UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA - DAVIS

Spring Quarter 2016 ECS 198F (001) 64022

Student Evaluation of Teaching



Enrollme nt 23 % respondin g 39	1	10		9		8		7		6		5		4		3	:	2		1				
	10	%	9	%	8	%	7	%	6	%	5	%	4	%	3	%	2	%	1	%	\bar{x}	SD	М	N
Please indicate the overall educationa I value of the course. (excellent very good satisfactor y fair poor)											3	33%	6	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.3	0.5	4	9
If you utilized an overflow classroom, please rate your experience with the remote lecture broadcast technology											-												-1	0
Please indicate the overall teaching effectivene ss of the instructor. (excellent very good satisfactor y fair poor)											1	13%	5	63%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3.9	0.6	4	8
The professor successfull y accomplish ed the stated	1	13%	3	38%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8.3	1.4	8.5	8

objectives.																								
The instructor's	0	0%	1	13%	3	38%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7.3	1.4	7.5	8
presentatio ns are clear and well- organized.																								
I feel comfortabl e asking questions of my professor.	5	63%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9.6	0.5	10	8
I am satisfied with the instruction al material used in this course.	1	17%	3	50%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8.7	0.9	9	6
The grading procedures used in this class seemed fair.	5	63%	2	25%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9.5	0.7	10	8
This course is well organized.	0	0%	3	38%	3	38%	0	0%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7.9	1.2	8	8
I have had to work hard in this class.	0	0%	1	13%	2	25%	4	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7.1	1.4	7	8
I have become more competent in this area because of this instructor.	2	25%	3	38%	2	25%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8.6	1.2	9	8

Please add any other comments here.

Course had a very fast pace.

I learned a lot in this class. Some of the material was way more engineering-detail oriented than others, but on the whole it was a really interesting class. The class lacked a little focus at times, maybe two-three times during the guarter, but it usually came back to the overall idea of bitcurrency.

Please comment on the instructor's presentation of course material (style, organization, technique, etc.)?

Presented well

Sometimes explains topics a too quickly.

covers all material in a concrete and concise manner, could give better examples

Lecture style is good. It's his first time teaching at it showed at the beginning, but he became comfortable by the end. Learning how to write on the chalkboard while talking, even just a little bit, can go a long way into maintaining the flow of the lecture.

What did you like best about this instructor?

Open to discussion

Easy to approach to ask questions about the material.

covers all material he sets out to cover

He was always friendly and humble. He knew his stuff, and he always tried to be responsive to feedback and questions.

Please comment on the examinations, homework, and projects. Were they challenging? Fair? Representative?

Fair

Programming homework helped facilitate the learning of certain topics. Project seemed to be quite a bit of work for a 2 units class.

asked for technical names that were easily forgotten

Fair.

How did you feel about the Instructional Resources used (textbook, handouts, labs, etc.)?

Somewhat lacking

NA

Very good videos

N/A

Was your previous course work adequate preparation for this course? (If no, please elaborate)

No, new concept
Yes
the concepts needed for this course were more than the prereqs had
Yes.

Term	CRN	Subject	Course	Section	Enrollment	% Response
Spring Quarter 2016		ECS	198F	17 17 17	23	39