

Algorithm\_HW1\_1826630\_Yao-Chung Liang

1.

False.

Using counterexample. If exists  $m$  belongs to  $M$  which proposed to  $w$  belongs to  $W$  and  $w$  is ranked first on man's preference list, but the man is not ranked first on the woman's preference list. Then result contradicts with the statement.

-----

2.

True.

In every stable matching  $S$  for this instance, once they get engaged, no one can tear them apart ( no man  $m'$  can replace man  $m$ ).

-----

3.

Algorithm:

# define  $s$  to be name of student

# define  $s'$  to be name of another student

# define  $h$  to be current name of hospital

# define  $h'$  to be another name of hospital

# define  $p$  to be positions available in the hospital

# status of each student:        free  $\rightarrow$  sign a temporary contract with hospital but hospital can cancel it unilaterally  $\rightarrow$  get a job in a hospital or not

# define  $S$  to be the final result of some students who get job in certain hospital

function stable\_match\_student\_hospital{

    initialize  $s$  belongs to student set ,  $h$  belongs to hospital set to be free (students have no job, hospitals haven't recruited anyone)

    while (student  $s$  is free and not yet contract to every hospital before){

$s$  = the highest ranked student in hospital's preference list

        if ( $h$  still have positions){

            ( $s, h$ ) sign a temporary contract

        }

    else( $h$  have no more position available){

        if ( $h$  prefer  $s$  to  $s'$ ) do {

            ( $s, h$ ) sign a temporary contract

$s$  be free

        }

    else( $h$  still like student  $s$ ){

        ( $s, h$ ) remain the same

    }

```

    }
  }
  return all (s,h) to S
}

```

---

Show that there is always a stable assignment of students to hospital:

I think I need to prove two thing :

First is to prove the algorithm will result in stable matching. Second is to prove it always yeild the same matching.

First prove:

Assume my algorithm will result in unstable matching  $S$ .

Generally, there are two types of instability, I first consider the first type of instability. If  $h$  prefers  $s'$  to  $s$ , then from my algorithm  $h$  will have contract with  $s'$  and thus the first type of instability will no longer exist. Secondly, if second type of instabbility happens. If  $h$  prefers  $s'$  to  $s$  and  $s'$  prefers  $h$  to  $h'$  and  $s'$  is assigned to  $h$ , then in the beginning of the execution,  $s'$  would be assigned to  $h'$  already. Because  $s'$  selection of hospitals is in a descending order, so if he didn't picked by  $h'$ , then this means hospital  $h'$  don't prefer  $s'$  to  $s$  and thus contradict with our assumption, thus second type of instability won't even exist. In the end, we know that this algorithm will result in stable matching.

Second prove :

Assume we already know that every student can have their best match of hospitals. However, in some execution  $E$ ,  $s$  doesn't match with  $best(s)$  which I will say  $h$ . And  $s$  match with  $h'$ . But in this situation, another student  $s'$  must be kicked out by hospital  $h'$ . But because they can match with hospital only with descending order, thus this situation will occur during the execution  $E$  and result in a position from some hospital  $h''$  would still be available and no student can get that position, which is a contradiction to the algorithm that it will stop and get a stable matching. Thus, I know every student should have their best matching. And by the time, I know there will only one situation of best matching, thus this means the algorithm will always yeild the same matching.

Finally, with the proves above, I can comfirm that there is always a stable ssignment of student to hospital.

---

There is always a stable assignment of students to hospitals,

The first type of instability won't exist because in my algorithm, if  $h$  prefers  $s'$  to  $s$ , then  $h$  will sign contract with  $s'$  rather than with  $s$ .

The second type of instability won't exist because in my algorithm, if  $h$  prefers  $s'$  to  $s$ , then  $h$  will sign contract with  $s'$  rather than with  $s$ .

Since all type of instability won't arise, thus there is always a stable assignment.

-----  
runtime-Analysis:

Assume  $n$  students and  $n$  hospitals.

Since every student can talk to hospital and get a chance to sign a contract for one time, thus there will be  $n*n$  procedures.

