

# Chow's theorem and GAGA

Li Yang

*Abstract:* This is the lectures for a report on Chow's theorem on a seminar. I'll give some basic definitions and the proof of Chow's theorem by Remmert and Stein first. Then I want to settle enough backgrounds to state the main result of the famous paper GAGA, and sketch the proof. We will use the language of sheaves, I will give some reference.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Basic definitions</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Proof by Remmert and Stein</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Some notions and the statement . . . . .	5
3.2	Induce Chow's theorem . . . . .	5
3.3	Main idea and the proof . . . . .	5
3.4	Proofs of some propositions used . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Towards GAGA</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	More on analytic varieties . . . . .	5
4.2	The analytic structure of an algebraic variety . . . . .	5
4.3	Some notions in algebra and algebraic geometry . . . . .	5
<b>5</b>	<b>GAGA</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1	The statements . . . . .	5
5.2	Induce Chow's theorem . . . . .	5
5.3	Proofs . . . . .	5
5.4	Proofs of some propositions used . . . . .	5
<b>6</b>	<b>Applications</b>	<b>5</b>
6.1	Elementary applications of Chow's theorem . . . . .	5
6.2	Some applications given in GAGA . . . . .	5

## 1 Introduction

There are deep relations between algebraic geometry and complex geometry. We have already known the definition for an algebraic variety and the fact that smooth complex algebraic variety becomes complex manifold. So in some sense, algebraic structures are stronger than complex structures. As we know, varieties may have singularities, so we also want to extend the concept of complex manifold by the similar way of defining affine varieties, and we get the notion of analytic variety. In some sense we may regard it as complex manifold with singularities. From the definition later we will see that algebraic implies analytic. In general, the converse is not true, but Chow's theorem says that in a projective space, analytic implies algebraic. Serre generalized Chow's result to a much more wider situation in GAGA, which gives a bridge between algebraic geometry and complex analytic geometry. It is of great importance, it is also beautiful in its own way.

## 2 Basic definitions

**Definition 1. analytic subvariety in  $\mathbb{C}^n$**

- (1) A subset  $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is called an analytic subspace if it is locally cut out by finitely many holomorphic functions, i.e.  $\forall x \in W, \exists$  open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  and holomorphic functions  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, r$  on  $U$ , s.t. the vanishing locus of all  $f_i$  is just  $W \cap U$ .
- (2) Assume  $W$  is given as above, we give it a structure sheaf  $\mathcal{H}_W := i^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_n/\mathcal{A}_W)$ , where  $i$  is the natural injective map  $i : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is the sheaf of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_W$  is the ideal sheaf of  $W$ , i.e. sheaf of holomorphic functions vanishing on  $W$ . The name ideal sheaf is due to that  $\forall$  open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{A}_W(U) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n(U)$  is an ideal, it remains to be checked that  $\mathcal{A}_W$  is actually a sheaf (exercise 1). Such  $(W, \mathcal{A}_W)$  is called an analytic subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , if  $W$  is assumed to be closed (in general it is locally closed), we call it an analytic subvariety of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (in general, such a structure is a closed immersion). Definition of analytic subspace of  $U$  is clear in this situation, note that the structure sheaf of an analytic subspace of  $U$  is also given by the above method.

Now we have defined the local objects, then we may define morphisms between them, hence the notion of isomorphisms. Using this, we pass to more complicated object analytic variety which is defined to be ringed space locally isomorphic to analytic subspace in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , sometimes we assume the underlying

set to be Hausdorff (in the analytic case, this is equivalent to separatedness). Then we define analytic subspace of an analytic variety to be a subset which is locally analytic subspace, and it is called an analytic subvariety if it is closed as topological subspace.

**Definition 2. analytic variety**

- (1) *Morphisms:*  $W$  and  $X$  are respectively analytic subspace in  $\mathbb{C}^r$  and  $\mathbb{C}^s$  with structure sheaves. A morphism consists of a continuous map of topological spaces  $f : W \rightarrow X$  and a morphism of sheaves  $f^\# : \mathcal{H}_X \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{H}_W)$  which is induced by the pullback through  $f$ , i.e.  $f$  should pull holomorphic functions in  $\mathcal{H}_X(U)$  back to holomorphic functions in  $\mathcal{H}_W(f^{-1}(U))$ . Since we are working in affine space, this is equivalent to say each coordinate of  $f$  is holomorphic, i.e. are sections of  $\mathcal{H}_W$ . Isomorphisms are invertible morphisms.
- (2) *Analytic variety and analytic subspace:* a ringed space  $(X, \mathcal{H}_X)$  is called an analytic variety if  $X$  is Hausdorff,  $\mathcal{H}_X$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{C}_X$  (the definition is given in the remark below), and  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $\exists$  open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , s.t.  $(U, \mathcal{H}_X|_U)$  is isomorphic to an analytic subspace in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (the morphism of sheaves should be given by pullback through the topological map). Analytic subspace is just a subset which is analytic (may be defined locally), if the subset is closed, it is called an analytic subvariety or sometimes we directly say closed analytic subspace as in GAGA.

