

Problem 1

- a) For $k = 1$ this trivially holds since we compute the max of the S_i 's max.

Assume it is true for all values $< k$. Then we know that up to and including value $j = k - 1$ we produce the top $k - 1$ results.

Now the next result is either already contained in A 's heap at time of deletion of the top $k - 1$ in which case it would be produced next or it is in a heap S_r .

But such heap can only hold this value if its largest element was in the top $k - 1$, and hence at time of deletion of the element in top $k - 1$ it got transferred into A 's heap.

- b) $\Theta(n(\log n + \log m))$

The loop runs n times. Each iteration, it does a `Heap.DeleteMax()` on the current heap, which requires $\Theta(\log m)$ time in the worst case, followed by a `Heap.Insert()` on server A , which requires $\Theta(\log n)$ time (in the worst case).

Therefore, $\Theta(n(\log n + \log m))$.

- c) $\Theta(k(\log n + \log m))$

The loop runs k times. Each iteration, it does a `Heap.DeleteMax()` on A . This is $\Theta(\log n)$ time. Next, it performs a `Heap.DeleteMax()` on the server which had originally had item res , which will cost $\Theta(\log m)$ time. Then it will do `Heap.Insert()` on A which will require $\Theta(\log n)$ time.

Therefore, we get $\Theta(k(\log n + \log m))$ in the worst-case.

- d) The proof is similar to part (a) except that A 's sorted list hold only largest k elements seen so far and discards the rest. So we must make sure that we never require a value that has been discarded.

The proof proceeds by induction. For $k = 1$ this trivially holds since we compute the max of the S_i 's max.

Assume it is true for all values $< k$. Then we know that up to and including value $j = k - 1$ we produced the top $k - 1$ results. Now the next result is either already contained in A 's sorted list at time of deletion of the top $k - 1$ in which case it would be produced next or it is in a sorted list S_r .

Observe that it cannot be in the discarded pile from A 's list as only elements which have lost k comparisons are ever discarded.

Again as in part (a) the sorted list can only hold this value if its largest element was in the top $k - 1$, and hence at time of deletion of the element in top $k - 1$ it got transferred into A 's sorted list where it still resides as it cannot itself lose k comparisons.

e) $\Theta(nk)$

The loop runs n times. Each time, it calls $K_InsertionSort(k, res)$. Inside $K_InsertionSort(k, res)$, all the checking is constant time. The only part of the code where it is not constant time is when we call $A_SortedCandidateList.InsertionSort(item)$. This is when we can input “item” into A ’s list. Because we know that this list is not any bigger than size k (and we know that the list is already sorted), inserting an item would just cost $\Theta(k)$ time (in the worst case).

Altogether, the run time is $\Theta(nk)$.

f) $\Theta(k^2)$

The loop will run k times. Within the loop, $SortedCandidateList.DeleteMax()$ and $S.l.SortedList.DeleteMax()$ takes $\Theta(1)$ time (since it is a list).

$A_SortedCandidateList.K_InsertionSort(k, res)$ takes $\Theta(k)$ time (as explained in part e).

Dropping all constants, the runtime is $\Theta(k^2)$

g) We accepted the answers $k \in O(\log n)$, $k < \log n$, $k < \log n + \log(10000)$ or again $k \in O(\log n + \log m)$ and $k < \log n + \log m$

Recall that the worst case costs T_{Heap} and T_{Marc} of respectively the heap based solution and Marc’s solution satisfies

$$T_{Heap}(n, k, m) \in \Theta((n + k)(\log n + \log m)), \quad T_{Marc}(n, k, m) \in \Theta((n + k)k).$$

For this question, we will make the simplifying assumption that actually

$$T_{Heap}(n, k, m) \Rightarrow (n + k)(\log n + \log m), \quad T_{Marc}(n, k, m) = (n + k)k.$$

With this assumption, it is easier to understand how the solutions compare. Indeed Marc’s solution is faster when $k < \log n + \log m = \log n + \log(10000) \simeq \log n + 13$.

Note : We have not proved that Marc’s solution is faster when $k < \log n + \log m$. We just expect that it will be the case because we made the “reasonable” assumption that the runtime of every instance would behave like the worst-case, and that the constants inside the big-Oh are 1.

h) $k = 1000$ is bigger than $\log(n) + \log(m) \simeq 37$. Therefore, the heap solution should be much faster.