



GRADIENT DESCENT & STOCHASTIC GRADIENT DESCENT

– Matee Vadrukchid –




**In Statistics, Machine Learning and other Data Science fields,
we optimize a lot of stuff.**


So let's start with a simple data set.




...and on the y-axis
we have Height.




On the x-axis,
we have Weight...




If we fit a line to the data...



...and someone tells us that
they weigh 1.5...




...we can use the line to predict
that they will be 1.9 tall.




$$\text{Predicted Height} = \text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times \text{Weight}$$

So let's learn how Gradient Descent can fit a line to data by finding the optimal values for the Intercept and the Slope.




$$\text{Predicted Height} = \text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times \text{Weight}$$

So let's learn how Gradient Descent can fit a line to data by finding the optimal values for the Intercept and the Slope.




Predicted Height = intercept + slope \times Weight

Actually, we'll start by using Gradient Descent to find the Intercept.




Then, once we understand how Gradient Descent works, we'll use it to solve for the Intercept and the Slope.



Predicted Height = intercept + $0.64 \times$ Weight


So for now, let's just plug in the Least Squares estimate for the Slope, 0.64.



Predicted Height = intercept + 0.64 × Weight

...and we'll use Gradient Descent to
find the optimal value for the Intercept.


$$\text{Predicted Height} = \text{intercept} + 0.64 \times \text{Weight}$$




The first thing we do is pick a random value for the Intercept.

This is just an initial guess that gives **Gradient Descent** something to improve upon.

Predicted Height = 0 + 0.64 × Weight




In this case, we'll use 0, but any number will do and that gives us the equation for this line.




In this example, we will evaluate how well this line fits the data with the Sum of the Squared Residuals.


NOTE: In Machine Learning lingo, The Sum of the Squared Residuals is a type of Loss Function.




We'll start by calculating this residual.



This datapoint represents a person with Weight 0.5 and Height 1.4.




We get the Predicted Height, the
point on the line...



We get the Predicted Height, the point on the line...

...by plugging Weight = 0.5 into the equation for the line...


Predicted Height = $0 + 0.64 \times \text{Weight}$



We get the Predicted Height, the point on the line...


...by plugging Weight = 0.5 into the equation for the line...

Predicted Height = $0 + 0.64 \times 0.5$



...and the Predicted Height is
0.32.


$$\begin{aligned}\text{Predicted Height} &= 0 + 0.64 \times 0.5 \\ &= 0.32\end{aligned}$$



The residual is the difference
between the Observed Height
and the Predicted Height...


$$\begin{aligned}\text{Predicted Height} &= 0 + 0.64 \times 0.5 \\ &= 0.32\end{aligned}$$

Residual = Observed Height - Predicted Height



The residual is the difference
between the Observed Height
and the Predicted Height...

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Predicted Height} &= 0 + 0.64 \times 0.5 \\ &= 0.32\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}\text{Residual} &= \text{Observed Height} - \text{Predicted Height} \\ &= 1.4 - 0.32 \\ &= 1.1\end{aligned}$$

..and that gives us 1.1 for the residual.


$$\begin{aligned}\text{Predicted Height} &= 0 + 0.64 \times 0.5 \\ &= 0.32\end{aligned}$$

Sum of squared residuals = 1.1^2


We'll keep track of the Sum of the Squared Residuals up here.

Residual = 1.1


Predicted Height = $0 + 0.64 \times 0.5 = 0.32$




$$\text{Sum of squared residuals} = 1.1^2 + 0.4^2$$




$$\text{Sum of squared residuals} = 1.1^2 + 0.4^2 + 1.3^2$$





$$\text{Sum of squared residuals} = 1.1^2 + 0.4^2 + 1.3^2 = 3.1$$





In the end, 3.1 is the Sum of the Squared Residuals.



$$\text{Sum of squared residuals} = 1.1^2 + 0.4^2 + 1.3^2 = 3.1$$





$$\text{Sum of squared residuals} = 1.1^2 + 0.4^2 + 1.3^2 = 3.1$$





This graph has the Sum of Squared Residuals on the y -axis and different values for the Intercept on the x -axis.


$$\text{Sum of squared residuals} = 1.1^2 + 0.4^2 + 1.3^2 = 3.1$$





However, if the
Intercept = 0.25...





