From << to std::formatter

Printing in C++20 era

Core C++ Meetup, May 2024

Yehezkel Bernat

YehezkelShB@gmail.com, @YehezkelShB





Intro

- Adding support for printing our own types is important
- As standard printing utils change, we want to adjust too

iostream – reminder

```
To support code like:
1 MyType obj;
2 std::cout << obj << '\n';</li>
• We overload the operator:
3 std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& os, const MyType& obj);</li>
```

std::format (C++20) / std::print (C++23)

 std::format (outputs a std::string) and std::print use different syntax

- Reminds printf() but different
 - Format string with placeholders
 - Then, variadic count of arguments
 - But type safe, compile-time checked (and different syntax)

Formatting our type – basic case

```
class MyString
public:
     MyString(const std::string& str);
private:
     std::string m_str;
     friend std::formatter<MyString>;
};
```

Formatting our type – basic case (2)

```
template <>
struct std::formatter<MyString>
                     : std::formatter<std::string_view> {
     using Base = std::formatter<std::string_view>;
     template <typename FormatContext>
     auto format(const MyString& str,
                    FormatContext& ctx) const {
          return Base::format(str.m_str, ctx);
```

Formatting our type – basic case (3)

```
MyString str("Hello, world!");
std::print("{}\n", str);
```

Basic done wrong

```
template <>
struct std::formatter<MyString>
                    : std::formatter<std::string_view> {
  auto format(const MyString& str, auto& ctx) const {
       return std::format_to(ctx.out(), "{}", str.m_str);
std::print("{:*^20}\n", str); // prints "Hello, world!"
                    // instead of "***Hello, world!****"
```

Basic done wrong – fixed

```
template <>
struct std::formatter<MyString> { // no inheritance
  template <typename ParseContext>
  constexpr auto parse(ParseContext& ctx) {
     return ctx.begin();
  auto format(const MyString& str, auto& ctx) const {
       return std::format_to(ctx.out(), "{}", str.m_str);
```

Support custom format specifiers – parse

```
template <> struct std::formatter<Complex> {
  constexpr auto parse(auto& ctx) {
     auto it = ctx.begin();
     if (it == ctx.end() or *it == '}') return it;
     if (*it++ == 'p') m_polar = true;
     else throw std::format_error("invalid format");
     return it;
```

Support custom format specifiers – format

```
template <> struct std::formatter<Complex> {
 auto format(const Complex& complex, auto& ctx) const {
     if (m_polar)
       return std::format_to(ctx.out(), "({} * e^({}i))",
                      complex.radius(), complex.angle());
     return std::format_to(ctx.out(), "({} + {}i)",
                         complex.real(), complex.imag());
std::print("{:p}\n", complex);
```

Support standard format specifiers – parse

```
std::print("{:f}\n", complex);
template <> struct std::formatter<Complex> {
     std::formatter<double> m_underlying;
     constexpr auto parse(auto& ctx) {
          return m_underlying.parse(ctx);
```

Support standard format specifiers – format

```
auto format(const Complex& complex, auto& ctx) const {
  auto out = std::format_to(ctx.out(), "(");
  ctx.advance_to(out);
  out = m_underlying.format(complex.real(), ctx);
 out = std::format_to(out, " + ");
  ctx.advance_to(out);
 out = m_underlying.format(complex.imag(), ctx);
  out = std::format_to(out, "i)");
  return out;
```

Standard + custom – parse

```
std::print("{:p:f}\n", complex);
constexpr auto parse(auto& ctx) {
  auto it = ctx.begin(); auto end = ctx.end();
  if (it == end | | *it == '}') return it;
  auto endOfCustom = std::ranges::find(it, end, ':');
  if (it != endOfCustom) {
    if (*it != 'p') throw std::format_error(...);
   m_polar = true; ++it;
```

Standard + custom – parse (2)

```
// ...
  if (it == end || *it == '}') return it;
  if (it != endOfCustom)
     throw std::format_error("invalid format");
  ctx.advance_to(++endOfCustom);
  return m_underlying.parse(ctx);
```

Support standard format specifiers – format

```
auto format(const Complex& complex, auto& ctx) const {
  auto out = std::format_to(ctx.out(), "(");
  ctx.advance_to(out);
  out = m_underlying.format(m_polar ? complex.radius() :
                                       complex.real(), ctx);
  out = std::format_to(out, "{}",
                            m_polar ? " * e^(" : " + ");
  ctx.advance_to(out);
  out = m_underlying.format(m_polar ? complex.angle() :
                                       complex.imag(), ctx);
 return std::format_to(out, "{}", m_polar ? "i))" :
```

Conclusions

- We get huge amount of flexibility and options
- With stream-based I/O, standard modifiers requires no work
 - std::hex manipulator, for example, affects the stream directly
- Writing our own manipulators is harder in stream-based I/O
- The simple cases are simple

References

- cppreference
- Barry Revzin
 - Formatting ranges paper https://wg21.link/p2286
 - Rust vs C++ Formatting | Barry's C++ Blog https://brevzin.github.io/c++/2023/01/02/rust-cpp-format/
 - The Surprising Complexity of Formatting Ranges in Cpp Barry Revzin -CppCon 2022 <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EQELdyecZlU</u>