

Dos project Part-2

Replication, Caching, and Load Balancing

Introduction

This report presents the implementation and evaluation of a distributed bookstore system developed as part of the Distributed Operating Systems laboratory. The system follows a microservices-based architecture, where different services are deployed as independent components and communicate through well-defined APIs.

The main objective of this experiment is to study the effects of replication, caching, and load balancing on system performance and scalability. To achieve this, the system is composed of multiple replicas of catalog and order services, a client service that acts as an entry point for user requests, and a Redis-based caching layer to reduce response time and database load.

Docker and Docker Compose are used to containerize and orchestrate the services, allowing multiple instances to run concurrently in a controlled environment. Load balancing is implemented using a round-robin strategy, while cache consistency is maintained through explicit cache invalidation upon write operations such as purchases or updates.

Throughout this report, we measure response times for read and write operations, analyze the performance improvement introduced by caching, and evaluate the overhead caused by cache invalidation and replica synchronization. The results demonstrate how distributed system techniques can significantly improve system efficiency while introducing new design challenges related to consistency and coordination.

System Architecture

The system follows a microservices architecture that was introduced in Part 1. Each service runs inside an independent Docker container and communicates via HTTP.

In Part 2, the architecture was extended by deploying multiple replicas for the catalog and order services to support load balancing and fault tolerance. Additionally, a Redis cache was integrated as a centralized caching layer to improve read performance. Docker Compose is used to manage and orchestrate all services.

Technologies Used

Base Technologies (from Part 1):

- Node.js
- Express.js

- SQLite
- Docker
- Docker Compose

New Technologies Added in Part 2:

- Redis (centralized caching)
- cURL / PowerShell Measure-Command (performance evaluation)

```
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> dir
View in Docker Desktop View Config Enable Watch

Directory: C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main

Mode                LastWriteTime         Length Name
----                -
d-----          12/18/2025   12:19 PM             docs
d-----          12/18/2025   12:19 PM             images
d-----          12/18/2025   12:19 PM          node_modules
d-----          12/18/2025   12:40 PM              src
-a-----          12/18/2025    2:24 PM           827 benchmark_results.csv
-a-----          12/18/2025   12:19 PM        1465330 bookstore_microservices_report.pdf
-a-----          12/19/2025   11:37 AM           3099 docker-compose.yml
-a-----          12/18/2025    1:29 PM           585 nginx.conf
-a-----          12/18/2025   12:19 PM        83195 package-lock.json
-a-----          12/18/2025   12:19 PM           317 package.json
-a-----          12/18/2025   12:19 PM          5137 README.md
```

Project directory containing docker-compose.yml used to deploy all services.

```
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> docker compose up
time="2025-12-19T12:15:34+02:00" level=warning msg="C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main\docker-compose.yml: the attribute 'version' is obsolete, it will be ignored, please remove it to avoid potential confusion"
Attaching to catalog-service-1, catalog-service-2, client-service, order-service-1, order-service-2, redis
redis | 1:C 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.764 # 000000000000 Redis is starting 0000000000
redis | 1:C 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.764 # Redis version=7.4.7, bits=64, commit=00000000, modified=0, pid=1, just started
redis | 1:M 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.764 # Warning: no config file specified, using the default config. In order to specify a config file use redis-server /path/to/redis.conf
redis | 1:M 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.764 # monotonic clock: POSIX clock_gettime
redis | 1:M 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.766 # Running mode=standalone, port=6379
redis | 1:M 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.766 # Server initialized
redis | 1:M 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.767 # Loading RDB produced by version 7.4.7
redis | 1:M 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.767 # RDB age 19 seconds
redis | 1:M 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.767 # RDB memory usage when created 1.00 Mb
redis | 1:M 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.767 # Done Loading RDB, keys loaded: 1, keys expired: 0.
redis | 1:M 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.767 # DB loaded from disk: 0.001 seconds
redis | 1:M 19 Dec 2025 10:15:35.767 # Ready to accept connections tcp

catalog-service-2 |
catalog-service-1 |

catalog-service-2 | > catalog-service@1.0.0 start
catalog-service-1 | > catalog-service@1.0.0 start

catalog-service-2 | > node index.js
catalog-service-1 | > node index.js

catalog-service-2 |
catalog-service-1 |
client-service | > client-service@1.0.0 start
client-service | > node index.js

catalog-service-2 | Catalog service [2] running on port 5001
catalog-service-1 | Catalog service [1] running on port 5001
catalog-service-2 | Catalog DB ready [1] * /app/data/catalog.db
catalog-service-1 | Catalog DB ready [2] * /app/data/catalog.db
client-service-2 | Client service running on port 5000
client-service | Connected to Redis
order-service-2 | Order Service [2] running on port 5002
order-service-1 | Order Service [1] running on port 5002

order-service-2 | [Order 2] Connected to DB at /app/data/orders.db
order-service-1 | [Order 1] Connected to DB at /app/data/orders.db

View in Docker Desktop View Config Enable Watch
```