...then we would get
this point on the graph.




And if the Intercept = 0.5...




...then we would get
this point on the graph.




Of the points that we calculated for the graph, this one has the lowest Sum of Squared Residuals...




...but is it the best we can do?



What if the best value for the Intercept is somewhere between these values?




A slow and painful method for finding the minimal Sum of the Squared Residuals is to plug and chug a bunch more values for the Intercept .




A slow and painful method for finding the minimal Sum of the Squared Residuals is to plug and chug a bunch more values for the Intercept .

**Don't despair! Gradient Descent
is way more efficient!**




So let's get back to using **Gradient Descent** to find the optimal value for the **Intercept**, starting from a random value. In this case, the random value was **0**.




Sum of squared residuals



When we calculated the
Sum of the Squared
Residuals...





Sum of
Squared
Residuals





Sum of squared residuals = (observed - predicted)²



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - \text{predicted})^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - \text{predicted})^2$



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - \text{predicted})^2$

...which came from
the equation for this
line...




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - \text{predicted})^2$




...so we replace


Predicted Height...




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times \text{weight}))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times \text{weight}))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times \text{weight}))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$
+ (observed - predicted) 2




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$
+ $(1.9 - \text{predicted})^2$



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$
+ $(1.9 - \text{predicted})^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$
+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times \text{weight}))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$


$$+ (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times \text{weight}))^2$$



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$
+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$




$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sum of squared residuals} &= (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2 \\ &\quad + (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2\end{aligned}$$



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$

+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$


+ $(\text{observed} - \text{predicted})^2$



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$

+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$


+ $(3.2 - \text{predicted})^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$

+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$

+ $(3.2 - \text{predicted})^2$




...and the **Predicted Height...**




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$

+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$

+ $(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times \text{weight}))^2$





Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$
+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$
+ $(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times \text{weight}))^2$



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$

+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$


+ $(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2$



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$

+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$


+ $(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$

$$+ (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$$

$$+ (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2$$





...and get the **Sum
of the Squared
Residuals.**



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$

$$+ (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$$

$$+ (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2$$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$

$$+ (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$$

$$+ (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2$$

Thus, we now
have an equation
for this curve...




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$


$$\begin{aligned}&+ (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2 \\&+ (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2\end{aligned}$$

So let's take the derivative
of the Sum of the
Squared Residuals with
respect to the **Intercept**.

Sum of
Squared
Residuals



$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sum of squared residuals} &= (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2 \\ &\quad + (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2 \\ &\quad + (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{Sum of squared residuals} &= \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2\end{aligned}$$

Let's start by taking the derivative of the first part.

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$$

To take the derivative of this, we need to apply

“The Chain Rule”

$$\frac{d}{d \text{intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2 = 2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5)) \times -1$$

So we start by moving the square to the front and multiply that by the derivative of the stuff inside the parentheses.


$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2 = 2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5)) \times -1$$



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} 1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5)$$



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \cancel{1.4} + (-1)\text{intercept} - 0.64 \times \cancel{0.5} = -1$$



These parts don't contain a term for the Intercept, so they go away.

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2 = 2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5)) \rightarrow -1$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} 1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5)$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \cancel{1.4} + (-1)\text{intercept} - 0.64 \times \cancel{0.5} = -1$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2 = 2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5)) \times -1$$

$$= -2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

then we simplify by multiplying 2 by -1

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2 = 2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5)) \times -1$$

$$= -2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

and this is the derivative of the first part
so we will plug it in

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{Sum of squared residuals} = \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$$

$$+ \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$$

$$+ \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2$$

Now, we need to take the derivative of the next two parts,
and the result will be...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$


Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

Now that we have the derivative,
Gradient Descent will use it to find
where the Sum of Squared
Residuals is lowest.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$


Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$


$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

NOTE: If we were using **Least Squares** to solve for the optimal value for the **Intercept**, we would simply find where the slope of the curve = **0**.




$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

In contrast, **Gradient Descent** finds the minimum value by taking steps from an initial guess until it reaches the best value.




