Supplemental Table 1. Indicators of all developed models for buffalo raw milk.

	Pre-		Indicators					
Algorithm	processed of MIR <sup>1</sup>	Dataset	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	$AUC^2$	F1 score	
Partial least	Original	Calibration	0.97	0.99	0.83	0.99	0.98	
		Validation	0.84	0.84	0.83	0.90	0.90	
	Diff	Calibration	0.97	0.99	0.88	1.00	0.98	
		Validation	0.86	0.87	0.83	0.89	0.92	
squares discriminant	SNV	Calibration	0.95	0.99	0.71	0.98	0.97	
analysis		Validation	0.89	0.89	0.83	0.90	0.93	
(PCs: 20) <sup>3</sup>	MSC	Calibration	0.95	0.99	0.71	0.98	0.97	
(1 Cs. 20)	MISC	Validation	0.89	0.89	0.83	0.93	0.93	
	SG(13,4)	Calibration	0.97	0.99	0.88	1.00	0.98	
	30(13,4)	Validation	0.86	0.87	0.83	0.89	0.92	
-	Original	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	Originai	Validation	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	Diff	Calibration	0.93	0.98	0.63	0.95	0.96	
Support	Dill	Validation	0.86	0.92	0.50	0.93	0.92	
vector	SNV	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
machine <sup>4</sup>		Validation	0.93	0.97	0.67	0.88	0.96	
macinite	MSC	Calibration	0.98	0.99	0.88	0.98	0.99	
		Validation	0.90	0.94	0.67	0.96	0.94	
	SG(17,2)	Calibration	0.99	1.00	0.96	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.98	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.99	
	Original	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.83	0.86	0.67	0.88	0.90	
Random forest	Diff	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.86	0.92	0.50	0.87	0.92	
	SNV	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.83	0.92	0.33	0.83	0.90	
	MSC	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.81	0.86	0.50	0.88	0.89	
	CC(17.2)	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
1	SG(17,2)	Validation	0.83	0.89	0.50	0.90	0.90	

<sup>1:</sup> MIR: mid-infrared spectra; Diff: first-order difference; SNV: standardized normal variation; MSC: multiplicative scatter correction; SG: Savitzky-Golag (window length, poly order)

2: AUC: area under curve

3: PCs: number of principal components used by partial least squares discriminant analysis

4: Bold indicates the optimal model for this type of milk

Supplemental Table 2. Indicators of all developed models for bovine raw milk.

	Pre-		Indicators					
Algorithm	processed of MIR <sup>1</sup>	Dataset	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	$AUC^2$	F1 score	
	Original	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.98	1.00	0.83	1.00	0.99	
Partial least	Diff	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
squares discriminant	SNV	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
analysis		Validation	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
(PCs: $20$ ) <sup>3,4</sup>	MSC	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
(1 Cs. 20)		Validation	0.98	1.00	0.83	1.00	0.99	
	SG(13,7)	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	30(13,7)	Validation	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	Original	Calibration	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.99	
		Validation	0.96	1.00	0.83	1.00	0.97	
	Diff	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Support		Validation	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
vector	SNV	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
machine		Validation	0.79	0.89	0.50	0.84	0.86	
macinic	MSC	Calibration	0.98	0.99	0.96	1.00	0.99	
		Validation	0.83	0.89	0.67	0.90	0.89	
	SG(17,2)	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.88	1.00	0.50	0.84	0.92	
	Original	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.79	0.94	0.33	0.69	0.87	
	Diff	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.83	1.00	0.33	0.80	0.90	
Random forest	SNV	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.71	0.94	0.00	0.28	0.83	
	MSC	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.58	0.78	0.00	0.31	0.74	
	SG(17,2)	Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		Validation	0.79	0.94	0.33	0.82	0.87	

Validation 0.79 0.94 0.33 0.82 0.87

1: MIR: mid-infrared spectra; Diff: first-order difference; SNV: standardized normal variation; MSC: multiplicative scatter correction; SG: Savitzky-Golag (window length, poly order)

2: AUC: area under curve

3: PCs: number of principal components used by partial least squares discriminant analysis

4: Bold indicates the optimal model for this type of milk

Supplemental Table 3. Indicators of all developed models for bovine pasteurized milk.

