1. Was the data shape checked?
   * Compared against the original file column and row counts (3 pts)
   * Just printed (1 pt)
   * None (0 pts)
2. Were the data types examined and verified?
   * Yes (1 pt)+
   * No (0 pts)
3. Was the check for duplicated records (identical copies of the same entry) performed?
   * Yes (1 pt)
   * No (0 pt)
4. Were missing values examined?
   * Yes, column names, missing value counts and percentages (3 pts)
   * Yes, column names and missing value counts (2 pts)
   * Yes, column names only (1 pt)
   * No (0 pts)
5. Were the summary statistics examined for each variable?
   * Yes, tables and appropriate charts (2 pts)
   * Yes, tables only (1 pt)
   * No (0 pts)
6. Were the summary statistics values verified against the data dictionary (variables description)?
   * Yes, for most variables (4 pts)
   * Yes, occasionally (2 pts)
   * No (0 pts)
7. Were feature types (continuous / categorical / other) identified?
   * Yes, for all features (2 pts)
   * Yes, for some features (1 pt)
   * No (0 pt)
8. Were correlations between numeric variables examined?
   * Yes, using a correlogram (heatmap) (2 pts)
   * Yes, using a table (1 pt)
   * No (0 pt)
9. Was the share of survived passengers computed?
   * Yes (1 pt)
   * No (0 pts)
10. Was the distribution of the sex feature examined?
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
11. Was the effect of sex on survival rate examined?
    * Yes, with relevant reasoning (2 pts)
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
12. Was the distribution of the age feature examined?
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
13. Was the effect of age on survival rate examined?
    * Yes, with relevant reasoning (2 pts)
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
14. Was the joint effect of sex and age on survival rate examined?
    * Yes (2 pts)
    * No (0 pts)
15. Was the distribution of passenger class examined?
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
16. Was the effect of passenger class on survival rate examined?
    * Yes, with relevant reasoning (2 pts)
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
17. Was the distribution of the SibSp feature examined?
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
18. Was the effect of the SibSp feature on survival rate examined?
    * Yes, with relevant reasoning (2 pts)
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
19. Was the distribution of the Parch feature examined?
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
20. Was the effect of the Parch feature on survival rate examined?
    * Yes, with relevant reasoning (2 pts)
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
21. Was a combination (e.g. a sum) of SibSp and Parch features examined?
    * Yes, with relevant reasoning (3 pts)
    * Yes (2 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
22. Was the distribution of fare examined?
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
23. Was the effect of fare on survival rate examined?
    * Yes, with relevant reasoning (2 pts)
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
24. Was the relationship between passenger class and fare examined?
    * Yes, with relevant reasoning (2 pts)
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
25. Was the distribution of the Embarked feature examined?
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
26. Was the effect of the Embarked feature on survival rate examined?
    * Yes, with relevant reasoning (2 pts)
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
27. Was the PassengerId field analyzed and found irrelevant?
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
28. Was the possible application of the Ticket field analyzed (e.g. checking the patterns / bins against the survival ratio)?
    * Yes (1 pt)
    * No (0 pts)
29. Was the deck (letter) extracted from the cabin feature and examined separately?
    * Yes, with relevant reasoning (3 pts)
    * Yes (2 pts)
    * No (0 pts)
30. Were the findings commented in adjacent markdown cells?
    * Yes, in a clear and detailed way (10 pts)
    * For major points only (6 pts)
    * Occasionally (3 pts)
    * No (0 pts)
31. Was the conclusion provided?
    * Yes, with the findings summary (4 pts)
    * Yes, with a brief assessment only (2 pts)
    * No (0 pts)