## The Fredholm Alternative Theorem (FAT)

Either

(A) The homogeneous adjoint problem

$$L^*\psi_0 = 0 \qquad BC_1^*\psi_0(a) = 0 \qquad BC_2^*\psi_0(b) = 0 \tag{1}$$

has a **nontrivial** solution.

 ${f Xor}$  (eXclusive OR - one case or the other exclusively, never both together, never neither)

(B) The inhomogeneous problem

$$Lu = f(x) BC_1u(a) = c BC_2u(d) = d$$
 (2)

has a **unique** solution u(x) for any choices of f(x), c, d.

- (a) The homogeneous adjoint problem  $L^*\psi = 0$  in (A) corresponds to the adjoint eigenvalue problem  $L^*\psi = -\lambda\psi$  for a zero eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 = 0$ .
- (b) The alternative, case **(B)**, is automatically guaranteed, giving existence and uniqueness of the solution of (2), **if** case **(A)** is not true.

The Fredholm alternative is usually used in practice as a quick way, via (A), to determine what to expect from solving an inhomogeneous problem with the same linear operator (2) in (B) before working through the whole expansion. It is used in this way:

• If L (and hence L\*) has no zero eigenvalue, then Case (B) holds and the orthogonal expansion/eigenfcn expansion process will work and will produce a unique solution with no difficulties:

$$B_k - c_k \lambda_k \langle \phi_k, \psi_k \rangle = \langle f, \psi_k \rangle \qquad \xrightarrow{\forall k} \qquad \{c_k \text{ values}\} \qquad \to \qquad u(x) = \sum c_k \phi_k(x) \qquad (3)$$

- If Case (A) holds, then there are two sub-cases for what happens to the soln of (2) based on the  $\lambda_0 = 0$  version of eqn (3):  $B_0 0 = \langle f, \psi_0 \rangle$ . This equation is called the solvability condition.
  - (A<sub>1</sub>) No solution of (2) exists if the solvability equation is a contradiction,  $B_0 \neq \langle f, \psi_0 \rangle$ .
  - (A<sub>2</sub>) The solution of (2) is non-unique if the solvability equation is consistent,  $B_0 = \langle f, \psi_0 \rangle$ , then solutions exist. Since the value of the  $c_0$  coefficient does not get pinned down by this condition, any value may be selected for this coefficient. Meanwhile, the values for the  $c_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$  are uniquely determined, as usual by (3) for all non-zero  $\lambda_k$ . So:

All solns of (2) are 
$$u(x) = c_0 \phi_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \phi_k(x)$$
 with **any** value for  $c_0$ . (4)

- To determine between cases (A) vs. (B) check for a zero eigenvalue:  $\lambda = 0$ ?
- To determine between cases  $(\mathbf{A_1})$  vs.  $(\mathbf{A_2})$ , you must find the  $\lambda_0 = 0$  adjoint eigenfunction:  $\psi_0(x)$  is needed to calculate both the forcing  $\langle f, \psi_0 \rangle$  and the boundary-conditions term  $B_0$ .