$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

In contrast, **Gradient Descent** finds the minimum value by taking steps from an initial guess until it reaches the best value.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

In contrast, **Gradient Descent** finds the minimum value by taking steps from an initial guess until it reaches the best value.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$


Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$


$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

In contrast, **Gradient Descent** finds the minimum value by taking steps from an initial guess until it reaches the best value.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

In contrast, **Gradient Descent** finds the minimum value by taking steps from an initial guess until it reaches the best value.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$


Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

This makes Gradient Descent very useful when it is not possible to solve for where the derivative = 0, and this is why Gradient Descent can be used in so many different situations.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$


Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

Remember, we started by setting
the **Intercept** to a random number.
In this case, that was **0**.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$


$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

So we plug 0 into the derivative

Remember, we started by setting
the **Intercept** to a random number.


In this case, that was 0.



we will get -5.7

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$
$$= -5.7$$


So when the **Intercept** = 0,
the slope of the curve = -5.7.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =
 $-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$
 $+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$
 $+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$
 $= -5.7$


This means that when
the slope of the curve is
close to **0**...



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sum of squared residuals} &= \\ &-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5)) \\ &+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3)) \\ &+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9)) \\ &= -5.7 \end{aligned}$$

...then we should take baby steps, because we are close to the optimal value...



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =


$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$


$$= -5.7$$

...and when the slope is
far from 0...




$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$
$$= -5.7$$

...then we should take big steps,
because we are far from the
optimal value.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$
$$= -5.7$$

However, if we take a super huge step...



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =


$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

$$= -5.7$$

...then we would increase
the Sum of the Squared
Residuals!



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =


$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

$$= -5.7$$

So the size of the step should be related to the slope, since it tells us if we should take a baby step or a big step, but we need to make sure the big step is not too big.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

$$= -5.7$$


Learning Rate should be any values but it should be low than 1

Step Size = -5.7×0.1



To reduce the size cuz the point is leaded to 5.7

Gradient Descent determines the Step Size by multiplying the slope by a small number called **The Learning Rate**




$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =
 $-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$
 $+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$
 $+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$
 $= -5.7$

Step Size = $-5.7 \times 0.1 = -0.57$



When the **Intercept** = 0, the
Step Size = **-0.57**.



New Intercept = ←

With the **Step Size**,
we can calculate a
New Intercept.

New Intercept = **Old Intercept - Step Size**

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$


$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$


$$= -5.7$$

Step Size = $-5.7 \times 0.1 = -0.57$


New Intercept = $0 - (-0.57) = 0.57$





...and the New Intercept = 0.57.



Going back to the original data and the original line, with the **Intercept = 0**...






...we can see how much the residuals shrink when the
Intercept = 0.57.

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

To take another step, we go back to the derivative and plug in the **New Intercept (0.57)**...



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$


$$+ -2(1.9 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

$$= -2.3$$

...and that tells us the
slope of the curve = **-2.3.**

Step Size = Slope × Learning Rate



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =


$$-2(1.4 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

$$= -2.3$$

...and that tells us the
slope of the curve = **-2.3.**



Step Size = Slope × Learning Rate

$$\text{Step Size} = -2.3 \times 0.1 = -0.23$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$


$$+ -2(3.2 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

$$= -2.3$$

Step Size = $-2.3 \times 0.1 = -0.23$

New Intercept = **Old Intercept** - **Step Size**

New Intercept = $0.57 - (-0.23) = 0.8$



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$


$$+ -2(3.2 - (0.57 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$


$$= -2.3$$

Step Size = $-2.3 \times 0.1 = -0.23$


New Intercept = $0.57 - (-0.23) = \boxed{0.8}$


...and the **New Intercept** = 0.8






Now we can compare the
residuals when the
Intercept = 0.57...






...to when the
Intercept = 0.8



Overall, the Sum of the
Squared Residuals is getting
smaller.



Notice that the first step was relatively large compared to the second step.

Now let's calculate the derivative at the New Intercept (0.8)...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$

$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$

$$-2(1.4 - (0.8 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0.8 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0.8 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$

$$= \boxed{-0.9}$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{Sum of squared residuals} =$$

$$-2(1.4 - (0.8 + 0.64 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0.8 + 0.64 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0.8 + 0.64 \times 2.9))$$


$$= \boxed{-0.9}$$


Step Size = Slope × Learning Rate

$$\text{Step Size} = -0.9 \times 0.1 = -0.09$$


New Intercept = Old Intercept - Step Size


$$\text{New Intercept} = 0.8 - (-0.09) = \boxed{0.89}$$







Now we increase the
Intercept from 0.8...







