

13: Summary + Extras

bit.ly/2018rr

The most important tool is the **mindset**,
when starting, that the end product
will be reproducible.

– Keith Baggerly

Steps toward reproducible research

- ▶ Slow down
- ▶ Organize; document
- ▶ Everything with code
- ▶ Scripts → RMarkdown
- ▶ Code → functions → packages
- ▶ Version control with Git
- ▶ Automation with Make
- ▶ Choose a license
- ▶ Share your work with others

Organize your data

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	1MIN						
2			Normal			Mutant	
3	B6	146.6	138.6	155.6	166	179.3	186.9
4	BTBR	245.7	240	243.1	177.8	171.6	188.1
5							
6	5MIN						
7			Normal			Mutant	
8	B6	333.6	353.6	408.8	450.6	474.4	423.8
9	BTBR	514.4	610.6	597.9	412.1	447.4	446.5

Organize your data

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1							
2	Date	11/3/14					
3	Days on diet	126					
4	Mouse #	43					
5	sex	f					
6	experiment		values			mean	SD
7	control		0.186	0.191	1.081	0.49	0.52
8	treatment A		7.414	1.468	2.254	3.71	3.23
9	treatment B		9.811	9.259	11.296	10.12	1.05
10							
11	fold change		values			mean	SD
12	treatment A		15.26	3.02	4.64	7.64	6.65
13	treatment B		20.19	19.05	23.24	20.83	2.17

Organize your data

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	mouse_id	sex	week	date	glucose	weight
2	3005	M	4	3/30/2007	19.3	635
3	3005	M	6	4/11/2007	31	460.7
4	3005	M	8	4/27/2007	39.6	530.2
5	3017	M	4	10/6/2006	25.9	202.4
6	3017	M	6	10/19/2006	45.1	384.7
7	3017	M	8	11/3/2006	57.2	458.7
8	3434	F	4	11/22/2006	26.6	238.9
9	3434	F	6	12/6/2006	45.9	378
10	3434	F	8	12/22/2006	56.2	409.8
11	3449	M	4	1/5/2007	27.5	121
12	3449	M	6	1/19/2007	42.9	191.3
13	3449	M	8	2/2/2007	56.7	182.5
14	3499	F	4	1/5/2007	19.8	220.2
15	3499	F	6	1/19/2007	36.6	556.9
16	3499	F	8	2/2/2007	43.6	446

Organize your data

kbroman.org/dataorg

Broman & Woo (2018) Data organization
in spreadsheets. Am Stat 78:2–10

[doi:10.1080/00031305.2017.1375989](https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2017.1375989)

Challenges

- ▶ Daily maintenance
 - READMEs up to date?
 - Documentation matches code?
- ▶ Cleaning up the junk
 - Move defunct stuff into an old/ subdirectory?
- ▶ Start over from the beginning, nicely?

Sharing your work

► Why share?

- Funding agency or journal requirement
- Increased visibility
- So that others can build on your work

► When?

- Continuously and instantaneously
- When you submit a paper
- When your paper appears

► Risks?

bit.ly/rr_sharing_slides

I'm not worried about being scooped,
I'm worried about being ignored.

– Magnus Nordborg

- ▶ Share more
- ▶ Share sooner
- ▶ Share in a way that makes it easy for others to learn from and build upon

What to share?

► For sure

- Primary dataset
- Metadata
- Data cleaning scripts
- Analysis scripts

► It could help

- Very-raw data
- Processed/clean data
- Intermediate results

► No

- Confidential data (e.g. HIPAA data)
- Passwords, private keys

Where to share?

- ▶ Domain-specific repository
 - Genbank, dbGaP, etc.
 - See re3data.org
- ▶ Figshare, Dryad, Zenodo
- ▶ Institutional repository
- ▶ GitHub, BitBucket

Resources

bit.ly/2018rr_resources

Some of the things we didn't cover

Coding conventions

Why are they cool?

