Analysis and Control of Time-Varying and Perturbed Systems

Keno Bürger

Advanced Nonlinear Control 16 June 2025

Chair of Automatic Control Engineering

Technical University of Munich





Why Study Time-Varying Perturbed Systems?

■ Real-World Imperfections:

- Systems rarely time-invariant
- Parameters drift, components age, environment shifts
- Ex: Aircraft dynamics change with fuel, altitude, air density

■ Uncertainty Omnipresent:

- External disturbances (wind gusts, load variations)
- Internal uncertainties (sensor noise, actuator inaccuracies, unmodeled dynamics)
- Ex: Robot arm payload

■ Performance & Robustness Demands:

- Modern control needs high performance (precision, speed) and robust stability
- Ignoring variations \rightarrow poor performance, instability, failure



Understanding Perturbation Types

General System Form:

$$\underline{\dot{x}} = f(\underline{x}) + g(\underline{x}, t)$$

Vanishing Perturbation:

- $\blacksquare \ g(\underline{x},t) \to 0 \ \text{as} \ \underline{x} \to 0$
- Preserves exponential stability
- Examples: modeling errors, unmodeled dynamics

Non-Vanishing Perturbation:

- $\blacksquare g(\underline{x},t) \not\to 0 \text{ as } \underline{x} \to 0$
- Leads to ultimate boundedness
- Examples: constant disturbances, sensor noise



Lyapunov Theory for Time-Varying Systems

Assumptions:

Motivation


- Origin x = 0 is an equilibrium point
- **Lyapunov** function V(t,x) is continuously differentialbe, positive definite and radially unbounded
- Derivative of Lyapunov function is negative definite

Globally uniformly exponentially stable:

$$\exists c_i, \alpha > 0 : c_1 \|\underline{x}\|^{\alpha} \le V(t, \underline{x}) \le c_2 \|\underline{x}\|^{\alpha}$$
$$\dot{V}(t, \underline{x}) \le -c_3 \|\underline{x}\|^{\alpha}$$




Boundedness and Ultimate Boundedness





$$\|\underline{x}(t_0)\| \le \alpha \Rightarrow \|\underline{x}(t)\| \le \beta,$$

$$c > 0, \alpha \in (0, c), \beta > 0, \forall t \ge t_0$$



Ultimate Boundedness:

$$\|\underline{x}(t)\| \le b$$

 $\forall t > t_0 + T$



Lyapunov Stability for Vanishing Perturbations

Problem: Analyze stability of $\underline{\dot{x}} = f(\underline{x}) + g(\underline{x},t)$

■ Nominal system $(\underline{\dot{x}} = f(\underline{x}))$ exponentially stable

Assumptions for Exponential Stability:

- Perturbation $g(\underline{x},t)$ vanishes (i.e., $g(\underline{x},t) \to 0$ as $\underline{x} \to 0$)
- lacksquare $V(t,\underline{x})$: continuously differentialbe, positive definite, radially unbounded

Condition for Global Uniform Exponential Stability:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \underline{x}} f(\underline{x}) \le -c_3 \|\underline{x}\|^2 \text{ and } \left\| \frac{\partial V}{\partial \underline{x}} \right\| \le c_4 \|\underline{x}\|$$
$$\|g(\underline{x}, t)\| \le \gamma \|\underline{x}\| \text{ where } 0 \le \gamma(t) < \frac{c_3}{c_4}$$

Comparison Lemma - Example

Problem: Analyze stability of a scalar perturbed system

$$\underline{\dot{x}} = -a\underline{x}(t) + g(t,\underline{x}), \quad \underline{x}(0) = \underline{x}_0, \quad a > 0$$

Assumptions/Conditions:

- $\blacksquare \underline{x}(t) \ge 0 \quad \forall t \ge 0$
- $lacksquare g(t,\underline{x}) \leq b\,\underline{x}(t) \quad \forall\,\underline{x} \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq b < a$

Step 1: Integral form of the solution

$$\underline{x}(t) = \underline{x}_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \underline{\dot{x}}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\underline{x}(t) \le \underline{x}_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \left[-a \, \underline{x}(\tau) + b \, \underline{x}(\tau) \right] d\tau = \underline{x}_0 - (a - b) \int_{t_0}^t \underline{x}(\tau) \, d\tau$$



Comparison Lemma - Example

Step 2: Define comparison function z(t):

$$z(t) = \underline{x}_0 - (a - b) \int_{t_0}^t z(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\dot{z}(t) = -(a-b)z(t), \quad z(t_0) = \underline{x}_0$$

Solution:

$$z(t) = \underline{x}_0 e^{-(a-b)(t-t_0)}$$

Conclusion: Exponential Stability of the Perturbed System

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \underline{x}(t) = 0$$

$$\underline{x}(t) \le \underline{x}_0 e^{-(a-b)(t-t_0)}$$



Non-Vanishing Perturbations: The Problem

Challenge:

