We now focus on the following time-dependent PDE:

$$\mathcal{L}u(x,t) := \partial_t u(x,t) - \nabla \cdot (a(x)\nabla u(x,t)) = f(x,t), \quad x \in \Omega, \ t \in [0,T]$$
(1)

$$u(x,t) = 0,$$
 $x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \in [0,T]$ (2)

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{3}$$

We will now set up a prior on the solution u to the above problem. To do so we first let $v_h \in S_h$ be some approximation of the initial condition $u_0(x)$ in the FEM space S_h . To be more specific we will assume that $v_h(x) = \Phi(x)^* \gamma := \sum_{i=1}^J \phi_i(x) \gamma_i$. Note that $\Phi(x) := (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_J(x))^T$. We take the prior on u to be:

$$u \sim \mathcal{N}(m_0, V_0) \tag{4}$$

where $m_0(x,t) := v_h(x) = \Phi^*(x)\gamma$ (m_0 is constant in time). The prior covariance operator V_0 is defined as follows:

$$(V_0 g)(x,t) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^J \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y) k(t,s) g(y,s) ds dy =: \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T k_{ys}^{xt} g(y,s) ds dy$$
 (5)

where we have a general kernel k(t,s) for time which will be taken to be a specific function later. We have also used the notation $k_{ys}^{xt} := \sum_{i=1}^{J} \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y) k(t,s)$ to make it clear which variables are held fixed and which we integrate against.

We now introduce the following operators $\mathcal{I}_s := (I_1(s), \dots, I_J(s))^T$ where:

$$I_i(s)g := \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(x)g(x,s)dx \tag{6}$$

We now introduce a uniform time grid:

$$t_n = n\delta, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

where δ is the spacing between consecutive times and $N = \frac{T}{\delta}$ (assume that N is an integer).

To move from $t = t_0 = 0$ to $t = t_1 = \delta$ we condition on observing $\mathcal{I}_{\delta} \mathcal{L} u = \mathcal{I}_{\delta} f =: F^1$. Let $\tilde{A}_{\delta} := \mathcal{I}_{\delta} \mathcal{L}$. For a fixed realisation of f (and so of F^1) we thus seek the following conditional distribution:

$$u|\{\tilde{A}_{\delta}u = F^1, f\} \sim \mathcal{N}(m_1, V_1) \tag{7}$$

That this distribution is itself Gaussian follows from considering the following joint distribution:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \tilde{A}_{\delta}u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ \tilde{A}_{\delta} \end{pmatrix} u \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{pmatrix} m_o \\ \tilde{A}_{\delta}m_0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} V_0 & V_0\tilde{A}_{\delta}^* \\ \tilde{A}_{\delta}V_0 & \tilde{A}_{\delta}V_0\tilde{A}_{\delta}^* \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

It follows that the conditional distribution is Gaussian and the mean and covariance are given by:

$$m_1 = m_0 + V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} (F^1 - \tilde{A}_{\delta} m_0)$$
(8)

$$V_1 = V_0 - V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tag{9}$$

We now rewrite the mean update equation as follows:

$$m_1 = \left(1 - V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta}\right) m_0 + V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} F^1$$
(10)

Written in this form this update equation can now be more easily compared to the backward-Euler Galerkin method update rule. This method involves the following approximations: $U^n \approx u(t_n)$ and $U^n(x) = \Phi(x)^* \alpha^n$. The update rule for the vector of coefficients α^n is given by:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n} = (M + \delta A)^{-1} M \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n-1} + \delta (M + \delta A)^{-1} \mathbf{b}^{n}$$
(11)

where $\mathbf{b}^n = \mathcal{I}_{t_n} f = F^n$. In order to compare this to our mean update rule we now project this into S_h by premultiplying by Φ^* :

$$\Phi^* \alpha^n = \Phi^* (M + \delta A)^{-1} M \alpha^{n-1} + \delta \Phi^* (M + \delta A)^{-1} \mathbf{b}^n$$
(12)

