

# AAE 568 Applied Optimal Control and Estimation Problem Set 2

Baboo J. Cui, Yangang Cao

June 27, 2019

## Remark

You can use MATLAB but you need to explain the results (numbers and plot) as clear as possible.

## Problem 1

Consider the optimal control problem with:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Dynamics} & : \quad \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t), t), x(t_0) = x_0 \\ \text{Cost} & : \min J(u) = \phi(x(t_f), t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} L(x(t), u(t), t) dt \end{array}$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Derive the necessary conditions for optimality of the above optimal control problem when:

1.  $t_f$  is fixed and the final state lying on the surface defined by

$$m(x(t)) = 0$$

where  $m(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^k$

2.  $t_f$  is free and the final state lying on the moving point  $\Theta(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

## Solution

Define  $H \equiv L(x(t), u(t), t) + \lambda^T(t)f(x(t), u(t), t)$ . Then, augmented cost  $J_a$  is

$$J_a = \phi(x(t_f), t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} [H(x(t), \lambda(t), u(t), t) - \lambda^T(t)\dot{x}(t)] dt$$

1. The variation of the cost  $\Delta J_a = J_a(x(t), \lambda(t), u(t), t) - J_a(x^*(t), \lambda^*(t), u^*(t), t)$  is computed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta J_a = & \phi(x(t_f), t_f) - \phi(x^*(t_f), t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} [H(x(t), \lambda(t), u(t), t) - \lambda^T(t)\dot{x}(t)] dt \\ & - \int_{t_0}^{t_f} [H(x^*(t), \lambda^*(t), u^*(t), t) - \lambda^{*T}(t)\dot{x}^*(t)] dt \end{aligned}$$

where superscript  $*$  means optimal value. The first order approximation of the variation is then

$$\delta J_a = \left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \Big|_{x^*(t_f)} - \lambda^{*T}(t_f) \right] \delta x(t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \left[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \delta \lambda + \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \delta u - \delta \lambda^T(t) \dot{x}^*(t) + \dot{\lambda}^{*T}(t) \delta x \right] dt$$

From the condition  $m(x(t_f)) = 0$ ,

$$\left[ \frac{\partial m}{\partial x} \Big|_{x^*(t_f)} \right]^T \delta x(t_f) = 0$$

This means that the final state lies on the hyper-plane spanned by  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial x} \Big|_{x^*(t_f)}$

to which  $\delta x(t_f)$  is orthogonal. Combine this with  $\left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \Big|_{x^*(t_f)} - \lambda^{*T}(t_f) \right] \delta x(t_f) = 0$ ,

$$\left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \Big|_{x^*(t_f)} - \lambda^{*T}(t_f) \right] = \mu^T \left[ \frac{\partial m}{\partial x} \Big|_{x^*(t_f)} \right], \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

For optimality,  $\delta J_a = 0$  for arbitrary  $\delta x(t), \delta \lambda(t), \delta u(t)$ , the necessary and boundary conditions are:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = 0$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \right)^T$$

$$\dot{\lambda}(t) = - \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \right)^T$$

$$\left[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \Big|_{x^*(t_f)} - \lambda^{*T}(t_f) \right] = \mu^T \left[ \frac{\partial m}{\partial x} \Big|_{x^*(t_f)} \right], \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

