

SOEN 341 SPRINT 2 rubric
Team name:
Marker:

Category	Excellent (10-9)	Good (8-6)	Fair(5-4)	Poor (4-0)	Score (/10)	Weight	Total
Core Feature Development	Excellent: All core features implemented successfully and meet requirements (e.g., Search for properties, Requests for visits, Requests for visits)	Good: Core features implemented with minor issues or missing some requirements	Fair: Core features partially implemented with significant issues	Poor: Core features not implemented or major functionality missing		5	
Contribution by Team Members	Excellent: All team members actively contributed to the development tasks and participate in all team meetings	Good: Most team members contributed effectively but with few absences	Fair: Some team members contributed, but others were less involved	Poor: Most of the work contributed by a few team members		1	
Acceptance Tests	Excellent: Wrote 2 acceptance tests for each user story from Sprint 1. Properly labeled as "acceptance test (AT)" and linked to the main/monitor	Good: Wrote 2 acceptance tests for each user story from Sprint 1 but with minor labeling or traceability issues	Fair: Wrote 2 acceptance tests but with clarity or completeness issues	Poor: Lack or incompleteness of acceptance tests		2	
Continuous Integration Pipeline	Excellent: Successfully set up the CI/CD pipeline. Unit tests can run automatically for every push/pull request to the main/monitor	Good: CI/CD pipeline is set up but may have minor issues or not fully automated	Fair: CI/CD setup exists but with significant issues or not automated	Poor: No CI/CD pipeline set up or not functional		2	
Sprint Planning for Sprint 3	Excellent: Created user stories for Sprint 3 based on specified features, decomposed them into tasks, and assigned tasks to team	Good: Created user stories for Sprint 3 and decomposed them into tasks, but with minor issues in	Fair: Created user stories for Sprint 3 but with clarity or completeness issues	Poor: Minimal or no Sprint 3 planning provided		2	
Meeting minutes	Excellent: Meeting minutes well-organized, named correctly, and include comprehensive details of each meeting.	Good: Meeting minutes properly named and contain essential information.	Fair: Meeting minutes exist but are poorly formatted or incomplete.	Poor: Missing meeting minutes or improperly named files		1	
Initiative and leadership evaluation	Evaluate their peers on their Initiative and leadership during the sprint by filling a form for each of them. 0.5 when evaluate all team members. 0 if missing the evaluation of one or more team members.					0.5	
Detailed log of Each Team Member's Contribution	Excellent: Comprehensive log with timestamps, activity details, and time spent by each team member.	Good: Detailed log of each team member's contributions, including time spent	Fair: Brief contribution log with limited information.	Poor: No contribution log or minimal details provided		0.5	

Project management	Excellent: Adherence to project deadlines and sprint timelines, effective communication within the team and with the	Good: Generally met project deadlines and communicated effectively but with minor issues	Fair: Adherence to some project guidelines but with significant issues	Poor: Serious issues with project deadlines, communication		2	
Total 160= 5% of the total marks in the course							