In our mean update rule m_0 plays the role of $\Phi^*\alpha^0$ and m_1 plays the role of $\Phi^*\alpha^1$. In fact, we have $m_0 = \Phi^*\gamma$ and so we can consider $\alpha^0 = \gamma$. This is exactly the initial condition for the coefficient vector in the backward-Euler Galerkin method. Comparing (12) with (10) we thus see that we would like to be able to show:

$$\Phi^*(M+\delta A)^{-1}M = \left(1 - V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta}\right) \Phi^*$$
(13)

$$\delta\Phi^*(M+\delta A)^{-1} = V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1}$$
(14)

To make progress we must now start computing various terms needed for our mean and covariance update rules. We start with $V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*$. We have:

$$V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* = V_0 \mathcal{L}^* (I_1(\delta)^*, \dots, I_J(\delta)^*)$$

We can thus see that we need to be able to compute terms of form $V_0\mathcal{L}^*I_i^*(\delta) = V_0(I_i(\delta)\mathcal{L})^*$. Now since the operator $I_i(\delta)\mathcal{L}$ takes in a function on $\Omega \times [0,T]$ and outputs a real number its adjoint should take in a real number and output a function on $\Omega \times [0,T]$. This adjoint should satisfy the following relation:

$$\alpha(I_i(\delta)\mathcal{L}g) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T ((I_i(\delta)\mathcal{L})^*\alpha)(x,t)g(x,t)dtdx \ \forall g, \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (15)

Using this we can now compute:

$$(V_0(I_i(\delta)\mathcal{L})^*\alpha)(x,s) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T k_{yw}^{xs}((I_i(\delta)\mathcal{L})^*\alpha)(y,w) dwdy$$
$$= \alpha(I_i(\delta)\mathcal{L}k^{xs})$$
$$= \alpha \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(y)(\mathcal{L}k^{xs})(y,\delta) dy$$

We now work out $(\mathcal{L}k^{xs})(y,\delta)$ taking care to remember that x,s are fixed and so \mathcal{L} acts on the variables y,δ :

$$(\mathcal{L}k^{xs})(y,\delta) = \partial_2 k(s,\delta) \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y) - k(s,\delta) \nabla_y \cdot \left(a(y) \nabla_y \sum_{j=1}^J (\lambda_j \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y) \right)$$
$$= \partial_2 k(s,\delta) \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y) - k(s,\delta) \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \phi_j(x) \nabla_y \cdot (a(y) \nabla_y \phi_j(y))$$

So we can now compute:

$$(V_0(I_i(\delta)\mathcal{L})^*\alpha)(x,s) = \alpha \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(y)\partial_2 k(s,\delta) \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \phi_j(x)\phi_j(y) dy - \alpha \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(y)k(s,\delta) \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \phi_j(x)\nabla_y \cdot (a(y)\nabla_y \phi_j(y)) dy$$
$$= \alpha \partial_2 k(s,\delta) \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \phi_j(x)M_{ji} + \alpha k(s,\delta) \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j \phi_j(x)A_{ji}$$

where M is the Galerkin mass matrix and A is the Galerkin stiffness matrix, i.e. the matrices with entries given by:

$$M_{ij} := \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(x)\phi_j(x) dx \tag{16}$$

$$A_{ij} := \int_{\Omega} a(x) \nabla \phi_i(x) \nabla \phi_j(x) dx \tag{17}$$

Using this result we can deduce that:

$$(V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* \mathbf{v})(x, s) = \partial_2 k(s, \delta) \Phi(x)^* \Lambda M \mathbf{v} + k(s, \delta) \Phi(x)^* \Lambda A \mathbf{v}$$
(18)

for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^J$, where $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^J$.

We now move onto computing:

$$\tilde{A}_{\delta}V_{0}\tilde{A}_{\delta}^{*} = \mathcal{I}_{\delta}\mathcal{L}V_{0}\mathcal{L}^{*}\mathcal{I}_{\delta}^{*}
= \begin{pmatrix} I_{1}(\delta) \\ \vdots \\ I_{J}(\delta) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}V_{0}\mathcal{L}^{*} (I_{1}(\delta)^{*} \dots I_{J}(\delta)^{*})$$