Then we take another step and
the **New Intercept = 0.92...**







...and then we take another
step and the
New Intercept = 0.94...





...and then we take another
step and the
New Intercept = 0.95.





Notice how each step gets smaller and smaller the closer we get to the bottom of the curve.


After 6 steps, the Gradient Descent estimate for the Intercept is 0.95.

NOTE: The Least Squares estimate for the intercept is also 0.95.

So we know that Gradient Descent has done its job, but without comparing its solution to a gold standard, how does Gradient Descent know to stop taking steps?

The **Step Size** will be **Very Close to 0** when the **Slope** is very close to 0.

$$\text{Step Size} = \boxed{\text{Slope}} \times \text{Learning Rate}$$



In practice, the Minimum Step Size = 0.001 or smaller.


So if this slope = 0.009

Then we would plug in
0.009 for the **Slope** and **0.1**
for the **Learning Rate..**

$$\text{Step Size} = \text{Slope} \times \text{Learning Rate}$$

$$\text{Step Size} = 0.009 \times 0.1 = 0.0009$$

and get 0.0009, which is smaller than 0.001,
so Gradient Descent would stop.




That said, Gradient Descent also includes a limit on the number of steps it will take before giving up.

In practice, the Maximum Number of Steps = 1,000 or greater.


So, even if the Step Size is large, if there have been more than the Maximum Number of Steps, Gradient Descent will stop.

OK, let's review what we've learned so far...

The first thing we did is decide to use the Sum of the Squared Residuals as the **Loss Function** to evaluate how well a line fits the data...




...then we took the derivative of the Sum of the Squared Residuals. In other words, we took the derivative of the **Loss Function**...



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sum of squared residuals} = & -2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5)) \\ & + -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3)) \\ & + -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9)) \end{aligned}$$

...then we picked a random value for the **Intercept**, in this case we set the **Intercept = 0...**



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sum of squared residuals} = & -2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5)) \\ & + -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3)) \\ & + -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9)) \end{aligned}$$


...then we calculated the derivative
when the **Intercept** = 0...




$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$


Sum of squared residuals =
 $-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))$
 $+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))$
 $+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))$

...plugged that slope into the **Step Size** calculation...



...then calculated the **New Intercept**,
the difference between the **Old
Intercept** and the **Step Size**.





Now that we understand how Gradient Descent
can estimate the Intercept


Predicted Height = intercept + slope \times Weight

let's talk about how to estimate the Intercept and the Slope

Just like before, we will use the Sum of the Squared Residuals as the **Loss Function**

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sum of squared residuals} = & (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2 \\ & + (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2 \\ & + (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2\end{aligned}$$


Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$
+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$
+ $(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2$




Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$

+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$

+ $(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2$



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$
+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$
+ $(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2$



...but unlike before, we'll also take
the derivative with respect to the
Slope!

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals}$$
$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals}$$

We'll start by taking the derivative with respect to the intercept.

Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$

+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$

+ $(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2$

Just like before, we take the derivative of each part...

$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$ Sum of squared residuals = $\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$

Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$

$+ (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$

$+ (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2$

Just like before, we take the derivative of each part...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$$

$$+ \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sum of squared residuals} &= (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2 \\ &\quad + (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2 \\ &\quad + \boxed{(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2}\end{aligned}$$

Just like before, we take the derivative of each part...

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} &= \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2 \\ &\quad + \boxed{\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$$

...and just like before,
we'll use...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = \boxed{\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2}$$

$$+ \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$$

$$+ \frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2$$

The Chain Rule

The result is

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))$$

...and this whole thing is the derivative of the Sum of the Squared Residuals with respect to the Intercept .

