## Classless Java

No Author Given

No Institute Given

Abstract.

#### 1 Introduction

- Using annotations to implement a rich notion of traits with a mechanism to instantiate objects (the of method). Goal 1: is to reduce the amount of code that is required to program with interfaces and default methods. Goal 2: To provide a convenient means to do multiple inheritance in Java.
- Specify the system more formally.
- Show that we can model all trait operations
- Implementation using Lombok
- Case studies: The expression problem, Trivially Case Studies from traits paper.

#### 2 Overview

Yanlin

- \* Explain what the Mixin annotations do using examples.
- \* Motivate the use of multiple inheritance in Java.
- \* Maybe use Marco's example (Point example).

We provide a Java annotation **@Mixin**to provide default implementations for various methods and a mechanism to instantiate objects. **@Mixin**annotation helps programmers to write less cumbersome code and instantiate interfaces in Java.

For example, interface Point annotated with @Mixin:

```
@Mixin
interface Point {
    int X();
    int Y();
    void X(int x);
    void Y(int y);
    Point withX(int x);
    Point withY(int y);
    Point clone();
    default int distance() {
        return (int) Math.sqrt(X() * X() + Y() * Y());
    }
}
```

Point has two (conceptually) member fields X and Y. Methods int X() and int Y() serve as *getter* methods. Methods void X(int X) and void Y(int Y) serve as *setter* methods. Method Point withX(int X) updates field X and returns **this**.

A typical and trivial implementation that programmers usually do is:

```
class PointImpl implements Point {
  private int _X;
  private int _Y;
  public PointImpl(int X, int Y) {
      this._X = X;
      this._Y = Y;
  public int X() {
      return _X;
  public int Y() {
      return _Y;
  public Point withX(int X) {
     X(X);
      return this;
  public void X(int X) {
     _X = X;
  public void Y(int Y) {
     _{-}Y = Y;
  public Point withY(int Y) {
     Y(Y);
      return this;
  public Point clone() {
      return new PointImpl(_X, _Y);
}
```

PointImpl implements Point and provides a constructor with quite mechanical code. What's worse, the implementation in PointImpl may not be reused in a single inheritance language. However, with our approach, the @Mixinannotation will generate a static method of inside Point. of makes use of Java anonymous classes and achieves the same implementation as PointImpl.

```
// inside interface Point
static Point of(int X, int Y) {
   return new Point() {
     int _X = X;
     public int X() {
        return _X;
     }
     int _Y = Y;
     public int Y() {
        return _Y;
    }
```

```
public Point withX(int X) {
    return of(X, Y());
}
public void X(int X) {
    _X = X;
}
public void Y(int Y) {
    _Y = Y;
}
public Point withY(int Y) {
    return of(X(), Y);
}
public Point clone() {
    return of(X(), Y());
}
};
}
```

Inside the anonymous class in the annotated interface, the following code are generated:

- For methods inside the interface with the form Tx x():
  - x is the getter method, with return type Tx. Conceptually, it is a member field with name x and type Tx.
  - generate member field \_x of type Tx, initialized with x.
  - generate implemented getter method:

```
public Tx x() { return _x; }
```

- For methods inside the interface with the form void x(Tx x):
  - check if exist method Tx x(). If not, generate error.
  - generate implemented setter method:

```
pubic void x(Tx x) \{ this.x = x; \}
```

- For methods with the form T withX(Tx \_):
  - $\bullet$  if there is no x field, or type Tx does not match, then generate error.
  - implement 'withX' using the 'of' method.
- For methods with the form of T clone(): Use of method as the constructor, to create a new object with the same field values as the current one.
- For methods with the form of  $T \times (Tx_-)$ :
  - $\bullet$  check if exist method T x(), if not, generate error.
  - inside the inner class, generate

```
pubic T x(Tx x) { this.x = x; return this;}
```

without polluting name space, multiple inheritance

## 3 Comparing to traits and mixins

#### Haoyuan

- vs both: we do automatic return type refinement, which has useful applications (example: Expression Problem)
- vs traits: we support of methods to create new objects (a replacement to constructors); Moreover we have the with and clone methods (we miss more applications for those). Show how to model the operations on traits; discuss operations that we cannot model (example: renaming).
- vs mixins: we use the trait model of explicitly resolving conflicts. This is arguably better for reasoning.

#### 4 Formal Semantics

Yanlin and Haoyuan

We need to show 2 things:

- 1) The dynamic semantics: what's the code that gets generated by a mixin annotation:
- 2) The type system: what programs to reject; properties: generation of type-safe/checkable code.

BRUNO: The implementation is still missing the type system (rejecting some programs)!

## 5 Implementation

### Haoyuan

discuss implementation in lombok; and limitations.

BRUNO: The implementation does not support separate compilation yet. Can we fix this?

### 6 Case studies

Haoyuan and Yanlin

#### 6.1 A Trivial Solution to the Expression Problem

### 6.2 Other case studies

BRUNO: The case studies still need to be implemented!

Collections example from traits paper? (YANLIN: couldn't found source code) Other case studies using multiple inheritance?

# Related Work

- traits (original, variations, scala) mixins (original, scala) multiple inheritance expression problem  $\dots$

# Conclusion