This operator has ij-th entry which is given by:

$$\begin{split} &(\tilde{A}_{\delta}V_{0}\tilde{A}_{\delta}^{*})_{ij}\alpha = I_{i}(\delta)\mathcal{L}V_{0}\mathcal{L}^{*}I_{j}(\delta)^{*}\alpha \\ &= \int_{\Omega}\phi_{i}(x)\left[(\mathcal{L}V_{0}(I_{j}(\delta)\mathcal{L})^{*}\alpha)(x,\delta)\right]\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{\Omega}\phi_{i}(x)\left[\alpha\partial_{1}\partial_{2}k(\delta,\delta)\sum_{l=1}^{J}\lambda_{l}\phi_{l}(x)M_{lj} + \alpha\partial_{1}k(\delta,\delta)\sum_{l=1}^{J}\lambda_{l}\phi_{l}(x)A_{lj} \\ &- \alpha\partial_{2}k(\delta,\delta)\sum_{l=1}^{J}\lambda_{l}M_{lj}\nabla\cdot(a(x)\nabla\phi_{l}(x)) - \alpha k(\delta,\delta)\sum_{l=1}^{J}\lambda_{l}A_{lj}\nabla\cdot(a(x)\nabla\phi_{l}(x))\right]\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \alpha\partial_{1}\partial_{2}k(\delta,\delta)\sum_{l=1}^{J}\lambda_{l}M_{il}M_{lj} + \alpha\partial_{1}k(\delta,\delta)\sum_{l=1}^{J}\lambda_{l}M_{il}A_{lj} \\ &+ \alpha\partial_{2}k(\delta,\delta)\sum_{l=1}^{J}\lambda_{l}M_{lj}A_{il} + \alpha k(\delta,\delta)\sum_{l=1}^{J}\lambda_{l}A_{il}A_{lj} \\ &= \alpha\partial_{1}\partial_{2}k(\delta,\delta)(M\Lambda M)_{ij} + \alpha\partial_{1}k(\delta,\delta)(M\Lambda A)_{ij} + \alpha\partial_{2}k(\delta,\delta)(A\Lambda M)_{ij} + \alpha k(\delta,\delta)(A\Lambda A)_{ij} \end{split}$$

We can thus conclude that $\tilde{A}_{\delta}V_0\tilde{A}_{\delta}^*$ is the $J\times J$ matrix given by:

$$\tilde{A}_{\delta}V_{0}\tilde{A}_{\delta}^{*} = \partial_{1}\partial_{2}k(\delta,\delta)M\Lambda M + \partial_{1}k(\delta,\delta)M\Lambda A + \partial_{2}k(\delta,\delta)A\Lambda M + k(\delta,\delta)A\Lambda A \tag{19}$$

We now will choose a specific kernel k(s,t) for the temporal part of our prior covariance. We will take k(s,t) := st. We thus have $\partial_1 k(s,t) = t$, $\partial_2 k(s,t) = s$, and $\partial_1 \partial_2 k(s,t) = 1$. So we have:

$$\tilde{A}_{\delta}V_{0}\tilde{A}_{\delta}^{*} = M\Lambda M + \delta M\Lambda A + \delta A\Lambda M + \delta^{2}A\Lambda A$$

$$= M\Lambda (M + \delta A) + \delta A\Lambda (M + \delta A)$$

$$= (M\Lambda + \delta A\Lambda)(M + \delta A)$$

$$= (M + \delta A)\Lambda (M + \delta A) = Q\Lambda Q$$

where we have defined $Q := M + \delta A$. We can now finish computing m_1 . Evaluate it at $(x, t_1) = (x, \delta)$:

$$m_1(x,\delta) = ((1 - V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta}) m_0)(x,\delta) + (V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} F^1)(x,\delta)$$

We can now apply (18) to compute the last term:

$$\begin{split} (V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} F^1)(x,\delta) &= (V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} F^1)(x,\delta) \\ &= \partial_2 k(\delta,\delta) \Phi(x)^* \Lambda M (Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} F^1 + k(\delta,\delta) \Phi(x)^* \Lambda A (Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} F^1 \\ &= \delta \Phi(x)^* \Lambda M (Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} F^1 + \delta^2 \Phi(x)^* \Lambda A (Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} F^1 \\ &= \delta \Phi(x)^* \Lambda (M + \delta A) (Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} F^1 \\ &= \delta \Phi(x)^* \Lambda Q Q^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} Q^{-1} F^1 \\ &= \delta \Phi(x)^* Q^{-1} \Gamma^1 \end{split}$$