Now let's take the derivative of the Sum of the Squared Residuals with respect to the **Slope**.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sum of squared residuals} = & \boxed{(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2} \\ & + (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2 \\ & + (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2\end{aligned}$$

Just like before, we take the derivative of each part...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = \boxed{\frac{d}{d \text{slope}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2}$$

Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$

$$+ (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$$

$$+ (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2$$

Just like before, we take the derivative of each part...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = \frac{d}{d \text{slope}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$$

$$+ \frac{d}{d \text{slope}} (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$$

Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$

+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$

+ $(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2$

Just like before, we take the derivative of each part...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = \frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2$$


$$+ \frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2$$

$$+ \frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2$$

...and just like before, we'll use The Chain Rule

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times \mathbf{0.5}))^2 = 2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times \mathbf{0.5})) \times -\mathbf{0.5}$$
$$= -2 \times \mathbf{0.5}(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times \mathbf{0.5}))$$

NOTE: I left the **0.5** in bold instead of multiplying it by 2 to remind us that **0.5** is the weight for the first sample.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2 = 2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5)) \times -0.5$$

$$= -2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))$$

...and this...

...is the derivative
of the first part...


$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} &= \frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} (1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))^2 \\ &+ \frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))^2 \\ &+ \frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))^2 \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we replace these terms with their derivatives.

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))$$



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =

2.3

2.9

$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))$$

Here's the derivative of the
Sum of the Squared
Residuals with respect to
the **Intercept**...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))$$

...and here's the derivative
with respect to the **Slope**.

NOTE: When you have two or more derivatives of the same function, they are called a **Gradient**.


We will use this **Gradient** to descend to the lowest point in the **Loss Function**, which, in this case, is the Sum of the Squared Residuals.

thus, this is why this algorithm is called **Gradient Descent** !


Just like before, we will start by picking a random number for the **Intercept**. In this case we'll set the **Intercept** = 0...

...and we'll pick a random number for the **Slope**. In this case we'll set the **Slope** = 1.

Thus, this line, with **Intercept** = 0 and **Slope** = 1, is where we will start.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))$$



Now let's plug in **0** for the
Intercept and **1** for the **Slope**...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.9))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + \text{slope} \times 2.3))$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9))$$

Now let's plug in **0** for the
Intercept and **1** for the **Slope**...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3))$$

$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$ Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9)) = -1.6$$

...and that gives us
two **Slopes**...

$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}}$ Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3)) = -0.8$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$

$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9)) = -1.6$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = \text{Slope} \times \text{Learning Rate}$$



...now we plug the
Slopes into the **Step
Size** formulas...


$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$

$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3)) = -0.8$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = \text{Slope} \times \text{Learning Rate}$$



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9)) = -1.6$$

Step Size_{Intercept} = -1.6 × Learning Rate



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3)) = -0.8$$

Step Size_{Slope} = -0.8 × Learning Rate



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9)) = -1.6$$

Step Size_{Intercept} = -1.6×0.01

NOTE: The larger **Learning Rate** that we used in the first example doesn't work this time. Even after a bunch of steps, **Gradient Descent** doesn't arrive at the correct answer.

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3)) = -0.8$$

Step Size_{Slope} = -0.8×0.01

This means that **Gradient Descent** can be very sensitive to the **Learning Rate**.

The good news is that in practice, a reasonable **Learning Rate** can be determined automatically by starting large and getting smaller with each step.

So, in theory, you shouldn't have to worry too much about the **Learning Rate**.

$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$ Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9)) = -1.6$$

Step Size_{Intercept} = $-1.6 \times 0.01 = -0.016$

$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}}$ Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3)) = -0.8$$

Anyway, we do the math
and get two **Step Sizes**.

Step Size_{Slope} = $-0.8 \times 0.01 = -0.008$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9)) = -1.6$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -1.6 \times 0.01 = -0.016$$

New Intercept = Old Intercept - Step Size

Now we calculate the
New Intercept and **New Slope** by plugging in the
Old Intercept and the
Old Slope...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3)) = -0.8$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -0.8 \times 0.01 = -0.008$$

New Slope = Old Slope - Step Size

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3))$$
$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9)) = -1.6$$

$$\mathbf{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -1.6 \times 0.01 = \boxed{-0.016}$$

New Intercept = 0 - **Step Size** ←

...and the
Step Sizes...