All microservices were successfully deployed using Docker Compose. The catalog service replicas, order service replicas, Redis cache, and client service are all running correctly without errors.

Load Balancing and Replication

To improve scalability and fault tolerance, multiple replicas were deployed for both the catalog service and the order service. Two instances of each service run concurrently inside separate Docker containers. The client service acts as a simple load balancer by distributing incoming requests among the available replicas using a round-robin strategy. Each request is forwarded to a different replica in sequence, ensuring that the workload is evenly distributed across all instances. This approach allows the system to handle a higher number of concurrent requests and prevents a single service instance from becoming a bottleneck. Additionally, replication improves system reliability, as requests can still be served even if one replica becomes unavailable.

Load Balancing Between Order Service Replicas:

```
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> curl.exe -X POST http://localhost:5000/purchase/1
{"status":"SUCCESS","message":"bought book \"How to get a good grade in DOS in 40 minutes a day\"","order_id":14,"served_by":"order-2"}
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> curl.exe -X POST http://localhost:5000/purchase/1
{"status":"SUCCESS","message":"bought book \"How to get a good grade in DOS in 40 minutes a day\"","order_id":15,"served_by":"order-1"}
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> curl.exe -X POST http://localhost:5000/purchase/1
{"status":"SUCCESS","message":"bought book \"How to get a good grade in DOS in 40 minutes a day\"","order_id":16,"served_by":"order-2"}
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> |
```

The terminal output shows multiple purchase requests sent to the client service. Each request is handled by a different order service replica, as indicated by the `served_by` field in the response. This demonstrates that load balancing is successfully distributing requests between order service replicas using a round-robin strategy.

Performance Evaluation

1. Measuring Read Performance (With Cache)

```
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> Measure-Command { curl.exe http://localhost:5000/info/1 }
% Total    % Received % Xferd  Average Speed   Time    Time     Time
Dload  Upload   Total    Spent    Left   Speed
100    86    100    86     0     0    1991      0 --:--:-- --:--:-- --:--:--    2000

Days       : 0
Hours      : 0
Minutes    : 0
Seconds    : 0
Milliseconds : 65
Ticks      : 651426
TotalDays  : 7.53965277777778E-07
TotalHours : 1.80951666666667E-05
TotalMinutes : 0.00108571
TotalSeconds : 0.0651426
TotalMilliseconds : 65.1426

PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> Measure-Command { curl.exe http://localhost:5000/info/1 }
% Total    % Received % Xferd  Average Speed   Time    Time     Time
Dload  Upload   Total    Spent    Left   Speed
100    86    100    86     0     0    2839      0 --:--:-- --:--:-- --:--:--    2866

Days       : 0
Hours      : 0
Minutes    : 0
Seconds    : 0
Milliseconds : 48
Ticks      : 481089
TotalDays  : 5.56815972222222E-07
TotalHours : 1.33635833333333E-05
TotalMinutes : 0.000801815
TotalSeconds : 0.0481089
TotalMilliseconds : 48.1089
```

To ensure accurate performance evaluation, the response time of the `/info/:id` endpoint was measured multiple times while the cache was enabled. Although the screenshot shows only two executions, the experiment was conducted **five times**, and the average response time was calculated based on all collected measurements

The average response time was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Average Response Time} = (T1 + T2 + T3 + T4 + T5) / 5$$