**Remark 1. about the definition**

- (1) *Construction of this type is similar to us:* we first define something locally and then define the object we want to be a ringed space locally isomorphic to the local object. But before this we need to define the morphisms between local objects. It may be a good exercise to rewrite the definition of manifolds and give the definition of general varieties in the language of (locally) ringed space (exercise2).
- (2) *There are various definitions of analytic set, but they all coincide.* The difference is for the local pieces, someone may use the notion of analytic subspace of a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , or in this case, affine analytic subspace may be directly defined by vanishing locus of finitely many holomorphic functions on a domain globally. The three definitions of local pieces give equivalent definition for analytic variety since if we fixed one definition, then the left two are analytic varieties in that sense (exercise3).
- (3) *On the structure sheaf:*  $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}_n)$  is actually a locally ringed space, i.e. the stalks are local rings, stalk at one point is just the germs of

*holomorphic functions at the point. Then by the isomorphism of stalks of a sheaf and its inverse image, order change of taking quotients and taking stalks, and locality is preserved by taking quotients,  $(W, \mathcal{A}_W)$  is also locally ringed. Then since stalks are determined locally, analytic variety is locally ringed, so is its analytic subspace.*

- (4) *Sheaf in another point of view: first, refer to Ex.1.13 of chapter-2 on GTM52 and §.1 of chapter-1 on FAC, in such point of view, we concentrate on the stalks. In this case, firstly, for any topological space we have the sheaf of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued continuous functions. For  $W$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) we denote the sheaf with  $\mathcal{C}_W$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ ), since germs in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}^n, x}$  naturally becomes germs in  $\mathcal{C}_{W, x}$ , we get a restriction map  $\pi_x$ . We know that  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}^n, x} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}^n, x}$ , so we can restrict  $\pi_x$  to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}^n, x}$ , and the image in  $\mathcal{C}_{W, x}$  is exactly  $\mathcal{H}_{W, x}$ . We have the map between local rings  $\pi_x : \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}^n, x} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{W, x}$  with kernel  $\mathcal{A}_{W, x}$ , which consists of locally vanishing holomorphic functions near  $x$ . In this terminology, it is convenient to define morphisms through stalks.*

Until now, we have defined a good category to work with, we also have the category of algebraic varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$ , the main purpose is to discuss their relations. But things we've talked about are too general. In Chow's theorem, we need not to use so complicated definitions, so let us see the examples in Chow's theorem.

**Example 3. towards analytic subvariety in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$**

- (1) *Open subset  $U$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is analytic subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  since we can take the holomorphic function on  $U$  to be the zero function.*
- (2) *An algebraic variety (locally isomorphic to affine algebraic variety) is analytic since affine algebraic varieties are analytic subvariety in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , as polynomial functions are holomorphic.*
- (3)  *$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is algebraic hence analytic, since it has  $(n+1)$ -charts of affine space.*

So we can consider analytic subvarieties in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ . Now we're already to state Chow's theorem:

**Theorem 4. (Chow's theorem)** *Any analytic subvariety in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is algebraic.*

See Wei's lectures for the 1-st proof (the original proof given by Chow).

### **3 Proof by Remmert and Stein**

#### **3.1 Some notions and the statement**

#### **3.2 Induce Chow's theorem**

#### **3.3 Main idea and the proof**

#### **3.4 Proofs of some propositions used**

### **4 Towards GAGA**

#### **4.1 More on analytic varieties**

#### **4.2 The analytic structure of an algebraic variety**

#### **4.3 Some notions in algebra and algebraic geometry**

### **5 GAGA**

#### **5.1 The statements**

#### **5.2 Induce Chow's theorem**

#### **5.3 Proofs**

#### **5.4 Proofs of some propositions used**

### **6 Applications**

#### **6.1 Elementary applications of Chow's theorem**

#### **6.2 Some applications given in GAGA**