$$x^*(t_0) = x_0$$

$$m(x(t_f)) = 0$$

2. The variation of  $\Delta J_a = J_a(x(t), \lambda(t), u(t), t) - J_a(x^*(t), \lambda^*(t), u^*(t), t)$  is computed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta J_a &= \phi(x(t_f), t_f) - \phi(x^*(t_f^*), t_f^*) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f^* + \delta t_f} [H(x(t), \lambda(t), u(t), t) - \lambda^T(t) \dot{x}(t)] dt \\ &\quad - \int_{t_0}^{t_f^*} [H(x^*(t), \lambda^*(t), u^*(t), t) - \lambda^{*T}(t) \dot{x}^*(t)] dt \\ &= \phi(x(t_f), t_f) - \phi(x^*(t_f^*), t_f^*) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^{t_f^*} [H(x(t), \lambda(t), u(t), t) - \lambda^T(t) \dot{x}(t) - H(x^*(t), \lambda^*(t), u^*(t), t) + \lambda^{*T}(t) \dot{x}^*(t)] dt \\ &\quad + \int_{t_f^*}^{t_f^* + \delta t_f} [H(x(t), \lambda(t), u(t), t) - \lambda^T(t) \dot{x}(t)] dt \end{aligned}$$

where superscript  $*$  means optimal value. The first order approximation of the variation is then

$$\begin{aligned}\delta J_a &= \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x(t_f)} \right|_{x^*(t_f^*), t_f^*} \delta x(t_f) + \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_f} \right|_{x^*(t_f^*), t_f^*} \delta t_f \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^{t_f^*} \left[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \delta x(t) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \delta \lambda(t) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \delta u(t) - \delta \lambda^T(t) \dot{x}(t) + \dot{\lambda}^T(t) \delta x(t) \right] dt \\ &- \lambda^{*T}(t_f^*) \delta x(t_f^*) + [H(x^*(t_f), \lambda^*(t_f), u^*(t_f), t_f^*) - \lambda^{*T}(t_f) \dot{x}^*(t_f)] \delta t_f\end{aligned}$$

Note that, the following approximation can be made for the relationship between  $\delta x(t_f)$  and  $\delta x(t_f^*)$ :

$$\delta x(t_f^*) \approx \delta x(t_f) - \dot{x}^*(t_f^*) \delta t_f$$

Also, from the fact that the final state must lie on the moving point  $\theta(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the condition between  $\delta x(t_f)$  and  $\delta t_f$  is approximated as:

$$\delta x(t_f) \approx \dot{\theta}(t_f) \delta t_f$$

From the above two relations the first order approximation  $\delta J_a$  is rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta J_a &= \left[ \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x(t_f)} \right|_{x^*(t_f^*), t_f^*} - \lambda^{*T}(t_f^*) \right] \delta x(t_f) \\ &+ \left[ \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_f} \right|_{x^*(t_f^*), t_f^*} + \lambda^{*T}(t_f^*) \dot{x}^*(t_f^*) + H(x^*(t_f), \lambda^*(t_f), u^*(t_f), t_f^*) - \lambda^{*T}(t_f) \dot{x}^*(t_f) \right] \delta t_f \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^{t_f^*} \left[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \delta x(t) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \delta \lambda(t) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \delta u(t) - \delta \lambda^T(t) \dot{x}(t) + \dot{\lambda}^T(t) \delta x(t) \right] dt \\ &= \left[ \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x(t_f)} \right|_{x^*(t_f^*), t_f^*} - \lambda^{*T}(t_f^*) \right] \dot{\theta}(t_f) \delta t_f + \left[ \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_f} \right|_{x^*(t_f^*), t_f^*} + H(x^*(t_f), \lambda^*(t_f), u^*(t_f), t_f^*) \right] \delta t_f \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^{t_f^*} \left[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \delta x(t) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \delta \lambda(t) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \delta u(t) - \delta \lambda^T(t) \dot{x}(t) + \dot{\lambda}^T(t) \delta x(t) \right] dt \\ &= \left[ \left( \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x(t_f)} \right|_{x^*(t_f^*), t_f^*} \right) \dot{\theta}(t_f) + \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_f} \right|_{x^*(t_f^*), t_f^*} + H(x^*(t_f), \lambda^*(t_f), u^*(t_f), t_f^*) \right] \delta t_f \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^{t_f^*} \left[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \delta x(t) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \delta \lambda(t) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \delta u(t) - \delta \lambda^T(t) \dot{x}(t) + \dot{\lambda}^T(t) \delta x(t) \right] dt\end{aligned}$$

