

Notes of Math 719: Partial Differential Equation

Instructor: Dallas Albritton

YI WEI

Sep 2024

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Laplace's equation | 1 |
| 1.1 | | 5 |

1 Laplace's equation

[Date: Sep 4, 2024]

Elliptic PDEs:

Example 1.1. Laplacian:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n^2} \\ &= \operatorname{div} \nabla\end{aligned}$$

Example 1.2. $n = 3$: Newtonian gravity

$$\begin{aligned}\text{magnitutde} &= \frac{\kappa m m_1}{\|a - a_1\|} \quad (\text{inverse square}) \\ m \ddot{a} &= \frac{\kappa m m_1}{\|a - a_1\|} \frac{a_1 - a}{\|a_1 - a\|} \\ &= -m \nabla u(a) \\ \text{where } u(x) &= \frac{-\kappa m_1}{\|x - a_1\|}\end{aligned}$$

N masses $m_1, \dots, m_N \geq 0$, location $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$u(x) = -\kappa \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{m_k}{\|x - a_k\|}$$

Example 1.3. continuous distribution of mass

$$\varrho(x) \geq 0$$

$$u(x) = -\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\varrho(y)}{\|x - y\|} dy$$

suppose $\text{supp } \varrho \subseteq \omega$ bdd open set

Remark. $\text{supp } \varrho := \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : S(x) \neq 0\}}$

Laplace

$$\Delta u(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{\omega}$$

$$\Delta_x u(x) = -\kappa \int_{\omega} \varrho(y) \Delta_x \frac{1}{\|x - y\|} dy$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \frac{1}{\|x\|} &= \text{div} \nabla \frac{1}{\|x\|} \\ &= \text{div} \left(-\frac{x}{\|x\|^3} \right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{3}{\|x\|^3} + 3x \frac{x^2}{\|x\|^5} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.4.

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho &= \text{const on } B_{\mathbb{R}} \\ &= \frac{m}{4\pi \mathbb{R}^3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } u = -\kappa m \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}} \frac{1}{\|x - y\|} dy$$

Claim 1.1.

$$u(x) = u(Ox) \text{ where } O \in SO(3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u(Ox) &= -\kappa m \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}} \frac{1}{\|Ox - Oz\|} dz \\ &= -\kappa m \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}} \frac{1}{\|x - z\|} dz \end{aligned}$$

$$u(x) = u(r)$$

$$u : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$u(x) = f(r)$$

[YW: TODO: Complete notes between Sep 4 and Sep 13]

[Date: Sep 13, 2024]

Last time: Interior estimate

Suppose $R > 0$ and $u \in C^2(B_{2R})$ is harmonic, then

$$\|\nabla^k u(x)\| \leq C_k \frac{1}{R^{k+3}} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \|u\| dy \quad \forall x \in B_R \quad (1)$$

Question: Why estimate of this form?

Symmetries of $\Delta u = 0$.

1. homeoneity/scaler mult

$$u \mapsto \mu \quad (m \in \mathbb{R})$$

2. scaling symmetry

$$u_{\lambda(x)} := u(\lambda x)$$

$$u \mapsto u_\lambda (u(\lambda \cdot))$$

$$\text{Here } \Delta u_\lambda = \Delta[u(\lambda x)] = \lambda^2 \Delta u(\lambda u) = 0$$

3. translation:

$$u \mapsto u(\cdot - x_0) \quad (x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3)$$

4. rotation and reflection

$$u \mapsto u(\mathcal{O}^{-1}) \quad (\mathcal{O} \in O(n))$$

1. $k = 0, R = 1$, What if

$$\begin{aligned} \|mu\|(x) &\leq C \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \|mu\|^2 dy \quad \text{in } B_1 \\ \implies \|u(x)\| &\leq Cm \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \|u\|^2 dy \\ &\implies u = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then this is nonsense. We need the power in the integral to be 1, i.e.,

$$\|mu\|(x) \leq C \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \|mu\| dy \quad \text{in } B_1$$

To prove (1), it suffices to prove it for

$$\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \|u\| = 1$$

Suppose (1) holds for all harmonic function u s.t.

$$\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \|u\| = 1$$

Given arbitrary harmonic function v , we define

$$u := \frac{v}{\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \|v\| dy}$$

Then u is still harmonic

$$\implies \frac{\|v\|}{\int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \|v\| dy} = \|u\| \leq C \frac{1}{R^3}$$

To prove (1), it suffices to prove it for $R = 1$

Given harmonic function v on B_{2R}

$$u(x) := v(Rx)$$

Here $u(x)$ is a harmonic function on B_2 .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^k u(x)\| &\leq C \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} u(y) dy \\ &= \int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \|v(Ry)\| dy \\ &\quad \text{(Change of Variable: } z = Ry) \\ &= \frac{C}{R^3} \int_{B_{2R} \setminus B_R} \int \|v(z)\| dz \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\nabla^k(v(Rx))\| := R^k \|(\nabla^k v)(Rx)\| = R^k \|(\nabla^k v)(q)\|$ where $q = Rx \in B_R$

To prove (1), it's enough to do it for $R = 1$ and $\int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} \|u\| dy = 1$

1.1

Given

$$\phi u = 2\Delta * (\Delta \phi u) + G * (\Delta \phi u) \quad (2)$$

where $u \in C^2$ and $\Delta u = 0$ and $\phi \in C_0^\infty$

One option $u \in L_{loc}^1$ ($u \in L^1(K)$) where K is compact, it's called locally integrable, is harmonic if (2) holds $\forall \phi \in C_0^\infty$

Another option $u \in L_{loc}^1$ is harmonic if

$$\nabla(u * \phi_\epsilon) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \epsilon$$

Example 1.5.

$\frac{1}{|x|}$ is $L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$(1 + |x|)^{-3-\epsilon} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$

Definition 1.1. $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ open, $u \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ is weakly harmonic if

$$\int u \nabla \phi \, dy = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$$

Remark.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Delta u \cdot \phi &= \int (\operatorname{div} \nabla u) \phi \\ &= - \int \nabla u \nabla \phi = \int u \nabla \phi \end{aligned}$$

This is integration by parts.

If you need a generalization, you need to make it easy to check and easy to work with.

Lemma 1.2 (Weyl's lemma).

If u is weakly harmonic in Ω , then u is smooth and $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω

To prove this, we need

1. If u is C^2 and $\Delta u = 0$, then u is weakly harmonic
2. If u is C^2 and weakly harmonic, then $\Delta u = 0$

Proof of 2. above. Suppose not.

$$\int u \Delta \phi = 0 \quad \forall \phi$$

But $\exists x_0$ s.t. $\Delta u(x_0) \neq 0$

$$\int \Delta u \phi = 0 \quad \phi$$

Choose ϕ s.t.

$$\int \Delta u \phi \neq 0$$

Contradiction. □

proof of Wely's lemma.

(3) $u \in L^1_{loc}$ is weakly harmonic, then $\phi_\epsilon * u$ is also weakly harmonic.

$\implies \phi_\epsilon * u$ is strongly harmonic

We need to check

$$\int (u * \phi_\epsilon) \Delta \psi \, dy = 0 \quad \forall \psi$$

□