In order to apply (18) to compute the second term involving m_0 in the update rule we must first compute $\tilde{A}_{\delta}m_0 = \mathcal{I}_{\delta}\mathcal{L}m_0$. To do this we compute:

$$(\mathcal{L}m_0)(x,t) = \partial_t m_0 - \nabla \cdot (a(x)\nabla m_0(x,t))$$
$$= -\nabla (a(x)\nabla \Phi(x)^* \gamma)$$
$$= -\sum_{j=1}^J \gamma_j \nabla \cdot (a(x)\nabla \phi_j(x))$$

Thus, the *i-th* entry of $\tilde{A}_{\delta}m_0$ can be computed as:

$$(\tilde{A}_{\delta}m_0)_i = I_i(\delta)\mathcal{L}m_0$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(x) \left(-\sum_{j=1}^J \gamma_j \nabla \cdot (a(x)\nabla \phi_j(x)) \right) dx$$

$$= -\sum_{j=1}^J \gamma_j A_{ij} = (A\gamma)_i$$

So $\tilde{A}_{\delta}m_0 = A\gamma$. We can now apply (18) to compute the second term involving m_0 as follows:

$$\begin{split} ((V_0\tilde{A}_{\delta}^*(\tilde{A}_{\delta}V_0\tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1}\tilde{A}_{\delta})m_0)(x,\delta) &= (V_0\tilde{A}_{\delta}^*(Q\Lambda Q)^{-1}A\boldsymbol{\gamma})(x,\delta) \\ &= \partial_2 k(\delta,\delta)\Phi(x)^*\Lambda M(Q\Lambda Q)^{-1}A\boldsymbol{\gamma} + k(\delta,\delta)\Phi(x)^*\Lambda A(Q\Lambda Q)^{-1}A\boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ &= \delta\Phi(x)^*\Lambda M(Q\Lambda Q)^{-1}A\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \delta^2\Phi(x)^*\Lambda A(Q\Lambda Q)^{-1}A\boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ &= \delta\Phi(x)^*\Lambda (M+\delta A)(Q\Lambda Q)^{-1}A\boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ &= \delta\Phi(x)^*\Lambda QQ^{-1}\Lambda^{-1}Q^{-1}A\boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ &= \delta\Phi(x)^*Q^{-1}A\boldsymbol{\gamma} \end{split}$$

Thus we can compute:

$$(1 - (V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta}) m_0)(x, \delta) = \Phi(x)^* \gamma - \delta \Phi(x)^* Q^{-1} A \gamma$$

$$= \Phi(x)^* (I - \delta Q^{-1} A) \gamma$$

$$= \Phi(x)^* Q^{-1} (Q - \delta A) \gamma$$

$$= \Phi(x)^* Q^{-1} (M + \delta A - \delta A) \gamma$$

$$= \Phi(x)^* Q^{-1} M \gamma$$

Putting this all together we obtain:

$$m_1(x, t_1) = m_1(x, \delta) = \Phi(x)^* Q^{-1} M \gamma + \delta \Phi(x)^* Q^{-1} F^1$$

= $\Phi(x)^* (Q^{-1} M \gamma + \delta Q^{-1} F^1)$
= $\Phi(x)^* ((M + \delta A)^{-1} M \gamma + \delta (M + \delta A)^{-1} F^1)$

Thus we see that performing this mean update and then evaluating at the time $t_1 = \delta$ we obtain that the coefficients of the $\{\phi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^J$ changes as follows:

$$\gamma \longmapsto (M + \delta A)^{-1} M \gamma + \delta (M + \delta A)^{-1} F^1$$
 (20)

just like in the update equation for the backward-Euler Galerkin method.