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} =$$
$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9))$$
$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3)) = -0.8$$

$$\mathbf{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -0.8 \times 0.01 = \boxed{-0.008}$$

New Slope = 1 - **Step Size** ←

$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$ Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$

$$+ -2(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3))$$

$$+ -2(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9)) = -1.6$$

Step Size_{Intercept} = $-1.6 \times 0.01 = -0.016$

New Intercept = $0 - (-0.016) = 0.016$



...and we end up
with a **New Intercept**
and a **New Slope**.

$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}}$ Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2 \times 0.5(1.4 - (0 + 1 \times 0.5))$$


$$+ -2 \times 2.9(3.2 - (0 + 1 \times 2.9))$$

$$+ -2 \times 2.3(1.9 - (0 + 1 \times 2.3)) = -0.8$$

Step Size_{Slope} = $-0.8 \times 0.01 = -0.008$

New Slope = $1 - (-0.008) = 1.008$






$$\text{New Intercept} = 0 - (-0.016) = 0.016$$

This is the line we
started with...
**(Slope = 1 and
Intercept = 0)**


$$\text{New Slope} = 1 - (-0.008) = 1.008$$




$$\text{New Intercept} = 0 - (-0.016) = 0.016$$

...and this is the new line
(with **Slope** = 1.008 and
Intercept = 0.016) after
the first step.


$$\text{New Slope} = 1 - (-0.008) = 1.008$$



This is the best fitting line, with **Intercept = 0.95** and **Slope = 0.64**, the same values we get from **Least Squares**.



We now know how **Gradient Descent** optimizes two parameters, the **Slope** and **Intercept**.




If we had more parameters,
then we'd just take more
derivatives and everything else
stays the same.

Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$

$$+ (1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$$

$$+ (3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2$$


NOTE: The Sum of the Squared Residuals is just one type of **Loss Function**.



Sum of squared residuals = $(1.4 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 0.5))^2$
+ $(1.9 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.3))^2$
+ $(3.2 - (\text{intercept} + 0.64 \times 2.9))^2$

However, there are tons of other
Loss Functions that work with
other types of data.

Regardless of which **Loss Function** you use, **Gradient Descent** works the same way.



Step 1: Take the derivative of the Loss Function for each parameter in it. In fancy Machine Learning Lingo, take the Gradient of the Loss Function.

Step 2: Pick random values for the parameters.

Step 3: Plug the parameter values into the derivatives (ahem, the Gradient).


Step 4: Calculate the Step Sizes: Step Size = Slope × Learning Rate

Step 5: Calculate the New Parameters:

$$\text{New Parameter} = \text{Old Parameter} - \text{Step Size}$$

Now go back to Step 3 and repeat until Step Size is very small, or you reach the Maximum Number of Steps.

- **Step 3:** Plug the parameter values into the derivatives (ahem, the Gradient).
- Step 4:** Calculate the Step Sizes: Step Size = Slope × Learning Rate
- Step 5:** Calculate the New Parameters:
$$\text{New Parameter} = \text{Old Parameter} - \text{Step Size}$$



In our example, we only had **three data points**, so the math didn't take very long but when you have millions of data points, it can take a long time.

So there is a thing called Stochastic Gradient Descent that uses a randomly selected subset of the data at every step rather than the full dataset.

So there is a thing called Stochastic Gradient Descent that uses a randomly selected subset of the data at every step rather than the full dataset.

This reduces the time spent calculating the derivatives of the Loss Function.

So there is a thing called Stochastic Gradient Descent that uses a randomly selected subset of the data at every step rather than the full dataset.


This reduces the time spent calculating the derivatives of the Loss Function.

Stochastic Gradient Descent sounds fancy, but it's no big deal.


STOCHASTIC GRADIENT DESCENT

Stochastic Gradient Descent is especially useful when there are redundancies in the data.


For example, we have **12** data points, but there is a lot of redundancy that forms **3** clusters.



So we start with a line with
the **intercept = 0** and the
slope = 1...



...then we randomly pick
this point...




$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =
 $-2(\text{Height} - (0 + 1 \times \text{Weight}))$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =
 $-2 \times \text{Weight}(\text{Height} - (0 + 1 \times \text{Weight}))$

...so we plug in the
Weight, 3...



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =


$$-2(\text{Height} - (0 + 1 \times 3))$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2 \times 3(\text{Height} - (0 + 1 \times 3))$$

...so we plug in the
Weight, 3...




