographic sets inclusion / exclusion proofs

add(accum, obj) -> accum

del(accum, obj) -> accum

prove(accum, obj) -> bool

simple example: composite numbers

accumulates primes. To "add", multiply. To "delete", divide.

 $add(3, 5) \rightarrow 15$

accumulates primes. To "add", multiply. To "delete", divide.

add(3, 5) -> 15

add(15, 7) -> 105

accumulates primes. To "add", multiply. To "delete", divide.

add(3, 5) -> 15

add(15, 7) -> 105

 $del(105, 5) \rightarrow 21$

accumulates primes. To "add", multiply. To "delete", divide.

multiply. To "delete", divide.
add(3, 5) -> 15

add(3, 5) -> 15 add(15, 7) -> 105 del(105, 5) -> 21

prove(21, 7) -> true

RSA accumulators constant size accumulator, proofs efficient operations

... but trusted setup

- (composite n = p * q with unknown p, q)

other accumulators

some are 1-way (can't delete)
some can be batched, some can't
some have trusted setup
different tradeoffs for use case

utxo vs stxo inclusion

accumulators

great if you could get it working no more UTXO set, just accumulator constant size, regardless of set small proofs; wallets track proofs

accumulators

profs are 0(1)? $0(\log(n))$? n = txs? blocks? aggregation? transitioning: need some bridge node actually faster? Bitcoin UTXO set only ~4GB

exists in some coins (ETH), not yet in Bitcoin

simplest: take hash(UTXO set), put it
in coinbase tx

somewhat more useful: Merkle root of UTXO set in coinbase tx every block

Can then "prove" an output exists

somewhat more useful: Merkle root of UTXO set in coinbase tx every block

Can then "prove" an output exists (prove with SPV security)

UTXO commitments skip years of initial block download! only verify last ~6 months of txs if everyone's been wrong for 6 months

we have bigger problems, right?

issues
timing: adding even 1s in creating /
verifying a block centralizes mining

encourages more SPV-level verification (trust the miners)

"there's a better way to do this" hash based, EC, RSA

more research required Lots of topics in this area to improve:

privacy scalability

functionality