Lesson 2

Nicholas Clark

In the United States, the 1963 Equal Pay Act requires that men and women be given equal pay for equal work and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, relgion, sex, and national origin. How successful have these acts been?

WageRace contains observations from 1987 for a sample of 25,632 males between the age of 18 and 70 who worked full-time along with their years of education, years of experience, race, whether they worked in a standard metropolitan area, and the region of US where they worked.

Primary research question is whether wages for blacks differ significantly from wages for non-blacks?

```
library(tidyverse)
wage.dat<-read.table("http://www.isi-stats.com/isi2/data/Wages.txt",header=T)</pre>
```

Identify the observational units in the study. How many are there?

```
nrow(wage.dat)
```

[1] 25631

```
#head(wage.dat) This gives the first couple of entries
```

Is the wages variable a quantitative or categorical variable?

```
ggplot(wage.dat,aes(x=wage))+geom_histogram(bins=100)
ggplot(wage.dat,aes(y=wage))+geom_boxplot()+coord_flip()
```

Why are we looking at histograms and boxplots rater than a bar graph?

Does anything stand out to you about the boxplot that is less obvious in the histogram?

Which visual, the histogram or boxplot, do you like better? Why?

Which is larger, the mean or the median? How do you know?

Do the wages appear to follow a normal distribution? How do you know?

In this study, the researchers were most interested in whether race explained differences in wages.

Which variable is the explanatory variable? Which is the response variable?

Do you think the explanatory variable explains some variation in the response variable? Do you think it explains all of the variation in the response variable? Why or why not?

```
ggplot(wage.dat,aes(y=wage,x=race))+geom_boxplot()+coord_flip()
wage.dat%>%group_by(race)%>%
  summarise(n=n(), mean=mean(wage), StDev=sd(wage), Minimum=min(wage), Median=median(wage), Maximum=max(wage
## # A tibble: 2 x 7
##
     race
                  n mean StDev Minimum Median Maximum
##
     <fct>
              <int> <dbl> <dbl>
                                   <dbl>
                                           <dbl>
                                                   <dbl>
## 1 black
               1988
                     479.
                            308.
                                    53.8
                                            412.
                                                   3527.
## 2 nonblack 23643 654.
                                    50.4
                                            570.
                            451.
                                                  18777.
```

Consider whether there appears to be an association between wage and race: Does the wage distribution differ substantially between blacks and non-blacks? What is the difference in the mean weekly wages? Can we conclude wage discrimination?

```
ggplot(wage.dat,aes(y=wage,x=educ))+geom_boxplot()+coord_flip()
wage.dat%>%group_by(educ)%>%
  summarise(n=n(), mean=mean(wage), StDev=sd(wage), Minimum=min(wage), Median=median(wage), Maximum=max(wage
## # A tibble: 4 x 7
##
     educ
                        n mean StDev Minimum Median Maximum
                    <int> <dbl> <dbl>
##
     <fct>
                                                <dbl>
                                                        <dbl>
                                         <dbl>
## 1 belowHS
                    1509
                          414.
                                 282.
                                          61.7
                                                 356.
                                                        5144.
```

878.

636.

480.

3601.

18777.

15124.

Suggest an easy way to improve this graphical display to better focus on a trend of increasing salaries with increasing education.

59.3

54.2

50.4

Describe the association between education and wage. Is it as you would have predicted? Explain.

2 beyondCollege

3 college

4 HS

2925

8977

12220 544.

966.

703.

499.

476.

367.

What would need to be true for education level to provide an alternative explanation for why non-blacks in this sample tended to earn more than blacks?

```
ggplot(wage.dat,aes(y=wage,x=educ,fill=race))+geom_boxplot()+coord_flip()
wage.dat%>%group_by(educ,race)%>%
   summarise(mean=mean(wage),StDev=sd(wage))
```

```
## # A tibble: 8 x 4
## # Groups:
              educ [4]
##
    educ
                  race
                            mean StDev
##
    <fct>
                  <fct>
                           <dbl> <dbl>
                            368. 208.
## 1 belowHS
                  black
## 2 belowHS
                  nonblack 419. 289.
## 3 beyondCollege black
                            847. 487.
## 4 beyondCollege nonblack 971. 499.
## 5 college
                  black
                            544. 315.
## 6 college
                  nonblack 714. 483.
## 7 HS
                  black
                            425.
                                  257.
## 8 HS
                  nonblack 556. 374.
```

Is there a difference in the average wage between blacks and non-blacks in the "beyond college" group? Is this difference larger or smaller than when we did not take the education level into account?

