continued on next page

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| [Langley; Lan- | search | past perfor- | algorithm | hand-crafted and | offline and | dynamic |
| $gev{ley}$ | | mance | | learned rules | online | |
| [Carbonell | planning | | control rules | explanation-based rule | online | $_{ m dynamic}$ |
| et al.] | | domain rea- tures, search | | construction | | |
| | | statistics | | | | |
| [Gratch and | planning | | control rules | probabilistic rule con- | online | $_{ m dynamic}$ |
| [DeJong] | | \vdash | | struction | | |
| | | tures, search statistics | | | | |
| [Smith and | software de- | features of | algorithms and | simulated annealing | offline | static |
| Setliff] | sign | abstract representation | data structures | | | |
| [Aha] | Machine | instance fea- | algorithm | learned rules | offline | static |
| , | Learning | tures |) | | | |
| $[{ m Brodley}]$ | Machine | instance and | algorithm | hand-crafted rules | offline | static |
| | Learning | algorithm | | | | |
| | | | | , | | |
| [Kamel et al.] | differential equations | past per- formance | algorithm | hand-crafted rules | offline | static |
| | | instance | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| [Minton; Minton; Minton] | constraints | runtime per- formance | algoriumi | nang-crateg learned rules | omme | аупашіс |
| [Cahill] | software de- | instance fea- | algorithms and | frame-based knowledge | offline | static |
| | sign | tures | data structures | base | | |
| [Tsang et al.] | constraints | instance fea- tures | 1 | 1 | 1 | static |
| [Brewer] | software de- | runtime per- | 3, | statistical model | offline | static |
| | sıgn | tormance | structures and their parameters | | | |
| | | | | | | |

continued on next page

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|--------------|-------------------|
| [Weerawarana et al.; Joshi et al.] | differential equations | instance fea- tures | runtime perfor- mance | Bayesian belief propagation, neural nets | offline | static |
| [Borrett et al.] | constraints | search statis- tics | switch algorithm? | hand-crafted rules | online | static, static |
| [Allen and Minton] | SAT, constraints | probing | runtime perfor- | hand-crafted rules | online | static |
| [Sakkout et al.] | constraints | search statis- tics | switch algorithm? | hand-crafted rules | online | static |
| [Huberman et al.] | graph colour- | past perfor- mance | resource allocation | statistical model | offline | static |
| [Gomes and Selman; Gomes and Solman] | constraints | problem size and past per- formance | algorithm | statistical model | offline | static |
| [Cook and Varnell] | parallel search | probing | set of search strategies | decision trees, Bayesian classifier, nearest neighbour, | online | static |
| [Fink; Fink] | planning | past perfor- mance | resource alloca- | statistical model, regression | offline | static |
| [Lobjois and Lemaître] | branch and | probing | runtime perfor- | hand-crafted rules | online | static |
| [Caseau et al.] | vehicle routing problem | runtime per- formance | algorithm | genetic algorithms | offline | static |
| [Howe et al.] | planning | instance fea- | resource alloca- | linear regression | offline | static |
| [Terashima- Marín et al.] | scheduling | instance and search features | algorithm | genetic algorithms | offline | dynamic |

continued on next page

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------|
| [Wilson et al.] | software design | instance fea- tures | data structures | nearest neighbour | offline | static |
| [Beck and Fox] | job shop scheduling | instance feature changes during search | algorithm scheduling policy | hand-crafted rules | online | static |
| [Brazdil and Soares] | classification | past perfor- mance | ranking | distribution model | offline | static |
| $[{ m Lagoudakis} \ { m and} \ { m Littman}]$ | order selection, sorting | instance fea- tures | remaining cost for each sub-problem | MDP | online | static |
| [Sillito] | constraints | probing | cost of solving problem | statistical model | offline | static |
| [Pfahringer et al.] | classification | instance features, probing | algorithm | 9 different classifiers | offline | static |
| [Fukunaga] | TSP | past perfor- mance | resource allocation | performance simulation for different allocations | offline | static |
| [Gomes and Selman] | constraints, mixed integer programming | past perfor- mance | algorithm | statistical model | offline | dynamic |
| [Cowling et al.] | scheduling | instance fea- tures | algorithm | hand-crafted rules, weights | online | static |
| [Epstein and Freuder; Epstein et al.; Epstein et al.; Epstein and Petrovic] | constraints | variable characteristics | algorithm | weights, hand-crafted rules | offline and online | dynamic |
| [Lagoudakis and Littman] | DPLL branch- ing rules | instance fea- | remaining cost for each sub-problem | MDP | online | static |
| [Nareyek] | optimisation | search statis- tics | expected utility of algorithm | reinforcement learning | offline and online | static |

continued on next page

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------|-----------|
| [Horvitz et al.] | constraints | instance and instance generator fea- tures, search statistics | runtime perfor- mance, restart parameters | Bayesian model | offline and online | static |
| [Borrett and Tsang] | constraints | instance features, search statistics | redundant constraints to add | hand-crafted rules | offline | 1 |
| [Little et al.] | logic puzzles | instance graph fea- tures | instance model transformations for runtime performance | nearest neighbour | offline | 1 |
| [Petrovic and Qul | scheduling | instance fea- tures | algorithm | case-based reasoning | offline | static |
| [Leyton- Brown et al.] | winner determination problem | instance features | instance hardness | several forms of regression | offline | static |
| [Fukunaga; Fukunaga] | SAT | variable characteristics | algorithm | genetic algorithms | offline | dynamic |
| [Yu et al.; Yu et al.; Yu et al.; Yu and Banchwarger] | parallel reduction algo- | instance fea- tures | algorithm | decision trees, general linear regression | offline and online | static |
| [Ruan et al.] | SAT | instance fea- | restart policy | dynamic programming | offline | static |
| [Vrakas et al.] | planning | instance fea- tures | parameters | classification association rules | offline | dynamic |
| [Gno] | sorting, probabilistic inference | instance fea- tures | algorithm | decision tree, naïve Bayes, Bayesian net- work meta-learning | offline | static |
| [Watson] | job shop scheduling | instance features, search statistics | local search algorithm | statistical model | offline and online | static |

| age |
|------------------------|
| continued on next page |
| continued on 1 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|--------------------|-----------|
| [Brazdil et al.] | Machine | instance fea- | ranking | nearest neighbour | offline | static |
| | Learning | tures | | | | |
| [Gebruers et al.] | bid evaluation problem | instance and instance | solution method | nearest neighbour | offline | static |
| [-]- | | graph fea- | | | | |
| [Guerri and Milano] | bid evaluation problem | instance and instance | solution method, algorithm | decision trees | offline | static |
| | | grapu rea- tures | | | | |
| [Beck and | scheduling | probing | algorithm | hand-crafted rules | offline | static |
| Freuuerj [Nudelman | SAT | instance | runtime perfor- | ridge regression lasso | offline | static |
| et al.; Xu | | features, | | regression, SVMs, Gaussian processes | | |
| et al.] | |) | | • | | |
| [Carchrae and Beck; Carchrae and | job shop scheduling | probing, search statistics | length of exploration phase, switch algorithm? | Bayesian classifier, reinforcement learning | offline and online | static |
| $egin{aligned} \operatorname{Beck} \end{bmatrix}$ | | | | | 3 | |
| [Soares et al.] | Machine Learning | instance tea- tures | ranking of SVM kernel widths | nearest neighbour | offline | static |
| [Guo and Hsu] | most probable explanation problem | instance fea- tures | algorithm | decision trees, naïve Bayes rules, Bayes net- works, meta-learning | offline | static |
| [Gagliolo | search prob- | past perfor- | resource alloca- | becampues linear model | online | static |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | linear algebra | instance fea- tures | algorithm | multivariate Bayesian decision rule | offline | static |

