

ECON7772 Econometric Methods

Professor Arthur Lewbel

SAMPLE MIDTERM EXAM QUESTIONS

INSTRUCTIONS:

Write your name on the exam book. Write your answers in the exam book.

You have one hour and fifteen minutes to complete this exam.

You may bring notes on a single sheet of paper to the exam.

Answer all the problems clearly, completely, and concisely. Show all your work.

1. Suppose we flip a fair penny many times and we flip a fair quarter once. Let the random variable X_n be a zero or one, depending on if the n 'th flip of the penny is a tail or a head. Also let the random variable Y be a zero or one, depending on if the flip of the quarter is a tail or a head.

- a. Does $X_n \rightarrow^d Y$? Explain your answer.
- b. Does $X_n - Y \rightarrow^p 0$? Explain your answer.

2. Let the Gauss-Markov assumptions hold for $Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$. Let $\hat{\beta}$ be the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimator of the k vector β and let $\hat{\varepsilon}$ be the n vector of OLS residuals.

- a. Write $\hat{\varepsilon}$ as a function of just X and ε .
- b. Using your answer to part a, find the simplest expression you can for $\text{cov}(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\varepsilon})$, which is a k by n matrix.

3. Suppose $Y_i = X_i' B + e_i$ for a K vector B . Assume all of the Gauss-Markov assumptions hold, each element of each X_i lies between 1 and 5, and that each e_i is normal. Consider the following estimator: For any sample size $n > 10$, discard all but the last 10 observations, and let \hat{B} be the ordinary least squares estimate of B using just these last 10 observations. Answer each of the following questions, and show why your answer is correct:

- a. Is \hat{B} unbiased?
- b. Is \hat{B} most efficient among linear estimators?
- c. Is \hat{B} consistent?
- d. Is \hat{B} asymptotically normal?
- e. Suppose that instead of the last 10 observations, we now used the last $n/2$ observations to estimate \hat{B} . Which of your answers above would change and which would stay the same?

4. For a random variable Y_i that can only equal zero or one, the log of the probability of an observation Y_i can be written as $Y_i \ln [\text{prob}(Y_i = 1)] + (1 - Y_i) \ln [\text{prob}(Y_i = 0)]$. Assume that $\text{prob}(Y_i = 1) = a$ and that $0 < a < 1$. Suppose our data consists of n independent observations of Y_i .

- a. What is the log likelihood function for this model and data?
- b. What is the score function and the information matrix for this model and data?
- c. What is the maximum likelihood estimator for a in this model?
- d. Is the maximum likelihood estimator for a unbiased in this model? Prove your answer.

5. Assume that (ε_i, X_i) for $i = 1, \dots, n$ are bounded iid random vectors, $X_i > 0$, and $E(\varepsilon_i | X_i) = 0$. Assume $Y_i = e^{\theta_0 X_i} + \varepsilon_i$ with $|\theta_0| \leq 1$. Consider the estimator $\hat{\theta} = \arg \min_{|\theta| \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - e^{\theta X_i})^2$. Either prove that $\text{plim}(\hat{\theta}) = \theta_0$, or show that some assumption we would use to prove this condition may be violated.

SAMPLE MIDTERM EXAM - ANSWERS

1. a. Yes, $X_n \rightarrow^d Y$ does hold. Let $F_n(X_n)$ and $G(Y)$ be the cdf's of X_n and of Y . They both have the same binomial distribution function (1/2 chance of equaling zero and 1/2 chance of equaling one) for every n . That is, $F_n(0) = G(0) = 1/2$ and $F_n(1) = G(1) = 1$. Therefore for every possible value of x (that is, for $x = 0$ and for $x = 1$) we have $|F_n(x) - G(x)| = 0$ for all n , so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_n(x) - G(x)| = 0$, which satisfies the definition of convergence in distribution.