For optimality,  $\delta J_a = 0$  for arbitrary  $\delta x(t)$ ,  $\delta \lambda(t)$ ,  $\delta u(t)$ , and  $\delta t_f$ . These yield the following necessary conditions and boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} &= 0 \\ \dot{x}(t) &= \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \right)^T\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\lambda}(t) &= - \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \right)^T \\ \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x(t_f)} \Big|_{x^*(t_f), t_f^*} - \lambda^{*T}(t_f^*) \right) \dot{\theta}(t_f) + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t_f} \Big|_{x^*(t_f), t_f^*} + H(x^*(t_f), \lambda^*(t_f), u^*(t_f), t_f^*) &= 0 \\ x^*(t_0) &= x_0 \\ x(t_f^*) &= \theta(t_f^*)\end{aligned}$$

## Problem 2

Consider a double integral system:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1(t) &= x_2(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) &= u(t), \quad \forall u(t) > 0\end{aligned}$$

with the cost function:

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_f} u(t)^2 dt$$

Given the boundary conditions as:

$$x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x_1(t_f) = 3, \quad x_2(t_f) = \text{free}, \quad t_f = \text{free}$$

Compute the optimal control that minimizes the cost function. (You can use the MATLAB function `bvp4c` but need to carefully design state and co-state dynamics since the given problem is with free-final-time.)

## Solution

For the optimal control problems with free-final-time, the final time  $t_f$  itself is a variable. Thus, we have one additional boundary condition from:

$$H(x^*(t_f), u^*(t_f), \lambda^*(t_f), t_f) + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \Big|_{x^*(t_f), t_f} = 0$$

Since  $\phi(x^*(t_f), t_f) \equiv 0$  in this problem, the above condition yields:

$$\lambda_1(t_f) x_2(t_f) - 0.5 \lambda_2^2(t_f) = 0$$

Now we have 5 algebraic equations with 5 unknowns.

One common treatment for the unknown time interval problem is to change the independent variable  $t$  to  $\tau = \frac{t}{T}$ , the augmented state and co-state equations will then become:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = T f(x, \lambda, \tau)$$

where the function  $f$  denotes the ODEs for states and co-states. Then, the problem is posed on fixed interval  $[0, 1]$  and this can be implemented in **bvp4c** by treating  $T$  as an auxiliary variable. The following MATLAB code shows the detail.

```

1 solint = bvpinit(linspace(0,1),[2;3;1;1;2]);
2 sol = bvp4c(@ode, @bc, solinit);
3 y = sol.y;
4 time = y(5) * sol.x;
5
6 % ODE"s of augmented states
7 function dydt = ode(t,y)
8 dydt = y(5) * [y(2); -y(4); 0; -y(3); 0];
9 end
10
11 % boundary conditions: x1(0)=1; x2(0)=2, x1(tf)=3, lambda2(tf)=0;
12 % lambda1(tf)*x2(tf)-0.5*lambda2(2)^2
13 function res = bc(ya,yb)
14 res = [ya(1) - 1; ya(2) - 2; yb(1) - 3; yb(4); ...
        yb(3)*yb(2)-0.5*yb(4)^2];
15 end

```

From the numerical simulation, the computed optimal final time is  $t_f = 3$  and the resulting optimal state, co-state, and control trajectories are shown as the figures below:

### Problem 3

Consider a non-linear system:

$$\dot{x}_1(t) = x_2(t)$$

$$\dot{x}_2(t) = -x_1(t) + x_2(t) (1.4 - 0.14x_2(t)^2) + 4u(t)$$

with the cost function to be minimized:

$$J(x, u) = \int_0^{t_f} u(t)^2 + x_1(t)^2 dt$$

where  $x_1(0) = -5, x_2(0) = -5$ , and the final time is fixed as  $t_f = 4.5$ . Plot the optimal control, state, and co-state trajectories for the following three types of constraints:

