## **Evaluation Criteria**

### 1. Thoroughness of Coverage

How completely does the report cover all important aspects of the topic?

Score	What to Look For
1	<b>Minimal Coverage</b> : The report barely touches on the topic, missing most key elements. You'll notice major gaps that prevent basic understanding.
2	<b>Limited Coverage</b> : The report includes some important aspects but leaves out several critical elements. The picture feels incomplete.
3	<b>Adequate Coverage</b> : Most main aspects are covered, though you might find some relevant points missing or notice unnecessary details included.
4	<b>Comprehensive Coverage</b> : All major points are addressed with appropriate detail and minimal irrelevant information. The coverage feels well-balanced.
5	<b>Exceptional Coverage</b> : The report thoroughly examines all important aspects with ideal depth, excluding anything irrelevant. Nothing significant is missing.

#### 2. Innovative Content

Does the report go beyond the obvious to include valuable related information?

Score	What to Look For
1	<b>No Innovation</b> : The report strictly follows predictable content with nothing added beyond what was directly requested.
2	<b>Minimal Innovation</b> : Contains a few new angles or insights, but they add little value to the overall understanding.
3	<b>Moderate Innovation</b> : Introduces some fresh perspectives or related information that somewhat enhances the report.
4	<b>Good Innovation</b> : Includes several valuable new aspects that meaningfully expand on the requested information.
5	<b>Outstanding Innovation</b> : Presents numerous highly relevant additional insights that significantly enrich understanding while remaining connected to the core topic.

#### 3. Focus and Relevance

Does the report stay on topic and deliver what was requested?

Score	What to Look For
1	<b>Unfocused</b> : The report wanders significantly off-topic, containing much irrelevant material that doesn't serve the purpose.
2	<b>Poorly Focused</b> : Contains some relevant content, but frequently drifts into tangential or unrelated areas.
3	<b>Moderately Focused</b> : Mostly stays on topic with occasional diversions that still provide some useful information.
4	<b>Well-Focused</b> : Maintains clear relevance throughout with only minor deviations that add value to the core topic.
5	<b>Laser-Focused</b> : Perfectly addresses the request with every element clearly contributing to the purpose, even when exploring related aspects.

## 4. Depth of Analysis

How thoroughly does the report explore the topic beneath the surface?

Score	What to Look For
1	<b>Very Shallow</b> : Offers only basic facts or observations without meaningful explanation or analysis.
2	<b>Shallow</b> : Provides some explanation but fails to explore important complexities or implications.
3	<b>Moderate Depth</b> : Examines key aspects with some analysis, though certain important areas lack detailed exploration.
4	<b>Substantial Depth</b> : Explores most aspects thoroughly with good analysis of complexities and interconnections.
5	<b>Exceptional Depth</b> : Provides comprehensive analysis of all relevant aspects, revealing nuances, underlying factors, and broader significance.

# 5. Clarity, Cohesion, and Language

How clear, well-organized, and grammatically sound is the report's language and structure?

Score	What to Look For
1	<b>Poor</b> : The report is very difficult to understand due to pervasive errors in grammar, spelling, or punctuation. Language is frequently ambiguous or incorrect. Structure is chaotic, lacking logical flow between sentences and paragraphs, severely hindering comprehension.
2	<b>Problematic</b> : Significant issues with clarity, cohesion, or language make the report challenging to read. Frequent errors, awkward phrasing, or inconsistent terminology are common. The structure may be weak, with poor transitions and organization that obscure the main points.
3	<b>Acceptable</b> : The report is generally understandable, but contains noticeable errors in grammar, spelling, or word choice. Clarity or cohesion may falter in places, with some awkward sentences or less-than-smooth transitions. The overall structure is present but could be significantly improved.
4	<b>Good</b> : The report is clearly written and well-organized. Language is precise and appropriate, with minimal errors in grammar, spelling, or punctuation that do not impede understanding. Sentences and paragraphs flow logically with effective transitions.
5	<b>Excellent</b> : The report demonstrates exceptional clarity, precision, and fluency. Language is sophisticated, engaging, and virtually error-free. The structure is highly effective, with seamless cohesion and logical progression of ideas that enhance readability and impact.