1. b. No $X_n - Y \rightarrow^p 0$ does not hold. There is a 50% chance that $|X_n - Y| = 1$ (this happens when $X_n = 0$ and $Y = 1$ or vice versa, that is, when on the n 'th toss, the penny comes up heads and the quarter comes up tails, or vice versa). Applying the definition of convergence in probability, having $X_n - Y \rightarrow^p 0$, requires that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{prob}(|X_n - Y| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$ for any small ε , while in our case we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{prob}(|X_n - Y| \geq \varepsilon) = 1/2 \neq 0$ for any small (less than one) value of ε .

$$2. \text{ a. } \hat{\varepsilon} = Y - X\hat{\beta} = Y - X(X'X)^{-1}X'Y = (X\beta + \varepsilon) - X(X'X)^{-1}X'(X\beta + \varepsilon) \\ = (X\beta + \varepsilon) - (X\beta + X(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon) = \varepsilon - X(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon = [I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']\varepsilon$$

2. b. We know for ordinary least squares that $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$ and $\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta}) = (X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon$. Also, $E(\hat{\varepsilon}) = [I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']E(\varepsilon) = 0$ so $\text{cov}(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\varepsilon}) = E\left[\left(\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta})\right)\hat{\varepsilon}'\right] \\ = E\left[\left(\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta})\right)([I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']\varepsilon)'\right] = E[(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon\varepsilon'[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X']] = \\ (X'X)^{-1}X'E(\varepsilon\varepsilon')[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] = \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}X'I_n[I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] = \sigma^2[(X'X)^{-1}X' - (X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ = \sigma^2[(X'X)^{-1}X' - (X'X)^{-1}X'] = 0, \text{ a } k \text{ by } n \text{ matrix of zeroes. This shows that the estimated residuals } \hat{\varepsilon} \text{ are uncorrelated with the estimated coefficients } \hat{\beta}.$

3 a. Yes, \hat{B} is unbiased. Let \tilde{X} be the matrix containing the last 10 observations of X and let \tilde{Y} and \tilde{e} be the vectors of the last 10 observations of Y and e . Then $E(\hat{B}) = E\left[\left(\tilde{X}'\tilde{X}\right)^{-1}\tilde{X}'\tilde{Y}\right] = E\left[B + \left(\tilde{X}'\tilde{X}\right)^{-1}\tilde{X}'\tilde{e}\right] = B + \left(\tilde{X}'\tilde{X}\right)^{-1}\tilde{X}'E(\tilde{e}) = B$.

3 b. No, \hat{B} is not most efficient among linear estimators. Intuitively, throwing away information from discarding observations loses efficiency, and OLS with all the

data is BLUE. Formally, $\sum_{i=n-10}^n X_i X_i' < \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i'$ because of the bounds on each X_i , and therefore

$$Var(\hat{B}) = \sigma^2 (\tilde{X}' \tilde{X})^{-1} = \sigma^2 (\sum_{i=n-10}^n X_i X_i')^{-1} > \sigma^2 (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i')^{-1} = Var(\text{OLS estimate of } B).$$

3 c. No, \hat{B} is not consistent. Intuitively, the number of observations used to estimate \hat{B} stays fixed at 10, and consistency requires the number of observations to go to infinity. Formally: $plim(\hat{B}) = plim \left[B + (\sum_{i=n-10}^n X_i X_i')^{-1} (\sum_{i=n-10}^n X_i e_i) \right] = B + (\lim \sum_{i=n-10}^n X_i X_i' / 10)^{-1} (plim \sum_{i=n-10}^n X_i e_i / 10)$, and this last probability limit does not converge to zero, or to any constant. The limit of $\sum_{i=n-10}^n X_i X_i' / 10$ may also not be well defined, but is positive and finite because of the bounds on X_i .

3 d. Yes, \hat{B} is asymptotically normal. Not only is it asymptotically normal, it is exactly normal for all sample sizes because $\hat{B} = B + (\tilde{X}' \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}' \tilde{e}$ is linear in the normal vector \tilde{e} , since B and $(\tilde{X}' \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}'$ are not random.

