

## Problem Set B.

YanJun Zhang, PhD student

Vehicle engineering,

yanjunzh@kth.se.

1.

1(a) It only has Neumann boundary condition:  $\hat{n} \cdot \nabla u(x) = g$ . Only this condition cannot have a unique solution, because  $u(x)$  is a solution,  $u(x) + c$  is still a solution for any constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

1(b). I could not be able to solve this linear system. I have tried for the project 1, when I haven't add Dirichlet condition, the coefficient matrix is singular. In other words, the determinant of this matrix is zero, so it does not have a unique inverse. I got result is Inf or Nan.

2.

2(a). Derive variational formulation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v(0) = 0, v(1) = 0\} \\ & = V = H_0^1(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

multiply  $v$  to the  $-(k(x)u'(x))' + u'(x) = f(x)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f \cdot v &= \int_0^1 -(ku')'v + u' \cdot v \\ &= \int_0^1 -k u' v' + u' \cdot v \end{aligned}$$

The variational formulation is: find  $u \in V$ , such that

$$a(u, v) = L(v), \quad \forall v \in V$$

$$\text{where } a(u, v) = \int_0^1 k u' v' + u' \cdot v \, dx$$

$$L(v) = \int_0^1 f \cdot v \, dx$$

(b) show that for  $\|w\|_E^2 = a(w, w)$ ,  $\|w\|_E^2 = \int_0^1 k(x) (w'(x))^2 dx$ :  
 $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  defines the induced energy norm  $\|u\|^2 = a(u, u)$ .  
 $\|v\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla v dx$ .

$$\|w\|_E^2 = a(w, w) = \int_0^1 w' \cdot w' dx.$$

From (a), we know  $a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} k u' v' + u' v dx$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } a(w, w) &= \int_{\Omega} k w' \cdot w' + w' w dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} k \cdot (w')^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} w' \cdot w dx \quad \forall w \in V \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{since } \int_{\Omega} w' \cdot w dx &= \int_{\Omega} w \cdot w' dx = \int_{\Omega} w \cdot w' dx \\ &= 0 - \int_{\Omega} w' \cdot w dx. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } 2 \int_{\Omega} w' \cdot w dx = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow a(w, w) = \int_{\Omega} k \cdot w' \cdot w' dx$$

(c): A function must satisfy below properties to be a norm:

1: Non-negativity.

2: Scalar multiplication.

3: Triangle inequality.

$$1: \text{since } k(x) \geq k_0 \geq 0 \Rightarrow \int k(x) \cdot (w')^2 dx \geq 0.$$

fulfill the non-negativity.

$$2: \| \lambda \cdot w \|_E^2 = \int_0^1 \lambda^2 \cdot k(x) (w')^2 dx.$$

Scalar multiplication is fulfilled.

$$3: \|w + u\|_E^2 = 2a(w, u) + a(w, w) + a(u, u) = \int_0^1 k((w+u)')^2 dx$$

$$\text{Cauchy inequality} \rightarrow \leq (\|u\|_E + \|w\|_E)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|w + u\|_E \leq \|u\|_E + \|w\|_E.$$

Triangle inequality is fulfilled.

Thus,  $\|w\|_E^2 = a(w, w)$  represents a norm on  $V$ .



(c): Prove there exists a unique solution:

a unique solution means solution existence and uniqueness).

Here, Lax-Milgram theorem is recalled.

For a real Hilbert space  $V$  and a bilinear form  $B: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

① that is continuous, i.e.  $\beta > 0$ .  $B(v, w) \leq \beta \|v\|_V \|w\|_V$  for  $v, w \in V$

② that is coercive, i.e.  $\alpha > 0$ .  $B(v, v) \geq \alpha \|v\|_V^2$  for all  $v \in V$ .

