

Yasemin Akin
22101782

CS342 OPERATING SYSTEMS
HOMEWORK 2

Q1. 510 700
510 780
620 150
1620 150

Q2. 1300 3000 5300
1000 3000 400

Q3. 51 21 2
51 23 3
51 24 4
51 22 1
51 25 5
10 25 5

* mutex lock used here can cause inconsistent outputs between compilations, the reason why the order of outputs may change.

Q4. RR (q=10)

	A	B	C	D	E
Finish (completion) time	355	345	385	325	305
Turnaround time	355	330	360	280	240
Waiting time	225	250	260	220	195
Response time	0	5	15	25	35

→ 313 on average

SJF

	A	B	C	D	E
Finish time	100	285	385	205	145
Turnaround time	100	270	360	160	80
Waiting time	0	190	260	100	35
Response time	0	190	260	100	35

→ 194 on average

FCFS

	A	B	C	D	E
Finish T.	100	180	280	340	385
Turnaround	100	165	255	295	320
Waiting	0	85	155	235	275
Response	0	85	155	235	275

→ 227 on average

Yasemin Akin 22101782

SRTF

	A	B	C	D	E
Finish Time	285	95	385	200	140
Turnaround T	285	80	360	155	75
Waiting T	185	0	260	95	3
Response T	0	0	260	95	30

→ 191 on average

Comparison of Average Turnaround Times:
 $RR > FCFS > SJF > SRTF$

- Q6. a) CPU utilization is the fraction of time the CPU is busy.
 $P = \lambda / \mu = 25 / 28 \approx 0.893 \rightarrow 89.3\%$ ($40ms = 25/s$)
- b) P_0 is the complement of CPU utilization.
 $P_0 = 1 - P \approx 1 - 0.893 = 0.107 \rightarrow 10.7\%$
- c) $E[R]$ is the inverse of the difference between μ and λ
 $E[R] = 1 / (\mu - \lambda) = 1 / 28 - 25 = 1/3 s \approx 333.33 ms$
- d) $L_q = P^2 / (1 - P) \approx 0.893^2 / (1 - 0.893) \approx 7.45$
- e) $W_q = L_q / \lambda \approx 7.45 / 25 = 0.298 s = 298 ms$
- f) " $E[R]' = 166.67 ms$ " is what is wanted
 $E[R]' = 1 / (\mu - \lambda')$, $\lambda' = 22$

Q7. Disadvantages:

- ① CRASH RISK IS HIGH: Since all user-space threads use the same memory area, if one of them crashes, it will destroy the others' work too. Kernel-space threads on the other hand are more isolated, making them more secure.
- ② Input/Output Blocking: 1 thread in user-space doing I/O operation is able to freeze the whole program since OS sees our program as 1 complete chunk. That is not an issue on kernel-space since OS manages each thread in separate manner.

Advantage:

- ① Speed: More high because system does not spend time to talk to kernel.
- ② More adaptable: Threads in user-space can easily be customized according to users' programs needs, rules can be changed to decide how threads behave and interact.

Yademin Akin 22/10/1982

```

Q8. void request() {
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex); // our mutex that has been created
    while (current-count >= N) { // maximum allowed number of threads
        pthread_cond_wait(&cond-var, &mutex); // condition variable
    }
    current-count++;
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
}

void release() {
    pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
    current-count--;
    pthread_cond_signal(&cond-var);
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
}

```

represent current count of threads

Q 6.

TIME	PROCESSNAME	ACTION	QUEUE	ACTION
0	A	E	H	
1	B	E	H	
2	C	E	H	moves to M
3	A	E	M	goes to I/O
5	B	E	M	goes to I/O
7	C	E	M	continue in M
9	C	E	M	
11	C	E	M	moves to L
15	C	E	L	
19	C	E	L	
23	C	E	L	
25	A B C	PB	H	all back to H
26	A	R I/O	H	
27	B	R I/O	H	
28	A	E	H	
29	B	E	H	
30	C	E	H	

E: execute

PB: priority boost

R I/O: return from I/O operation

Yasemin Akin 22101782

Q9. class GeneralSemaphore :

int val;

BinarySemaphore mutex;

BinarySemaphore condVar;

generalSemaphoreInitialize (GS, initialVal)

GS.val = initialVal;

binarySemaphoreInitialize (GS.mutex, 1);

binarySemaphoreInitialize (GS.condVar, 0);

generalSemaphoreRequest (GS):

binarySemaphoreRequest (GS.mutex):

GS.val --;

if GS.val < 0 then

binarySemaphoreRelease (GS.mutex)

binarySemaphoreRequest (GS.condVar)

else

binarySemaphoreRelease (GS.mutex)

generalSemaphoreRelease (GS)

binarySemaphoreRequest (GS.mutex):

GS.val ++

if (GS.val >= 0) then

binarySemaphoreRelease (GS.condVar)

binarySemaphoreRelease (GS.mutex)

NOTE:

— binarySemaphoreRelease

— binarySemaphoreInitialize

— binarySemaphoreRequest

} methods are accepted to be a part
of BinarySemaphore class provided
by the OS.