continued on next page

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|--|---|--|--|--|--------------------|-----------|
| [Gebruers et al.] | constraints | instance fea- tures | problem model, solution strategy | nearest neighbour, decision trees, statistical model | offline | static |
| [Petrik] | SAT | past performance | resource allocation | analytic model, MDP | offline and online | static |
| [Cicirello and Smith] | scheduling | past performance | algorithm | reinforcement learning | online | static |
| $[{ m Gagliolo} \ { m and} \ { m Schmidhuber}]$ | 1 | past performance | resource allocation | neural nets | online | static |
| [Armstrong et al.] | procedure calls | runtime per- formance | switch algorithm? | reinforcement learning | online | static |
| [Gagliolo and Schmidhuber] | SAT, auction winner determination problem | past perfor- mance | resource allocation | reinforcement learning | online | static |
| $[\begin{array}{cc} \text{Roberts} & \text{and} \\ \text{Howe} \end{array}]$ | planning | instance fea- tures | resource allocation | decision trees | offline | static |
| [Hough and Williams] | optimisation | instance, algorithm and environment features | algorithm | ensembles of decision trees, SVMs | offline | static |
| [Bhowmick et al.] | linear systems | instance fea- tures | algorithm | boosting, alternating decision trees | offline | static |
| [Hutter et al.] | stochastic local search | instance fea- tures | runtime perfor- mance | ridge regression | offline | dynamic |
| [Sayag et al.] | SAT | past performance | resource allocation | static model, probabilistic model | offline | static |
| [Ali and Smith] | classification | instance fea- tures | algorithm | decision rules | offline | static |
| [Xu et al.] | SAT | instance fea- tures | satisfiability and runtime perfor- mance | sparse multinomial logistic regression, ridge regression | offline | static |

continued on next page

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------|
| [Pulina and Tacchella; Pulina and Tacchella] | QBF | instance fea- tures | resource allocation | decision trees, decision rules, logistic regres- sion, nearest neighbour | offline and online | static |
| [Samulowitz and Memise- | QBF | instance fea- tures | algorithm, confidence values | multinomial logistic regression | offline and online | static |
| [Wu and van Beek] | scheduling | 1 | portfolio | case-based reasoning | offline | dynamic |
| [Streeter et al.] | planning | past perfor- mance | resource alloca- tion | statistical model | offline and online | static |
| [Wang and Tropper] | | past perfor- mance | control parameter | reinforcement learning | online | static |
| [Roberts and Howe; Roberts | planning | instance fea- tures | runtime, probability of success | 32 different algorithms | offline | static |
| (de la Rosa et al.; de la Rosa et al.; de la ela Rosa et al.; de la Rosa et al.; | planning | instance features | algorithm | case-based reasoning | online | static |
| [Steer et al.] | ı | fitness land- scape fea- | algorithm | ı | offline | static |
| [Streeter and Smith] | SAT, integer programming, | instance fea- tures | resource allocation | statistical model | offline and online | static |
| [O'Mahony et al.; Bridge et al.] | constraints | instance features, probing | resource alloca- tion | nearest neighbour | offline | static |
| | | | | | | |

continued on next page

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------|
| [Kuefler and Chen] | linear systems | instance features, search statistics | algorithm | reinforcement learning | online | static |
| [Wei et al.] | SAT | search statis- | algorithm | hand-crafted rules | online | static |
| [Gagliolo and Schmidhuberl | SAT | past perfor- mance | resource allocation | reinforcement learning | online | static |
| [Smith-Miles] | Quadratic Assignment Problem | instance features, | algorithm, run- time performance | neural networks and self-organising maps | offline | static |
| [Stergiou; Stergiou; Pa- parrizou and | constraints | search statis- tics | propagation method | clustering | online | static |
| [de la Rosa et al.; de la Rosa et al.] | planning | instance fea- tures | algorithm | decision tree | online | static |
| [Nikolić et al.] | SAT | instance fea- tures | search strategy | nearest neighbour | offline | static |
| [Stamatatos and Stergiou] | constraints | probing | propagation method | clustering | offline | static |
| [Arbelaez et al.; Arbelaez et al.] | constraints | instance features, search statistics | search strategy | $_{ m NNM}$ | online | static |
| [Haim and Walsh] | SAT | instance fea- tures | restart strategy and satisfiability | ridge regression, logistic regression | offline | static |
| [Bhow ⁱ mick et al.] | linear systems | instance fea- tures | algorithm | nearest-neighbour, alternating decision trees, naïve Bayes, SVM | offline | static |

continued on next page

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|--|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|-----------|
| [Gerevini et al.] | planning | past perfor- mance | macro actions, resource allocation | performance simulations for different allocations | offline | static |
| [Xu et al.] | constraints | instance fea- tures | algorithm | reinforcement learning | online | static |
| [Bougeret et al.] | SAT | past perfor- mance | resource alloca- tion | static model | offline | static |
| [Smith-Miles et al.] | scheduling | instance fea- tures | algorithm | decision tree, neural networks, self-organizing maps | offline | static |
| [Leite et al.] | Machine Learning | past performance, | ranking of classifi- cation algorithms | statistical model | offline and online | static |
| [Silverthorn and Miikku- lainen] | $_{ m SAT}$ | past perfor- mance | runtime perfor- mance | latent class models | offline | static |
| [Stern et al.] | QBF, combinatorial auctions | instance and algorithm features | algorithm | Bayesian model | offline and online | static |
| [Garrido and Riff] | dynamic vehicle routing problem | runtime per- formance | combination of low-level heuristics | genetic algorithms | online | dynamic |
| [Domshlak et al.] | planning | state vari- ables | algorithm | naïve Bayes classifier | online | static |
| [Kadioglu et al.] | SAT, mixed integer programming, set covering | instance fea- tures | algorithm | clustering | offline | dynamic |
| [Gent et al.] | constraints | instance features, probing | algorithm | decision trees | offline | static |

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---|--------------|-----------|
| [Gent et al.] | software de- | instance fea- | implementation | 19 different classifiers | offline | static |
| [Kotthoff et al.] | constraints | instance features, probing | ${ m algorithm}$ | ensembles of classifiers | offline | static |
| [Ewald et al.] | simulation algorithms | past perfor- mance | portfolio | genetic algorithms | offline | dynamic |
| [Elsayed and Michel; El- sayed and Michel] | constraints | instance fea- tures | search strategy | hand-crafted rules | online | dynamic |
| [Valenzano et al.] | search prob- | ı | algorithm | round-robin | online | static |
| $[ext{Leite}] 	ext{ and } 	ext{Brazdil}]$ | classification | past perfor- mance | ranking | statistical model | offline | static |
| [Aiguzhinov et al.] | classification | past perfor- mance | ranking | naïve Bayes | offline | static |
| [Kanda et al.; Kanda et al.] | TSP | instance fea- tures | algorithms | nearest neighbour, decision tree, SVM, naïve Baves | offline | static |
| [Peng et al.] | numerical op- timisation | past perfor- mance | resource allocation | optimisation | offline | static |
| [Graff and Poli] | program in- | fitness function | runtime perfor- | regression | offline | static |
| [Tolpin and Shimonv] | constraints | search statis- | algorithm | hand-crafted rules | online | static |
| [Malitsky | SAT | instance fea- | algorithm | nearest neighbour | offline | static |
| [Kadioglu | SAT | instance fea- | resource alloca- | nearest neighbour | offline | static |
| [Kroer and Malitsky] | SAT, constraints | instance fea- tures | algorithm | clustering | offline | dynamic |