Then, there exists exactly one  $u \in V$  such that

$$B(u, v) = F(v) \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

1. continuous: with  $L^2$  Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) &= \int_{\Omega} k u' v' + u' v \cdot dx && \nearrow \text{Cauchy-Schwarz} \\ &\leq \|k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} && \nearrow \text{Poincaré} \\ &\leq C_1 \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + C_2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} && \text{Friedrichs} \\ &\leq C_3 \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow a(u, v) \leq C_3 \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \text{ with } C_3 \text{ is}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(v) &= \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v \cdot dx \leq \|k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_4 \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\quad \nearrow \text{Cauchy} \quad \nearrow \text{Poincaré} \\ &\leq C_5 \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow L(v) \leq C_5 \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

2. Coercive: with Poincaré - Friedrichs inequality.

$$a(u, u) = \int_{\Omega} k \cdot u' u' + u' \cdot u \cdot dx \quad k(x) \geq k_0 \geq 0.$$

$$\geq k_0 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u)^2 + \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla u \cdot dx$$

We need to prove  $\exists c > 0$ .  $a(u, u) \geq \alpha \|u\|_V^2$ .

$$\geq k_0 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u)^2 + \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla u \cdot dx$$

$$\geq k_0 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u)^2 + 0 \quad \downarrow \text{proved in 2(b).}$$

$$\geq \frac{k_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{k_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u)^2 = \frac{k_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{k_0}{\text{diam}(\Omega)^2} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2$$

$$\geq \alpha \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \quad \alpha = k_0 \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{\text{diam}(\Omega)^2}\right). \quad P_3.$$



We summarize the properties:

1.  $\rightarrow$  linearity  $a(ku, v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla(ku) \cdot \nabla v \, dx$ .

2. continuity:  $a(u, v) \leq \beta \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$

3. coercivity:  $a(u, v) \geq 2 \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$

Hence,  $a(u, v)$  fulfills the assumptions of the Lax-Milgram theorem.

As for the linear functional:  $L(v) = \int_{\Omega} v$ ; continuity has been proved.

Above all, the  $a(u, v)$  is a continuous and coercive bilinear form.

$L(v)$  is a continuous, linear functional.

Hence, the Lax-Milgram theorem implies that there is a unique solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with

$$a(u, v) = L(v) \text{ for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

(d): Formulate the CG(1) method.

Replace infinite dim space  $V$  (eg.  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ ) by finite dim Subspace

$V_h \subset V$ . Find  $u_h \in V_h$ , such that.

$$a(u_h, v_h) = F(v_h) \text{ for all } v_h \in V_h$$

mesh size  $h = h(x)$ .

(e): Prove a priori error estimate:  $\|u - u_h\|_E \leq c \|h \cdot u''\|_{L^2(0,1)}$ .

Galerkin orthogonality tell us:

$$a(e_h, v_h) = 0, \quad e_h = u - u_h.$$

Hence,  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the best approximation of  $u$  in  $V_h$ .

$$\|u - u_h\|_E \leq \inf_{v_h \in V_h} \|u - v_h\|_E. \quad \|\cdot\|_E = \sqrt{a(\cdot, \cdot)} \text{ is the energy norm.}$$

Then, choosing  $v_h = \pi_h u$  the interpolant of  $u$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 &\leq \|u - \pi_h u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|u - \pi_h u\|_{L^2(h_i)}^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n c \|h \cdot u''\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|u - u_h\|_E \leq c \|h \cdot u''\|_{L^2(0,1)}.$$



(f): steps in an adaptive algorithm:

1. Construct mesh, initial  $T_h$ .
2. Solve finite element problem for  $u_h$ .
3. Compute local indicators  $R_k(u_h)^2$ .
4. Compute maximum  $m: \max_{k \in T_h} R_k(u_h)^2$ .
5. Mark element with  $\sqrt[r]{\text{error over } m}$ , where  $0 < r < 1$  is a fixed parameter.
6. Refine elements to get new mesh  $T_h$ .
7. Return to step 2 (end until  $N$  is too large.  $N$  is return times or the error is small enough).