1. No constraints
2. Control constraint  $-1 \leq u(t) \leq 1$
3. Mixed control-state constraint  $-1 \leq u(t) + \frac{x_1(t)}{6} \leq 0$

### Solution

1. The corresponding Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H(x, \lambda, u) = u^2 + x_1^2 + \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 (-x_1 + x_2 (1.4 - 0.14x_2^2) + 4u)$$

From the optimality condition  $\dot{\lambda} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$ , the co-state equations are:

$$\dot{\lambda}_1 = -2x_1 + \lambda_2$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_2 = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 (1.4 - 0.42x_2^2)$$

where the boundary condition is  $\lambda(t_f) = 0$ . And the optimal control input is:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = 2u + 4\lambda_2 = 0 \rightarrow u = -2\lambda_2$$

2. The corresponding Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H(x, \lambda, u) = u^2 + x_1^2 + \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 (-x_1 + x_2 (1.4 - 0.14x_2^2) + 4u) + \mu_1(u-1) + \mu_2(-u-1)$$

where the multipliers for inequality conditions  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0$ . The co-state equations are same as the case 1 and the optimal control input is represented as

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = 2u + 4\lambda_2 + \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \rightarrow u = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u > 1 \\ -2\lambda_2 & \text{if } -1 \leq u \leq 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } u < -1 \end{cases}$$

3. The corresponding Hamiltonian is described as:

$$H(x, \lambda, u) = u^2 + x_1^2 + \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 (-x_1 + x_2 (1.4 - 0.14x_2^2) + 4u) + \mu_1 \left(u + \frac{x_1}{6}\right) + \mu_2 \left(-u - \frac{x_1}{6} - 1\right)$$

Then, the co-state equations are:

$$\dot{\lambda}_1 = -2x_1 + \lambda_2 - \frac{\mu_1}{6} + \frac{\mu_2}{6}$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_2 = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 (1.4 - 0.42x_2^2)$$

where the multipliers for inequality condition  $\mu_1 \geq 0, \mu_2 \geq 0$  and the optimal control input is:

$$u = \begin{cases} -\frac{x_1}{6} & \text{if } u + \frac{x_1}{6} > 0 \\ -2\lambda_2 & \text{if } -1 \leq u + \frac{x_1}{6} \leq 0 \\ -1 - \frac{x_1}{6} & \text{if } u + \frac{x_1}{6} < -1 \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, from the condition  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = 2u + 4\lambda_2 + \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$ , we can obtain

$$\mu_1 = \begin{cases} -\frac{x_1}{3} + 4\lambda_2 & \text{if } u + \frac{x_1}{6} \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_2 = \begin{cases} -\frac{x_1}{3} - 2 + 4\lambda_2 & \text{if } u + \frac{x_1}{6} \leq -1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

```

1 % P1. ODE"s for states and costates
2 function dydt =BVP_ode(t, y)
3 u = -2 * y(4);
4 dydt = [y(2);
5         -y(1) + y(2) * (1.4-0.14*y(2)^2)+4*u;
6         -2*y(1)+y(4);
7         -y(3)-y(4)*(1.4-0.42*y(2)^2)];
8 end

```

```

1 % P2. ODE"s for states and costates
2 function dydt =BVP_ode(t, y)
3 if -2*y(4) > 1
4     u = 1;
5 elseif -2*y(4) < -1
6     u = -1;
7 else
8     u = -2*y(4);
9 end
10
11 dydt = [y(2);
12         -y(1) + y(2) * (1.4-0.14*y(2)^2)+4*u;
13         -2*y(1)+y(4);
14         -y(3)-y(4)*(1.4-0.42*y(2)^2)];
15 end