continued on next page

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------|-----------|
| [Kotthoff et al.; Kot- | SAT, QBF, constraints | instance features, | algorithm, runtime performance, | 31 different Machine Learning algorithms | offline | static |
| [Gagliolo and Schmidhuber; Gagliolo and Schmidhuber; | SAT, QBF, constraints | past performance | resource allocation | reinforcement learning | online | static |
| [Gebser et al.] | Answer Set Programming | instance features, | runtime perfor- mance | $_{ m SVM}$ | offline | static |
| [Xu et al.] | MIP | instance features, probing | algorithm | random forests | offline | dynamic |
| [Maturana et al.] | evolutionary algorithms | past performance | algorithm | statistical models | online | static |
| $[{ m Helmert}] \ { m et al.} \ { m et}$ | planning | past perfor- mance | resource alloca- tion | statistical model | offline | static |
| [Kiziltan et al.] | constraints | instance features | resource allocation | 8 classification algorithms, ridge regression | offline | static |
| [Smith-Miles and Hemert] | $_{ m LSP}$ | instance fea- tures | algorithm | self-organizing map, decision tree, neural | offline | static |
| $[{ m Kotthoff}]$ | SAT, QBF, constraints | instance features, | algorithm | 5 regression algorithms, 2 classification | offline | static |
| [Yun and Ep- | constraints | instance fea- | portfolio | case-based reasoning, | offline | dynamic |
| [Hurley and OSullivan] | SAT | instance fea- tures | ranking | case-based reasoning with voting | offline | static |
| [Shukla et al.] | inventory routing problem | past perfor- mance | portfolio | statistical model | offline | static |

continued on next page

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | 'hen | portfolio |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------|------|-----------|
| [Malitsky | $_{ m SAT}$ | past perfor- | resource alloca- | ca- nearest neighbour | offline | and | static |
| et al.] [Bischl et al.] | optimisation | instance fea- tures | algorithm | $_{ m NVM}$ | offline | | static |
| [Veerapen et al.] | Quadratic Assignment Problem and TSP | past perfor- mance | algorithm | statistical model | online | | static |
| [Valenzano et al.] | planning | past perfor- mance | resource allocation | ca-statistical model | offline online | and | static |
| [Hutter et al.; Hutter et al.] | SAT, MIP, TSP | instance fea- tures | algorithm performance | 11 regression rithms | algo- offline | | static |
| [Kanda et al.] | TSP | instance fea- | ranking | neural networks | offline | | static |
| [Kadioglu et al.] | MIP | instance features | heuristic | clustering | online | | static |
| [Seipp et al.] | planning | past perfor- | resource alloca- | ca- clustering and heuris- | is- offline | | static |
| [Maratea et al.; Maratea et al.] | ASP | instance fea- tures | algorithm | classification | offline | | static |
| [Muñoz et al.] | optimisation | instance features, algorithm | runtime perfor- mance | or- neural network regression | es- offline | | static |
| [Sabharwal et al.] | SAT | paramoers instance fea- tures | resource allocation and switch | ca- nearest neighbour and tch decision tree classifica- | nd offline ca- online | and | static |
| [Abell et al.] | black-box opti- misation | instance features | algorithm | clustering | offline | | static |

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------|-----------|
| [Hutter et al.] | SAT, MIP, TSP | instance features and algorithm | algorithm performance | random forests, linear regression, neural networks, Gaussian processes, regression trees | offline | static |
| [Musliu and Schwengerer] | graph coloring | instance fea- tures | ${\rm algorithm}$ | six classifiers | offline | static |
| [Amadini et al.] | constraints | instance fea- tures | ${ m algorithm}$ | range of different approaches | offline | static |
| [Alhossaini and Beck] | planning | instance fea- tures | model | $_{ m NNM}$ | offline | static |
| [Seijen et al.] | reinforcement learning | past performance | abstraction | MDP | online | static |
| [Malitsky et al.] | $_{ m SAT}$ | instance features | ${ m algorithm}$ | clustering | online | static |
| [Mehta et al.] | constraints | instance features | algorithm | classification, regression and clustering | offline | static |
| [Malitsky et al.] | SAT | instance features | ${ m algorithm}$ | clustering | offline | static |
| [Rayner et al.] | combinatorial search | probing | subset of algorithms | optimisation | offline | static |
| [Sun and Pfahringer] | machine learn- ing | past performance | | pairwise rules and trees | offline | static |
| [Collautti et al.] | $\overline{\mathrm{SAT}}$ | instance features, past | algorithm | nearest neighbour, random forests | offline | static |
| [Maratea et al.] | ASP | instance fea- tures | algorithm | PART decision rules | offline | static |
| [Wang et al.] | feature selection | instance features | ${ m algorithm}$ | nearest neighbour and optimisation | offline | static |
| [King et al.; King et al.] | power systems | instance fea- tures | algorithm | neural net, decision tree, random forest | offline | static |

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| [Yuen et al.] | evolutionary algorithms | past perfor- mance | algorithm | linear regression | online | static |
| [Amadini et al.] | constraints | instance fea- tures | algorithm, resource allocation | 5 different classifiers | offline and online | static |
| [Cauwet et al.] | optimisation | past perfor- | resource alloca- | statistical model | online | static |
| [Hoos et al.] | ASP, SAT, OBF. CSP | past performance | resource alloca- tion | answer set program- ming | offline | static |
| [Hurley et al.] | | instance fea- | instance encoding, | classification, regression clustering | offline | static |
| $[{ m Kotthoff}]$ | CSP, SAT, OBF | instance fea- | ranking | classification, regression, meta-learning | offline | static |
| [Tang et al.] | numerical op- | past perfor- | algorithm portfolio | optimisation | offline | $_{ m dynamic}$ |
| [Fawcett et al.] | planning | instance fea- | runtime | regression | offline | static |
| [Amadini and Stuckers] | COP | instance fea- | resource alloca- | nearest neighbour | offline | static |
| Blet et al. | CSP | instance fea- | algorithm | M5P regression | offline | static |
| [Malitsky et al.] | Minimal Correction Subset | instance features, past | algorithm | nearest neighbour, random forests | offline | static |
| [Malitsky | Minimal Correction Subset | instance fea- | resource alloca- | nearest neighbour, re- | offline | static |
| [Ansótegui | MaxSAT | instance fea- | algorithm | clustering | offline | static |
| [Malitsky and O'Sullivan] | CSP, MaxSAT, SAT | instance features, past | algorithm | random forest and linear regression | offline | static |
| [Smith et al.] | classification | past performance | algorithm | collaborative filtering | offline | static |

| citation | domain | features | predict what | predict how | predict when | portfolio |
|--|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------|-----------|
| [Garbajosa et al.] | planning | instance features | algorithm | classifier ensemble | online | static |
| $[{ m Amadini}]$ | constraints | instance fea- tures | resource allocation | nearest neighbour | offline | static |
| [Pihera and Nysret] | $_{ m TSP}$ | instance fea- tures | algorithm | 5 classifiers | offline | static |
| $[ext{St-Pierre} 	ext{ and } Tevtaud]$ | Go | past perfor- mance | policy | static rule and reinforcement learning | offline and online | static |
| $\begin{bmatrix} van & Rijn \\ et al. \end{bmatrix}$ | machine learn- | instance fea- tures | algorithm | decision stumps, random forests | offline | static |
| [Lieder et al.] | sorting | instance fea- | $\operatorname{performance}$ | Bayesian regression | offline | static |
| [Lindauer] | ASP, CSP, SAT, QBF, OB. | instance fea- tures | resource allocation | lots | offline | static |
| [Hoos et al.] | ASP | instance fea- | resource allocation | pairwise classification, regression, clustering | offline | static |
| [Tierney and Malitsky] | container pre- marshalling | instance features, past | algorithm | hierarchical cost-sensitive clustering | offline | static |
| [Lindauer et al.] | SAT, QBF, ASP, container premarshalling | instance fea- tures | resource alloca- tion | random forest pairwise classification, ridge regression, k-means clustering | offline | static |
| [Lindauer et al.] | aslib 1.0 | instance fea- | resource allocation | | offline | static |
| [Kotthoff et al.] | TSP | instance features | algorithm | classification, regression, pairwise regression | offline | static |

Table I: Summary of the Algorithm Selection literature.