3 e. The only answer that would change is c. One gets the same results as above in parts a., b., and d. when replacing 10 with $n/2$, but in part c. we get $\lim \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} X_i X_i' / (n/2)$ is finite and positive because of the bounds on X_i and $plim \sum_{i=n/2}^n X_i e_i / (n/2) = E(X_i e_i) = 0$ by the law of large numbers (which applies because $X_i e_i$ are i.n.i.d with finite moments higher than two) so now $plim(\hat{B}) = B$.

4 a. The Log likelihood function is $\ln L = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(Prob(Y_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \ln[prob(Y_i = 1)] + (1 - Y_i) \ln[prob(Y_i = 0)] = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \ln(a) + (1 - Y_i) \ln(1 - a)$.

4 b. The score function is $s(Y_i | a) = \partial [Y_i \ln(a) + (1 - Y_i) \ln(1 - a)] / \partial a = \frac{Y_i}{a} - \frac{1-Y_i}{1-a} = \frac{Y_i - a}{(1-a)a}$. The matrix $J_0 = var[s(Y_i | a_0)] = E \left[\left(\frac{Y_i - a}{(1-a)a} \right)^2 \right] = E \left(\frac{Y_i^2 - 2aY_i + a^2}{(1-a)^2 a^2} \right) = \frac{a - 2a^2 + a^2}{(1-a)^2 a^2} = \frac{1}{(1-a)a}$ and so the information matrix is $I_n = nJ_0 = \frac{n}{(1-a)a}$. Note that the matrices J_0 and I_n are both scalars here, because the parameter vector a is a scalar.

4 c. The first order conditions for the ML estimator \hat{a} are

$0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s(Y_i | \hat{a})$, so $0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Y_i - \hat{a}}{(1 - \hat{a})\hat{a}} = \frac{\bar{Y} - \hat{a}}{(1 - \hat{a})\hat{a}}$, which when solved for \hat{a} gives $\hat{a} = \bar{Y}$, the sample mean of the Y_i data. (One can also check that the second order conditions for a maximum, $\partial^2 \ln L / \partial a^2 < 0$, are satisfied).

4. d. $E(\hat{a}) = E(\bar{Y}) = E(Y) = 1 * [\text{prob}(Y = 1)] + 0 * [\text{prob}(Y = 0)] = \text{prob}(Y = 1) = a$, so while ML estimators are not unbiased in general, in this particular application the ML estimator \hat{a} is unbiased.

5. Let $Q_n(\theta) = \frac{-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - e^{\theta X_i})^2$. We multiply by $\frac{-1}{n}$ to make it a max and to so it has a plim:

By law of large numbers (using Y and X bounded): $Q_0(\theta) = \text{plim} \frac{-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - e^{\theta X_i})^2 = -E((Y - e^{\theta X})^2)$ is finite. Checking the remaining conditions for consistency of an extremum estimator:

For identification, $0 = \frac{\partial Q_0(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -2E((Y - e^{\theta X})(-Xe^{\theta X}))$ so $0 = E((e^{\theta_0 X} - e^{\theta X})(-Xe^{\theta X}))$ which, since X is strictly positive, holds only at $\theta = \theta_0$.

For compactness, $|\theta_0| \leq 1$ so $-1 \leq \theta_0 \leq 1$. This is a closed interval, which is a compact set.

For smoothness, $Q_n(\theta)$ is differentiable in θ .

For stochastic equicontinuity, again using the law of large numbers, $\text{plim} \frac{\partial Q_n(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -2E((Y - e^{\theta X})(-Xe^{\theta X}))$. Call this function $c(\theta)$, which is bounded by boundedness of Y , X , and θ . It follows that $|\frac{\partial Q_n(\theta)}{\partial \theta}| = |c(\theta)| + o_p(1) = O_p(1)$.

So all the conditions to show that $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent are satisfied.