$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$ Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3))$$

$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}}$ Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2 \times 3(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3))$$

...and **Height, 3.3...**




$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3)) = \boxed{-0.6}$$

Step Size_{Intercept} = **Slope** × **Learning Rate**

...plug in the slopes...

Step Size_{Slope} = **Slope** × **Learning Rate**

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2 \times 3(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3)) = \boxed{-1.8}$$




$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3)) = -0.6$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -0.6 \times \boxed{\text{Learning Rate}}$$

...then multiply by the
Learning Rate.

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.8 \times \boxed{\text{Learning Rate}}$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2 \times 3(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3)) = -1.8$$



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3)) = -0.6$$

Step Size_{Intercept} = $-0.6 \times \boxed{\text{Learning Rate}}$

Step Size_{Slope} = $-1.8 \times \boxed{\text{Learning Rate}}$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2 \times 3(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3)) = -1.8$$

NOTE: Just like with regular **Gradient Descent**, **Stochastic Gradient Descent** is sensitive to the value you choose for the **Learning Rate**...

...and just like for regular **Gradient Descent**, the general strategy is to start with a relatively *large Learning Rate* and make it *smaller* with each step...

...and lastly, just like for regular **Gradient Descent**, many implementations of **Stochastic Gradient Descent** will take care of this for you by default.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3)) = -0.6$$

Step Size_{Intercept} = $-0.6 \times \boxed{\text{Learning Rate}}$

Step Size_{Slope} = $-1.8 \times \boxed{\text{Learning Rate}}$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2 \times 3(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3)) = -1.8$$

TERMINOLOGY ALERT!!!

The way the **Learning Rate** changes, from relatively *large* to relatively *small*, is called the **schedule**.



So if you fail to converge on parameter estimates, try futzing with this setting.




$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3)) = -0.6$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -0.6 \times \boxed{\text{Learning Rate}}$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.8 \times \boxed{\text{Learning Rate}}$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2 \times 3(3.3 - (0 + 1 \times 3)) = -1.8$$


In this simple example, however, we'll just set the **Learning Rate** to 0.01.



The result is

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -0.6 \times 0.01 = \mathbf{-0.006}$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.8 \times 0.01 = \mathbf{-0.018}$$



then, Calculate the new intercept


$$\text{New Intercept} = \text{Old Intercept} - \text{Step Size}$$

New Intercept = 0 - Step Size

Step Size_{Intercept} = $-0.6 \times 0.01 = -0.006$

Step Size_{Slope} = $-1.8 \times 0.01 = -0.018$

...calculate the new
intercept...




New Intercept = 0 - Step Size

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -0.6 \times 0.01 = \boxed{-0.006}$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.8 \times 0.01 = -0.018$$

...calculate the new
intercept...




$$\text{New Intercept} = 0 - -0.006 = 0.006$$

...and the new **slope**.

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -0.6 \times 0.01 = -0.006$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.8 \times 0.01 = -0.018$$

$$\text{New Slope} = \text{Old Slope} - \text{Step Size}$$




$$\text{New Intercept} = 0 - -0.006 = 0.006$$

...and the new **slope**.

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -0.6 \times 0.01 = -0.006$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.8 \times 0.01 = \boxed{-0.018}$$


$$\text{New Slope} = 1 - \text{Step Size}$$




$$\text{New Intercept} = 0 - -0.006 = 0.006$$

$$\text{New Slope} = 1 - -0.018 = 1.018$$


The new parameters give us this new line.




...then we randomly pick another point...



...and calculate the **intercept** and **slope** for another line.




...and ultimately we end up
with a line where the
intercept = 0.85 and the
slope = 0.68.




...and the least squares
estimates, aka, the gold
standard, gives a line where
the **intercept = 0.87** and the
slope = 0.68.


NOTE: The strict definition of **Stochastic Gradient Descent** is to only use **1** sample per step...



...however, it is more common to select a small subset of data, or **mini-batch**, for each step.



For example, we could use **3** samples per step, instead of just **1**.




Using a **mini-batch** for each step takes the best of both worlds between using just one sample and all of the data at each step.


Similar to using all of the data, using a **mini-batch** can result in more stable estimates of the parameters in fewer steps...