Remark. Note that evaluating m_1 at (x,s) instead of at (x,δ) yields the following:

$$m_1(x,s) = \Phi(x)^* [(M+\delta A)^{-1} (M+(\delta - s)A)\gamma + s(M+\delta A)^{-1} F^1]$$
(21)

We can now move on to computing the covariance V_1 . We start by computing $\tilde{A}_{\delta}V_0$. Computing this involves determining how $I_j(\delta)\mathcal{L}V_0$ acts on functions g for $j=1,\ldots,J$. We have:

$$I_{j}(\delta)\mathcal{L}V_{0}g = \int_{\Omega} \phi_{j}(x)(\mathcal{L}V_{0}g)(x,\delta) dx$$

Now recalling that $V_0g(x,\delta) = \int_\Omega \int_0^T k_{ys}^{x\delta}g(y,s)\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}y$ we deduce:

$$(\mathcal{L}V_0g)(x,\delta) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T (\mathcal{L}k_{ys})(x,\delta)g(y,s)\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T \left(\partial_1 k(\delta,s) \sum_{i=1}^J \lambda_i \phi_i(x)\phi_i(y) - k(\delta,s) \sum_{i=1}^J \lambda_i \nabla_x \cdot (a(x)\nabla_x \phi_i(x))\phi_i(y)\right) g(y,s)\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}y$$

We can now perform the integration to obtain:

$$\begin{split} I_{j}(\delta)\mathcal{L}V_{0}g &= \int_{\Omega}\phi_{j}(x)\left(\int_{\Omega}\int_{0}^{T}\left(\partial_{1}k(\delta,s)\sum_{i=1}^{J}\lambda_{i}\phi_{i}(x)\phi_{i}(y) - k(\delta,s)\sum_{i=1}^{J}\lambda_{i}\nabla_{x}\cdot(a(x)\nabla_{x}\phi_{i}(x))\phi_{i}(y)\right)g(y,s)\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}y\right)\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{\Omega}\int_{0}^{T}\left(\partial_{1}k(\delta,s)\sum_{i=1}^{J}\lambda_{i}M_{ij}\phi_{i}(y)g(y,s) + k(\delta,s)\sum_{i=1}^{J}\lambda_{i}A_{ij}\phi_{i}(y)g(y,s)\right)\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{J}\lambda_{i}\int_{\Omega}\int_{0}^{T}(\partial_{1}k(\delta,s)M_{ij} + k(\delta,s)A_{ij})\phi_{i}(y)g(y,s)\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{J}\lambda_{i}\left[\int_{0}^{T}M_{ij}\partial_{1}k(\delta,s)(I_{i}(s)g)\mathrm{d}s + \int_{0}^{T}A_{ij}k(\delta,s)(I_{i}(s)g)\mathrm{d}s\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{J}\lambda_{i}\left[M_{ij}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\partial_{1}k(\delta,s)(\mathcal{I}_{s}g)\mathrm{d}s\right)_{i} + A_{ij}\left(\int_{0}^{T}k(\delta,s)(\mathcal{I}_{s}g)\mathrm{d}s\right)_{i}\right] \\ &= \left(M\Lambda\int_{0}^{T}\partial_{1}k(\delta,s)(\mathcal{I}_{s}g)\mathrm{d}s + A\Lambda\int_{0}^{T}k(\delta,s)(\mathcal{I}_{s}g)\mathrm{d}s\right)_{j} \end{split}$$

Thus we can deduce:

$$\tilde{A}_{\delta}V_{0}g = M\Lambda \int_{0}^{T} \partial_{1}k(\delta, s)(\mathcal{I}_{s}g)ds + A\Lambda \int_{0}^{T} k(\delta, s)(\mathcal{I}_{s}g)ds$$
(22)

Having worked this out we can now compute the second term in the formula for V_1 by utilising (18) as follows:

$$(V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_o \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 g)(x,t) = \partial_2 k(t,\delta) \Phi(x)^* \Lambda M(Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} \left[M \Lambda \int_0^T \partial_1 k(\delta,s) (\mathcal{I}_s g) ds + A \Lambda \int_0^T k(\delta,s) (\mathcal{I}_s g) ds \right]$$
$$+ k(t,\delta) \Phi(x)^* \Lambda A(Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} \left[M \Lambda \int_0^T \partial_1 k(\delta,s) (\mathcal{I}_s g) ds + A \Lambda \int_0^T k(\delta,s) (\mathcal{I}_s g) ds \right]$$

