

FINAL PROJECT REPORT

Title: Strategic Risk Analysis & Portfolio Optimization

Sector: Financial Services / Peer-to-Peer Lending

Team Details: G-14

Institute / Faculty: Newton School of Technology , Rishihood University

Executive Summary

- **Problem:** The current loan portfolio faces a **1.11% default rate**, with concentrated risk in specific sub-segments (High-risk grades and long-term loans) that threatens overall

profitability.

- **Approach:** We conducted a comprehensive audit of the **LendingClub Q1 2018 dataset** (10,000+ records), utilizing Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) to identify risk drivers across Credit Grades, Tenure, and Loan Purposes.
- **Key Insights:**
 - **Grade F** loans are the highest risk category with a **10.34% default rate**.
 - **60-month loans** carry significantly higher risk (**1.49% default**) compared to 36-month loans (**0.95%**).
 - **House loans** underperform with a **3.97% default rate**.
- **Key Recommendations:** Implement a strategic exclusion policy capping **Debt-to-Income (DTI)** at **≤25%** for **60-month loans**.
- **Impact:** Projected **risk reduction from 1.11% to ~0.9%**, safeguarding an estimated **\$3.4M in principal**.

Sector & Business Context

- **Sector Overview:** The Peer-to-Peer (P2P) lending market has grown rapidly, offering borrowers alternative financing and investors higher yields. However, it faces inherent credit risks due to unsecured lending.
- **Current Challenges:** Rising default rates in sub-prime segments and the difficulty of accurately pricing risk for long-term (60-month) loans.
- **Why this problem was chosen:** Optimizing the portfolio to balance **Yield (12.43%)** against **Default Risk (1.11%)** is critical for sustainable growth and investor confidence.

Problem Statement & Objectives

- **Formal Problem Definition:** Identify specific cohorts within the loan portfolio that disproportionately contribute to the **1.11% default rate** and develop a strategy to minimize these defaults without significantly eroding the **12.43% average yield**.
- **Project Scope:** Analysis of the **\$163,619,225** portfolio from Q1 2018, focusing on credit grade, term, purpose, and DTI.
- **Success Criteria:** A measurable reduction in the projected default rate and identification of at least **\$1M+** in principal protection.

Data Description

- **Source:** LendingClub Q1 2018 Dataset.
- **Data Structure:** Structured tabular data (Rows: Loans, Columns: Attributes).

- **Columns Explanation:** Key variables include loan_status (Target), grade, sub_grade, term, purpose, dti, int_rate, and annual_inc.
- **Data Size:** 10,000+ loan records with 50+ variables.
- **Data Limitations:** Historical data may not fully predict future economic downturns; limited to Q1 2018 snapshot.

Data Cleaning & Preparation

- **Missing Values Handling:** Imputed or removed records with critical missing fields (e.g., dti, loan_status).
- **Outlier Treatment:** Analyzed annual_inc and dti for extreme values that could skew averages.
- **Transformations:** Converted term (e.g., " 36 months") to numeric format for correlation analysis.
- **Feature Engineering:** Created default_flag (1 for Default/Charged Off, 0 for Fully Paid) to facilitate binary classification analysis.
- **Assumptions:** "Charged Off" and "Default" statuses are treated as loss events.

KPI & Metric Framework

| KPI | Definition | Formula | Why it Matters |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|
| Default Rate | Percentage of loans that have failed to pay. | (Count of Defaults / Total Loans) * 100 | Primary measure of portfolio risk (Current: 1.11%). |
| Average Yield | Mean interest rate across the portfolio. | Average(int_rate) | Measure of return on investment (Current: 12.43%). |
| Portfolio Value | Total principal amount of all loans. | Sum(loan_amnt) | Context for impact estimation (Current: \$163.6M). |
| Loss Given Default | Estimated loss when a default occurs. | Loan Amount * (1 - Recovery Rate) | Critical for financial impact modeling. |

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

- **Trend Analysis (Default by Grade):**
 - **Grade A:** 0.37% (Safe)
 - **Grade B:** 0.72%
 - **Grade C:** 1.24%
 - **Grade D:** 2.14%
 - **Grade E:** 2.69%
 - **Grade F:** **10.34%** (Highest risk concentration)
 - **Grade G:** 8.33%
 - *Insight:* Grades F and G represent a disproportionate risk relative to their volume.
- **Comparison Analysis (Term):**
 - **36 Months:** 0.95% Default Rate.
 - **60 Months:** **1.49% Default Rate.**
 - *Insight:* Longer tenure increases exposure to default risk by **~57%**.
- **Distribution Analysis (Purpose):**

- **House Loans: 3.97% Default Rate** (Significantly higher than portfolio average of 1.11%).
- *Insight:* Real estate-linked loans in this dataset underperform standard personal loans .

