

We now focus on the following time-dependent PDE:

$$\mathcal{L}u(x, t) := \partial_t u(x, t) - \nabla \cdot (a(x) \nabla u(x, t)) = f(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (1)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega \quad (3)$$

We will now set up a prior on the solution u to the above problem. To do so we first let $v_h \in S_h$ be some approximation of the initial condition $u_0(x)$ in the FEM space S_h . To be more specific we will assume that $v_h(x) = \Phi(x)^* \gamma := \sum_{i=1}^J \phi_i(x) \gamma_i$. Note that $\Phi(x) := (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_J(x))^T$. We take the prior on u to be:

$$u \sim \mathcal{N}(m_0, V_0) \quad (4)$$

where $m_0(x, t) := v_h(x) = \Phi^*(x) \gamma$ (m_0 is constant in time). The prior covariance operator V_0 is defined as follows:

$$(V_0 g)(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^J \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y) k(t, s) g(y, s) ds dy =: \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T k_{ys}^{xt} g(y, s) ds dy \quad (5)$$

where we have a general kernel $k(t, s)$ for time which will be taken to be a specific function later. We have also used the notation $k_{ys}^{xt} := \sum_{i=1}^J \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y) k(t, s)$ to make it clear which variables are held fixed and which we integrate against.

We now introduce the following operators $\mathcal{I}_s := (I_1(s), \dots, I_J(s))^T$ where:

$$I_i(s)g := \int_{\Omega} \phi_i(x) g(x, s) dx \quad (6)$$

We now introduce a uniform time grid:

$$t_n = n\delta, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

where δ is the spacing between consecutive times and $N = \frac{T}{\delta}$ (assume that N is an integer).

To move from $t = t_0 = 0$ to $t = t_1 = \delta$ we condition on observing $\mathcal{I}_{\delta} \mathcal{L}u = \mathcal{I}_{\delta} f =: F^1$. Let $\tilde{A}_{\delta} := \mathcal{I}_{\delta} \mathcal{L}$. For a fixed realisation of f (and so of F^1) we thus seek the following conditional distribution:

$$u | \{\tilde{A}_{\delta} u = F^1, f\} \sim \mathcal{N}(m_1, V_1) \quad (7)$$

That this distribution is itself Gaussian follows from considering the following joint distribution:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ \tilde{A}_{\delta} u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ \tilde{A}_{\delta} \end{pmatrix} u \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{pmatrix} m_0 \\ \tilde{A}_{\delta} m_0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} V_0 & V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* \\ \tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 & \tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

It follows that the conditional distribution is Gaussian and the mean and covariance are given by:

$$m_1 = m_0 + V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} (F^1 - \tilde{A}_{\delta} m_0) \quad (8)$$

$$V_1 = V_0 - V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \quad (9)$$

We now rewrite the mean update equation as follows:

$$m_1 = \left(1 - V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_{\delta} \right) m_0 + V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^* (\tilde{A}_{\delta} V_0 \tilde{A}_{\delta}^*)^{-1} F^1 \quad (10)$$

Written in this form this update equation can now be more easily compared to the backward-Euler Galerkin method update rule. This method involves the following approximations: $U^n \approx u(t_n)$ and $U^n(x) = \Phi(x)^* \alpha^n$. The update rule for the vector of coefficients α^n is given by:

$$\alpha^n = (M + \delta A)^{-1} M \alpha^{n-1} + \delta (M + \delta A)^{-1} \mathbf{b}^n \quad (11)$$

where $\mathbf{b}^n = \mathcal{I}_{t_n} f = F^n$. In order to compare this to our mean update rule we now project this into S_h by premultiplying by Φ^* :

$$\Phi^* \alpha^n = \Phi^* (M + \delta A)^{-1} M \alpha^{n-1} + \delta \Phi^* (M + \delta A)^{-1} \mathbf{b}^n \quad (12)$$

In our mean update rule m_0 plays the role of $\Phi^* \alpha^0$ and m_1 plays the role of $\Phi^* \alpha^1$. In fact, we have $m_0 = \Phi^* \gamma$ and so we can consider $\alpha^0 = \gamma$. This is exactly the initial condition for the coefficient vector in the backward-Euler Galerkin method. Comparing (12) with (10) we thus see that we would like to be able to show:

$$\Phi^*(M + \delta A)^{-1} M = \left(1 - V_0 \tilde{A}_\delta^* (\tilde{A}_\delta V_0 \tilde{A}_\delta^*)^{-1} \tilde{A}_\delta\right) \Phi^* \quad (13)$$

$$\delta \Phi^*(M + \delta A)^{-1} = V_0 \tilde{A}_\delta^* (\tilde{A}_\delta V_0 \tilde{A}_\delta^*)^{-1} \quad (14)$$