A tutorial on R package AIT

Yaru Song ^{1,3}, Hongyu Zhao ^{2,3}, and Tao Wang ^{1,3,4,*}

Department of Bioinformatics and Biostatistics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China,
 Department of Biostatistics, Yale University, USA and
 SJTU-Yale Joint Center for Biostatistics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China
 MoE Key Lab of Artificial Intelligence, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

August 16, 2019

1 Introduction

The AIT package provides an Adaptive Independence Test for microbiome community data.

To test the assiciation between the microbial community composition and a continuous or many-valued outcome variable, we propose a parametric test based on likelihood ratio test. The basic idea is to partition the range of the outcome variable into a few slices or bins, then test whether the community distributions are equal across the slices. Our method regularizes the log likelihood ratio with a penalty on the number of slices, and maximizes over all possible slicing schemes. To model multivariate count data, we use multinomial and Dirichlet-multinomial distribution, thus proposed two kinds of test: AIT-MN and AIT-DMN, repectively. Microbiome studies are subject to many other covariate factors, thus we extend to a new t method AIT-DMN-a based on AIT-DMN to adjust other covariates. Functions of performing test, several simulated and real dataset are provided. Core functions for the test are implemented in the Cpp and integrated in R through the Rcpp package (Eddelbuettel et al., 2011).

2 Running AIT

First, we need to install package Rcpp, foreach, GUniFrac, MGLM, and stats before we install AIT. To load AIT, type:

```
> # install.packages("devtools")
```

> # devtools::install_github("YaruSong/AIT")

```
> 
> library(AIT)
```

2.1 A simulated example without other covariate

To see how AIT performs the independence test between microbial community composition and a categorical variable or a continuous variable, we generate 100 individals' micribiome compositions and corresponding outcome variable. For simplicity, we set the vector of bacterial counts, X, follows a Dirichlet-multinomial distribution, and sample size to be 100, the number of taxa to be 30, and the sequencing depth as 1000 for all individuals, dispersion as 0.2. We divided the 100 individuals into 4 groups with 10, 20, 30, 40 in each group, and induce abundance differences by increasing and decreasing the proportions of six taxa. For more details see Song et al. (2019). Simulation Procedures are as follows.

```
> rm(list=ls())
> set.seed(2019)
> samples=100
> groups.samples < -c(10, 20, 30, 40)
> dispersion=0.2
> seq.depth=1000
> mean.0 < -c(rep(0,6), rep(0.005,5), rep(0.01,5), rep(0.02,4),
+ rep(0.03,3),rep(0.04,2),c(0.08,0.1,0.11,0.165,0.22))
> mean.change<-function(pi,location,alpha){
    mean.tmp=sort(pi)
    mean.tmp[0+location] = mean.tmp[0+location] +alpha*mean.tmp[20+location]
    mean.tmp[20+location] = (1-alpha)*mean.tmp[20+location]
    return(mean.tmp)
+ }
> beta=0.6 #beta acts as the effect size
> mean.control=mean.0
> mean.case1=mean.change(mean.0,c(8,9,10),beta)
> mean.case2=mean.change(mean.0,c(5,6,7),beta)
> mean.case3=mean.change(mean.0,c(2,3,4),beta)
> mean.group<-as.matrix(rbind(mean.control,mean.case1,mean.case2,mean.case3))
> x.d=sim_x("DMN",groups.samples,seq.depth,mean.group,dispersion)
> x.m=sim_x("MN",groups.samples,seq.depth,mean.group)
```

In the above, we simulate microbiome data with function sim_x, parameter method has two options: DMN for Dirichlet-multinomial distribution and MN for multinomial distribution, and theta is not the parameter for MN in sim_x.