Advanced Analysis

- **Risk Segmentation:** By cross-referencing **Term (60 months)** with **DTI (>25%)**, we isolated a specific "Toxic" sub-segment responsible for a large portion of losses.
- **Scenario Analysis:**
 - *Scenario A (Status Quo):* Portfolio Default Rate remains at **1.11%**.
 - *Scenario B (Optimization):* Excluding 60-month loans with DTI >25% reduces the Default Rate to **~0.9%**, saving **\$3.4M** in principal .

Dashboard Design

- **Dashboard Objective:** Monitor real-time portfolio health, focusing on Default Rate and Yield by Grade and Term.
- **View Structure:**
 - *Summary Card:* Total Value (\$163.6M), Default Rate (1.11%).
 - *Charts:* Bar chart for "Default Rate by Grade," Pie chart for "Portfolio by Term."
- **Filters & Drilldowns:** Filter by Grade, Term (36/60), and State to isolate pockets of risk.
- **Screenshots:** [Insert Screenshot of Google Sheets Dashboard here]

Insights Summary

1. **Grade F is the primary risk driver** with a default rate of **10.34%**, 9x higher than the portfolio average.
2. **60-month loans are riskier** (1.49% default) than 36-month loans (0.95%).
3. **House loans perform poorly** (3.97% default), suggesting mispricing or adverse selection.
4. **High DTI correlates with default**, especially in longer-term loans.
5. **Grade A and B are stable anchors**, with default rates under 0.8%.
6. **Yield does not always compensate for risk** in Grade F, where losses (10%+) erode the high interest yield.
7. **Portfolio Volume is healthy (\$163M)**, but quality needs optimization.
8. **Strategic exclusion** is more effective than broad rate hikes for risk management.

Recommendations

- **Recommendation 1:** Cap Debt-to-Income (DTI) ratio at **25%** for all **60-month** loan applications.
 - *Insight:* High DTI borrowers on long terms default at significantly higher rates.
 - *Business Impact:* Reduces overall default rate; feasible to implement in underwriting rules.
- **Recommendation 2:** Review pricing or tighten criteria for **Grade F** and **House** loans.
 - *Insight:* These segments have default rates (10.34% and 3.97%) that threaten profitability.
 - *Business Impact:* Improves net margin by avoiding "bad" revenue.

Impact Estimation

- **Reduce Risk:** Implementation of the DTI cap and Grade F review is projected to lower the portfolio default rate from **1.11%** to approximately **0.90%**.
- **Principal Protection:** By avoiding these high-probability defaults, the firm preserves an estimated **\$3,400,000** in principal capital per annum (based on the \$163M portfolio size).
- **Improve Efficiency:** Automated DTI flags will reduce manual underwriting time for high-risk applications.

Limitations

- **Data Issues:** The dataset is limited to Q1 2018; economic conditions may have shifted.
- **Assumption Risks:** Assumes that past default patterns (e.g., in Grade F) will persist in the future.
- **What cannot be concluded:** We cannot definitively predict the impact of external macro-economic shocks (e.g., recession) on Grade A defaults.

15. Future Scope

- **What more analysis can be done:** Develop a Logistic Regression or Random Forest model to predict individual loan default probabilities.
- **What new data is needed:** Real-time credit bureau data and borrower employment history validation to refine DTI calculations.

Conclusion

The analysis of the **\$163M** portfolio identifies specific, actionable levers to improve profitability. By addressing the concentration of risk in **Grade F**, **60-month terms**, and **High DTI** borrowers, the firm can reduce its default rate to **~0.9%** and protect **\$3.4M** in capital, achieving a more sustainable balance between risk and reward.

Appendix

- **Data Dictionary:** [Link](#)
- **Extra Charts:** Distribution of Loan Amounts, Interest Rate vs. Grade Scatter Plot.

18. Contribution Matrix

| Team Member | Dataset & Sourcing | Cleaning | KPI & Analysis | Dashboard | Report Writing | PPT | Overall Role |
|------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|--------|----------------|
| Abhigya Sachdeva | medium | medium | high | high | low | low | Dashboard lead |
| Udit Jain | medium | low | medium | medium | low | low | Strategy lead |
| Yashpal | medium | high | medium | high | low | low | Analysis lead |
| Karan Chhillar | high | high | low | low | low | high | Data lead |
| Rishav Dewan | medium | low | low | low | high | medium | Ppt lead |
| Rishiwant Kumar Maurya | medium | low | medium | low | low | medium | Project lead |

Declaration: We confirm that the above contribution details are accurate and verifiable through version history and submitted artifacts.