...and like using just one sample per step, using a **mini-batch** is much faster than using all of the data.


In this example, using **3** samples per step we ended up with the **intercept = 0.86** and the **slope = 0.68**.




One cool thing about
Stochastic Gradient
Descent is that when we
get new data...




...we can easily use it to
take another step for the
parameter estimates without
having to start from scratch.



In other words, we don't have to go all of the way back to the initial guesses for the **slope** and **intercept** and redo everything.



Instead, we pick up right where we left off and take one more step using the new sample.




$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =
 $-2(\text{Height} - (0 + 1 \times \text{Weight}))$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =
 $-2 \times \text{Weight}(\text{Height} - (0 + 1 \times \text{Weight}))$

So we plug in the
Weight from the new
sample, 1.1...



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =


$$-2(\mathbf{Height} - (0 + 1 \times 1.1))$$

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}}$$

Sum of squared residuals =

$$-2 \times 1.1(\mathbf{Height} - (0 + 1 \times 1.1))$$

...and the Height, 2...



Plug in the slope and then multiply by the Learning Rate, 0.01

$$\frac{d}{d \text{ intercept}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2(2 - (0 + 1 \times 1.1)) = \boxed{-1.8}$$

Step Size_{Intercept} = Slope × Learning Rate

Step Size_{Slope} = Slope × Learning Rate


$$\frac{d}{d \text{ slope}} \text{ Sum of squared residuals} = -2 \times 1.1(2 - (0 + 1 \times 1.1)) = \boxed{-1.98}$$

New Intercept = Old Intercept - Step Size

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -1.8 \times 0.01 = -0.018$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.98 \times 0.01 = -0.02$$

...calculate the new **intercept**, not from the initial guess, but from the most recent estimate...




$$\text{New Intercept} = 0.86 - -0.018 = 0.878$$

$$\text{New Intercept} = 0.86 - \text{Step Size}$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -1.8 \times 0.01 = \textbf{-0.018}$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.98 \times 0.01 = \textbf{-0.02}$$

...calculate the new **intercept**, not from the initial guess, but from the most recent estimate...



$$\text{New Intercept} = 0.86 - -0.018 = 0.878$$


$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -1.8 \times 0.01 = -0.018$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.98 \times 0.01 = -0.02$$

$$\text{New Slope} = 0.68 - \text{Step Size}$$

$$\text{New Slope} = 0.68 - -0.02 = 0.7$$

...and calculate the new **slope** from the most recent estimate...




$$\text{New Intercept} = 0.86 - -0.018 = \boxed{0.878}$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -1.8 \times 0.01 = -0.018$$

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.98 \times 0.01 = -0.02$$

$$\text{New Slope} = 0.68 - -0.02 = \boxed{0.7}$$

...and the new line has
intercept = 0.878 and
slope = 0.07.




$$\text{New Intercept} = 0.86 - -0.018 = \boxed{0.878}$$

We updated the parameters for the line with just the new data.

$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Intercept}} = -1.8 \times 0.01 = -0.018$$


$$\text{Step Size}_{\text{Slope}} = -1.98 \times 0.01 = -0.02$$

$$\text{New Slope} = 0.68 - -0.02 = \boxed{0.7}$$




In Summary

Stochastic Gradient Descent is just like regular **Gradient Descent**, except it only looks at one sample per step...



...or a small subset, or
mini-batch, for each step.



$$\frac{d}{d \text{ gene1}} \text{ Loss Function0}$$
$$\frac{d}{d \text{ gene2}} \text{ Loss Function0}$$
$$\frac{d}{d \text{ gene3}} \text{ Loss Function0}$$
$$\frac{d}{d \text{ gene4}} \text{ Loss Function0}$$
$$\frac{d}{d \text{ gene5}} \text{ Loss Function0}$$
$$\frac{d}{d \text{ gene6}} \text{ Loss Function0}$$
$$\frac{d}{d \text{ gene7}} \text{ Loss Function0}$$

etc...etc...etc...

Stochastic Gradient Descent is great when we have tons of data and a lot of parameters.

In these situations, regular **Gradient Descent** may not be computationally feasible.

And it's cool that we can easily update the parameters when new data shows up.