```

```

1 % P3. ODE"s for states and costates
2 function dydt =BVP_ode_(t, y)
3 if -2*y(4)+y(1)/6 > 0
4     u = -y(1)/6;
5     mu1 = -y(1)/3 + 4*y(4);
6     mu2 = 0;
7 elseif -2*y(4)+y(1)/6 < -1
8     u = -1-y(1)/6;
9     mu1 = 0;
10    mu2 = -y(1)/3-2+4*y(4);
11 else
12    u = -2*y(4);
13    mu1 = 0;
14    mu2 = 0;
15 end
16
17 dydt = [y(2);
18         -y(1) + y(2) * (1.4-0.14*y(2)^2)+4*u;
19         -2*y(1)+y(4)-mu1/6+mu2/6;
20         -y(3)-y(4)*(1.4-0.42*y(2)^2)];
21 end

```

## Problem 4

Consider a first-order dynamic system having the state equations

$$x(k+1) = -0.5x(k) + u(k)$$

The cost functional to be minimized is

$$J(u) = \sum_{k=0}^2 |x(k)|$$

and the admissible states and controls are constrained as:

$$-0.2 \leq x(k) \leq 0.2 \quad , \quad k = 0, 1, 2$$

$$-0.1 \leq u(k) \leq 0.1 \quad , \quad k = 0, 1$$

1. Show the computational steps required to determine the optimal control law by using dynamic programming. Quantize both  $u(k)$  and  $x(k)$  in steps of 0.1 about zero and use linear interpolation, if necessary.
2. What is the optimal sequence for an initial state value of 0.2?

## Solution

1. At  $k = 1$ ,

Current state $x(1)$	Control $u(1)$	Next state $x(2)$	Cost $ x(2) $	Optimal cost $J_{12}^*(x(1))$	Optimal control $u^*(1)$
-0.2	-0.1	0	0	$J_{12}^*(-0.2) = 0$	-0.1
	0	0.1	0.1		
	+0.1	0.2	0.2		
-0.1	-0.1	-0.05	0.05	$J_{12}^*(-0.1) = 0.05$	-0.1 or 1
	0	0.05	0.05		
	+0.1	0.15	0.15		
0	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	$J_{12}^*(0) = 0$	0
	0	0	0		
	+0.1	0.1	0.1		
0.1	-0.1	-0.15	0.15	$J_{12}^*(0.1) = 0.05$	+0.1 or 0
	0	-0.05	0.05		
	+0.1	0.05	0.05		
0.2	-0.1	-0.2	0.2	$J_{12}^*(0.2) = 0$	+0.1
	0	-0.1	0.1		
	+0.1	0	0		

At  $k = 0$ ,

Current state $x(0)$	Control $u(0)$	Next state $x(1)$	Cost $ x(1)  + J_{12}^*(x(1))$	Optimal cost $J_{02}^*(x(0))$	Optimal control $u^*(0)$
-0.2	-0.1	0	$0+0=0$	$J_{02}^*(-0.2) = 0$	-0.1
	0	0.1	$0.1+0.05=0.15$		
	+0.1	0.2	$0.2+0=0.2$		
-0.1	-0.1	-0.05	$0.05+0.025=0.075$	$J_{02}^*(-0.1) = 0.075$	-0.1 or 1
	0	0.05	$0.05+0.025=0.075$		
	+0.1	0.15	$0.15+0.025=0.175$		
0	-0.1	-0.1	$0.1+0.05=0.15$	$J_{02}^*(0) = 0$	0
	0	0	$0+0=0$		
	+0.1	0.1	$0.1+0.05=0.15$		
0.1	-0.1	-0.15	$0.15+0.025=0.175$	$J_{02}^*(0.1) = 0.075$	+0.1 or 0
	0	-0.05	$0.05+0.025=0.075$		
	+0.1	0.05	$0.05+0.025=0.075$		
0.2	-0.1	-0.2	$0.2+0=0.2$	$J_{02}^*(0.2) = 0$	+0.1
	0	-0.1	$0.1+0.05=0.15$		
	+0.1	0	$0+0=0$		



where the values for  $J_{12}^*(-0.05) \approx 0.025$ ,  $J_{12}^*(0.05) \approx 0.025$ ,  $J_{12}^*(-0.15) \approx 0.025$ ,  $J_{12}^*(0.15) \approx 0.025$  are computed by using linear interpolation.

