## Linear Quadratic Regulation: II

# Baboo J. Cui, Yangang Cao June 12, 2019

## Contents

1	C-T LQR Problem Formulation	2
2	Value Function of C-T LQR Problem	2
3	Solution Overview	2
4	Heuristic Derivation of Value Functions	3
5	Dynamic Programming Principle	3
6	Continuous-Time Riccati Equation	3
7	C-T LQR Solution Algorithm	3
8	Infinite Horizon Problem	4
9	Infinite Horizon Problem	4
10	Alternative Solution by Lagrange Multiplier	4
11	Optimality Conditions	5
<b>12</b>	Hamiltonian Equation	5
13	Connecting Riccati and Hamiltonian Solutions	6
14	Matrix Hamiltonian Equations	6

## 1 C-T LQR Problem Formulation

A continuous-time LTI system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad x(0) = x_0$$

**Problem:** Given a time horizon  $t \in [0, t_f]$ , find the optimal input u(t),  $t \in [0, t_f]$ , that minimizes the cost function

$$J(u) = \int_0^{t_f} \underbrace{\left(x(t)^T Q x(t) + u(t)^T R u(t)\right)}_{\text{running cost}} dt + \underbrace{x\left(t_f\right)^T Q_f x\left(t_f\right)}_{\text{terminal cost}}$$

- State weight matrix  $Q = Q^T \geqslant 0$
- Control weight matrix  $R = R^T \geqslant 0$
- Final state weight matrix  $Q_f = Q_f^T \geqslant 0$
- Time horizon  $t_f$  (could be infinity)

## 2 Value Function of C-T LQR Problem

The value function at time  $t \in [0, t_f]$  and state  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$V_{t}(x) = \min_{u(\tau), \tau \in [t, t_{f}]} \int_{t}^{t_{f}} \left( x(s)^{T} Q x(\tau) + u(\tau)^{T} R u(\tau) \right) d\tau + x \left( t_{f} \right)^{T} Q_{f} x \left( t_{f} \right)$$

with the initial condition x(t) = x

- Optimal cost of LQR problem on a shorter time horizon  $[t, t_f]$
- $\bullet$  Optimal cost-to-go assuming the state starts from x at time t
- $V_0(x_0)$  is the optimal cost of the original LQR problem

#### 3 Solution Overview

- Value function at terminal time is quadratic:  $V_{t_f}(x) = x^T Q_f x$
- Value function at any time  $t \in [0, t_f]$  is also quadratic:

$$V_t(x) = x^T P(t) x$$

• Value functions at different times are related by the (continuous-time Riccati) matrix differential equation

$$-\dot{P}(t) = Q + P(t)A + A^{T}P(t) - P(t)BR^{-1}B^{T}P(t)$$

- Integrating the differential equation backward in time to yield P(0)
- Solution to the original problem is given by  $V_0(x_0) = x_0^T P(0) x_0$
- Optimal control is a linear state feedback controller:

$$u^*(t) = -R^{-1}B^T P(t)x^*(t)$$

### 4 Heuristic Derivation of Value Functions

- Assume the system state starts from x at time t:x(t)=x
- Assume the control input is kept constant briefly:

$$u(s) \equiv w, \quad \forall s \in [t, t+\delta]$$

• At time  $t + \delta$  for  $\delta$  small, we have

$$x(t+\delta) = e^{A\delta}x(t) + \int_t^{t+\delta} e^{A(t+\delta-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau \simeq x + \delta(Ax+Bw)$$

## 5 Dynamic Programming Principle

**Bellman equation:** The (optimal) cost-to-go at time t from x is

$$V_t(x) \simeq \min_{w} \left[ \underbrace{\delta\left(x^T Q x + w^T R w\right)}_{\text{running cost during } [t, t + \delta)} + \underbrace{V_{t+\delta}(x + \delta(A x + B w))}_{\text{cost-to-go from time } t + \delta} \right]$$