To proceed we now utilise the specific choice of k(s,t) to work out:

$$\int_{0}^{T} k(\delta, s)(\mathcal{I}_{s}g) ds = \delta \int_{0}^{T} s(\mathcal{I}_{s}g) ds = \delta \boldsymbol{\nu}_{g}$$
$$\int_{0}^{T} \partial_{1}k(\delta, s)(\mathcal{I}_{s}g) = \int_{0}^{T} s(\mathcal{I}_{s}g) ds = \boldsymbol{\nu}_{g}$$

where $\nu g := \int_0^T s(\mathcal{I}_s g) ds$. Thus we have:

$$M\Lambda \int_0^T \partial_1 k(\delta, s) (\mathcal{I}_s g) ds + A\Lambda \int_0^T k(\delta, s) (\mathcal{I}_s g) ds = M\Lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}_g + \delta A\Lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}_g$$
$$= (M + \delta A)\Lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}_g$$
$$= Q\Lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}_g$$

We can now finish the computation of the second term of V_1 :

$$\begin{split} (V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_o \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 g)(x,t) &= t \Phi(x)^* \Lambda M (Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} Q \Lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}_g + t \delta \Phi(x)^* \Lambda A (Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} Q \Lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}_g \\ &= t \Phi(x)^* \Lambda (M + \delta A) (Q \Lambda Q)^{-1} Q \Lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}_g \\ &= t \Phi(x)^* \Lambda Q Q^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} Q^{-1} Q \Lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}_g \\ &= t \Phi(x)^* \Lambda \boldsymbol{\nu}_g \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^J t \lambda_i \phi_i(x) (\boldsymbol{\nu}_g)_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^J \lambda_i t \phi_i(x) \int_0^T s(I_i(s)g) \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^J \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y) t s g(y,s) \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^J \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y) k(t,s) g(y,s) \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}y = (V_0 g)(x,t) \end{split}$$

Thus, we can conclude: $V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_o \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 = V_0$ and so:

$$V_1 = V_0 - V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 = 0$$
(23)

Thus, for a fixed realisation of f we have:

$$u|\{\tilde{A}_{\delta}u = F^1, f\} \sim \mathcal{N}(m_1, V_1) \tag{24}$$

where the function m_1 is given by:

$$m_1(x,s) = \Phi(x)^* [(M+\delta A)^{-1}(M+(\delta-s)A)\gamma + s(M+\delta A)^{-1}F^1]$$
(25)

and where:

$$V_1 = 0$$

Thus if we evaluate the posterior (24) at the time $t_1 = \delta$ we will obtain a point mass at the spatial function $m_1(x,\delta) = \Phi(x)^*[(M+\delta A)^{-1}M\gamma + \delta(M+\delta A)^{-1}F^1]$ just like the backward-Euler Galerkin method yields.

We now have the following distribution (for a fixed realisation of f):

$$u|\{\tilde{A}_{\delta}u = F^1, f\} \sim \mathcal{N}(m_1, 0) = \delta_{m_1}$$
 (26)

We will now marginalise (26) over f in order to obtain the averaged conditional distribution. To do this we will need the following Lemma (which we prove below):

Lemma 0.1. Let $f \sim \mathcal{N}(\bar{f}, K)$ where we assume that this Gaussian measure is on a Hilbert space of functions $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$. Suppose that for a fixed realisation of f we have

$$y|f \sim \mathcal{N}(Lf + c, 0) = \delta_{Lf}$$

where L is a bounded linear operator from \mathcal{H}_1 to another Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ (so y lies in \mathcal{H}_2) and where c is a deterministic function. Then marginalizing over f yields:

$$y \sim \mathcal{N}(L\bar{f} + c, LKL^*)$$

as the averaged distribution of y.

Proof: The fact that $y|f \sim \mathcal{N}(Lf + c, 0)$ is equivalent to saying that:

$$y = Lf + c + \tilde{y}$$

where $\tilde{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,0) (= \delta_0)$ is independent of f. Thus we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ \tilde{y} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \bar{f} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Since we can write:

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \tilde{y} \end{pmatrix} + c$$

we deduce:

$$y \sim \mathcal{N}\left(L\bar{f} + c, \begin{pmatrix} L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L^* \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \mathcal{N}(L\bar{f} + c, LKL^*)$$

as required.