- They help you keep things consistent between team members
- They make code easier to read, and more likely to be used

Why didn't we cover them?

- Not enough time

Where would we point you?

- Hadley's recommendations adv-r.had.co.nz/Style.html
- Google's recommendations
google.github.io/styleguide/Rguide.xml
- Tidyverse style guide style.tidyverse.org

Code review

Why is it cool?

- Helps to find bugs and clean up confusing bits
- Potentially a test of the reproducibility of your work

Why didn't we cover it?

- Not enough time

Where would we point you?

- Software Carpentry blog post, bit.ly/swc_codereview
- Titus Brown's blog post,
http://bit.ly/titus_codereview

Software testing

Why is it cool?

- Explicit tests help you to avoid bugs, and to find bugs sooner

Why didn't we cover it?

- Not enough time

Where would we point you?

- testthat package, github.com/hadley/testthat
- **Testing R Code** book

Continuous integration (eg Travis)

Why is it cool?

- Automatically build and run tests when you push to GitHub
- Pull requests are automatically tested

Why didn't we cover it?

- Not enough time

Where would we point you?

- Julia Silge blog post,
juliasilge.com/blog/beginners-guide-to-travis
- Hadley's R packages book,
r-pkgs.had.co.nz/check.html#travis

Capturing dependencies

Why is it cool?

- Ensure that your carefully constructed reproducible project doesn't fail due to a change in one of the packages you use

Why didn't we cover it?

- Not enough time

Where would we point you?

- packrat package, github.com/rstudio/packrat
- checkpoint package,
github.com/RevolutionAnalytics/checkpoint

Containers (e.g. docker)

Why are they cool?

- Capture your entire environment, so your project is *for sure* fully reproducible.

Why didn't we cover them?

- A bit technical

Where would we point you?

- [Rocker: Docker for R](#)
- [R Docker tutorial](#)

R Markdown templates

Why are they cool?

- More complete control over the appearance of your document

Why didn't we cover them?

- A bit technical

Where would we point you?

- [R Markdown documentation](#)

knitr Bootstrap

Why is it cool?

- Allows for generation of slicker reports

Why didn't we cover it?

- A bit technical

Where would we point you?

- github.com/jimhester/knitrBootstrap

GitHub pages

Why are they cool?

- Webpages built entirely in Markdown, providing nicer interfaces to your content

Why didn't we cover them?

- Tangential to *reproducible research*?

Where would we point you?

- pages.github.com
- kbroman.org/simple_site
- bookdown.org/yihui/blogdown

Bookdown

Why is it cool?

- Write a book (or book-like object) entirely in R Markdown

Why didn't we cover it?

- Not enough time

Where would we point you?

- bookdown.org/yihui/bookdown

Xaringan

Why is it cool?

- Use R Markdown to make slides for a talk

Why didn't we cover it?

- Not enough time

Where would we point you?

- github.com/yihui/xaringan

Shiny!

Why is it cool?

- Interactive pictures have pizzazz.

Why didn't we cover it?

- Tangential to *reproducible research*?

Where would we point you?

- shiny.rstudio.com
- shiny.rstudio.com/tutorial

Feedback we'd like from you (1)

What motivated us to teach this course?

What would we see as a positive outcome?

- ▶ Given this motivation, are we doing things right?
- ▶ What motivated you to take this course?
- ▶ Were there specific sessions you found really useful/really useless?
- ▶ Points you'd like us to expand on?
- ▶ Were there points you were hoping we'd cover that we didn't?

Feedback we'd like from you (2)

- ▶ Do you have examples/anecdotes you think we might be able to use that you'd be willing to share?
- ▶ Were there ways we could've used time more effectively?
- ▶ Can you see things you learned in this course changing how you do things day to day?
 - Why or why not?
 - Can we ask you again in 6 months?
 - Can we ask you again in a year?
- ▶ Could you write this down now? (anonymous is fine)