REFERENCES

- ABELL, T., MALITSKY, Y., AND TIERNEY, K. 2013. Features for exploiting black-box optimization problem structure. In LION 7.
- Aha, D. W. 1992. Generalizing from case studies: A case study. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Machine Learning*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 1–10.
- AIGUZHINOV, A., SOARES, C., AND SERRA, A. P. 2010. A similarity-based adaptation of naive bayes for label ranking: Application to the metalearning problem of algorithm recommendation. In 13th International conference on Discovery Science. Springer-Verlag, 16–26.
- Alhossaini, M. and Beck, J. C. 2013. Instance-specific remodelling of planning domains by adding macros and removing operators. In *Symposium on Abstraction, Reformulation, and Approximation*.
- ALI, S. AND SMITH, K. A. 2006. On learning algorithm selection for classification. Applied Soft Computing 6, 2, 119–138.
- ALLEN, J. A. AND MINTON, S. 1996. Selecting the right heuristic algorithm: Runtime performance predictors. In *The 11th Biennial Conference of the Canadian Society for Computational Studies of Intelligence*. Springer-Verlag, 41–53.
- AMADINI, R., GABBRIELLI, M., AND MAURO, J. 2013. An empirical evaluation of portfolios approaches for solving CSPs. In *Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming for Combinatorial Optimization Problems*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 7874. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 316–324.
- Amadini, R., Gabbrielli, M., and Mauro, J. 2014a. Portfolio approaches for constraint optimization problems. In $LION\ 8$.
- Amadini, R., Gabbrielli, M., and Mauro, J. 2014b. SUNNY: a lazy portfolio approach for constraint solving. TPLP 14, 4-5, 509–524.
- AMADINI, R. AND STUCKEY, P. J. 2014. Sequential time splitting and bounds communication for a portfolio of optimization solvers. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. 108–124.
- Ansótegui, C., Malitsky, Y., and Sellmann, M. 2014. MaxSAT by improved instance-specific algorithm configuration. In AAAI. 2594–2600.
- Arbelaez, A., Hamadi, Y., and Sebag, M. 2009. Online heuristic selection in constraint programming. In Symposium on Combinatorial Search.
- Arbelaez, A., Hamadi, Y., and Sebag, M. 2010. Continuous search in constraint programming. In 22nd IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence. 53–60.
- ARMSTRONG, W., CHRISTEN, P., McCreath, E., and Rendell, A. P. 2006. Dynamic algorithm selection using reinforcement learning. In *International Workshop on Integrating AI and Data Mining*. 18–25.
- Beck, J. C. and Fox, M. S. 2000. Dynamic problem structure analysis as a basis for constraint-directed scheduling heuristics. *Artificial Intelligence* 117, 1, 31–81.
- Beck, J. C. and Freuder, E. C. 2004. Simple rules for low-knowledge algorithm selection. In *CPAIOR*. Springer, 50–64.
- BHOWMICK, S., EIJKHOUT, V., FREUND, Y., FUENTES, E., AND KEYES, D. 2006. Application of machine learning in selecting sparse linear solvers. Tech. rep., Columbia University.
- Bhowmick, S., Toth, B., and Raghavan, P. 2009. Towards Low-Cost, High-Accuracy classifiers for linear solver selection. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Computational Science*. ICCS '09. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 463–472.
- BISCHL, B., MERSMANN, O., TRAUTMANN, H., AND PREUSS, M. 2012. Algorithm selection based on exploratory landscape analysis and Cost-Sensitive learning. In 14th International Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation. GECCO '12. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 313–320.
- BLET, L., NDIAYE, S., AND SOLNON, C. 2014. Experimental comparison of BTD and intelligent backtracking: Towards an automatic per-instance algorithm selector. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. 190–206.
- Borrett, J. E. and Tsang, E. P. K. 2001. A context for constraint satisfaction problem formulation selection. *Constraints* 6, 4, 299–327.
- BORRETT, J. E., TSANG, E. P. K., AND WALSH, N. R. 1996. Adaptive constraint satisfaction: The quickest first principle. In *ECAI*. 160–164.
- BOUGERET, M., DUTOT, P., GOLDMAN, A., NGOKO, Y., AND TRYSTRAM, D. 2009. Combining multiple heuristics on discrete resources. In *IEEE International Symposium on Parallel & Distributed Processing*. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 1–8.

- Brazdil, P. and Soares, C. 2000. A comparison of ranking methods for classification algorithm selection. In *Proceedings of the 11th European Conference on Machine Learning*. ECML '00. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 63–74.
- Brazdil, P. B., Soares, C., and Da Costa, J. P. 2003. Ranking learning algorithms: Using IBL and meta-learning on accuracy and time results. *Mach. Learn.* 50, 3, 251–277.
- Brewer, E. A. 1995. High-level optimization via automated statistical modeling. In *Proceedings of the 5th ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles and Practice of Parallel Programming.* PPOPP '95. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 80–91.
- BRIDGE, D., O'MAHONY, E., AND O'SULLIVAN, B. 2011. Case-Based reasoning for autonomous constraint solving. In *Autonomous Search*, Y. Hamadi, E. Monfroy, and F. Saubion, Eds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 73–95.
- Brodley, C. E. 1993. Addressing the selective superiority problem: Automatic Algorithm/Model class selection. In *ICML*. 17–24.
- CAHILL, E. 1994. Knowledge-based algorithm construction for real-world engineering PDEs. Mathematics and Computers in Simulation 36, 4-6, 389–400.
- Carbonell, J., Etzioni, O., Gil, Y., Joseph, R., Knoblock, C., Minton, S., and Veloso, M. 1991. PRODIGY: an integrated architecture for planning and learning. SIGART Bull. 2, 51–55.
- CARCHRAE, T. AND BECK, J. C. 2004. Low-Knowledge algorithm control. In AAAI. 49-54.
- CARCHRAE, T. AND BECK, J. C. 2005. Applying machine learning to Low-Knowledge control of optimization algorithms. *Computational Intelligence 21*, 4, 372–387.
- Caseau, Y., Laburthe, F., and Silverstein, G. 1999. A Meta-Heuristic factory for vehicle routing problems. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 144–158.
- Cauwet, M.-L., Liu, J., and Teytaud, O. 2014. Algorithm portfolios for noisy optimization: Compare solvers early. In $LION\ 8$.
- CICIRELLO, V. A. AND SMITH, S. F. 2005. The max k-armed bandit: A new model of exploration applied to search heuristic selection. In *Proceedings of the 20th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 1355–1361.
- COLLAUTTI, M., MALITSKY, Y., MEHTA, D., AND O'SULLIVAN, B. 2013. SNNAP: solver-based nearest neighbor for algorithm portfolios. In *ECML/PKDD*. 435–450.
- COOK, D. J. AND VARNELL, R. C. 1997. Maximizing the benefits of parallel search using machine learning. In *Proceedings of the 14th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 559–564.
- COWLING, P., KENDALL, G., AND SOUBEIGA, E. 2001. A Parameter-Free hyperheuristic for scheduling a sales summit. In *Proceedings of the 4th Metaheuristic International Conference*. 127–131.
- DE LA ROSA, T., CELORRIO, S. J., AND BORRAJO, D. 2008. Learning relational decision trees for guiding heuristic planning. In ICAPS. 60–67.
- DE LA ROSA, T., GARCÍA OLAYA, A., AND BORRAJO, D. 2007a. Using cases utility for heuristic planning improvement. In Case-Based Reasoning Research and Development. 137–148.
- DE LA ROSA, T., GARCÍA OLAYA, A., AND BORRAJO, D. 2013. A case-based approach to heuristic planning. Applied Intelligence 39, 1, 184–201.
- DE LA ROSA, T., JIMÉNEZ, S., FUENTETAJA, R., AND BORRAJO, D. 2011. Scaling up heuristic planning with relational decision trees. J. Artif. Int. Res. 40, 1, 767–813.
- DE LA ROSA, T., OLAYA, A. G., AND BORRAJO, D. 2007b. Case-based recommendation of node ordering in planning. In *International Florida Artificial Intelligence Research Society Conference*. 393–398.
- Demmel, J., Dongarra, J., Eijkhout, V., Fuentes, E., Petitet, A., Vuduc, R., Whaley, R. C., and Yelick, K. 2005. Self-Adapting linear algebra algorithms and software. *Proceedings of the IEEE 93*, 2, 293–312.
- Domshlak, C., Karpas, E., and Markovitch, S. 2010. To max or not to max: Online learning for speeding up optimal planning. In AAAI.
- ELSAYED, S. A. M. AND MICHEL, L. 2010. Synthesis of search algorithms from high-level CP models. In Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Constraint Modelling and Reformulation.
- ELSAYED, S. A. M. AND MICHEL, L. 2011. Synthesis of search algorithms from high-level CP models. In 17th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 256–270.
- EPSTEIN, S. AND PETROVIC, S. 2011. Learning a mixture of search heuristics. In *Autonomous Search*, Y. Hamadi, E. Monfroy, and F. Saubion, Eds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 97–127.