2. When  $x(0) = 0.2$ , the optimal sequence is

$$\begin{aligned} x(0) = 0.2 & \rightarrow x(1) = 0 \rightarrow x(2) = 0 \\ u(0) = +0.1 & \rightarrow u(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 5

Consider the optimal control problem with:

$$\begin{aligned} \min J(u) &= \int_0^{t_f} \frac{au(t)^2 + bx(t)^2}{2} \exp[-ct] dt \\ \text{s.t. } \dot{x}(t) &= u, \quad x(0) = x_0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b, c > 0$

1. Formulate the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation of the above optimal control problem.
2. Find the cost-to-go function  $V(x, t)$  which is the solution to the HJB equation. (In general the HJB equation is difficult to solve but, we can obtain a significant simplification if we can separate the time dependent part in  $V(x, t)$  from the state dependent part.)

## Solution

1. The associated HJB equation can be represented as

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = - \min_u \left[ \frac{au^2 + bx^2}{2} \exp[-ct] + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} u \right]$$

2. The above HJB equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} \exp[ct] = - \min_u \left[ \frac{au^2 + bx^2}{2} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \exp[ct] u \right]$$

We observe that the only time dependence in the above partial differential equation is the exponential adjacent to the value function. Thus, we try the form  $V(x, t) = \mathcal{V}(x) \exp[-ct]$ . Then, the original HJB equation is equivalent to the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} -c\mathcal{V}(x) \exp[-ct] \exp[ct] &= - \min_u \left[ \frac{au^2 + bx^2}{2} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} \exp[-ct] \exp[ct] u \right] \\ \Leftrightarrow c\mathcal{V}(x) &= \min_u \left[ \frac{au^2 + bx^2}{2} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} u \right] \end{aligned}$$

The result is an ordinary differential equation in the state variable. Due to the minimization operator, the right-hand-side of the above equation is converted into

$$\min_u \left[ \frac{au^2 + bx^2}{2} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} u \right] \Rightarrow au + \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} = 0 \Rightarrow u^* = -\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \min_u \left[ \frac{au^2 + bx^2}{2} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} u \right] = -\frac{1}{2a} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{bx^2}{2} - \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

Therefore,

$$c\mathcal{V}(x) = -\frac{1}{2a} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{bx^2}{2} - \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} \right)^2 = -\frac{3}{2a} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{bx^2}{2}$$

This equation is called a Riccati differential equation and the easiest approach to solve it is a good guess. Recall that we already know that a quadratic form of the cost function (in this problem, without exponential adjacent) has a quadratic value function, let  $\mathcal{V}(x) = \alpha x^2$ . Then, the above equation can be rewritten as:

$$c\alpha x^2 + \frac{6\alpha^2 x^2}{a} = \frac{bx^2}{2} \Leftrightarrow \left( c\alpha + \frac{6\alpha^2}{a} - \frac{b}{2} \right) x^2$$

which is satisfied for all  $x$  whenever

$$\frac{6\alpha^2}{a} + c\alpha - \frac{b}{2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \frac{-ac \pm \sqrt{a^2 c^2 + 12ab}}{12}$$

So our trail solution worked and

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \alpha x^2 = \frac{-ac \pm \sqrt{a^2 c^2 + 12ab}}{12} x^2$$

Given that our cost-to-go value function has to be non-negative, we know that the positive root is the correct one and we have

$$V(x, t) = \mathcal{V}(x) \exp[-ct] = \frac{-ac + \sqrt{a^2 c^2 + 12ab}}{12} x^2 \exp[-ct]$$

## Problem 6

Consider the systems  $x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k)$  and  $y(k) = Cx(k)$ , with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, C = [0 \quad 0 \quad 1]$$

We use LQR cost function

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} u(k)^2 + \sum_{k=0}^N y(k)^2$$

with  $N = 50$

1. Construct the associated Riccati equation and show that the Riccati recursion converges to a steady-state value in fewer than 10 steps. Find the optimal time-varying state feedback gain  $K(k)$  and plot its components versus  $k$ .
2. Find the initial condition  $x(0)$ , with norm not exceeding one, that maximizes  $J$ .
3. Is there a choice of  $C$  for which  $K(k)$  is constant, i.e.,  $K(0) = \dots = K(N-1)$ ?

