

CRISP-IM for Research

Yazan Alnakri, Osama Orabi, Amine Trabelsi, Abdurahmon Abdukhamidov, Hamza Shafee Aldaghstany

Keywords: CRISP-DM, Airbnb, Dynamic Pricing

Project goal. We will discuss in this report business understanding and data understanding phases for the research proposal system data mining project. The content is created according to the CRISP-DM standard and will be presented using the same order and titles.

1 Business Understanding

1.1 Business Objectives

1.1.1 Background

Research industry is growing widely over time, universities around the globe compete in ranking indices to pursue more people and researchers for their cooperation, PhD students struggle the most when choosing their point of interest, governmental authorities pay annually massive amounts for institutes for the promise on research publications. All of the previous topics are correlated with the issue of predicting successful research. A successful research has many metrics, however, we will dive only to the simple metric of citation rate as it is most used and to simplify our idea.

1.1.2 Business Objectives

The primary business objective of this project is to identify the optimal research strategy, whether it is for a single research or a cluster of such. By analyzing historical data and incorporating industry and research markets, we will be able to get better predictions on what comes next in the field of knowledge. This also means that we might not only find the best research areas and topics to work with, but possibly create new subdomains of research in a particular area.

1.1.3 Business Success Criteria

Business success will be measured using multiple metrics. A key indicator we will use is citation rate growth, assessed through analysis of timely citations from publishers. The main goal is to ensure that the research recommendations lead to a noticeable improvement in citations while maintaining number of publications, therefore confirming the effectiveness of the research strategy not creating impossible ideas.

1.2 Situation Assessment

1.2.1 Inventory of Resources

The project is currently managed by a team of 5 people. . The computing resources are available via Kaggle, and the software stack consists of Python, pytorch-geometric, pytorch, scikit-learn, pandas, numpy, matplotlib, ollama, Docker, Flask, Hugging Face, and Gradio.

1.2.2 Requirements, Assumptions, and Constraints

The project must be completed within a defined timeline, with each phase following the CRISP-DM methodology. The model must balance accuracy with interpretability, providing clear insights into pricing decisions. Additionally, a deep learning approach will be utilized to compare performance and the possibility of moving to such approach.

Comprehensibility is nessessary as the application must be designed so that its output can be understood by users without specialized technical knowledge. Regarding legal and security issues, it is important to note that some datasets are restricted to educational use only, and alternative data sources will be required if the project moves toward commercial implementation. However, we will currently work on the arxiv dataset only to demonstrate a proof of concept.

It is assumed that the dataset accurately represents the current trends in the research market. Moreover, live data from arxiv can be accessible via the pipeline suggested in our secondary reference article, removing the need of the development of web crawlers to update property information.

Finally, given the minimal computational resources, only average size and cost-effective models may be applied.

1.2.3 Risks and Contingencies

There are several risks associated with the project. Data quality issues represent a low-risk, high-impact factor, which will be mitigated by thorough data cleaning and merging procedures. Unknown market trends pose a medium risk with high impact, and the approach to address this will involve designing an algorithm that dynamically captures and adapts to market changes. The imperfection of performing A/B across different groups as members must remain consistent for all teams, this risk has been identified as a low-risk, medium-impact factor; this will be countered by using test samples of consistent teams only. Table 1 summarizes main events and how to handle them.

Event	Risk	Impact	Action
Data Quality Issues	Low	High	Data cleaning and merging procedures
Unknown Market Trends	Medium	High	Dynamic algorithm capturing market changes
A/B Testing Imperfection	Low	Medium	Using test samples of consistent teams

Table 1: Risk Analysis Table

1.2.4 Terminology

Within the business context, key terms include timely citations, which means average citation per period of time (period is a variable that we change to compare with the researcher's contributions before and after our methodology).

Also, trends refer to the variations in demand of research with respect to current technologies in the industry.

In the domain of data mining, interpretability means the ability to understand the models predictions. Size of model is simply the number of parameters it uses. Dynamic Topic Modeling (DTM) is the process of analysing evolution of topics over time for the sake of creating new (unobserverd) topics. Impact range (IR) is a threshold representing the minimum number of citations a concept pair must accumulate within a specified time frame[cite this].

1.2.5 *Costs and Benefits*

The costs involved in this project encompass development expenses such as computational units, data acquisition (if purchasing additional datasets becomes necessary), and hosting of the service online to showcase our solution.

On the benefits side, optimizing the research topic strategy is expected to increase revenue for Universities from governmental grants, which themselves would optimize their grants strategy depending on our metrics. Additionally, the use of data-driven decision making replaces reliance on intuition, providing a systematic approach to publish papers based on multiple relevant factors.

1.3 *Determining Data Mining Goals*

1.3.1 *Data Mining Goals*

The data mining goal of this project is to: 1) determine the nodes in the graph (articles) that will become popular in the soon future. 2) determine missing links between nodes from different clusters or subcluster to create a new node in between as a bridge for a new ideology in research.