Do the lower average wages for blacks compared to non-blacks appear to be consistent across each of the education levels?

If you were to compare the average weekly wage for blacks to the average weekly wage for non-blacks in the same education group, roughly how large would you say that difference is?

How do you respond to the argument that the wage disparity between blacks and non-blacks is really an issue of education level?

Sources of variation diagram:

```
birthwt.dat<-read.csv("births.csv")</pre>
```

Explore:

```
ggplot(aes(x=weight),data=birthwt.dat)+geom_histogram(bins=100)
```

Filter out the unknowns

```
birthwt.clean<-birthwt.dat %>% filter(weight < 8166)
ggplot(aes(x=weight),data=birthwt.clean)+geom_histogram(bins=100)
summary statistics
birthwt.clean%>%summarise(N=n(), Mean=mean(weight), StDev=sd(weight), Min=min(weight), Max=max(weight))
##
          N
                Mean
                        StDev Min Max
## 1 317038 3259.127 592.212 227 8165
If we used the mean to predict future newborn weight how well would we do?
The statistical model would be:
A residual is the value y_i - \hat{y}_i for i = 1, \dots, n. We can find the residuals two different ways:
birthwt.resid<-birthwt.clean%>% mutate(resid=weight-mean(weight))%>%select(resid)
ggplot(aes(x=resid),data=birthwt.resid)+geom_histogram(bins=100)
birthwt.resid%>%summarise(Mean=mean(resid),StdDev=sd(resid))
##
            Mean StdDev
## 1 1.16267e-14 592.212
What is going on? Have we explained any variation?
ggplot(aes(x=weight,color=full.term),data=birthwt.clean)+geom_histogram(fill="white", alpha=0.5, positi
birthwt.clean%>%group_by(full.term)%>%
  summarise(N=n(), Mean=mean(weight), StDev=sd(weight), Min=min(weight), Max=max(weight))
## # A tibble: 2 x 6
##
     full.term
                     N Mean StDev
                                      Min
                                            Max
     <lgl>
                <int> <dbl> <int> <int> <int>
## 1 FALSE
                36963 2494. 796.
                                      227 5670
## 2 TRUE
               280075 3360. 475.
                                      320 8165
Our predicted model becomes:
Standard error:
model<-lm(weight~0+full.term,data=birthwt.clean)</pre>
summary(model)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = weight ~ 0 + full.term, data = birthwt.clean)
```

```
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                               30
                                      Max
## -3040.1 -320.1 -0.1
                            324.9 4804.9
##
## Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## full.termFALSE 2493.793
                               2.720
                                       916.9
                                               <2e-16 ***
## full.termTRUE 3360.132
                               0.988 3400.8
                                               <2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 522.9 on 317036 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9751, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9751
## F-statistic: 6.203e+06 on 2 and 317036 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
Does Mom's BMI impact weight?
birthwt.bmi<-birthwt.clean%>%filter(mom.BMI < 90)
model<-lm(weight~0+full.term*mom.BMI,data=birthwt.bmi)</pre>
summary(model) #I think there's an error in book
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = weight ~ 0 + full.term * mom.BMI, data = birthwt.bmi)
## Residuals:
      Min
               1Q Median
                               3Q
## -2998.8 -313.1
                      0.2
                            321.5 3558.3
## Coefficients:
                         Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## full.termFALSE
                        2409.1457
                                     11.0257 218.502
                                                       <2e-16 ***
## full.termTRUE
                                      4.1467 754.838
                        3130.0804
                                                       <2e-16 ***
## mom.BMI
                                      0.3922
                                               8.719
                                                       <2e-16 ***
                           3.4196
## full.termTRUE:mom.BMI
                           5.2366
                                      0.4202 12.463
                                                       <2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 518.1 on 308021 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9756, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9756
## F-statistic: 3.075e+06 on 4 and 308021 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Sources of variation diagram:

Memorizing Levels

1-20

- 11 Consider histogram, mean, standard deviation, median
- 13 Consider side by side boxplots