Why adaptivity important: could reduce the error cheaply, if refine the whole mesh, it is expensive. Instead, only refine the mesh locally is fast.

3. show spatial discretization and identify the entries.

$$\begin{cases} u - \Delta u + u = f & , x \in \Omega. \\ u = 0 & , x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0 \\ u = u_0 & , x \in \Omega, t = 0 \end{cases}$$

Variational form:

multiply by  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ .

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, v\right) - (\Delta u, v) + (u, v) = (f, v).$$

$$\text{Green's formula: } \int_{\Omega} k \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (k \cdot \nabla u) \cdot v + \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{n} \cdot (k \cdot \nabla u) \cdot v.$$

$$\text{Then } \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, v\right) + (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v) + (u, v) = (f, v).$$

Find  $u(t) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, v\right) + (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v) + (u, v) = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad t \in (0, T].$$

and  $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$ .

Semi-discrete:



Replace  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  with finite dimensional  $V_{h,0}$ .

• Mesh  $T_h$ : admissible triangulation of  $\Omega$  of size  $h$ .

• Finite element space  $V_{h,0}$ , Ex:  $P_1$  (Lagrange) finite element space.

$$V_{h,0} = \{v \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H_0^1(\bar{\Omega}) \mid \forall k \in T_h: v|_k \text{ is a polynomial of deg } 1\}.$$

Semi-discrete FEM: Find  $u_h(t) \in V_{h,0}$ , s.t

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial t}, v\right) + (\nabla u_h, \nabla v) + (u_h, v) = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in V_{h,0}, t \in (0, T].$$

$$\text{and } u_h(\cdot, 0) = u_{0,h} \in V_{h,0}.$$

Matrix representation of the semi-discrete system:

$$u_h(x, t) = \sum_{j \in N_h} \xi_j(t) \phi_j(x)$$

$\phi_j$ : nodal basis functions of  $V_{h,0}$ .

$N_h$ : number of interior nodes  $|N_h|$ .

Replace  $u_h$  and test with  $\phi_i$ . since  $\text{span}\{\phi_i\} = V_{h,0}$ .

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_h} \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial t} (\phi_j, \phi_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} \xi_j (\nabla \phi_j, \nabla \phi_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} \xi_j (\phi_j, \phi_i) = (f, \phi_i) \quad i \in N_h$$

$$\xi_j(0) = u_{0,h}(\xi_j), \quad \xi_j \in N_h.$$

The identity matrix, mass ( $M$ ), stiffness ( $A$ ), Load vector  $F$ .

In matrix form:

$$M \frac{d\xi}{dt} + A \xi + M \xi(t) = b(t); \quad (2) \quad \xi(0) = u_{0,h}.$$

$$M_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} (\phi_j, \phi_i) \cdot dx \quad \text{Mass matrix}$$

$$A_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \phi_j, \nabla \phi_i) \cdot dx \quad \text{Stiffness matrix}$$

$$F_i = \int_{\Omega} (f(t), \phi_i) \cdot dx \quad \text{Load vector}$$

$$(u_{0,h})_i = u_{0,h}(\xi_j) \quad \text{Initial condition}$$



4. Consider the convection-diffusion-reaction equation, write down a finite element method:

$$u_t - \varepsilon \Delta u + \beta \cdot \nabla u + \alpha u = f, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T], \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Multiply by  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ .

$$\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, v \right) - (\varepsilon \Delta u, v) + (\beta \cdot \nabla u, v) + (\alpha u, v) = (f, v)$$

Green's formula:  $\int_{\Omega} k \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (k \cdot \nabla u) \cdot v + \int_{\partial \Omega} \hat{n} \cdot (k \cdot \nabla u) \cdot v$   
and assume  $u=0$  on  $\partial \Omega$  gives.

$$\left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, v \right) + (\varepsilon \nabla u, \nabla v) + (\beta \cdot \nabla u, v) + (\alpha u, v) = (f, v), \quad v \in H_0^1(\Omega), t \in (0, T],$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$$

Replace  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  with finite dimensional  $V_{h,0}$ .

$$V_{h,0} = \{v \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H_0^1(\bar{\Omega}), \forall k \in T_h: v|_k \text{ is a polynomial of deg } 1\}$$

$T_h$ : admissible triangulation of  $\Omega$  of size  $h$ .

