

# PERUI: A General Framework of Reduced Variance Stochastic Gradient Gradient and the Hybrid Implementation

**Yawei Zhao**  
School of Computer  
National University  
of Defense Technology  
Changsha, China, 410073

**Yuewei Ming**  
School of Computer  
National University  
of Defense Technology  
Changsha, China, 410073

**Jianping Yin**  
School of Computer  
National University  
of Defense Technology  
Changsha, China, 410073

**Algorithm 1** The general framework of variance reduced SGD: PERUI

---

**Require:**  $\omega^0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .  $\forall i \in [n]$ , and  $[n]$  represents  $1, 2, \dots, n$ .

- 1: **Probability:**  $[i_t] \leftarrow \mathcal{P}([n])$  where  $i_t \in 1, 2, \dots, n$ .  $t$  is a positive integer;
- 2: **Epoch:** the sequence of the epoch size  $\{m^0, m^1, \dots, m^S\} \leftarrow \mathcal{E}([i_t])$ ;
- 3: **for**  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, S$  **do**
- 4:    $\omega_0^s = \tilde{\omega}^s$ ;
- 5:    $g = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla f_i(\tilde{\omega}^s)$ ;
- 6:   the size of the next epoch:  $m^s$ ;
- 7:   **for**  $t < m^s$  **do**
- 8:     **Reduced** **variance:**  
 $v = \mathcal{R}(\nabla f_{i_t}(\omega_{i_t}^t) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{\omega}^s))$ ;
- 9:      $\gamma_t^s = v + g$ ;
- 10:    **Update:**  $\omega_{t+1}^s = \mathcal{U}(\eta_t, \omega_t^s, \gamma_t^s)$ ;
- 11:    **Identificaton:**  $\tilde{\omega}^{s+1} \leftarrow \mathcal{I}([w_j^s])$  with  
 $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m^s\}$ ;
- return**  $\tilde{\omega}^S$ ;

---

## Introduction

### Related work

#### The general hybrid framework of SGD

Conventionally, each  $f_i(\omega)$  in the optimization problem ?? is a  $L$ -smooth function. That is,  $\exists$  a non-negative  $L$ , and  $\forall a$  and  $b$ , the following inequality holds.

$$f_i(a) \leq f_i(b) + \nabla f_i(b)^T(a - b) + \frac{L}{2} \|a - b\|^2 \quad (1)$$

Besides, the loss function  $F(\omega)$  in the optimization problem ?? is  $\gamma$ -strongly convex, which means that  $\exists$  a non-negative  $\gamma$ , and  $\forall a$  and  $b$ , the following inequality holds.

$$F(a) \geq F(b) + \nabla F(b)^T(a - b) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|a - b\|^2 \quad (2)$$

#### Example: HybridSVRG

##### Convergence analysis

##### Optimization

##### Constant learning rate with an acceleration factor

##### Adaptive update sharing strategy

##### Discussion

##### Performance evaluation

In this section, we evaluate the performance of HybridSVRG by using a  $l_2$ -regularized logistic regression on four datasets, namely, dna<sup>4</sup>, epsilon<sup>5</sup>, SUSY<sup>6</sup>, KDDCup2010<sup>7</sup>.

$$\min \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(-y_i \omega^T x_i)) + \lambda \|\omega\|^2 \quad (3)$$

. Here,  $n$  is the size of the training data.

The following algorithms will be used for comparison.

- **DownpourSGD:** An asynchronous version of SGD which is used to train neural network (Dean et al. 2012).
- **PetuumSGD:** The distributed version of SGD is implemented by using the asynchronous communication protocol, i.e., SSP (Xing et al. 2015). The learning rate in PetuumSGD is decayed with a fixed factor 0.95 at the end of an epoch.
- **SSGD:** It is the state-of-the-art distributed version of SGD, which adopts the variance reduction technique (Zhang, 0004, and Kwok 2015). The update rule in the SSGD has a variable  $\theta$  which is used to update the parameters asynchronously. The details of SSGD can be referred in (Zhang, 0004, and Kwok 2015). Here, we set  $\theta = 0.5$ .
- **HSAG:** A hybrid SGD which is proposed in (Reddi et al. 2015).
- **KroMagnon:** A lock-free version of SGD which adopts variance reduction technique, and is proposed in (Mania et al. 2015).

<sup>4</sup> <ftp://largescale.ml.tu-berlin.de/largescale>

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvmtools/datasets/binary.html#epsilon>

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvmtools/datasets/binary.html#SUSY>

<sup>7</sup> <https://pslcdatashop.web.cmu.edu/KDDCup/downloads.jsp>

- **HybridSVRG-lock:**
- **HybridSVRG:**

**Convergence**

**Speedup**

**Wait time**

**Parallel threads**

## **Conclusion**

## **References**

Dean, J.; Corrado, G.; Monga, R.; 0010, K. C.; Devin, M.; Le, Q. V.; Mao, M. Z.; Ranzato, M.; Senior, A. W.; Tucker, P. A.; Yang, K.; and Ng, A. Y. 2012. Large Scale Distributed Deep Networks. NIPS 1232–1240.

Mania, H.; Pan, X.; Papailiopoulos, D.; Recht, B.; Ramchandran, K.; and Jordan, M. I. 2015. Perturbed Iterate Analysis for Asynchronous Stochastic Optimization. CoRR abs/1511.08486 stat.ML.

Reddi, S. J.; Hefny, A.; Sra, S.; Póczos, B.; and Smola, A. 2015. On Variance Reduction in Stochastic Gradient Descent and its Asynchronous Variants. arXiv.

Xing, E. P.; Yu, Y.; Ho, Q.; Dai, W.; Kim, J. K.; Wei, J.; Lee, S.; Zheng, X.; Xie, P.; and Kumar, A. 2015. Petuum: A New Platform for Distributed Machine Learning on Big Data . In SIGKDD.

Zhang, R.; 0004, S. Z.; and Kwok, J. T. 2015. Asynchronous Distributed Semi-Stochastic Gradient Optimization. CoRR abs/1508.01633.

Table 1: Design details

[illegible]