Expand and let  $\delta \to 0$ , we have

$$-x^{T}\dot{P}(t)x = \min_{w} \left\{ x^{T}Qx + w^{T}Rw + x^{T}P(t)(Ax + Bw) + (Ax + Bw)^{T}P(t)x \right\}$$

## 6 Continuous-Time Riccati Equation

As a result, the optimal control for state x at time t is

$$u^*(t) = w^* = -K(t)x = -\underbrace{R^{-1}B^TP(t)x}_{\text{Kalman gain}}$$

and P(t) satisfies the continuous-time Riccati differential equation

$$-\dot{P}(t) = Q + P(t)A + A^T P(t) - P(t)BR^{-1}B^T P(t), \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_f$$
 with (terminal) condition  $P(t_f) = Q_f$ 

## 7 C-T LQR Solution Algorithm

- 1. Set  $P(t_f) = Q_f$
- 2. Solve the Riccati equation backward in time:

$$-\dot{P}(t) = Q + P(t)A + A^{T}P(t) - P(t)BR^{-1}B^{T}P(t)$$

- 3. Return  $V_0(x_0) = x_0^T P(0) x_0$  as the optimal cost
- 4. Solve forward in time the closed-loop system dynamics under the linear state feedback control u(t) = -K(t)x(t):

$$\dot{x}^*(t) = (A - BK(t))x^*(t), \quad x^*(0) = x_0$$

where K(t) is the Kalman gain  $K(t) = R^{-1}B^TP(t)$ 

5. Return  $x^*(t)$  as the optimal state trajectory and return  $u^*(t) = -K(t)x^*(t)$  as the optimal control input

## 8 Infinite Horizon Problem

**Problem:** Find the optimal control  $u(t), t \ge 0$ , to

minimize 
$$\int_0^\infty \left( x(t)^T Q x(t) + u(t)^T R u(t) \right) dt$$

subject to the constraint  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, x(0) = x_0$ 

- State weight  $Q \ge 0$  and control weight R > 0
- No terminal cost

#### Value function:

$$V(x) = \min_{u} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( x(t)^{T} Q x(t) + u(t)^{T} R u(t) \right) dt$$

subject to  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, x(0) = x_0$ 

- Value function is independent of the starting time
- Optimal cost of the original problem:  $V(x_0)$

#### 9 Infinite Horizon Problem

**Fact:** If (A, B) is stabilizable, then  $V(x) = x^T P x$  for some  $P = P^T > 0$  is a finite quadratic function, and the optimal control is a static state feedback control u(t) = -Kx(t), where  $K = R^{-1}B^T P$ .

• P solves the Continuous-time Algrbraic Riccati Equation(CARE)

$$Q + PA + A^{T}P - PBR^{-1}B^{T}P = 0$$

• P can be approximated by solving the LQR problem over sufficiently large time horizon (with  $Q_f = 0$ ), or by Matlab command care

**Fact:** If (A, B) is stabilizable and  $Q = C^T C$  with (C, A) detectable, then closed-loop system A - BK under the optimal control u = -Kx is stable.

## 10 Alternative Solution by Lagrange Multiplier

Finite horizon LQR problem posed as constrained optimization problem:

minimize 
$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t_f} (x(t)^T Q x(t) + u(t)^T R u(t)) dt + \frac{1}{2} x (t_f)^T Q_f x(t_f)$$

subject to  $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), t \in [0, t_f]$ 

- Optimization variables are  $u(t), t \in [0, t_f]$
- Infinite number of equality constraints, one for each  $t \in [0, t_f]$

Convert the above problem to unconstrained optimization problem

$$L(u,x,\lambda) = J(u) + \int_0^{t_f} \lambda(t)^T (Ax(t) + Bu(t) - \dot{x}(t)) dt$$