- Epstein, S. L. and Freuder, E. C. 2001. Collaborative learning for constraint solving. In *Proceedings of the* 7th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 46–60.
- EPSTEIN, S. L., FREUDER, E. C., WALLACE, R., MOROZOV, A., AND SAMUELS, B. 2002. The adaptive constraint engine. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. Springer, 525–540.
- Epstein, S. L., Wallace, R. J., Freuder, E. C., and Xingjian, L. 2005. Learning propagation policies. In Second International Workshop on Constraint Propagation and Implementation.
- EWALD, R., SCHULZ, R., AND UHRMACHER, A. M. 2010. Selecting simulation algorithm portfolios by genetic algorithms. In *IEEE Workshop on Principles of Advanced and Distributed Simulation*. PADS '10. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 1–9.
- FAWCETT, C., VALLATI, M., HUTTER, F., HOFFMANN, J., HOOS, H., AND LEYTON-BROWN, K. 2014. Improved features for runtime prediction of domain-independent planners. In *ICAPS*.
- FINK, E. 1997. Statistical selection among Problem-Solving methods. Tech. Rep. CMU-CS-97-101, Carnegie Mellon University.
- FINK, E. 1998. How to solve it automatically: Selection among Problem-Solving methods. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Planning Systems*. AAAI Press, 128–136.
- Fukunaga, A. S. 2000. Genetic algorithm portfolios. In *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. Vol. 2, 1304–1311.
- FUKUNAGA, A. S. 2002. Automated discovery of composite SAT variable-selection heuristics. In 18th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence. American Association for Artificial Intelligence, Menlo Park, CA, USA, 641–648.
- FUKUNAGA, A. S. 2008. Automated discovery of local search heuristics for satisfiability testing. *Evol. Comput.* 16, 31–61.
- Gagliolo, M. and Schmidhuber, J. 2005. A neural network model for Inter-Problem adaptive online time allocation. In 15th International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks: Formal Models and Their Applications. Springer, 7–12.
- GAGLIOLO, M. AND SCHMIDHUBER, J. 2006. Learning dynamic algorithm portfolios. Ann. Math. Artif. Intell. 47, 3-4, 295–328.
- Gagliolo, M. and Schmidhuber, J. 2008. Towards distributed algorithm portfolios. In *International Symposium on Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence, Advances in Soft Computing*. Springer.
- Gagliolo, M. and Schmidhuber, J. 2010. Algorithm selection as a bandit problem with unbounded losses. In *Learning and Intelligent Optimization*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 6073. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 82–96.
- Gagliolo, M. and Schmidhuber, J. 2011. Algorithm portfolio selection as a bandit problem with unbounded losses. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence 61*, 2, 49–86.
- Gagliolo, M., Zhumatiy, V., and Schmidhuber, J. 2004. Adaptive online time allocation to search algorithms. In *ECML*. Springer, 134–143.
- Garbajosa, A., de la Rosa, T., and Fuentetaja, R. 2014. Planning with ensembles of classifiers. In ECAI. 1007-1008.
- Garrido, P. and Riff, M. 2010. DVRP: a hard dynamic combinatorial optimisation problem tackled by an evolutionary hyper-heuristic. *Journal of Heuristics* 16, 795–834.
- Gebruers, C., Guerri, A., Hnich, B., and Milano, M. 2004. Making choices using structure at the instance level within a case based reasoning framework. In *CPAIOR*. 380–386.
- Gebruers, C., Hnich, B., Bridge, D., and Freuder, E. 2005. Using CBR to select solution strategies in constraint programming. In *Proc. of ICCBR-05*. 222–236.
- Gebser, M., Kaminski, R., Kaufmann, B., Schaub, T., Schneider, M. T., and Ziller, S. 2011. A portfolio solver for answer set programming: preliminary report. In 11th International Conference on Logic Programming and Nonmonotonic Reasoning. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 352–357.
- GENT, I., JEFFERSON, C., KOTTHOFF, L., MIGUEL, I., MOORE, N., NIGHTINGALE, P., AND PETRIE, K. 2010a. Learning when to use lazy learning in constraint solving. In 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 873–878.
- Gent, I., Kotthoff, L., Miguel, I., and Nightingale, P. 2010b. Machine learning for constraint solver design a case study for the alldifferent constraint. In 3rd Workshop on Techniques for implementing Constraint Programming Systems (TRICS). 13–25.
- Gerevini, A. E., Saetti, A., and Vallati, M. 2009. An automatically configurable portfolio-based planner with macro-actions: PbP. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling.* 350–353.
- Gomes, C. P. and Selman, B. 1997a. Algorithm portfolio design: Theory vs. practice. In UAI. 190-197.