	Pre-			Indicators		
Algorithm	processed of MIR <sup>1</sup>	PCs <sup>2</sup>	Dataset	Accuracy	kappa	F1 score
Partial least squares	Omi orimal	5	Calibration	0.15	-0.10	0.10
	Original		Validation	0.18	-0.10	0.11
	Diff	3	Calibration	0.14	-0.09	0.09
	DIII		Validation	0.16	-0.11	0.09
	SNV	2	Calibration	0.29	0.04	0.20
discriminant	DIN V		Validation	0.32	0.04	0.18
analysis	MSC	2	Calibration	0.21	-0.04	0.15
	MBC		Validation	0.24	-0.02	0.15
	SG(27,2)		Calibration	0.20	-0.03	0.11
	50(27,2)		Validation	0.26	0.01	0.13
	Original		Calibration	0.96	0.95	0.96
	Original		Validation	0.75	0.63	0.75
	Diff		Calibration	0.86	0.79	0.86
Cummont			Validation	0.72	0.58	0.71
Support	SNV	NA <sup>3</sup>	Calibration	0.91	0.87	0.91
vector machine			Validation	0.59	0.39	0.58
macmine	MSC		Calibration	0.84	0.76	0.84
			Validation	0.59	0.39	0.58
	SG(27,3)		Calibration	0.82	0.73	0.82
			Validation	0.77	0.65	0.75
Random forest <sup>4</sup>	Oni oim ol		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Original	NA	Validation	0.84	0.77	0.84
	Diff		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00
			Validation	0.86	0.79	0.86
	SNV		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00
		INA	Validation	0.39	0.08	0.39
	MSC		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00
			Validation	0.34	0.02	0.34
	SG(27,3)		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00
1	36(27,3)		Validation	0.94	0.91	0.94

<sup>1:</sup> MIR: mid-infrared spectra; Diff: first-order difference; SNV: standardized normal variation; MSC: multiplicative scatter correction; SG: Savitzky-Golag (window length, poly order)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>: PCs: number of principal components used by partial least squares discriminant analysis

<sup>3:</sup> NA: not applicable
4: Bold indicates the optimal model for this type of milk

**Supplemental Table 4.** Indicators of all developed models for bovine ultra-high temperature sterilized (UHT) milk.

	Pre-				Indicators		
Algorithm	processed of MIR <sup>1</sup>	PCs <sup>2</sup>	Dataset	Accuracy	kappa	F1 score	
Partial least	Original	2	Calibration	0.21	-0.10	0.10	
			Validation	0.24	-0.05	0.15	
	Diff	2	Calibration	0.27	0.05	0.15	
		2	Validation	0.30	0.08	0.16	
squares	SNV	2	Calibration	0.15	-0.04	0.10	
discriminant	211 4		Validation	0.19	-0.01	0.12	
analysis	MSC	5	Calibration	0.18	-0.03	0.13	
	MSC	3	Validation	0.22	0.01	0.16	
	SG(21,2)	2	Calibration	0.30	0.07	0.17	
	50(21,2)		Validation	0.31	0.09	0.17	
	Original		Calibration	0.99	0.99	1.00	
	Original		Validation	0.92	0.89	0.93	
	Diff		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Cummont			Validation	0.90	0.86	0.91	
Support vector	SNV	NA <sup>3</sup>	Calibration	0.97	0.95	0.97	
machine			Validation	0.87	0.82	0.87	
macimie	MSC		Calibration	0.96	0.94	0.96	
			Validation	0.87	0.82	0.87	
	SG(21,2)		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	
			Validation	0.88	0.83	0.88	
	Original		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Random forest <sup>4</sup>		NA	Validation	0.88	0.84	0.88	
	Diff		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	
			Validation	0.92	0.89	0.93	
	SNV		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		NA	Validation	0.51	0.31	0.50	
	MSC		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	MSC		Validation	0.45	0.21	0.35	
	SG(21,2)		Calibration	1.00	1.00	1.00	
			Validation	0.95	0.92	0.95	

<sup>1:</sup> MIR: mid-infrared spectra; Diff: first-order difference; SNV: standardized normal variation; MSC: multiplicative scatter correction; SG: Savitzky-Golag (window length, poly order)

2: PCs: number of principal components used by partial least squares discriminant analysis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>: NA: not applicable

<sup>4:</sup> Bold indicates the optimal model for this type of milk