- Perturbation does not vanish ⇒ exact convergence to zero is impossible
- State $\underline{x}(t)$ will always be pushed away from origin

Goal: Ultimate Boundedness

- We seek boundedness around origin
- "Good enough" stability for real-world systems

Analogy:

Motivation

■ Like balancing a pencil in wind as it won't stay still

Vanishing Perturbations

■ We can bound how far it wobbles



Lyapunov Conditions for Ultimate Boundedness

System: $\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x,t)$

• Origin is exponentially stable for nominal system
$$(g \equiv 0)$$

Lyapunov Function Conditions:

- $V(\underline{x})$ positive definite, radially unbounded, continuously differentiable
- $\dot{V} < -c_3 ||x||^2$ for nominal dynamics
- $\| \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \| \le c_4 \| \underline{x} \|$

Kev Trade-off:

Motivation

$$\|g(\underline{x},t)\| \le \delta < \frac{c_3}{c_4} \sqrt{\frac{c_1}{c_2}} \, \theta r, \quad \theta \in (0,1)$$



Result: Initial Decay and Ultimate Boundedness

For $\|\underline{x}(t_0)\| \leq \sqrt{c_1/c_2} r$

Phase 1: Initial Exponential Decay $(t_0 \le t \le t_0 + T)$

$$\|\underline{x}(t)\| \le k e^{-\gamma(t-t_0)} \|\underline{x}(t_0)\|$$

Phase 2: Ultimate Bound $(t \ge t_0 + T)$

$$\|\underline{x}(t)\| \le b$$

Interpretation:

- System initially decays toward origin
- Perturbation prevents full convergence
- Final "wobble size" b depends on δ , $c_1 c_4$



Example: Bounded Disturbance Response

Problem: Analyze Boundedness of a Perturbed Mass-Spring-Damper-System

with bounded disturbance $|d| < \delta$ and the origin of the nominal system being exponentially stable

Lyapunov Candidate Function: $V(x) = x^T P x$

- $\blacksquare P > 0$ found by solving $A^TP + PA = -Q$
- For Q = I and $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$, P can be calculated

Vanishing Perturbations

 $c_1 ||x||^2 < V(x) < c_2 ||x||^2$



Example: Bounded Disturbance Response

Applying Lyapunov Stability Conditions:

$$\dot{V} \le -c_3 \|\underline{x}\|^2 + c_4 \|d\| \|\underline{x}\| \le -c_3 \|\underline{x}\|^2 + c_4 \delta \|\underline{x}\|$$

Conclusion: Ultimate Boundedness Guaranteed

lacksquare System state $\|\underline{x}(t)\|$ eventually converges to and remains within a bounded region:

$$\|\underline{x}(t)\| \le b \quad \forall t \ge t_0 + T$$

■ The ultimate bound *b* and other parameters are:

$$b = \frac{c_4}{c_3} k \frac{\delta}{\theta}, \quad k = \sqrt{c_2/c_1}, \quad \gamma = \frac{(1-\theta)c_3}{2c_2}$$

lacktriangledown Size of the ultimate bound b directly scales with the disturbance magnitude δ



Motivation

Key Insights & Practical Implications

Theoretical Insights:

- Lyapunov methods: unify time-varying and perturbed system analysis
- Perturbation type: dictates achievable stability properties
- Ultimate boundedness: models real-world robustness

Design Implications:

- Small, vanishing perturbations: maintains exponential convergence
- Persistent disturbances: design for bounded operation
- Robustness: requires accurate perturbation characterization

Vanishing Perturbations



References I

- Giovanni Gallavotti. Perturbation Theory. In: Perturbation Theory. Ed. by Giuseppe Gaeta.
 Series Title: Encyclopedia of Complexity and Systems Science Series. New York, NY: Springer US, 2009, pp. 1–14.
 ISBN: 978-1-0716-2620-7 978-1-0716-2621-4. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-0716-2621-4_396.
- [2] Hassan K. Khalil. Nonlinear control. Global edition. Boston Munich: Pearson, 2015. ISBN: 978-1-292-06069-9.
- [3] Hassan K. Khalil. Nonlinear systems. Pearson new internat. ed., 3. ed. Always learning. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2014. ISBN: 978-1-292-05385-1.
- [4] Shenyu Liu. Unified stability criteria for perturbed LTV systems with unstable instantaneous dynamics. Feb. 2022.
 DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2111.07443.
- [5] James Murdok. 1. Root Finding. en. In: Perturbations: Theory and Methods. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Jan. 1999, pp. 3–81. ISBN: 978-0-89871-443-2 978-1-61197-109-5. DOI: 10.1137/1.9781611971095.ch1.

