

1. (See 1.png)

2. (See 2.png)

| | 0 | 1 |
|-------|-------|-------|
| q_0 | q_0 | q_1 |
| q_1 | q_2 | q_1 |
| q_2 | q_0 | q_3 |
| q_3 | q_2 | q_4 |
| q_4 | q_4 | q_4 |

4. 0^*10^*
↑

Does 0^* allow for no 0's, too?
edit: yes! looks like $*$ indicates
0 or more occurrences.

3. A machine that could accept strings over a larger alphabet would be more powerful than a machine that could only accept strings over the alphabet $\{0,1\}$ in some cases, but be about equally as powerful in others. Assuming letters can be represented as bits in binary, and vice versa (ie. let $a=0$, $b=1$, etc.), any letter in binary could be represented by a string of 8 1's and 0's, while any string of numbers could be represented by a string of a's and b's of the same length. There is an increase in the number of bits being processed in the letter-to-numbers conversion, while there is no change in the numbers-to-letters conversion. Therefore, I think that except in cases where the input is very simple and there is only a single (or around a single) bit to process, machines that accept strings over larger alphabets are more powerful than machines that only accept $\{0,1\}$.