The impact of information acquisition in college admissions

Yao Luo

April 21, 2024

1 Motivation

Every year over 10 million high school graduates join in the National College Entrance Examination (gaokao in mandarin) competing for about 7 million seats. Gaokao is currently the largest centralized matching market in the world. Achieving stable and efficient matching outcomes is extremely important in this market since it affects the welfare of so many students.

Most provinces in China adopted the parallel mechanism (PA), where stduents submit a rank-ordered list (ROL) of certain length to the clearinghouse and colleges admit students solely depending on their test scores until their seats are filled. Different provinces might have different requirement for the ROL length. For example, Hebei province allows for 5 colleges 5 majors under each college. Students have the option to agree or disagree with being allocated to another major not in their list within a college in their list.

The only exception from the PA mechanism is Inner Mongolia province, which

adopted a dynamic mechanism in 2006. I will refer to it as IM in this paper. A nice paper which reviews the history of college admission mechanisms in Inner Mongolia is Bai (2022). Students report their first choice on the online system, and they know their ranking in that major as well as the capacity right after submission. Students have unlimited times of reporting, but with time constraints. For example in 2023, the application process begins at8am, and students with grades above 670 need to finish their application by 11am. Students whose grade fall in the range of 640-669 need to finish their application by 12pm etc. The process ends at 20pm.

The most salient distinction between the PA and IM mechanism is the amount of information students have regarding their chances of admission. Under the PA mechanism, students have access to historical cutoffs of each college. The current year's cutoffs are only achieved after the matching outcomes are realized. Students have to apply based on their expected chances of admission, which requires to form beliefs on cutoffs ex-ante. In contrast, under the IM mechanism students acquire information about cutoffs during the process of application by revising their choices. In this setting, the real-time information of cutoffs for each college plays the role of prices in a normal market, and in equilibrium the stable-matching outcomes shoule be achieved and the final cutoffs work as the market clearing prices.

Immorlica et al. (2020) shows theoretically mechanisms that facilitates efficient price discovery or offer relevant information on cutoffs yield approximately regret-free stable outcomes. In this paper I want to design a model to examine the impact of acquiring information of cutoffs on college admission outcomes empirically, using data from the Inner Mongolia dynamic mechanism. The additional information of college admission chances in IM intuitively should help students

to improve their admission outcomes. However, since the information acquisition is costly and students have unlimited times of submission, the extra burden may harm students' welfare and students who fail to search efficiently may have unsatisfactory matching results. This tradeoff leads to ambiguity in welfare analysis and identifying winners and losers when switch from PA to IM mechanism.

2 Literature Review

There are theoretical and empirical papers on the parallel mechanism (PA) in China, for example Chen & Kesten (2017) and Chen & Kesten (2019).

There have been a few empirical papers on the Inner Mongolia College admission mechanism. Chen et al. (2022) focus on the impact of time-constraint imposed by the authority, and compare the matching outcomes of time-constrained dynamic mechanism (TCDM) with the stduent-proposing deferred acceptance (DA) mechanism. Kang et al. (2023) compares the dynamic matching mechanism with the parallel mechanism using justified envy as a criteria, but their conclusions are counterintuitive.

Bó & Hakimov (2022) look at the university admissions in Brazil and show that dynamic mechanism can outperform the standard ones since matchings are implemented sequentially and students can revise their choices after receiving information or feedback about their current allocations.

Theoretically, Hakimov et al. (2023) examines the cost of information acquisition in centralized matching markets and how to reduce wasteful information acquisition. Similarly, Immorlica et al. (2020) look at information acquisition in matching markets but with a focus on the role of price discovery.

Grenet et al. (2022) question the common assumption in the matching literature that agents have full information on their own preferences and conclude that students' costly discovery of preferences exist. Similarly, Bade (2015) don't believe that agents precisely know their preferences and introduce the assumption of endogenous information acquisition into otherwise standard house allocation problems.

3 Data

Ideally, the dataset should include all student-major pairs and the whole time-path of college choice revisions.

However, the time series woule be enormous to store and the Chinese education authority does not record this data.

I have data on the full empirical distribution of the Gaokao exam grades, as well as the number of stduents each major in a specific college admits, and their maximum and minimum grades.

The Inner Mongolia education authority publish hourly the current cutoffs, the number of stduents applied to each college and their grades for all college majors. The hourly updated information contains rich information about stduents' application behaviours and preferences.

4 Empirical Strategy

References

Bade, S. (2015), 'Serial dictatorship: The unique optimal allocation rule when information is endogenous', *Theoretical Economics* **10**(2), 385–410.

URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.3982/TE1335

Bai, J. (2022), Analysis on the Evolution of Inner Mongolia College Admission System, in '2022 6th International Seminar on Education, Management and Social Sciences (ISEMSS 2022)', Atlantis Press, pp. 2254–2261.

URL: https://www.atlantis-press.com/proceedings/isemss-22/125982096

Bó, I. & Hakimov, R. (2022), 'The iterative deferred acceptance mechanism', Games and Economic Behavior 135, 411–433.

URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0899825622001129

Chen, L., Pereyra, J. S. & Zhu, M. (2022), 'Time-constrained Dynamic Mechanisms for College Admissions'.

URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2207.12179

Chen, Y. & Kesten, O. (2017), 'Chinese College Admissions and School Choice Reforms: A Theoretical Analysis', Journal of Political Economy 125(1), 99– 139.

URL: https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/full/10.1086/689773

Chen, Y. & Kesten, O. (2019), 'Chinese college admissions and school choice re-

forms: An experimental study', Games and Economic Behavior 115, 83–100. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0899825619300132

Grenet, J., He, Y. & Kübler, D. (2022), 'Preference Discovery in University Admissions: The Case for Dynamic Multioffer Mechanisms', Journal of Political Economy 130(6), 1427–1476.

URL: https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1086/718983

Hakimov, R., Kübler, D. & Pan, S. (2023), 'Costly information acquisition in centralized matching markets', *Quantitative Economics* **14**(4), 1447–1490.

URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.3982/QE1955

Immorlica, N., Leshno, J., Lo, I. & Lucier, B. (2020), 'Information Acquisition in Matching Markets: The Role of Price Discovery'.

URL: https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3705049

Kang, L., Ha, W., Chen, H. M. & Deng, Y. (2023), 'Dynamic Matching Mechanism and Matching Stability in College Admissions: Evidence from Inner Mongolia'.

URL: https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=4555471