Use matrix representation:

$$u_h(x, t) = \sum_{j \in N_h} s_j(t) \phi_j(x)$$

$\phi_j$ , nodal basis functions of  $V_{h,0}$

$N_h$ , number of interior nodes.

Test with  $\phi_i$

$$\text{Assume: } \begin{cases} u = g_- & \text{on } (T)_- \\ u = g_+ & \text{on } (T)_+ \end{cases} \quad T \text{ is boundary.}$$

$\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  representing convection velocity.

$$T_- = \{ (x, t) \in T : \beta(x, t) \cdot n(x) < 0 \}$$

$$T_+ = \{ (x, t) \in T : \beta(x, t) \cdot n(x) \geq 0 \}$$

$n(x)$  is the outward normal to  $T$  at point  $x$ .

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_h} \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial t} (\phi_j, \phi_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} \varepsilon s_j (\nabla \phi_j, \nabla \phi_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} \beta s_j (\nabla \phi_j, \phi_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} \alpha s_j (\phi_j, \phi_i)$$

$$= f(\phi_i)$$

$$s_j(0) = u_{0,h}(z_j), \quad z_j \in N_{h,0}$$

$$i \in N_h$$

P7.



$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{i,j} &= \int_{\Omega} (\phi_j, \phi_i) dx \\
 A_{i,j} &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \phi_j, \nabla \phi_i) dx \\
 B_{i,j} &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \phi_j, \phi_i) dx \\
 F_i &= \int_{\Omega} (f(t), \phi_i) dx \\
 (u_0, h)_j &= u_0, h(z_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

In matrix form:

$$\begin{cases} M \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} + \epsilon \cdot A_{ij} \xi + \beta \cdot B_{ij} \xi + d \cdot M \xi = F \\ \xi(0) = u_0, h \end{cases}$$

Implicit Euler time stepping: let  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$ , with  $k_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$ .

$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}$  is approximated by a backward quotient.

$$\begin{cases} M \left( \frac{\xi^{n+1} - \xi^n}{k_n} \right) + \epsilon \cdot A_{ij} \xi^{n+1} + \beta \cdot B_{ij} \xi^{n+1} + d \cdot M \xi^{n+1} = F(t_{n+1}) \quad n \geq 0 \\ \xi^0 = u_0, h \end{cases}$$

Rearranging:

$$\begin{cases} (M + k_n \epsilon A + k_n \beta B + k_n d M) \cdot \xi^{n+1} = M \cdot \xi^n + k_n \cdot F(t_{n+1}) \quad n \geq 0 \\ \xi^0 = u_0, h \end{cases}$$

(b): assume  $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $d(x) = f(x) = 0$ ,  $\beta = [0, 0]^T$ , prove that

$$\|u(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|^2 dt = \|u_0\|^2 \quad \forall t > 0.$$

take above information in convection-diffusion-reaction equation.

$$u_t - \epsilon \Delta u + \beta \cdot \nabla u + d u = f.$$

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = 0 \\ u = u_0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow u_t = \Delta u$$

$$\forall \phi \in H^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} u' \phi + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{u^2}{2} \right) + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u)^2 = 0$$

$$\text{integrate in } T: \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{u^2}{2} \right) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u)^2 dx dt = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|u(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|^2 dt = \|u_0\|^2 \quad \forall t > 0.$$

$u(t)$  decrease as time increases, since  $\|\nabla u\|^2 > 0$ , while  $\|u(t)\|^2$  need to small to fulfill the above equation,

P8.