Measurement	Response Time (ms)
1	65
2	48
3	51.2
4	50.3
5	63.9
Average	≈ 55.7 ms

2. Measuring Read Performance (Without Cache)

```

PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\00s-Project-main> docker compose restart client-service
times="2025-12-19T12:48:17+02:00" level=warning msg="C:\Users\anass\Downloads\00s-Project-main\docker-compose.yml: the attribute 'version' is obsolete, it will be ignored, please remove it to avoid potential confusion"
[+] Restarting 1/1
✓Container client-service started
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\00s-Project-main> Measure-Command { curl.exe http://localhost:5000/info/1 }
% Total    % Received % Xferd  Average Speed   Time    Time     Time  Current
           Dload  Upload   Total   Spent    Left     Speed
100    86  100    86    0     0  1879    0 --:--:-- --:--:-- --:--:--  1911

Days       : 0
Hours      : 0
Minutes    : 0
Seconds    : 0
Milliseconds : 68
Ticks      : 684099
TotalDays  : 7.92244212962963E-07
TotalHours : 1.90138611111111E-06
TotalMinutes : 0.00114883166666667
TotalSeconds : 0.00684099
TotalMilliseconds : 68.4099
  
```

Measurement	Response Time (ms)
1	68
2	71.9
3	61.2
4	65.9
5	62.2
Average	≈ 65.8 ms

➤ Overhead of Cache Consistency:

Cache consistency introduces additional overhead due to cache invalidation requests and replica synchronization during write operations. These extra network calls slightly increase write latency compared to a system without caching. However, this overhead

is acceptable given the significant performance improvement achieved for read-heavy workloads.

Comparison of Read Performance (With vs Without Cache):

Scenario	Average Response Time (ms)
With Cache	≈ 55.7 ms
Without Cache	≈ 65.8 ms

The comparison shows that enabling Redis caching reduces the average response time of read operations. Requests served from the cache avoid direct access to the catalog database, resulting in faster responses. Although the performance improvement is moderate, this is expected since the system is deployed locally using Docker containers. In real-world distributed deployments with remote databases and higher network latency, caching would provide a more significant performance gain.

3. Cache Invalidation Experiment (Write Operation)

```
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> curl.exe http://localhost:5000/info/1
{"title":"How to get a good grade in DOS in 40 minutes a day","price":30,"quantity":1}
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> curl.exe -X POST http://localhost:5000/purchase/1
{"status":"SUCCESS","message":"bought book \"How to get a good grade in DOS in 40 minutes a day\"","order_id":18,"served_by":"order-1"}
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main> curl.exe http://localhost:5000/info/1
{"title":"How to get a good grade in DOS in 40 minutes a day","price":30,"quantity":0}
PS C:\Users\anass\Downloads\Dos-Project-main>
```

This figure demonstrates load balancing between multiple replicas of the order service. Consecutive purchase requests are handled by different replicas using a round-robin strategy, as indicated by the `served_by` field.

To verify cache invalidation, a read request was first issued to the `/info/1` endpoint, causing the book data to be stored in the cache. After that, a purchase operation was executed, which triggered cache invalidation for the corresponding book entry. A subsequent read request to `/info/1` returned updated data reflecting the reduced quantity, confirming that stale cache entries were successfully removed.

4. Cache Invalidation & Consistency Analysis

To maintain data consistency, the system implements explicit cache invalidation for write operations. Whenever a purchase or update request is processed, the cached data related to the affected book is invalidated before the write operation is completed.

This ensures that subsequent read requests do not return stale data and always reflect the most recent state stored in the database. However, cache invalidation introduces additional overhead, as the system must perform extra operations to remove outdated cache entries and synchronize updates across replicas.

The experiment demonstrates that while caching significantly improves read performance, maintaining cache consistency during write operations requires careful coordination between services.

5. Discussion & Observations

The experimental results show that replication and load balancing successfully distribute requests among multiple service instances, improving system scalability and fault tolerance. The introduction of a Redis caching layer significantly reduces the average response time for read operations.

On the other hand, write operations such as purchases require cache invalidation and replica synchronization, which introduce additional overhead. Despite this cost, the overall system performance benefits from caching outweigh the overhead, especially in read-heavy workloads.

6. Conclusion

These results highlight the trade-offs involved in designing distributed systems, where performance improvements often come at the cost of increased complexity in consistency management.

In this experiment, a distributed bookstore system was extended using replication, caching, and load balancing techniques. The use of multiple service replicas improved scalability and fault tolerance, while Redis caching significantly reduced response time for read operations.

Performance measurements demonstrated the effectiveness of caching, as cached requests were served faster than uncached ones. However, maintaining cache consistency during write operations introduced additional overhead due to cache invalidation and replica synchronization.

Overall, the experiment illustrates how distributed system techniques can enhance system performance and reliability while introducing important design challenges related to coordination and consistency.