Which means this is  $O(n^2)$ .

-----  
The first type of instability won't exist because in my algorithm, if  $h$  prefers  $s'$  to  $s$ , then  $h$  will sign contract with  $s'$  rather than with  $s$ .

The second type of instability won't exist because in my algorithm, if  $h$  prefers  $s'$  to  $s$ , then  $h$  will sign contract with  $s'$  rather than with  $s$ .

Since all type of instability won't arise, thus my algorithm is correct.

-----  
4.

(a)  $n^2$  :  $n = 6*10^6$

(b)  $n^3$  :  $n = 33019$

(c)  $100*n^2$  :  $n = 6*10^5$

(d)  $n \log n$  :  $n = 2^{(18*10^{12})}$

(e)  $2^n$  :  $n = 45$

(f)  $2^{(2^n)}$  :  $n = 5$

-----  
5.

$100^n > 10^n > n^{2.5} > n^2 \log(n) > n+10 > \sqrt{2*n}$

$f_5 > f_4 > f_1 > f_6 > f_3 > f_2$

proof is in the pdf file

-----  
6.

(a) True

$\log_2(f(n)) = \log(f(n))/\log(2)$  is  $O(\log(f(n))) = O(\log(g(n)))$

(b) True

$2^{(f(n))}$  transform to  $f(n)*\log_2(2) = f(n)$  is  $O(g(n))$

thus  $2^{(f(n))}$  is  $O(2^{(g(n))})$

(c) True

$f(n)^2$  transform to  $2 \cdot \log(f(n))$  is  $O(\log(g(n)))$

thus  $f(n)^2$  is  $O(g(n)^2)$

# Algorithm

HW 1:

1826630. Yao-Chung Liang

5. ①  $f_1(n) = n^{2.5}$

~~$n^{2.5} \leq 2 \cdot n^{2.5}$~~

so  $n^{2.5}$  is  $O(n^{2.5})$

$n^{2.5} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot n^{2.5}$

so  $n^{2.5}$  is  $\Omega(n^{2.5})$

$\Rightarrow$  so  $n^{2.5}$  is  $\Theta(n^{2.5})$

②  $f_2(n) = \sqrt{2}n = \sqrt{2} \cdot n^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$\sqrt{2} \cdot n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2 \cdot n^{\frac{1}{2}}$

so  $\sqrt{2}n$  is  $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$

$\sqrt{2} \cdot n^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1 \cdot n^{\frac{1}{2}}$

so  $\sqrt{2}n$  is  $\Omega(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$

$\Rightarrow$  so  $\sqrt{2}n$  is  $\Theta(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$

③  $f_3(n) = n+10$

$n+10 \leq 2n \quad (\forall n \geq 10)$  so  $n+10$  is  $O(n)$

$n+10 \geq n$  so  $n+10$  is  $\Omega(n)$

$\Rightarrow$  so  $n+10$  is  $\Theta(n)$

④  $f_4(n) = 10^n$

~~$10^n \leq 2 \cdot 10^n$~~

so  $10^n$  is  $O(10^n)$

$10^n \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 10^n$

so  $10^n$  is  $\Omega(10^n)$

$\Rightarrow$  so  $10^n$  is  $\Theta(10^n)$

⑤  $f_5(n) = 100^n$

$100^n \leq 2 \cdot 100^n$

so  $100^n$  is  $O(100^n)$

$100^n \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 100^n$

so  $100^n$  is  $\Omega(100^n)$

$\Rightarrow$  so  $100^n$  is  $\Theta(100^n)$

⑥  $f_6(n) = n^2 \log n$

$n^2 \log n \leq 2 \cdot n^2 \log n$

so  $n^2 \log n$  is  $O(n^2 \log n)$

$n^2 \log n \geq \frac{1}{2} n^2 \log n$

so  $n^2 \log n$  is  $\Omega(n^2 \log n)$

$\Rightarrow$  so  $n^2 \log n$  is  $\Theta(n^2 \log n)$