- Lagrange multiplier function  $\lambda:[0,t_f]\to\mathbb{R}^n$
- Original problem solution:

$$\min_{u} J(u) = \min_{u,x} \max_{\lambda} L(u,x,\lambda) = \max_{\lambda} \min_{u,x} L(u,x,\lambda)$$

## 11 Optimality Conditions

Optimal solution  $(u^*, x^*, \lambda^*)$  must satisfy  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial u} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 0$ Use integration by part to rewrite L as

$$L = J(u) + \int_0^{t_f} \left[ \lambda(t)^T (Ax(t) + Bu(t)) + \dot{\lambda}(t)^T x(t) \right] dt - \lambda(t)^T x(t) \Big|_0^{t_f}$$

**Needle-like variations**: for each  $t \in [0, t_f]$ , perturb u(t) and x(t) locally

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{u(t)} L &= Ru(t) + B^T \lambda(t) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(t) = -R^{-1} B^T \lambda(t) \\ \nabla_{x(t)} L &= Qx(t) + A^T \lambda(t) + \dot{\lambda}(t) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda(t) = -A^T \lambda(t) - Qx(t) \\ \nabla_{x(t_f)} L &= Q_f x\left(t_f\right) - \lambda\left(t_f\right) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda\left(t_f\right) = Q_f x\left(t_f\right) \end{split}$$

•  $\lambda$  is called the co-state, and satisfies the **co-state equation**:

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = -A^T \lambda(t) - Qx(t), \quad t \in [0, t_f]$$

with terminal boundary condition  $\lambda(t_f) = Q_f x(t_f)$ 

## 12 Hamiltonian Equation

**Fact**: The optimal control  $u^*(t)$  is given by

$$u^*(t) = -R^{-1}B^T\lambda^*(t), \quad t \in [0, t_f]$$

while the optimal state  $x^*$  and co-state  $\lambda^*$  satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x^*(t) \\ \lambda^*(t) \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A & -BR^{-1}B^T \\ -Q & -A^T \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{Hamiltonian}} \begin{bmatrix} x^*(t) \\ \lambda^*(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad t \in [0, t_f]$$

with two-point boundary condition:  $x^*(0) = x_0, \lambda^*(t_f) = Q_f x^*(t_f)$ 

- $\bullet\,$  Two-point boundary value problem
- Solved numerically using the shooting method

## 13 Connecting Riccati and Hamiltonian Solutions

• Dynamicial programming method says  $u^*(t) = -R^{-1}B^TP(t)x^*(t)$  where P(t) solves the Riccati differential equation

$$-\dot{P}(t) = Q + P(t)A + A^{T}P(t) - P(t)BR^{-1}B^{T}P(t), P(t_{f}) = Q_{f}$$

• Variational method says that  $u^*(t) = -R^{-1}B^T\lambda^*(t)$  where  $\lambda^*(t)$  solves the co-state equation

$$\dot{\lambda}^*(t) = -A^T \lambda^*(t) - Qx^*(t), \quad \lambda^*(t_f) = Q_f x^*(t_f)$$

• A natural guess is

$$\lambda^*(t) = P(t)x^*(t), \quad t \in [0, t_f]$$

• Indeed, this is the case: if P(t) solves the Riccati equation, then  $\lambda^*(t) := P(t)x^*(t)$  must solve co-state equation

## 14 Matrix Hamiltonian Equations

Consider the matrix Hamiltonian differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \begin{array}{c} X(t) \\ Y(t) \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A & -BR^{-1}B^T \\ -Q & -A^T \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} X(t) \\ Y(t) \end{array} \right]$$

where  $X(t), Y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ 

**Fact**: Suppose  $X(t), Y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  solve the matrix Hamiltonian differential equation with boundary condition  $X(t_f) = I$  and  $Y(t_f) = Q_f$ . Then  $P(t) := Y(t)X(t)^{-1}$  is the solution to the Riccati differential equation.

• Hence the (nonlinear) Riccati differential equation can be solved via solving the (linear) matrix Hamiltonian differential equation