

Paper

- **Title:** ST-GAN: Spatial Transformer Generative Adversarial Networks for Image Compositing
- **Authors:** Chen-Hsuan Lin, Ersin Yumer, Oliver Wang, Eli Shechtman, Simon Lucey
- **arXiv link:** <https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.01837>

TL;DR

The paper presents a technique to find geometric corrections to a foreground object such that it appears natural when composited into a background image using a Spatial Transformer Network which is trained on adversarial loss. It is used to place indoor furniture in rooms and placing glasses on real facial portraits.

Problem statement

- Given a background image \mathcal{I}_{bg} and a foreground image \mathcal{I}_{fg} , find a composition of them that looks realistic.
- Note that the background has to remain the same and the transformation has to be applied to the foreground only.
- Appearance differences are not taken into account here i.e. it will not affect the lighting, white balance, shading, contrast and such things because Poisson Blending solves such problems.

Proposed method

- Predicting large displacement warp parameters from image pixels is extremely challenging, so they predict small geometric transformations in an iterative fashion.
- At the i^{th} iteration, given the input image \mathcal{I} and the previous warp state p_{i-1} and a warp update Δp_i , the new warp parameter is:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta p_i &= \mathcal{G}_i(\mathcal{I}_{FG}(p_{i-1}), \mathcal{I}_{BG}) \\ p_i &= p_{i-1} \circ \Delta p_i\end{aligned}$$

Sequential Adversarial Training

- STNs are embedded into a WGAN (Arjovsky et al 2017), where the iterative STN is a generator and a the discriminator is a Fully Convolutional Network.
- \mathcal{G} generates a set of low-dimensional warp parameter updates.
- \mathcal{D} gets as input the warped foreground image composited with the background image.
- Training is also iterative. They start by training a single \mathcal{G}_1 and each subsequent new generator \mathcal{G}_i is added and trained by fixing the weights of all previous generators $\{\mathcal{G}_j\}_{j=1\dots i-1}$
- The WGAN objective is

$$\min_{\mathcal{G}_i} \max_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_{fake}, p_i \sim P_{p_i | p_{i-1}}} [\mathcal{D}(x(p_i))] - \mathbb{E}_{y \sim P_{real}} [\mathcal{D}(y)]$$

- The loss for Generator \mathcal{G} and Discriminator \mathcal{D} are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} &= -\mathbb{E}_{x, p_i} [\mathcal{D}(x(p_i))] + \lambda_{update} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{update} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}} &= \mathbb{E}_{x, p_i} [\mathcal{D}(x(p_i))] - \mathbb{E}_y [\mathcal{D}(y)] + \lambda_{grad} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{grad} \end{aligned}$$

Here, λ_{update} is the penalty weight for the warp update Δp_i to ensure that warp updates are small. and λ_{grad} is the penalty weight for the gradient of Discriminator as suggested in Gulrajani et. al 2017