CS 230: Discrete Computational Structures

Spring Semester, 2021

HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT #2 **Due Date:** Monday, February 15

Suggested Reading: Rosen Sections 1.4 - 1.6; LLM Chapter 3

For the problems below, explain your answers and show your reasoning.

- 1. [4 Pts] Prove, by counterexample, that the propositions $\forall x (P(x) \to Q(x))$ and $\forall x P(x) \to \forall x Q(x)$ are not logically equivalent. *Hint*: Let your domain be the set of integers. Define P(x) to be 'x is odd' and Q(x) to be 'x is even'.
- 2. [8 Pts] For the following problems, let S(x), F(x) and A(x, y) be the statements "x is a student", "x is a faculty member" and "x has asked y a question". Let the domain be all people at ISU. Translate into English or logic, as appropriate.
 - (a) $\exists x \exists y \forall z (S(x) \land S(y) \land (x \neq y) \land (F(z) \rightarrow (A(x,z) \leftrightarrow A(y,z))))$
 - (b) There are at least two faculty members who have not asked questions to any students.
- 3. [4 Pts] State whether the following arguments are correct. Explain your answer briefly.
 - (a) All freshmen take online classes. Jim is not a freshman. Therefore, Jim does not take online classes.
 - (b) Mary likes all her computer science classes. Mary likes 'Discrete Math'. Therefore, 'Discrete Math' is a computer science class.
- 4. [8 Pts] Define predicates and prove the following using the appropriate rules of inference:
 - (a) [4 Pts] Jim, a student in class, owns a personal computer. Everyone who owns a personal computer types up their homework. Therefore, someone in class types up their homework.
 - (b) [4 Pts] There are college towns in the midwest. All college towns are fun places to live. There is a town in the midwest that is a fun to live in.
- 5. [14 Pts] Consider the following argument:

 All bears are good swimmers. If you can catch fish, you will not go hungry. If you can't catch fish, you are not a good swimmer. Therefore, no bears go hungry.
 - (a) [4 Pts] Define the predicates B(x), S(x), H(x) and F(x) to describe the sentences above using predicate logic. Then, prove the argument using the rules of inference you have learned.

- (b) [3 Pts] Prove the universal transitivity rule, which states: if $\forall x (P(x) \to Q(x))$ and $\forall x (Q(x) \to R(x))$, then $\forall x (P(x) \to R(x))$.
- (c) [3 Pts] Prove the universal contrapositive rule, which states: if $\forall x (P(x) \to Q(x))$ then $\forall x (\neg Q(x) \to \neg P(x))$.
- (d) [4 Pts] Now, prove the previous argument using the universal transitivity rule and the universal contrapositive rule. Is your proof shorter? Notice that you no longer have to use instantiation and generalization rules.
- 6. [12 Pts] Given the universe of all non-negative integers, we have the two predicates A(k, m, n) : (k = m + n) and M(k, m, n) : (k = mn). For example A(4, 3, 1) is true while A(5, 2, 2) is false. We can use A and M to define other predicates. For example, Zero(n) : A(n, n, n). Note that n = 0 is the only integer such that n = n + n. We can now use Zero to define $Greater(m, n) : \exists k(\neg Zero(k) \land A(m, n, k))$. Define the following predicates. You can use the predicates defined earlier in subsequent definitions.
 - (a) [4 Pts] Equal(m, n)
 - (b) [4 Pts] One(n)
 - (c) [4 Pts] Two(n)
 - (d) Extra Credit [4 Pts] Prime(p)

For more practice, work on the problems from Rosen Sections 1.4 - 1.6 and LLM Chapter 3.