- Gomes, C. P. and Selman, B. 1997b. Practical aspects of algorithm portfolio design. In *Proc. of 3rd ILOG International Users Meeting*.
- Gomes, C. P. and Selman, B. 2001. Algorithm portfolios. Artificial Intelligence 126, 1-2, 43-62.
- Graff, M. and Poli, R. 2010. Practical performance models of algorithms in evolutionary program induction and other domains. *Artificial Intelligence* 174, 15, 1254–1276.
- Gratch, J. and DeJong, G. 1992. COMPOSER: a probabilistic solution to the utility problem in Speed-Up learning. In AAAI. 235–240.
- GUERRI, A. AND MILANO, M. 2004. Learning techniques for automatic algorithm portfolio selection. In ECAI. 475–479.
- Guo, H. 2003. Algorithm selection for sorting and probabilistic inference: A machine Learning-Based approach. Ph.D. thesis, Kansas State University.
- Guo, H. and Hsu, W. H. 2004. A Learning-Based algorithm selection meta-reasoner for the Real-Time MPE problem. In Australian Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 307–318.
- HAIM, S. AND WALSH, T. 2009. Restart strategy selection using machine learning techniques. In Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 312–325.
- HELMERT, M., RÖGER, G., AND KARPAS, E. 2011. Fast downward stone soup: A baseline for building planner portfolios. In ICAPS-2011 Workshop on Planning and Learning (PAL). 28–35.
- HOOS, H., LINDAUER, M., AND SCHAUB, T. 2014a. claspfolio 2: Advances in algorithm selection for answer set programming. TPLP 14, 4-5, 569–585.
- Hoos, H. H., Kaminski, R., Lindauer, M., and Schaub, T. 2014b. aspeed: Solver scheduling via answer set programming. *Theory and Practice of Logic Programming FirstView*, 1–26.
- HORVITZ, E., RUAN, Y., GOMES, C. P., KAUTZ, H. A., SELMAN, B., AND CHICKERING, D. M. 2001. A bayesian approach to tackling hard computational problems. In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference* in *Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 235–244.
- HOUGH, P. D. AND WILLIAMS, P. J. 2006. Modern machine learning for automatic optimization algorithm selection. In *Proceedings of the INFORMS Artificial Intelligence and Data Mining Workshop*.
- HOWE, A. E., DAHLMAN, E., HANSEN, C., SCHEETZ, M., AND VON MAYRHAUSER, A. 1999. Exploiting competitive planner performance. In *Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Planning*. Springer, 62–72.
- Huberman, B. A., Lukose, R. M., and Hogg, T. 1997. An economics approach to hard computational problems. *Science* 275, 5296, 51–54.
- Hurley, B., Kotthoff, L., Malitsky, Y., and O'Sullivan, B. 2014. Proteus: A hierarchical portfolio of solvers and transformations. In *CPAIOR*.
- Hurley, B. and OSullivan, B. 2012. Adaptation in a CBR-Based solver portfolio for the satisfiability problem. In *Case-Based Reasoning Research and Development*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 7466. 152–166.
- HUTTER, F., HAMADI, Y., HOOS, H. H., AND LEYTON-BROWN, K. 2006. Performance prediction and automated tuning of randomized and parametric algorithms. In *CP*. 213–228.
- HUTTER, F., HOOS, H. H., AND LEYTON-BROWN, K. 2013. Identifying key algorithm parameters and instance features using forward selection. In $LION\ 7$.
- Hutter, F., Xu, L., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2012. Algorithm runtime prediction: The state of the art. $CoRR\ abs/1211.0906$.
- HUTTER, F., Xu, L., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2014. Algorithm runtime prediction: Methods & evaluation. *Artificial Intelligence 206*, 0, 79–111.
- Joshi, A., Weerawarana, S., Ramakrishnan, N., Houstis, E. N., and Rice, J. R. 1996. Neuro-Fuzzy support for Problem-Solving environments: A step toward automated solution of PDEs. *IEEE Comput. Sci. Eng.* 3, 1, 44–56.
- Kadioglu, S., Malitsky, Y., Sabharwal, A., Samulowitz, H., and Sellmann, M. 2011. Algorithm selection and scheduling. In 17th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming. 454–469.
- Kadioglu, S., Malitsky, Y., and Sellmann, M. 2012. Non-model-based search guidance for set partitioning problems. In AAAI.
- Kadioglu, S., Malitsky, Y., Sellmann, M., and Tierney, K. 2010. ISAC Instance-Specific algorithm configuration. In 19th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence. IOS Press, 751–756.

- KAMEL, M. S., ENRIGHT, W. H., AND MA, K. S. 1993. ODEXPERT: an expert system to select numerical solvers for initial value ODE systems. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* 19, 1, 44–62.
- Kanda, J., de Carvalho, A., Hruschka, E., and Soares, C. 2010. Using meta-learning to classify traveling salesman problems. In *Eleventh Brazilian Symposium on Neural Networks*. 73–78.
- Kanda, J., de Carvalho, A., Hruschka, E., and Soares, C. 2011. Selection of algorithms to solve traveling salesman problems using meta-learning. Int. J. Hybrid Intell. Syst. 8, 3, 117–128.
- Kanda, J., Soares, C., Hruschka, E., and de Carvalho, A. 2012. A meta-learning approach to select meta-heuristics for the traveling salesman problem using MLP-Based label ranking. In 19th International Conference on Neural Information Processing. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 488–495.
- King, J. E., Jupe, S. C. E., and Taylor, P. C. 2014. Network state-based algorithm selection for power flow management using machine learning. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems PP*, 99, 1–8.
- King, J. E., Taylor, P. C., and Jupe, S. C. E. 2013. Autonomic control algorithm selection in decentralised power systems: A voltage control case study. In *International Conference and Exhibition on Electricity Distribution (CIRED 2013)*. 1–4.
- KIZILTAN, Z., MANDRIOLI, L., MAURO, J., AND O'SULLIVAN, B. 2011. A classification-based approach to managing a solver portfolio for CSPs. In 22nd Irish Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science.
- Kotthoff, L. 2012. Hybrid regression-classification models for algorithm selection. In 20th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 480–485.
- Kotthoff, L. 2014. Ranking algorithms by performance. In LION~8.
- KOTTHOFF, L., GENT, I. P., AND MIGUEL, I. 2011. A preliminary evaluation of machine learning in algorithm selection for search problems. In 4th Annual Symposium on Combinatorial Search. 84–91.
- Kotthoff, L., Gent, I. P., and Miguel, I. 2012. An evaluation of machine learning in algorithm selection for search problems. *AI Communications* 25, 3, 257–270.
- KOTTHOFF, L., KERSCHKE, P., HOOS, H., AND TRAUTMANN, H. 2015. Improving the state of the art in inexact TSP solving using per-instance algorithm selection. In *LION 9*.
- Kotthoff, L., Miguel, I., and Nightingale, P. 2010. Ensemble classification for constraint solver configuration. In 16th International Conference on Principles and Practices of Constraint Programming. 321–329
- Kroer, C. and Malitsky, Y. 2011. Feature filtering for Instance-Specific algorithm configuration. In Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence.
- KUEFLER, E. AND CHEN, T. 2008. On using reinforcement learning to solve sparse linear systems. In Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Computational Science. ICCS '08. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 955–964.
- LAGOUDAKIS, M. G. AND LITTMAN, M. L. 2000. Algorithm selection using reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Machine Learning*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 511–518.
- LAGOUDAKIS, M. G. AND LITTMAN, M. L. 2001. Learning to select branching rules in the DPLL procedure for satisfiability. In *LICS/SAT*. 344–359.
- Langley, P. 1983a. Learning effective search heuristics. In IJCAI. 419-421.
- Langley, P. 1983b. Learning search strategies through discrimination. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 513–541.
- Leite, R. and Brazdil, P. 2010. Active testing strategy to predict the best classification algorithm via sampling and metalearning. In *ECAI*. 309–314.
- Leite, R., Brazdil, P., Vanschoren, J., and Queiros, F. 2010. Using active testing and Meta-Level information for selection of classification algorithms. In 3rd PlanLearn Workshop.
- LEYTON-BROWN, K., NUDELMAN, E., AND SHOHAM, Y. 2002. Learning the empirical hardness of optimization problems: The case of combinatorial auctions. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 556–572.
- LIEDER, F., PLUNKETT, D., HAMRICK, J. B., RUSSELL, S. J., HAY, N. J., AND GRIFFITHS, T. L. 2014. Algorithm selection by rational metareasoning as a model of human strategy selection. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. Vol. 27.
- $\label{eq:lindauer} \mbox{Lindauer, M. 2014. Algorithm selection, scheduling and configuration of boolean constraint solvers. Ph.D. thesis, University of Potsdam.$
- LINDAUER, M., HOOS, H. H., AND HUTTER, F. 2015a. From sequential algorithm selection to parallel portfolio selection. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning and Intelligent Optimization (LION'15)*.