We can now perform the marginalisation over f in (26). We first rewrite m_1 as follows:

$$m_1(x,s) = \Phi(x)^* [Q^{-1}(M + (\delta - s)A)\gamma + sQ^{-1}F^1]$$

= $\Phi(x)^* Q^{-1}(M + (\delta - s)A)\gamma + s\Phi(x)^* Q^{-1}F^1$
= $c(x,s) + s\Phi(x)^* Q^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\delta} f$

where $c(x,s) := \Phi(x)^* Q^{-1}(M + (\delta - s)A) \gamma$ Thus, we have:

$$m_1 = \Psi^* Q^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\delta} f + c = Lf + c$$

where $\Psi^*: \mathbb{R}^J \to L^2(\Omega \times [0,T])$ is the linear operator given by $(\Psi^* \boldsymbol{v})(x,s) := s\Phi(x)^* \boldsymbol{v}$ and $L := \Psi^* Q^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\delta}$. Now both \mathcal{I}_{δ} and Q^{-1} are bounded linear operators. L will thus be a bounded linear operator provided Ψ^* is bounded. We check this:

$$\|\Psi^* \mathbf{v}\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{T} |(\Psi^* \mathbf{v})(y, s)|^2 ds dy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{T} s^2 |\Phi(y)^* \mathbf{v}|^2 ds dy$$

$$\leq T^2 \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{J} \phi_i(y) v_i \right|^2 dy$$

$$\leq T^2 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{J} |\phi_i(y)|^2 \sum_{j=1}^{J} |v_j|^2 dy$$

$$= T^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{J} \|\phi_i\|^2$$

and so $\|\Psi^* v\| \le T \left(\sum_{i=1}^J \|\phi_i\|^2\right)^{1/2} \|v\|$. This implies: $\|\Psi^*\|_{\infty} \le T \left(\sum_{i=1}^J \|\phi_i\|^2\right) < \infty$ and so Ψ^* is a bounded linear operator. We can thus use the Lemma given above to conclude that the marginalised distribution is:

$$\int u|\{\tilde{A}_{\delta}u=F^1,f\}\mathrm{d}f \sim \mathcal{N}(L\bar{f}+c,LKL^*)=\mathcal{N}(\bar{m}_1,\bar{V}_1)$$

where we have denoted $\bar{m}_1 := L\bar{f} + c$ and $\bar{V}_1 := LKL^*$. The mean is thus the following function:

$$\bar{m}_1(x,s) = \Phi(x)^* [Q^{-1}(M + (\delta - s)A)\gamma + sQ^{-1}\bar{F}^1]$$

where $\bar{F}^1 := \mathcal{I}_{\delta}\bar{f}$. The covariance operator is given by:

$$\bar{V}_1 = \Psi^* Q^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\delta} K \mathcal{I}_{\delta}^* Q^{-1} \Psi$$
$$= \Psi^* H^{(1)} \Psi$$

where $H^{(1)} := Q^{-1}\mathcal{I}_{\delta}K\mathcal{I}_{\delta}^*Q^{-1}$ and Ψ is the adjoint of Ψ^* and which takes a function g and gives the following vector: $\Psi g = \int_0^T s(\mathcal{I}_s g) \mathrm{d}s$. Thus, \bar{V}_1 acts on functions via:

$$(\bar{V}_{1}g)(x,t) = \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{T} \left(ts \sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} H_{ij}^{(1)} \phi_{i}(x) \phi_{j}(y) \right) g(y,s) ds dy$$

My idea was to continue with this distribution $\mathcal{N}(\bar{m}_1, \bar{V}_1)$ as the new prior and to condition on $A_{2\delta}u = F^2$ where $F^2 := \mathcal{I}_{2\delta}f$. This doesn't produce the Backward Euler-Galerkin since the mean function \bar{m}_1 is no longer constant in time and also applying $\mathcal{I}_{2\delta}$ will make a matrix $(M+2\delta A)$ appear in the update equation instead of $Q = (M+\delta A)$.