



Students' Senate (2021-22) Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur Review | UG-SAC



Review of Legislative Members of the Students' Senate

Proposed by: Maryam Khan, Convener, UG-SAC

Conducting the review of the legislative members of the Students' Senate at the will ensure their fruitful functioning and honour the trust that the student body has put in while electing them. This would aid in maintaining student faith and also keep them convinced. The following document describes the reviewing panel, the procedure and the parameters required for the same.

- **Reviewing Panel:**

- President, Student's Gymkhana
- Chairperson, Students' Senate (ex-officio)
- General Secretary, Media and Culture (ex-officio)
- General Secretary, Games and Sports (ex-officio)
- General Secretary, Science and Technology (ex-officio)
- UG Secretary, Academics and Career Council (ex-officio)

- **Procedure:**

- All senators will be required to submit a brief document enlisting their involvements which can be in the form of proposals brought up (and their links attached), their work in the committees involved, or any other relevant task they have done as a senator for the welfare of the student community, or any of the other criteria.
- These documents will be sent as an agenda item to the Reviewing Panel in advance.



Students' Senate (2021-22) Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur Review | UG-SAC



- Following this, a UG-SAC meeting will be called, and the senators will be required to present their reports, followed by questioning by the panel.
- The panel will then send their recommendation to the senate. In case of mid-term review, this shall include, issuing a letter of reprimand or censoring and seizure of voting rights for 2 months depending on the intensity. In case of end-term review this shall include the deratification of the senator.
- The minutes of this meet, like other meets will be made available to the GBM. These reviews will be done along with the council reviews.

- **Parameters:**

- The reviewing process should be based on qualitative judgements, for example, on the effectiveness of proposals and not on merely the number.
- The process should equally take into account all work not done in the form of documents and proposals but also executive and other functions, for example, safety of returning students etc.
- Namely-
 - Number of initiatives and accepted proposals
 - Number of subcommittees he/she is a part of and its individual initiatives
 - Contribution to campus ongoings/student welfare
 - Contribution in any way to the institute teams
 - Additional posts held

Note: The list is not exhaustive and may be added upon as and when required.