

# MAT4006: Introduction to Coding Theory

## Lecture 02: Decoding Methods, Error Detection

Instructor: Zitan Chen

Scribe: Siqi Yao

Spring 2025

## 1 Maximum Likelihood Decoding (MLD)

Suppose a code  $C$  is used for communication over a channel. If a word  $y \in B^n$  is received, the MLD rule will decode  $y$  to a codeword  $\tilde{x} \in C$  such that:

$$\Pr(y \text{ received} \mid \tilde{x} \text{ sent}) = \max_{x \in C} \Pr(y \text{ received} \mid x \text{ sent}).$$

Ties are broken arbitrarily.

### Question

What theorem is this method based on and what assumptions does it make about the prior distribution of  $x$ ? (See the example last lecture)

### Example (MLD on BSC)

Suppose a code  $C$  is used over a BSC with  $p < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then for  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we have:

$$\Pr(y \text{ received} \mid x \text{ sent}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(y_i \text{ received} \mid x_i \text{ sent}) = (1-p)^{n-e} \cdot p^e,$$

where  $n$  is the block length, and  $e := |\{i \mid x_i \neq y_i\}|$  is the number of bit errors.

Since  $p < \frac{1}{2}$ , we know that  $p < 1-p$ , and the quantity

$$(1-p)^{n-e} \cdot p^e$$

is **strictly decreasing** with respect to  $e$ .

Hence, the probability  $\Pr(y \mid x)$  is maximized when  $x \in C$  minimizes  $e$ , i.e., minimizes the Hamming distance to  $y$ .

### Proof on Monotonicity

Let  $f(e) = (1-p)^{n-e} \cdot p^e$ . Then

$$\frac{df}{de} = (1-p)^{n-e} p^e \ln(p) - p^e (1-p)^{n-e} \ln(1-p) = f(e) (\ln p - \ln(1-p)).$$

Since  $\ln p < \ln(1-p)$  when  $p < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\frac{df}{de} < 0$ , so  $f(e)$  decreases with  $e$ .

## 2 Hamming Distance

### Definition 2.1 (Hamming Distance)

Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be words of length  $n$  over an alphabet  $A$ . The *Hamming distance* from  $x$  to  $y$ , denoted by  $d(x, y)$ , is defined as:

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n d(x_i, y_i), \quad \text{where} \quad d(x_i, y_i) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \neq y_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_i = y_i. \end{cases}$$

### Examples

1. Let  $A = \{0, 1\}$ , and define

$$x = 01010, \quad y = 01101, \quad z = 11101.$$

Then:

$$d(x, y) = 3, \quad d(y, z) = 1, \quad d(x, z) = 4.$$

2. Let  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , and define

$$x = 1234, \quad y = 1423.$$

Then:

$$d(x, y) = 3.$$

### Proposition 2.1 (The Hamming distance is a metric)

Let  $x, y, z \in A^n$ . Then:

1.  $0 \leq d(x, y) \leq n$  (positivity)
2.  $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$
3.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  (symmetry)
4.  $d(x, y) + d(y, z) \geq d(x, z)$  (triangle inequality)

In particular,  $d(x, \mathbf{0})$  (i.e., the Hamming distance from  $x$  to the all-zero word) is called the **Hamming weight** of  $x$ .

## 3 Minimum Distance Decoding

Suppose a code  $C$  is used. If  $y$  is received, the minimum distance decoding rule decodes  $y$  to a codeword  $\tilde{x} \in C$  such that:

$$\tilde{x} = \arg \min_{x \in C} d(x, y).$$

## Theorem 2.2

Consider a  $q$ -ary symmetric channel where

$$p = \Pr(b \text{ received} \mid a \text{ sent}) \quad \text{for } a \neq b, \quad \text{with } p < \frac{1}{q}.$$

Then, the **maximum likelihood decoding (MLD)** rule is equivalent to the **minimum distance decoding** rule.

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be a code of length  $n$ . Suppose  $y$  is the received word.

For  $e = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $x \in C$ , the Hamming distance between  $x$  and  $y$  is  $e$ , i.e.,

$$d(x, y) = e,$$

if and only if the probability of receiving  $y$  given  $x$  was sent is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(y \text{ received} \mid x \text{ sent}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(y_i \text{ received} \mid x_i \text{ sent}) \\ &= p^e (1 - (q-1)p)^{n-e}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p < \frac{1}{q}$ , we have  $p < 1 - (q-1)p$ , and thus

$$p^e (1 - (q-1)p)^{n-e}$$

is a decreasing function in  $e$ .

Therefore, the MLD rule, which selects  $\tilde{x} \in C$  maximizing  $\Pr(y \mid x)$ , is equivalent to selecting  $\tilde{x} \in C$  minimizing  $d(\tilde{x}, y)$ . That is,

$$\tilde{x} = \arg \max_{x \in C} \Pr(y \text{ received} \mid x \text{ sent}) = \arg \min_{x \in C} d(x, y).$$

□

## Definition 2.2 (Distance of Codes)

For a code  $C$  with  $|C| \geq 2$ , the (minimum) distance of  $C$ , denoted by  $d(C)$ , is

$$d(C) = \min\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in C, x \neq y\}.$$

Such a code is often referred to as an  $(n, M, d)$ -code, where  $n$  is the block length,  $M = |C|$  is the number of codewords, and  $d = d(C)$  is the minimum distance.

## Examples

Let  $C = \{00000, 00111, 11111\}$  over  $A = \{0, 1\}$ . We compute the pairwise Hamming distances:

$$\begin{aligned} d(00000, 00111) &= 3, \\ d(00111, 11111) &= 2, \\ d(00000, 11111) &= 5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the minimum distance is

$$d(C) = 2.$$

## 4 Error Detection

Consider  $C$ . Suppose  $y$  is received.

- If  $y \notin C$ , there are errors.
- If  $y \in C$ , we do not know if there are errors.

### Definition 2.3 (Error Detection)

We say a code  $C \subseteq A^n$  can detect  $u$  errors (where  $u \geq 1$ ) if for any  $x \in C$ ,  $y \in A^n$ , such that  $0 < d(x, y) \leq u$ , it holds that  $y \notin C$ .

### Theorem 2.3

Let  $u$  be a positive integer. A code  $C$  can detect  $u$  errors if and only if

$$d(C) \geq u + 1.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $d(C) \geq u + 1$ . Let  $x \in C$  and  $y$  be the received word. If  $d(x, y) = u \geq 1$ , then  $y \notin C$ , so the error can be detected.

Conversely, suppose  $d(C) \leq u$ . Then there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in C$  such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) = d(C) \leq u.$$

If  $x_1$  is transmitted and  $x_2$  is received, we cannot detect whether there has been an error. □

We will see error correction next class.