

Yash Wanjari

A2_B3_40

Ramdeobaba University (RBU), Nagpur
Department of Computer Science & Engineering and Emerging Technology
Session: 2025-26

Design and Analysis of Algorithms Lab

III Semester

PRACTICAL NO. 1

Aim: Time and complexity analysis of loops for a sensor data monitoring system by generating random sensor readings such as temperature, and pressure. The goal is to analyze and compare the performance of different algorithms.

Data Generation: Simulate Sensor Data Generation

- Generate random sensor data such as:
 - Temperature (°C) — e.g., range: -20 to 50
 - Pressure (hPa) — e.g., range: 950 to 1050
- Store the data in a structured format (e.g., arrays or classes)

Objective: Apply different type of algorithms to study effective design technique

- Find
 - Minimum temperature
 - Maximum pressure
- Measure and analyze execution time for each parameter
- Analyze Time Complexity

Tasks

Task-A: Apply Linear Search Approach

- Implement a linear search algorithm, linear search algorithm ($O(n)$) is used to traverse the data and determine the min/max values for each sensor type.

Task-B: Naive Pairwise Comparison Approach

- For each element, compare it with every other element.
For minVal:
For $i = 0$ to $n-1$: check if $arr[i]$ is less than every other $arr[j]$.
Mark as minimum if it satisfies all conditions.
- Repeat similarly for maxVal.

Expected Output / Report Format

Task	Loop Type	Time Complexity	Parameters	$n = 10^2$	$n = 10^4$	$n = 10^6$
Task-A	Linear	$O(n)$	Temperature	-18.858959	-19.996719	-19.999960
			Pressure	1049.999390	1049.999146	1049.999878
Task-B	Quadratic	$O(n^2)$	Temperature	-19.773798	-19.998169	-19.999971
			Pressure	1048.646729	1049.988159	1049.999878

n=100

```
Task A: Min_Temperature = -18.858959    Max_Pressure = 1049.999390    Time = 0.000003 sec
Task B: Min_Temperature = -19.773798    Max_Pressure = 1048.646729    Time = 0.000006 sec
```

n=10000

```
Task A: Min_Temperature = -19.996719    Max_Pressure = 1049.999146    Time = 0.000050 sec
Task B: Min_Temperature = -19.998169    Max_Pressure = 1049.988159    Time = 0.000480 sec
```

n=1000000

```
Task A: Min_Temperature = -19.999960    Max_Pressure = 1049.999878    Time = 0.005113 sec
Task B: Min_Temperature = -19.999971    Max_Pressure = 1049.999878    Time = 0.077554 sec
```

Task-C:

1. Generate sorted data for temperature (range: 20 to 50)
2. Find the first Occurrence of temperature ≥ 30 .
 - Apply Linear search
 - Apply Binary Search

Task	Algorithm	Time Complexity	$n = 10^2$	$n = 10^4$	$n = 10^6$
Task-C	Linear Search	$O(n)$	30.056683	30.000320	30.000021
	Binary Search	$O(\log n)$	30.772861	30.000179	30.000019

n=100

```
Linear Search: first Occurrence of temperature >= 30 at index 26 is 30.056683
Time taken: 0.000002 secs
Binary Search: first Occurrence of temperature >= 30 at index 37 is 30.772861
Time taken: 0.000001 secs
```

n=10000

```
Linear Search: first Occurrence of temperature >= 30 at index 3379 is 30.000320
Time taken: 0.000011 secs
Binary Search: first Occurrence of temperature >= 30 at index 3371 is 30.000179
Time taken: 0.000002 secs
```

n=1000000

```
Linear Search: first Occurrence of temperature >= 30 at index 333342 is 30.000021
Time taken: 0.000785 secs
Binary Search: first Occurrence of temperature >= 30 at index 333234 is 30.000019
Time taken: 0.000004 secs
```

Self-Study Assignment-1 [Fast Learners]:

1. Consider two algorithms for finding square root of an integer, Babylonian method (Newton-Raphson method, check wiki) and Binary search. Which one is faster and why? Implement both and show comparison of implementation time.

FEW HINTS:

- **Random data generation in a range max to min**
float num = min + ((float) rand() / RAND_MAX) * (max - min); [C Code]
Java: Can be achieved using the java.util.Random class or java.lang.Math.random().
- **Linear Search to min and max: write a function**
 - **Logic:** iterate through the list, comparing each element with the current minimum or max.
 - Set min/max to the first element of the list.
 - If the current element is less than min, update min to the current element.
 - After iterating through the entire list, min will hold the smallest element.
- **Pass the generated array of temp/ pressure**
- **Time computation**
 - Use the clock() function from the <time.h> header.
 - Sample code to compute time for a simple for loop [C Code] is given below.
[in java use System.nanoTime()]

```
#include <stdio.h>
```

```
#include <time.h> // Required for clock_t, clock(), and CLOCKS_PER_SEC
```

```
int main() {
```

```
    clock_t start_time, end_time;
```

```
    double cpu_time_used;
```

```
    int i;
```

```
    long long sum = 0; // Use long long for sum to avoid overflow with large loops
```

```
    // Record the starting time
```

```
    start_time = clock();
```

```

// The loop whose execution time is to be measured
for (i = 0; i < 1000000000; i++) {
    sum += i; // Perform some operation inside the loop
}

// Record the ending time
end_time = clock();

// Calculate the CPU time used
cpu_time_used = ((double) (end_time - start_time)) / CLOCKS_PER_SEC;

printf("Loop finished. Sum: %lld\n", sum);
printf("Time taken by the loop: %f seconds\n", cpu_time_used);

return 0;
}

```

Inferences

- 1) The linear search performed well for lower values of n and gave results quickly. However, as n increased the time started increasing linearly.
- 2) The pairwise approach took longer time to execute for larger datasets like $n = 10^6$. This confirms that algorithms with quadratic complexity are not scalable for real-time monitoring.
- 3) As we can see that in both linear search and pairwise search the execution time varies greatly. The choice of algorithm plays a vital role in designing real-time systems like sensor monitoring applications.
- 4) In Task-C binary search demonstrated a much faster execution time than linear search, especially for large values of n . This validates that it is more suitable for searching in sorted data.