Chow's theorem and GAGA

Li Yang

Abstract: This is the lectures for a report on Chow's theorem on a seminar. I'll give some basic definitions and the proof of Chow's theorem by Remmert and Stein first. Then I want to settle enough backgrounds to state the main result of the famous paper GAGA, and sketch the proof. We will use the language of sheaves, I will give some reference.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Basic definitions	2
3	Proof by Remmert and Stein	4

1 Introduction

There are deep relations between algeraic geometry and complex geometry. We have already known the definition for an algeraic variety and the fact that smooth complex algeraic variety becomes complex manifold. So in some sense, algebraic structures are stronger than complex structures. As we know, varieties may have singularities, so we also want to extend the concept of complex manifold by the similar way of defining affine varieties, and we get the notion of analytic variety. In some sense we may regard it as complex manifold with singularities. From the defintion later we will see that algeraic implies analytic. In general, the converse is not true, but Chow's theomrem says that in a projective space, analytic implies algeraic. Serre generalized Chow's result to a much more wilder situation in GAGA, which gives a bridge between algebraic geometry and complex analytic geometry. It is of great importance, it is also beautiful in its own way.

2 Basic definitions

Definition 1. analytic subvariety in \mathbb{C}^n

- (1) A subset $W \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is called an analytic subspace if it is locally cut out by finitely many holomorphic functions, i.e. $\forall x \in W$, $\exists open \ subset \ U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ and holomorphic functions f_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$ on U, s.t. the vanishing locus of all f_i is just $W \cap U$.
- (2) Assume W is given as above, we give it a structure sheaf \$\mathcal{H}_W\$:= i^{-1}(\mathcal{H}_n/\mathcal{A}_W)\$, where i is the natural injective map i : W → \mathbb{C}^n\$, \$\mathcal{H}_n\$ is the sheaf of holomorphic function on \$\mathbb{C}^n\$, and \$\mathcal{A}_U\$ is the ideal sheaf of \$W\$, i.e. sheaf of holomorphic functions vanishing on \$W\$. The name ideal sheaf is due to that \$\forall \text{open subset } U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n\$, \$\mathcal{A}_W(U) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n(U)\$ is an ideal, it remains to be checked that \$\mathcal{A}_W\$ is actually a sheaf (exercise1). Such \$(W, \mathcal{A}_W)\$ is called an analytic subspace of \$\mathbb{C}^n\$, if \$W\$ is assumed to be closed (in general it is locally closed), we call it an analytic subvariety of \$\mathbb{C}^n\$ (in general, such a structure is a closed immersion). Definition of analytic subsapce of \$U\$ is clear in this situation, note that the structure sheaf of an analytic subsapce of \$U\$ is also given by the above method.

Now we have defined the local objects, then we may define morphisms between them, hence the notion of isomorphisms. Using this, we pass to more complicated object analytic variety which is defined to be ringed space locally isomorphic to analytic subspace in \mathbb{C}^n , sometimes we assume the underlying set to be Hausdorff (in the analytic case, this is equivalent to seperatedness). Then we define analytic subspace of an analytic variety to be a subset which is locally analytic subspace, and it is called an analytic subvariety if it is closed as topological subspace.

Definition 2. analytic variety

- (1) Morphisms: W and X are respectively analytic subspace in C^r and C^s with structure sheaves. A morphism consists of a contious map of topological spaces f: W → X and a morphism of sheaves f[‡]: H_X → f_{*}(H_W) which is induced by the pullback through f, i.e. f should pull holomorphic functions in H_X(U) back to holomorphic functions in H_W(f⁻¹(U)). Since we are working in affine space, this is equivalent to say each coordinate of f is holomorphic, i.e. are sections of H_W. Isomorphisms are invertible morphisms.
- (2) Analytic variety and analytic subspace: a ringed space (X, \mathcal{H}_X) is called an analytic variety if X is Hausdorff, \mathcal{H}_X is a subsheaf of \mathcal{C}_X (the

definition is given in the remark below), and $\forall x \in X$, $\exists open \ subset \ x \in U \subseteq X$, s.t. $(U, \mathcal{H}_X|_U)$ is isomorphic to an analytic subspace in \mathbb{C}^n (the morphism of sheaves should be given by pullback through the topological map). Analytic subspace is just a subset which is analytic (may be defined locally), if the subset is closed, it is called an analytic subvariety or sometimes we directly says closed analytic subspace as in GAGA.

Remark 1. about the definition

- (1) Construction of this type is fimilar to us: we first define something locally and then define the object we want to be a ringed space locally isomorphic to the local object. But before this we need to define the morphisms between local objects. It may be a good exercise to rewrite the definition of manifolds and give the definition of general varieties in the language of (locally) ringed sapce (exercis2).
- (2) There are various definitions of analytic set, but they all coincides. The difference is for the local pieces, someone may use the notion of analytic subspace of a domain in \mathbb{C}^n , or in this case, affine analytic subspace may be directly defined by vanishing locus of finitely many holomorphic functions on a domain globally. The three definitions of local pieces give equivalent definition for analytic variety since if we fixed one definition, then the left two are analytic varieties in that sense (exercise3).
- (3) On the structure sheaf: $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{H}_n)$ is actually a locally ringed sapee, i.e. the stalks are local rings, stalk at one point is just the germs of holomorphic functions at the point. Then by the isomorphism of stalks of a sheaf and its inverse image, order change of taking quotients and taking stalks, and locality is preserved by taking quotients, (W, \mathcal{A}_W) is also locally ringed. Then since stalks are determined locally, analytic variety is locally ringed, so is its analytic subsapee.
- (4) Sheaf in another point of view: first, refer to Ex.1.13 of chapter-2 on GTM52 and §.1 of chapter-1 on FAC, in such point of view, we concentrate on the stalks. In this case, firstly, for any topological space we have the sheaf of \mathbb{C} -valued continious functions. For W (resp. \mathbb{C}^n) we denote the sheaf with C_W (resp. $C_{\mathbb{C}^n}$), since germs in $C_{\mathbb{C}^n,x}$ naturally becomes germs in $C_{W,x}$, we get a restriction map π_x . We know that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}^n,x} \subseteq C_{\mathbb{C}^n,x}$, so we can restrict π_x to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}^n,x}$, and the image in $C_{W,x}$ is exactly $\mathcal{H}_{W,x}$. We have the map between local rings $\pi_x: \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}^n,x} \to \mathcal{H}_{W,x}$ with kernel $\mathcal{A}_{W,x}$, which consists of locally vanishing holomorphic fuctions near x. In this terminology, it is convenient to define morphisms through stalks.

Until now, we have defined a good category to work with, we also have the category of algeraic varieties over \mathbb{C} , the main purpose is to discuss their relations. But things we've talked about are too general. In Chow's theorem, we need not to use so complicated definitions, so let us see the examples in Chow's theorem.

Example 3. towards analytic subvariety in $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$

- (1) Open subset U in \mathbb{C}^n is analytic subspace of \mathbb{C}^n since we can take the holomorphic function on U to be the zero funtion.
- (2) An algeraic variety (locally isomorphic to affine algeraic variety) is analytic since affine algeraic varieties are analytic subvariety in \mathbb{C} , as polynomial functions are holomorphic.
- (3) $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ is algeraic hence analytic, since it has (n+1)-charts of affine space.

So we can consider analytic subvarieties in $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$. Now we're already to state Chow's theorem:

Theorem 4. (Chow's theorem) Any analytic subvariety in $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ is algeraic.

See Wei's lectures for 1-st proof (the original proof given by Chow).

3 Proof by Remmert and Stein