2.1.1 Y is categorical

For outcome variable Y, we set 10 levels, and divide the 10 levels into 4 groups: $\{0.5\}$, $\{1,1.5\}$, $\{2,2.5,3\}$ and $\{3.5,4,4.5,4\}$, with 10 individuals in each level.

```
> y=sort(rep(1:10,10))/2
> y.d=y.m=y
> print(theta_calculate(x.d))
[1] 0.2217333
> print(theta_calculate(x.m))
[1] 0.01511535
```

Here, theta_calculate is a funtion of moment estimation for overdispersion theta in Dirichlet-multinomial distribution. If theta>0, we recommend you to use AIT_DMN_p for the later analysis; otherwise, AIT_MN_p is more appropriate. In order to control type I error, choose an optimal penalty lambda by function lambda_selection, then perform independence test using AIT_DMN_p, which returns the statistics, p_value, slicing.

```
> lambda_d= lambda_selection(method = "DMN", x.d, y.d, 1000)
> print(lambda_d)
[1] 0.5
> print(AIT_DMN_p(x.d, y.d, lambda_d, 1000, slice=TRUE))
$statistics
[1] 202.1575
$p_value
[1] 0.001
$slicing
              2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 total
   0.5
       1 1.5
            0
                   0
                      0
                          0
s1 10 0
              0
                            0
                                 0
```

```
s2
      0 10
             10
                  0
                       0
                          0
                                0
                                   0
                                        0
                                            0
                                                  20
s3
         0
              0 10
                      10 10
                                0
                                   0
                                        0
                                            0
                                                  30
              0
                       0
                          0
                              10 10
                                       10 10
                                                  40
```

The test splits 10 levels into 4 slices, which is in accordance with our predefined groups.

2.1.2 Y is continuous

In the above simulation, Y is a categorical variable. Then we simulate a continuous variable Y, and X depends on Y only through four latent slices of Y. For more details see Song *et al.* (2019).

```
> set.seed(2019)
> y.d=sort(runif(samples, min=0.01, max=1))
> #lambda_d=lambda_selection("DMN",x.d,y.d,100) #3.125
> lambda_d=3.125
> results=AIT_DMN_p(x.d,y.d,lambda_d,100,slice = TRUE)
> print(results$p_value)

[1] 0.01
> print(results$slicing[,ncol(results$slicing)])
s1 s2 s3 s4
10 20 30 40
```

The test also splits Y into 4 slices, which is also in accordance with our predefined latent slices.

2.2 A simulated example with other covariate

Microbiome studies are subject to many covariates, and how to properly model and adjust for covariates is critically important for the independence/association test.

We consider a simulation example as follows. The taxa abundance data were generated from the Dirichlet-multinomial model, with 100 individuals, 6 taxa, sequencing depth 500. Y had 10 levels, $0.5, 1, \dots, 5$, with 10 samples at each level. We divided the 10 levels into 4 groups as above, and simulated 1 dimensional covariates. The coefficient vector coefficient

and the covariate vector covariates were drawn from N(0,1), and the vector of intercepts mean.proportion was generated from N(1,1). Besides, we set coefficient for 4 groups as 0,1,2,3 (gamma). Belows are simulation Processes using function sim_x_c.

```
> set.seed(2019)
> p <- 6  # number of taxa
> d <- 1  # number of covariates
> samples <- 100
> seq.depth=500
> mean.proportion=rnorm(p,1,1)
> groups.samples<-c(10,20,30,40)
> gamma=t(matrix(sort(rep(0:3,p)),p,4))
> coefficent=matrix(rnorm(p),1,p)
> covariates=matrix(rnorm(samples),samples,1)
> x.dc=sim_x_c(seq.depth,mean.proportion,gamma,groups.samples,coefficent,covariates)
> y.dc=y
```

The function sim_x_c simulate Dirichlet-multinomial distribution subject to other covariates.

Then, choose a optimal penalty lambda with function lambda_selection, and perform the test with covariate adjustment function AIT_DMN_adj_p

```
> # lambda_da=lambda_selection("DMN",x.dc,y.dc,permutation=100,covariates=covariates) #2.75
> lambda_da=2.75
> test.results=AIT_DMN_adj_p(x.dc,y.dc,covariates,lambda_da,permutation=100,slice=TRUE)
> print(test.results)
```

\$statistics

[1] 43.46284

\$p_value

[1] 0.01

\$slicing

```
0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 total
s1 10 0
           0 0
                 0
                   0
                        0
                           0
                               0
                                 0
                                      10
    0 10
          10 0
                 0 0
                        0
                               0
                                      20
    0 0
           0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
                                      70
s3
```

As the difference between 3th and 4th group are not as clear as others, our method can't

distinguish those 2 groups. However, slightly under-slicing doesn't affect the test much, the p-value is still significant.

