

1632_GFMate_Empoweri...
PDF 4.07MB

Generate a review including summary, strengths, weaknesses, questions and limitations.



Summary

The paper introduces **GFMate**, a novel framework for enhancing Graph Foundation Models (GFM) through pre-training-agnostic test-time prompt tuning. The key contributions include:

1. **Pre-training-agnostic prompts:** A centroid prompt and a layer prompt are introduced post-pre-training, avoiding entanglement with GFM and improving generalizability.
2. **Test-time complementary learning:** A learning objective leverages both labeled and unlabeled test data to mitigate train-test distribution shifts.
3. **Extensive experiments:** Evaluations on 12 benchmark datasets demonstrate significant performance improvements (up to 30.63%) and efficiency gains over existing methods.

Strengths

1. **Novelty:** The pre-training-agnostic prompt design is innovative, addressing the limitation of existing prompt tuning methods that are entangled with specific GFM.
2. **Effectiveness:** The proposed test-time complementary learning effectively utilizes unlabeled data, resolving distribution shifts and improving performance.
3. **Comprehensive Evaluation:** Extensive experiments across diverse datasets and tasks (node/graph classification) validate the method's superiority and robustness.
4. **Efficiency:** GFMate achieves notable efficiency gains in terms of adaptation time and memory usage compared to state-of-the-art methods.

Weaknesses

1. **Limited Applicability:** The method is designed for GNN-based GFMs and does not extend to LLM-based GFMs, which limits its applicability to text-attributed graphs.
2. **Hyperparameter Sensitivity:** The performance of GFMate depends on hyperparameters like N_{Aug} and γ , which require careful tuning.
3. **Pseudo-label Noise:** While the complementary learning objective mitigates noise, the accuracy of pseudo-labels from entropy-based strategies could still impact performance.

Questions

1. **Generalizability:** How does GFMate perform on extremely large-scale graphs (e.g., with billions of nodes)? The current experiments focus on moderately sized datasets.
2. **Alternative Learning Objectives:** Could other strategies (e.g., contrastive learning) further improve the robustness of test-time prompt tuning?
3. **Real-world Deployment:** What are the practical challenges in deploying GFMate in dynamic graph environments where test distributions evolve over time?

Limitations

1. **Scope:** The method is limited to GNN-based GFMs and cannot leverage textual information in graphs, restricting its use in text-attributed scenarios.
2. **Computational Overhead:** While efficient, the layer-wise entropy-based augmentation and prompt tuning may still incur overhead for very deep GNNs.
3. **Dependence on Pre-trained GFMs:** The performance of GFMate relies on the quality of the pre-trained GFM, which may vary across domains.

Final Thoughts

GFMate presents a significant advancement in prompt tuning for GFMs, offering a practical solution to distribution shifts and generalizability challenges. The paper is well-written, with thorough experiments and clear contributions. Addressing the limitations, such as extending the framework to LLM-based

GFM, could further enhance its impact. Overall, this work is a valuable addition to the field of graph representation learning.