- LINDAUER, M., HOOS, H. H., HUTTER, F., AND SCHAUB, T. 2015b. AutoFolio: Algorithm configuration for algorithm selection. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth AAAI Workshops on Artificial Intelligence*.
- LITTLE, J., GEBRUERS, C., BRIDGE, D., AND FREUDER, E. 2002. Capturing constraint programming experience: A Case-Based approach. In *Modref*.
- LOBJOIS, L. AND LEMAÎTRE, M. 1998. Branch and bound algorithm selection by performance prediction. In *Proceedings of the 15th National/10th Conference on Artificial Intelligence/Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence*. American Association for Artificial Intelligence, Menlo Park, CA, USA, 353–358.
- MALITSKY, Y., ASHISH, S., SAMULOWITZ, H., AND SELLMANN, M. 2012. Parallel SAT solver selection and scheduling. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*.
- MALITSKY, Y., MEHTA, D., AND O'SULLIVAN, B. 2013a. Evolving instance specific algorithm configuration. In Symposium on Combinatorial Search.
- MALITSKY, Y. AND O'SULLIVAN, B. 2014. Latent features for algorithm selection. In SoCS.
- MALITSKY, Y., O'SULLIVAN, B., PREVITI, A., AND MARQUES-SILVA, J. A. 2014a. Timeout-sensitive portfolio approach to enumerating minimal correction subsets for satisfiability problems. In *ECAI*. 1065–1066.
- Malitsky, Y., Osullivan, B., Previti, A., and Marques-Silva, J. a. 2014b. A portfolio approach to enumerating minimal correction subsets for satisfiability problems. In *CPAIOR*.
- Malitsky, Y., Sabharwal, A., Samulowitz, H., and Sellmann, M. 2011. Non-model-based algorithm portfolios for SAT. In *Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing (SAT)*. 369–370.
- MALITSKY, Y., SABHARWAL, A., SAMULOWITZ, H., AND SELLMANN, M. 2013b. Algorithm portfolios based on cost-sensitive hierarchical clustering. In *IJCAI*.
- MARATEA, M., PULINA, L., AND RICCA, F. 2012. Applying machine learning techniques to ASP solving. In *ICLP*. 37–48.
- MARATEA, M., PULINA, L., AND RICCA, F. 2013a. Automated selection of grounding algorithm in answer set programming. In AI*IA. 73–84.
- MARATEA, M., PULINA, L., AND RICCA, F. 2013b. A multi-engine approach to answer-set programming. Theory and Practice of Logic Programming, 1–28.
- Maturana, J., Fialho, A., Saubion, F., Schoenauer, M., Lardeux, F., and Sebag, M. 2011. Adaptive operator selection and management in evolutionary algorithms. In *Autonomous Search*, Y. Hamadi, E. Monfroy, and F. Saubion, Eds. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 161–189.
- Mehta, D., O'Sullivan, B., Kotthoff, L., and Malitsky, Y. 2013. Lazy branching for constraint satisfaction. In *ICTAI*.
- MINTON, S. 1993a. An analytic learning system for specializing heuristics. In *IJCAI'93: Proceedings of the 13th International Joint Conference on Artifical Intelligence*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 922–928.
- MINTON, S. 1993b. Integrating heuristics for constraint satisfaction problems: A case study. In AAAI: Proceedings of the 11th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence. 120–126.
- MINTON, S. 1996. Automatically configuring constraint satisfaction programs: A case study. *Constraints* 1, 7–43.
- Muñoz, M. A., Kirley, M., and Halgamuge, S. K. 2012. A meta-learning prediction model of algorithm performance for continuous optimization problems. In *Parallel Problem Solving from Nature PPSN XII.* Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 7491. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 226–235.
- Musliu, N. and Schwengerer, M. 2013. Algorithm selection for the graph coloring problem. In LION 7.
- NAREYEK, A. 2001. Choosing search heuristics by Non-Stationary reinforcement learning. In *Metaheuristics:*Computer Decision-Making. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 523–544.
- Nikolić, M., Marić, F., and Janičić, P. 2009. Instance-Based selection of policies for SAT solvers. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing*. SAT '09. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 326–340.
- Nudelman, E., Leyton-Brown, K., Hoos, H. H., Devkar, A., and Shoham, Y. 2004. Understanding random SAT: beyond the Clauses-to-Variables ratio. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming CP 2004*, M. Wallace, Ed. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 3258. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 438–452.
- O'Mahony, E., Hebrard, E., Holland, A., Nugent, C., and O'Sullivan, B. 2008. Using case-based reasoning in an algorithm portfolio for constraint solving. In *Proceedings of the 19th Irish Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science*.
- Paparrizou, A. and Stergiou, K. 2012. Evaluating simple fully automated heuristics for adaptive constraint propagation. In ICTAI.

- Peng, F., Tang, K., Chen, G., and Yao, X. 2010. Population-based algorithm portfolios for numerical optimization. *Evolutionary Computation, IEEE Transactions on 14*, 5, 782–800.
- Petrik, M. 2005. Statistically optimal combination of algorithms. In Local Proceedings of SOFSEM 2005.
- Petrovic, S. and Qu, R. 2002. Case-Based reasoning as a heuristic selector in Hyper-Heuristic for course timetabling problems. In KES. 336–340.
- PFAHRINGER, B., BENSUSAN, H., AND GIRAUD-CARRIER, C. G. 2000. Meta-Learning by landmarking various learning algorithms. In 17th International Conference on Machine Learning. ICML '00. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 743–750.
- PIHERA, J. AND NYSRET, M. 2014. Application of machine learning to algorithm selection for TSP. In *ICTAI*.
- Pulina, L. and Tacchella, A. 2007. A multi-engine solver for quantified boolean formulas. In *Proceedings* of the 13th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming. CP'07. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 574–589.
- PULINA, L. AND TACCHELLA, A. 2009. A self-adaptive multi-engine solver for quantified boolean formulas. Constraints 14, 1, 80–116.
- RAYNER, C., STURTEVANT, N., AND BOWLING, M. 2013. Subset selection of search heuristics. In *Proceedings* of the Twenty-Third International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI). 637–643.
- ROBERTS, M. AND HOWE, A. E. 2006. Directing a portfolio with learning. In AAAI 2006 Workshop on Learning for Search.
- ROBERTS, M. AND HOWE, A. E. 2007. Learned models of performance for many planners. In *ICAPS 2007 Workshop AI Planning and Learning*.
- Roberts, M., Howe, A. E., Wilson, B., and desjardins, M. 2008. What makes planners predictable? In *ICAPS*. 288–295.
- Ruan, Y., Horvitz, E., and Kautz, H. A. 2002. Restart policies with dependence among runs: A dynamic programming approach. In *CP*, P. V. Hentenryck, Ed. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 2470. Springer, 573–586.
- Sabharwal, A., Samulowitz, H., Sellmann, M., and Malitsky, Y. 2013. Boosting sequential solver portfolios: Knowledge sharing and accuracy prediction. In *LION 7*.
- SAKKOUT, H. E., WALLACE, M. G., AND RICHARDS, E. B. 1996. An instance of adaptive constraint propagation. In *Proc. of CP96*. Springer Verlag, 164–178.
- Samulowitz, H. and Memisevic, R. 2007. Learning to solve QBF. In *Proceedings of the 22nd National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, 255–260.
- SAYAG, T., FINE, S., AND MANSOUR, Y. 2006. Combining multiple heuristics. In STACS. Vol. 3884. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 242–253.
- Seijen, H. v., Whiteson, S., and Kester, L. 2013. Efficient abstraction selection in reinforcement learning. Computational Intelligence.
- Seipp, J., Braun, M., Garimort, J., and Helmert, M. 2012. Learning portfolios of automatically tuned planners. In *ICAPS*. AAAI.
- Shukla, N., Tiwari, M., and Ceglarek, D. 2012. Genetic-algorithms-based algorithm portfolio for inventory routing problem with stochastic demand. *International Journal of Production Research*, 1–20.
- SILLITO, J. 2000. Improvements to and estimating the cost of solving constraint satisfaction problems. M.S. thesis, University of Alberta.
- SILVERTHORN, B. AND MIIKKULAINEN, R. 2010. Latent class models for algorithm portfolio methods. In Proceedings of the 24th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.
- SMITH, M. R., MITCHELL, L., GIRAUD-CARRIER, C. G., AND MARTINEZ, T. R. 2014. Recommending learning algorithms and their associated hyperparameters. In *MetaSel*.
- SMITH, T. E. AND SETLIFF, D. E. 1992. Knowledge-based constraint-driven software synthesis. In *Knowledge-Based Software Engineering Conference*. 18–27.
- SMITH-MILES, K. AND HEMERT, J. 2011. Discovering the suitability of optimisation algorithms by learning from evolved instances. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence 61*, 2, 87–104.
- Smith-Miles, K. A. 2008. Towards insightful algorithm selection for optimisation using Meta-Learning concepts. In *IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*. 4118–4124.
- SMITH-MILES, K. A., JAMES, R. J., GIFFIN, J. W., AND TU, Y. 2009. A knowledge discovery approach to understanding relationships between scheduling problem structure and heuristic performance. In *Learning and Intelligent Optimization*. Vol. 5851. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 89–103.
- SOARES, C., BRAZDIL, P. B., AND KUBA, P. 2004. A Meta-Learning method to select the kernel width in support vector regression. *Mach. Learn.* 54, 3, 195–209.

