

CPU Scheduling

Questions answered in these notes:

- What is the difference between allocation and scheduling?
- What is preemptive vs. non-preemptive scheduling?
- How do different algorithms behave?
What are their advantages and disadvantages?

Reading

- Nutt, Chapter 7

Resources

Preemptible

- Can take resource away, use it for something else, give it back later
- Example: CPU

Non-preemptible

- Once given resource, it can't be reused until voluntarily relinquished
- Examples: File space, terminal

Given set of resources and set of requests for those resources:
Type of resource determines how OS manages it

Direction within Course

Until now: Processes

- Process implementation
- Low-level mechanisms

From now on: Resources

- Resources are things operated upon by processes
- Example: CPU time, disk space, disk access, memory

Today: Managing the CPU by Scheduling

Decisions about Resources

Allocation: Which process gets which resources

- Which resources should each process receive?
- **Space sharing**: Control access to resource
- Implication: Resources are not easily preemptible
- Example: File space

Scheduling: How long process keeps resource

- In which order should requests be serviced?
- **Time sharing**: More resources requested than can be granted
- Implication: Resource is preemptible
- Example: Processor scheduling

Role of Dispatcher vs. Scheduler

Dispatcher

- Low-level mechanism
- Responsibility: Context-switch
 - Save execution state of old process in PCB
 - Load execution state of new process from PCB to registers
 - Change scheduling state of process (**running**, **ready**, or **blocked**)
 - Switch from kernel to user mode
 - Jump to instruction in user process

Scheduler

- Higher-level policy
- Responsibility: Deciding which process to run

Could have an Allocator for CPU as well

- Parallel and Distributed systems

Scheduling Performance Metrics

Minimize waiting time

- Do not have process wait long in ready queue

Maximize resource utilization

- Keep CPU and disks busy

Minimize overhead

- Reduce context switches (number and cost)

Minimize response time

- Keystrokes for interactive jobs (e.g., word processors)

Distribute resources equitably

- Give each user (or process) same percentage of CPU

Preemptive Scheduling

When does scheduler need to make a decision?

Minimal amount: Nonpreemptive

- Two cases
 - 1.
 - 2.
- Implication:
 - Process remains scheduled until voluntarily relinquishes CPU

Additional circumstances: Preemptive

- More cases
 - 1.
 - 2.
- Implication:
 - Higher priority job can interrupt lower priority jobs whenever ready

Scheduling Algorithms

Process (Job) Model

- Process alternates between CPU and I/O bursts
- CPU-bound job: Long CPU bursts
- I/O-bound job: Short CPU bursts

Best algorithm depends on workload, environment

- Specialized: Only certain kinds of jobs, knowledge of behavior
- General purpose: Need to perform "well" on all job types

Scheduling Algorithms

- First-Come-First-Served (FCFS)
- Shortest-Job-First (SJF) or Shortest-Time-Completion-First (STCF)
- Round-Robin (RR)
- Priority Scheduling
- Multilevel Feedback Queue Scheduling (Solaris TS in next notes)

First Come First Served (FCFS)

Simplest CPU scheduling algorithm


- First job that requests the CPU is allocated the CPU
- Nonpreemptive**

Advantage: Simple implementation with FIFO queue

Disadvantage: Waiting time depends upon arrival order

- Unfair to later jobs (especially if long jobs arrive first)
- Example: Three jobs arrive nearly simultaneously (A, B, and C)

Gantt chart: 24 time units 3 3




Average Waiting Time:

- Uniprogramming: Run job to completion
- Multiprogramming: Put job at back of queue when perform I/O

Convoy Effect

Short-running jobs stuck waiting for long-running jobs

- Example: 1 CPU-bound job; 3 I/O-bound jobs




Problems

- Reduces utilization of I/O devices
- Hurts waiting time of short jobs

Shortest Job First (SJF)

Minimize average wait time if run shortest job first



- FCFS if same time
- Average Waiting Time:

Provably optimal (given no preemption)

- Moving shorter job before a longer job improves waiting time of short job more than harms waiting time of long job
- Reduces average waiting time


Not practical: Cannot predict burst time

- Use past behavior to estimate future burst time

Shortest Time to Completion First (STCF)


STCF == SJF with preemption

- New process arrives w/ shorter CPU burst than that remaining for current process



- Average Wait Time:

SJF without preemption



- Average Wait Time:


Round-Robin (RR)

Practical approach to support time-sharing

- Run job for a **time-slice** and then move to back of FIFO queue
- Preempted** if still running at end of time-slice

Advantages

- Fair allocation of CPU across jobs
- Low average waiting time when job lengths vary widely



- Average Waiting Time:
- Compare to waiting time for FCFS and SJF
- Average response time is quite good


Disadvantages of Round-Robin

Poor average waiting time when job lengths are identical

- Imagine 10 jobs each requiring 10 time slices
- RR: All complete after about 100 time slices
- Even FCFS is better!


Performance depends on length of time slice

- If time-slice too high, degenerate to FCFS
- 1 job w/ 1ms compute and 10ms I/O; 1 job always computes
- Time-Slice = 50ms




Disadvantages of RR Continued

- If time-slice too low, pay overhead of context-switch too frequently
- 1 job w/ 1ms compute and 10ms I/O; 1 job always computes
- Time-Slice = 1ms



STCF is still ideal



How do we approximate this ideal?

Priorities

Each process has a priority

- Run highest priority **ready** job in system (some may be blocked)
- Round-robin among processes of equal priority
- Can be preemptive or nonpreemptive

Is a large integer a high or a low priority???

- Solaris: User priorities range from 0 to 59
- 59 is the highest
- Text book: Low numbers indicate high priority ...

Data structure question

- Keep all processes in same queue?
- Separate processes in a queue for each priority?

Setting Priorities

Priority can be static

- Some jobs always have higher priority than others
- Problem: **Starvation**

Priority can be dynamically chosen by system

- Multilevel Feedback Queue Scheduling
- Decrease priority of compute-bound jobs
- Increase priority of interactive and I/O-bound jobs
- Many different policies possible...

Example: Solaris Time-Sharing scheduler...