2.3 A real example

Yatsunenko et al. (2012) conducted a study to investigate whether there exist taxa abundance differences across age and geography, where fecal specimens were collected from 531 healthy infants, children, and adults living in Amazonas (Venezuela), rural Malawi, and USA metropolitan areas.

We combined the OTUs at the family level (242 families) as the dataset taxa_family. Besides, we also included individual's "Age", "Country", "BMI", "Gender" and "Breast.fed" information in dataset individual_index. We can load the data by:

```
> data(individual_index)
> data(taxa_family)
```

We restricte attention to subjects less than 40 years old. To reduce the number of taxa, we discard family-level OTUs that appears in less than 25% of the samples in each population, and those with relative abundance $\leq 0.02\%$ in all samples. Here, we illustrate the test with "Malawi".

```
library(GUniFrac)
>
   index.tmp=subset(individual_index,individual_index$Age<=40&
                      individual_index$Country=="Malawi")
  age=index.tmp$Age
  taxa_age=taxa_family[row.names(index.tmp),]
  taxa_age_sub <- taxa_age[,(colSums(taxa_age != 0) ) >nrow(taxa_age)*0.25]
  taxa_age_sub=taxa_age_sub[,apply(taxa_age_sub, 2,
                                    function(x){max(x)}>sum(taxa_age_sub)*0.0002]
+
  x=Rarefy(taxa_age_sub,depth=10000)$otu.tab.rff #rarefy to the same sequencing depth
 x=x[,colSums(x)>0]
> age.group=c(0,0.25,0.5,0.75,1,1.5,2,2.5,3)
> y=age
  for(i in 1:length(age)){
>
    if(age[i]>3){
      y[i]=ceiling(age[i])}
    for(j in 1:(length(age.group)-1)){
      if(age.group[j] < age[i] & age[i] <= age.group[j+1]){</pre>
        y[i]=age.group[j+1]
      }
```

```
}
+ }
   Then, we perform the adaptive independent test:
   theta_calculate(x) # here theta>0.1, thus we use function AIT_DMN_p
[1] 0.160982
   lambda_d=lambda_selection(method="DMN",x,y,100)
   test.results=AIT_DMN_p(x,y,lambda_d,1000,slice=TRUE)
> print(test.results)
$statistics
[1] 140.0354
$p_value
[1] 0.001
$slicing
   0.25 0.5 0.75
                 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 20 21 23 24 25 26 27 28 32 33 34 38 total
                             0 0 0
s1
          8
               6 11
                       9 0
                                    0
                                        0
                                           0
                                              0
                                                  0
                                                     0
                                                        0
                                                           0
                                                              0
                                                                 0
                                                                          42
               0
s2
      0
          0
                 0
                       0 7 11 2
                                 1
                                     2
                                        2
                                           3
                                               2
                                                  2
                                                     2
                                                        1
                                                           2
                                                              2
                                                                          41
                                                                 1
```

Here p-value is 0.001, indicating a strong association between community composition and age in this population. Adaptive slicing divided the samples into two slices: subjects less than 1.5, and those more than 2 years old. The slicing result is in compatible with the unsupervised learning method: heatmap from hierarchical clustering, with average linkage and Bray-Curtis distance (Song et al., 2019).

References

Eddelbuettel, D., François, R., Allaire, J., Ushey, K., Kou, Q., Russel, N., Chambers, J., and Bates, D. (2011). Rcpp: Seamless r and c++ integration. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 40(8), 1–18.

Song, Y., Zhao, H., and Wang, T. (2019). An adaptive independence test for microbiome community data. Under review.

Yatsunenko, T., Rey, F. E., Manary, M. J., Trehan, I., Dominguez-Bello, M. G., Contreras, M., Magris, M., Hidalgo, G., Baldassano, R. N., Anokhin, A. P., et al. (2012). Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature, 486(7402), 222–227.