- St-Pierre, D. L. and Teytaud, O. 2014. The nash and the bandit approaches for adversarial portfolios. In CIG.
- STAMATATOS, E. AND STERGIOU, K. 2009. Learning how to propagate using random probing. In *Proceedings* of the 6th International Conference on Integration of AI and OR Techniques in Constraint Programming for Combinatorial Optimization Problems. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 263–278.
- Steer, K. C., Wirth, A., and Halgamuge, S. K. 2008. Information theoretic classification of problems for metaheuristics. In *Simulated Evolution and Learning*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Series, vol. 5361. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 319–328.
- Stergiou, K. 2008. Heuristics for dynamically adapting propagation. In ECAI. 485–489.
- Stergiou, K. 2009. Heuristics for dynamically adapting propagation in constraint satisfaction problems. AI Commun. 22, 3, 125-141.
- Stern, D. H., Samulowitz, H., Herbrich, R., Graepel, T., Pulina, L., and Tacchella, A. 2010. Collaborative expert portfolio management. In AAAI. 179–184.
- STREETER, M. J., GOLOVIN, D., AND SMITH, S. F. 2007. Combining multiple heuristics online. In *Proceedings* of the 22nd National Conference on Artificial Intelligence. AAAI Press, 1197–1203.
- STREETER, M. J. AND SMITH, S. F. 2008. New techniques for algorithm portfolio design. In UAI. 519-527.
- Sun, Q. and Pfahringer, B. 2013. Pairwise meta-rules for better meta-learning-based algorithm ranking. *Machine Learning 93*, 1, 141–161.
- Tang, K., Peng, F., Chen, G., and Yao, X. 2014. Population-based algorithm portfolios with automated constituent algorithms selection. *Information Sciences* 279, 0, 94–104.
- Terashima-Marín, H., Ross, P., and Valenzuela-Rendón, M. 1999. Evolution of constraint satisfaction strategies in examination timetabling. In *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference*. Morgan Kaufmann, 635–642.
- Tierney, K. and Malitsky, Y. 2015. An algorithm selection benchmark of the container pre-marshalling problem. In *Learning and Intelligent Optimization (LION) 2015*.
- TOLPIN, D. AND SHIMONY, S. E. 2011. Rational deployment of CSP heuristics. In IJCAI. 680-686.
- TSANG, E. P. K., BORRETT, J. E., AND KWAN, A. C. M. 1995. An attempt to map the performance of a range of algorithm and heuristic combinations. In *Proc. of AISB'95*. IOS Press, 203–216.
- Valenzano, R., Sturtevant, N., Schaeffer, J., and Buro, K. 2010. Simultaneously searching with multiple settings: An alternative to parameter tuning for suboptimal single-agent search algorithms. In *ICAPS*. 177–184.
- Valenzano, R. A., Nakhost, H., Müller, M., Schaeffer, J., and Sturtevant, N. R. 2012. ArvandHerd: parallel planning with a portfolio. *European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI)*, 786–791.
- VAN RIJN, J. N., HOLMES, G., PFAHRINGER, B., AND VANSCHOREN, J. 2014. Algorithm selection on data streams. In *Discovery Science*. 325–336.
- VEERAPEN, N., MATURANA, J., AND SAUBION, F. 2012. An Exploration-Exploitation Compromise-Based adaptive operator selection for local search. In 14th International Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation. GECCO '12. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1277–1284.
- VRAKAS, D., TSOUMAKAS, G., BASSILIADES, N., AND VLAHAVAS, I. 2003. Learning rules for adaptive planning. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling*. 82–91.
- Wang, G., Song, Q., Sun, H., Zhang, X., Xu, B., and Zhou, Y. 2013. A feature subset selection algorithm automatic recommendation method. J. Artif. Int. Res. 47, 1, 1–34.
- Wang, J. and Tropper, C. 2007. Optimizing time warp simulation with reinforcement learning techniques. In *Proceedings of the 39th conference on Winter simulation*. WSC '07. IEEE Press, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 577–584.
- Watson, J. 2003. Empirical modeling and analysis of local search algorithms for the job-shop scheduling problem. Ph.D. thesis, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA.
- WEERAWARANA, S., HOUSTIS, E. N., RICE, J. R., JOSHI, A., AND HOUSTIS, C. E. 1996. PYTHIA: a knowledge-based system to select scientific algorithms. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw. 22*, 4, 447–468.
- WEI, W., LI, C. M., AND ZHANG, H. 2008. Switching among Non-Weighting, clause weighting, and variable weighting in local search for SAT. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 313–326.
- WILSON, D., LEAKE, D., AND BRAMLEY, R. 2000. Case-Based recommender components for scientific Problem-Solving environments. In Proc. of the 16th International Association for Mathematics and Computers in Simulation World Congress.

- Wu, H. and van Beek, P. 2007. On portfolios for backtracking search in the presence of deadlines. In *Proceedings of the 19th IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence*. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 231–238.
- Xu, L., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2007a. Hierarchical hardness models for SAT. In CP. 696-711.
- Xu, L., Hutter, F., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2007b. SATzilla-07: the design and analysis of an algorithm portfolio for SAT. In *CP*. 712–727.
- Xu, L., Hutter, F., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2008. SATzilla: portfolio-based algorithm selection for SAT. J. Artif. Intell. Res. (JAIR) 32, 565–606.
- Xu, L., Hutter, F., Hoos, H. H., and Leyton-Brown, K. 2011. Hydra-MIP: automated algorithm configuration and selection for mixed integer programming. In RCRA Workshop on Experimental Evaluation of Algorithms for Solving Problems with Combinatorial Explosion at the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI).
- Xu, Y., Stern, D., and Samulowitz, H. 2009. Learning adaptation to solve constraint satisfaction problems. In *Learning and Intelligent Optimization*.
- Yu, H., Dang, F., and Rauchwerger, L. 2002. Parallel reductions: An application of adaptive algorithm selection. In Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Languages and Compilers for Parallel Computing. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 188–202.
- Yu, H. AND RAUCHWERGER, L. 2006. An adaptive algorithm selection framework for reduction parallelization. IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems 17, 10, 1084–1096.
- Yu, H., Zhang, D., and Rauchwerger, L. 2004. An adaptive algorithm selection framework. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Parallel Architectures and Compilation Techniques. IEEE Computer Society, Washington, DC, USA, 278–289.
- Yuen, S. Y., Chow, C. K., and Zhang, X. 2013. Which algorithm should i choose at any point of the search: An evolutionary portfolio approach. In *Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation*. 567–574.
- Yun, X. and Epstein, S. L. 2012. Learning algorithm portfolios for parallel execution. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference Learning and Intelligent Optimisation LION*. Springer, 323–338.