



F8 – PROJECT FORMULATION REPORT EVALUATION FORM

STUDENT NAME		STUDENT ID	
PROGRAM			
SUPERVISOR			
PROJECT TITLE			
HANDOVER DATE			

Assessment Criteria	Weight (W)	Score (S) [0-10] (refer to F8 rubric)	Marks (W*S)
1. <u>Project Background and Problem</u> (Appropriate working title, clear problem statement, well-defined project scope.)	3		
2. <u>Objectives</u> (Clear, measureable and achievable.)	2		
3. <u>Significance of the Study</u> (Relevant to the community and practitioners.)	1		
4. <u>Literature Review</u> (Able to identify, collect, summarize and analyze relevant and latest issues of subject matter.)	5		
5. <u>Project Methodology</u> (Appropriate approach, methods, sources and deliverables in accomplishing the project.)	6		
6. <u>Presentation of the Report</u> (Follow the given guidelines, consistency of the contents, clarity, and language of the report, contain valid references and citations.)	3		
7. <u>Progress Evaluation (Supervisor Only)</u> (This may include supervisory meetings (Project In-Progress Form- F3), supervisory independency, responsibilities, commitment, maturity, etc.)	2		
Total:			

Comments	
Supervisor (30%)	Examiner (15%)

Name of Supervisor/Examiner:	Date:
Signature:	

CSP600 - PROJECT FORMULATION REPORT EVALUATION (F8) RUBRIC

No.	Assessment Criteria	Excellent (8-10)	Good (6-7)	Satisfactory (5)	Poor (1-4)	0
1.	Project Background and Problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working title that clearly reflects the project. Well-defined problem statement. Provides exceptionally clear context supporting rationale for proposed project; clear statement of why project is needed. Well-defined project scope. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working title that reflects the project. Clear problem statement. Provides clear context supporting rationale for proposed project; clear statement of why project is needed. Clear project scope. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate working title. Adequate statement of context supporting rationale for proposed project, statement of why project is needed. Adequate project scope. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inappropriate working title. Unclear problem statement. Poor statement of context supporting rationale for proposed project, statement of why project is needed. Not well-defined project scope. 	No evidence
2.	Objectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highly reflect the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific Measurable Achievable Realistic Timeliness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly reflect the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific Measurable Achievable Realistic Timeliness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequately reflect the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific Measurable Achievable Realistic Timeliness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not reflect the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific Measurable Achievable Realistic Timeliness 	No evidence
3.	Significance of The Study	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highly relevant to the community and practitioners. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant to the community and practitioners. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequately relevant to the community and practitioners. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not relevant to the community and practitioners. 	No evidence
4.	Literature Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thorough review of relevant and empirical sources, citing seminal works in the field. Exemplary synthesis and organization of literature that is clearly linked to project question. Specific attention to diversity issues pertaining to project topic. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good review of relevant and empirical sources, citing seminal works in the field. Good synthesis and literature organization of that is clearly linked to project question. Attention to diversity issues pertaining to project topic. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequate review of relevant and empirical sources. Adequate synthesis and organization of literature that is linked to project question. Some attention to diversity issues pertaining to project topic. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incomplete or poorly developed review of literature. problems with organization weak linkage to project topic. 	No evidence

CSP600 - PROJECT FORMULATION REPORT EVALUATION (F8) RUBRIC (CONTINUED)

Assessment Criteria		Excellent (8-10)	Good (6-7)	Satisfactory (5)	Poor (1-4)	0
	Project Methodology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highly reflects the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approach Methods Design Deliverables 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly reflex the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approach Methods Design Deliverables 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adequately reflex the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approach Methods Design Deliverables 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poorly reflex the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approach Methods Design Deliverables 	No evidence at all
	Presentation of Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The report is well structured and organized following the standard research reporting procedure/format. Sentences are complete and no grammatical error, and they flow together easily. All figures, graphs, charts and drawings are accurate, consistent with the text and of good quality. Contain most relevant references and citations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The report is structured and organized following the standard research reporting procedure/format. Sentences are complete with minor grammatical error. Some figures, graphs, charts and drawings are accurate, consistent with the text and of good quality. Contain some relevant references and citations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The report is poorly structured and organized following the standard research reporting procedure/format. Sentences are complete with minor grammatical error. Some figures, graphs, charts and drawings are not accurate, consistent with the text and of good quality. Contain a few relevant references and citations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The report does not follow the standard research reporting procedure/format. Poor grammar structure. Figures, graphs, charts and drawings are not accurate, inconsistent with the text and of good quality. Contain irrelevant references and citations. 	No evidence at all
	Progress Evaluation (Supervisor Only)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students highly adhere to the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meetings Responsibility Commitment Independence Maturity Attitude 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students adhere to the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meetings Responsibility Commitment Independence Maturity Attitude 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students moderately adhere to the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meetings Responsibility Commitment Independence Maturity Attitude 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Students poorly adhere to the following elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meetings Responsibility Commitment Independence Maturity Attitude 	Student Missing