1.3.2 *Data Mining Success Criteria*

For determining success of our methodology we have to evaluate separate stages on their own. First we will evaluate the successful paper classifier on data that is x number of years after papers in the training set. We will set a simple initial success criteria for the classifier, which is reaching more than 0.9 accuracy which is relatively better than average person. Second, for the node embeddin

1.3.3 *Business Success Criteria*

From a business perspective, success will be achieved if the implemented pricing strategy leads to increased on average increase in citations per paper per month. This evaluation will only take place after 3 months. Although an evaluation of success for research articles should take longer as some papers take more time to be discovered, we will tune our algorithm to bend towards learning about ideas that got quickly into the market.

1.4 Producing a Project Plan

Phase	Duration	Description
Business Understanding	2 weeks	Define business objectives, key success criteria, and potential risks. Identify available resources and constraints.
Data Understanding	1 weeks	Collect datasets, perform exploratory data analysis (EDA), and identify patterns and missing values.
Data Preparation	3 weeks	Clean data, handle missing values, engineer features, and merge multiple datasets for better insights.
Modeling	1 week	Select and train machine learning models for dynamic pricing. Optimize hyperparameters and apply explainability techniques.
Evaluation	1 week	Assess model performance with respect to the success criteria.
Deployment	1 week	Deploy the trained model as an API.
Monitoring & Maintenance	Ongoing	Continuously track model performance, retrain models with new data, and refine pricing strategies as needed.

Table 2: Project Timeline and Phases

1.4.1 Project Plan

The project plan is structured into several phases, all according to the CRISP-DM standard. Table 2 shows the main phases and the expected timelapse. While the process appears linear, in practice, multiple iterations and feedback loops may occur. Also, our data understanding and business understanding are currently running in parallel as we keep finding more insights and ideas. Regarding examples on running multiple iterations and feedback loop, let's say the evaluation phase reveals suboptimal model performance, then adjustments may be required in the data preparation or modeling phases.

Additionally, monitoring and maintainance will be an ongoing process, ensuring adaptiveness to the market changes over time. Periodic model retraining and, in extreme cases, feature updates will be done to maintain and enhance accuracy.

1.4.2 Initial Assessment of Tools and Techniques

A variety of tools and techniques have been identified for the successful execution of this project. Kaggle will serve as the primary platform for computation, while Python and its associated libraries (pytorch-geometric, scikit-learn, pandas, numpy, and matplotlib) will be used for the analysis and modeling. Docker and Flask will be used for deployment, and tools such as Hugging Face and Gradio for the creating an accessible UI to the service.

2 Data Understanding

2.1 Collection of Initial Data

We have used the dataset provided from the course team initially, furthermore, we incorporated a graph dataset obtained from Kaggle to analyze citation relationships between papers.

Furthermore, we plan to enrich the dataset with additional author-related metrics, such as the h-index and citation counts. However, this data extraction process has not yet been performed.

Finally, additional metadata will be integrated for more accurate idea generation and embedding space. This metadata will include for every paper the problem it is solving, the suggested solution, and the solution's limitations. Limitations will need to be gathered from limitations section in the paper if available and from the papers that cited the target paper.

2.2 Data Description

The "arXiv Metadata" dataset provides a comprehensive collection of research paper metadata from the arXiv repository, making it a valuable resource for academic trend analysis and citation studies. It includes key details such as paper ID, title, abstract, authorship, submission history, and categories. This dataset enables the study of publication trends, author collaborations, and the evolution of research topics over time. With its structured metadata, it is ideal for constructing knowledge graphs, performing citation network analysis, and developing predictive models to understand the impact of various research works. Additionally, by integrating external data sources, deeper insights can be gained into author influence and citation dynamics.

2.3 Exploring Data

Exploratory data analysis (EDA) was performed to understand the distribution and characteristics of key features. Key statistics such as the number of authors per paper, the number of submission versions, word frequency in abstracts, and the average citations per month and year were analyzed.

2.4 Verifying Data Quality

Data quality verification was conducted by assessing missing values across different columns. From this analysis, we observe that core metadata fields such as paper ID, authors, title, abstract, and categories are well-populated, ensuring a robust dataset for analysis. However, fields like *journal reference* and *doi* have a significant percentage of missing values, which indicates that the majority of the dataset consists of draft papers before formal publication.

This limitation impacts our study as it restricts the ability to analyze post-publication citation trends and journal-based impact assessments. Without widespread DOI and journal reference data, linking arXiv preprints to their published versions in indexed journals becomes challenging. As a result, any citation-based influence measurements or journal-specific trends must be interpreted with caution, as they may not fully represent the broader academic publishing landscape. To mitigate this, integrating external citation databases or publisher records could enhance the completeness of the dataset and provide more comprehensive insights.