## Solution

1. From the cost function,

$$Q_f = Q = C^T C, \quad R = 1$$

With the system matrices,  $A$ ,  $B$  and the weighting matrices,  $Q$  and  $R$ , we can construct the following Riccati recursion equation:

$$S(N) = Q$$

$$S(k) = A^T S(k+1) A + Q - A^T S(k+1) B (R + B^T S(k+1) B)^{-1} B^T S(k+1) A$$

Using the following MATLAB code, we can solve the Riccati equation:

```

1 A = [1 0 0; 1 1 0; 0 1 1];
2 B = [1 0 0]';
3 C = [0 0 1];
4
5 Q = C'*C;
6 R = 1;
7
8 S(:, :, 51) = Q;
9
10 for i = 1: 50
11     Sc = S(:, :, 51 - (i-1));
12     Sn = A'*Sc*A + Q - A'*Sc*B*inv(R+B'*Sc*B)*B'*Sc*A;
13     S(:, :, 51-i) = Sn;
14     K(:, :, 51-i) = inv(R+B'*Sc*B)*B'*Sc*A;
15 end

```

From the numerical solution we can show that the solution to the Riccati recursion equation converges to a steady-state value in fewer than 10 steps as in the following figure. Also, corresponding optimal feedback gain  $K(k)$  is computed as

$$K(k) = [R + B^T S(k+1) B]^{-1} B^T S(k+1) A$$

The behavior of  $K(k)$  is shown in the following figure.

2. Note that the cost is expressed as the following quadratic form:

$$J(x_0) = x_0^T S(0) x_0$$

where  $S(0)$  is the solution to the Riccati equation at the initial step and computed from the numerical simulation as follows:

$$S(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 6.764 & 7.689 & 2.786 \\ 7.689 & 11.527 & 5.187 \\ 2.786 & 5.187 & 3.759 \end{bmatrix}$$

Because  $S(0)$  is a symmetric matrix, the following inequality holds:

$$\lambda_{\min} \cdot \|x_0\|^2 \leq x_0^T S(0) x_0 \leq \lambda_{\max} \cdot \|x_0\|^2$$

where  $\lambda_{\min}$  and  $\lambda_{\max}$  denote the minimum and maximum eigenvalues of  $S(0)$ , respectively. Therefore, the initial value maximizing  $J(x_0)$  is the normalized eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_{\max}$ . Note that  $\lambda_{\max}$  is 19.375 and the corresponding eigenvector  $V_{\max}$  ( or  $x_0$ ) is  $[-0.5428 \quad -0.7633 \quad -0.3504]^T$ .

3. Since  $K(k)$  is defined by  $K(k) := -\left(R + B^T S(k+1)B\right)^{-1} B^T S(k+1)A$ ,

$$S(1) = \dots = S(N) \Leftrightarrow K(0) = \dots = K(N-1)$$

Also, we know that  $C^T C = Q = Q_f = S(N)$ . The question now is how to pick  $S(N)$  so that  $S(N) = \dots = S(1)$ . Such a  $S(N)$  is the solution to the following algebraic Riccati equation:

$$S(N) = A^T S(N)A + Q - A^T S(N)B \left(R + B^T S(N)B\right)^{-1} B^T S(N)A$$

Therefore, the proper choice of  $C$  is the one satisfying the following algebraic Riccati equation:

$$C^T C = A^T C^T C A + C^T C - A^T C^T C B \left(R + B^T C^T C B\right)^{-1} B^T C^T C A$$