|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SparkLink mmWave | |
| Use Case Description Format & Version Management Proposal  Front page to be used from ver 0.0.0 till ver 1.0.0 | |
| Title / Number | Use case title |
| UC introduced in meeting no. | Date and place where the USE Case was first introduced. |
| Presenting Member/ individual Ver 0.0.0 | Company/individual presenting the Use Case for the first introduction (ver 0.0.0) |
| Sponsoring Members (Optional) | Supporting Companies / Individuals  Once approved, who will be main drivers of this use case.  Same as “Supporting Companies or individuals”.  Remove one of the two |
| Intended Market Segments | Consumer, Medical, Automotive, IT Infrastructure, Industrial, Military. Etc. |
| Ecosystems | Chipset, modules, device manufacturers, network operators involved (to be clarified) |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Place Holder for managing the Use Case evolution in the TG Meetings | | | |
| TG Meeting No | Input version Ver 0.a.b | Output Version  Ver 0.c.d | Updates Introduced |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SparkLink mmWave | |
| Use Case Description Format & Version Management Proposal  Front page to be used after the introduction of version 1.0.0 | |
| Title / Number | Use case title |
| UC introduced in meeting no. | Date and place where the USE Case was first introduced. |
| Presenting Member/ individual Ver 0.0.0 | Company presenting the Use Case for the first introduction (ver 0.0.0) |
| Sponsoring Members (Optional) | Supporting Companies / Individuals  Once approved, who will be main drivers of this use case.  Same as “Supporting Companies or individuals”.  Remove one of the two |
| Intended Market Segments | Consumer, Medical, Automotive, IT Infrastructure, Industrial, Military. Etc. |
| Ecosystems | Chipset, modules, device manufacturers, network operators involved (to be clarified) |

History of Change Requests (CR) and Use Case (UC) version update

To be introduced after version 1.0.0 has been approved in a Plenary Meeting

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CR No.  UC Ver. | Date of first submission  Meeting No. | Originating Company/Ind. /Alliance | Title of the Change Request | Result  Accepted/Rejected  New UC version |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Objective | Short description of the objective for this Use Case – A few lines |
| Description | Use Case description – A few lines |
| Technical Specs related. Moved below “Description” | What technical specs or working groups will be affected, what the inclusion of this use case will have in the existing tech spec and test specs will have in them. May run into a few paragraphs/pages |
| Technical Feasibility / Complexity – Critical path and any Roadblocks | If this can be demonstrated to be feasible and if there are some methods already available – Hopefully only a few bullet points |
| Dependencies | What relationship has with other industry alliances or regulatory schemes.  Major aspects affected by regulation – Spectrum availability, EIRP and interference considerations. |
| Innovation | Is there any other SDO working on it? Performance advantage of the proposed solution wrt the existing or upcoming solution from other SDO’s |
| Justification and benefits | Why market needs this, what will be the benefits for that market and what problems the use case will solve. |
| Priority | Defined by the SparkLink Alliance |

Both items to be deleted

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Schedule  Not Clear – Milestones of what? | From presentation to end, to describe all milestones |
| Financial Feasibility  Out of Scope | If there are resources to develop it, timelines are acceptable, deployment, development, operational. |